THE Great Impersonation

The Mask of Edom

How the Anti-Christ has Deceived the Whole World

by Pastor Eli James

The Great Impersonation

The Mask Of Edom

(How the Anti-Christ Has Deceived the Whole World)

Third Edition : Kindle Edition

Copyright 2013

by Pastor Eli James

Church of the Restoration of True Israel

c/o ANP POB 411373 Chicago IL 60641

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

www.killthebank.net

elijames@att.net

Dedication

And to all those members of the human race who love the truth and who can handle it.

Also, in special remembrance and appreciation for the most influential people who inspired the writing of this book:

Pastor Sheldon Emry

The leading minister of the Christian Identity Movement in America during the 1970's.

Pastor Robert Miles

The founder of the Mountain Church, a Christian Identity Church in the State of Michigan.

Mr. Nord Davis

Bible researcher and publisher of numerous booklets and articles about True Israel.

Gerda Koch

Founder of the Christian Research Institute

Lt. Colonel Jack Mohr

Identity Teacher and True Soldier of Christ

(Died July 17, 2003.)

May our God, Yahweh, honor these true Israelites for their dedication to His Law and to the Truth of the Holy Scriptures.

Copyright, 2013, Eli James

Quotations up to one page without permission.

All other use with author's permission.

The Great Impersonation

Table of Contents

Foreword, by Pastor D.M. Johns

Preface

Introduction

Chapter 1. In the Beginning: The Early Covenants

Chapter 2. The Marks of Israel

Chapter 3. The Seed of Abraham

Chapter 4. Sarah and the Seed of Isaac

Chapter 5. The Sons of Isaac

Chapter 6. The Twelve Tribes of Israel

Chapter 7. Israel and Judah Unto Captivity

Chapter 8. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part One: The House of Israel Is Lost

Chapter 9. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part Two: The House of David

Chapter 10. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part Three: The House of Judah

Chapter 11. The Nazarene

Chapter 12. The Identification of the Anti-Christ

Chapter 13. The Last Days, In Three Parts

Postscript.

Psalm 91.

Afterword.

Appendix A.

Appendix B.

Appendix C.

Appendix D.

Appendix E.

Appendix F.

Appendix G.

Eighth Beast Power Structure Chart

List of Publishers

Church of the Restoration of True Israel

c/o ANP POB 411373

Chicago IL 60641-1373

Foreword

Brethren,

There is so much excellent information available today, it is incredible. However, there are two main stumbling blocks we face today. The first is the need for a true and reliable source of information (such as a single book) as a quick and easy reference for those who know but maybe need to

verify things from time to time. The second is "How do we get our message to those of our race who are just waking up?" It is not from "Lack of knowledge," exactly! But the crucial question we have to ask is this, "Where do we begin?" I truly believe this book, **The Great Impersonation** , is a very good start. Contained within these pages is the very core of our faith. Herein lie the roots of our ancestral history. They are strong and true and reach deep within our very souls.

One of the great attributes of this publication is that it is written for the layman, so that most anyone can pick up this book, read it and understand what is being presented. With all the deception that abounds in the world today, perception and discernment are vital tools our people need to fight against the tyranny and deception.

The elders of our faith need to stand up and be counted. It is time to raise the banner for our people, the true sons and daughters of Jacob who was renamed Israel, to see and rally under in unity and one accord. Time is short, folks, and with the printing of this book our leaders will have the primer we need to instruct our kindred both far and near.

I am sure you will enjoy reading this manual of faith. I hope and pray that you will carry the information contained herein with you the rest of your days on this earth. It is also my prayer that you will share this knowledge with others in need of hearing the truth of our Father, Yahweh.

May Yahweh, the Great "I Am" bless, guide and protect you always. Onward and upward Jacob-Israel, we have much to do.

Pastor Daniel Mark Johns

By Yahweh’s Design

Preface

"*Oh, what a tangled web we weave when first we practice to deceive .*"

-- Yeats.

"It is not truth, but opinion, that can travel the world without a passport. "

-- Sir Walter Raleigh.

"*Son of man, I have made thee a watchman unto the house of Israel: therefore hear the word at my mouth, and give them warning from me. When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thine hand. Yet if thou warn the wicked, and he turn not from his wickedness, nor from his wicked way, he shall die in his iniquity; but thou hast delivered thy soul.. .if thou warn the righteous man, that the righteous sin not, and he doth not sin, he shall surely live, because he is warned; also thou hast delivered thy soul .*"

-- Ezek. 3:17-19, 21.

"*Consider the fact that Christian orthodoxy persists - in the face of historical evidence to the contrary - in placing Israel within Jewry. It flies in the face of the truth that Jewry came into being within the framework of Israel, the House of Jacob, and at no time has all Israel been incorporated into Jewry. The major portion of Israel has always been separate and distinct from Jewry, and traveled a very different road in world history .*"

-- Bella Johnson, Autumn 2001 Issue of Crown and Commonwealth, published by the British Israel World Federation, 8 Blades Court, Deodar Road, London, SW15 2NU.

I do not wish to preach to the choir. The very people who need to read and understand this message are the ones who are most resistant to it. So, I can only open with this plea to the reader, skeptical or not: Give this material a fair hearing, for your very soul may depend upon it. God does not open heaven up to those who deliberately avoid hearing both sides of the story.

"*Be ye therefore wise as serpents, yet gentle as doves* ."

– Matt. 10:16.

On the Judgment Day, God will ask all of us -

"*Did you acquaint yourself with the minority opinion* ?" "*Were you fair to the opposition that begged you to listen to them* ?"

Sometimes it is best not to follow the crowd. Remember the lemmings and their misguided charges into the sea. The ones who survived were the ones who trusted their misgivings. Remember also that at one time, Christianity was a minority of One. He will yet prevail.

There is abroad in the Christian world a prevalent feeling that evil should not be admonished or openly criticized. The Bible, on the other hand, warns the Christian watchman to broadcast the warning whenever it is needed. The Christian ostrich who has his head in the sand doesn't want to offend his oppressor, presumably because he doesn't feel the oppression badly enough to complain about it. This book contains the record of that oppression, an oppression which has often achieved the status of genocide against millions of Christians, especially in the Twentieth Century, the most violent century in the history of man.

As the Twentieth Century drew to a close, it was becoming obvious to this researcher that the cultural war which was being waged against Christianity was about to reach a fever pitch. Just witness the deterioration of "entertainment" and "education," plus the erosion of Constitutional liberties, not just in America, but all around the globe. This war comes dressed up as "racial tolerance," "multiculturalism," "socialism," "secular humanism," and a host of other trends whose main objective is to eliminate Christianity in favor of materialism. This materialism has taken two main forms: capitalism and communism. Both of these forms are the spiritual element of one particular group of people, the Talmudic rabbis.

It is out of the ghetto mini-states of the Middle Ages that Neo-Messianism sprang up among Jewish rabbis and intellectuals. Since the Jews reject Jesus as their Messiah, someone else must take his place. But no one has ever emerged, with the proper qualifications. Many self-proclaimed messiahs tried to lure all of the rabbis into one camp, but none succeeded. This constant disappointment within the rabbinical ranks finally led to the emergence of Neo-Messianism, the idea that the Jewish people as whole are their own Messiah and that no one individual can fill the bill.

The rabbinical idea of the Messiah was always couched in political terms: The Messiah was to be the political leader who would entrench the rabbis as the spiritual and social masters of their people forever. And as the rabbis are to be the masters of the Jewish people, the Messiah would lead this entire group to conquer the world at large. Thus would the ghettoes of the various Jewish mini-states be organized and transformed into the world dictatorship

that Jesus refused to grant those ambitious scribes, Pharisees, and Sadducees.

In spite of the nearly universal acclaim of the Jews as "God's Chosen People," this book stands as a rebuke of Judaism. It is designed to take any fair-minded individual through the actual records, Biblical and otherwise, of the history of the Jews and their adversary, Israel. At this point, some will object that the Jews and Israel are the same people; but this is a false belief, easily disproven and shown to be the most clever propaganda campaign ever foisted upon a guileless public. For the impatient reader who would be inclined to throw down this book at this juncture, I would say to him or her, please turn to Chapter 2's discussion of the Marks of Israel for a quick lesson on God's description of his people and how the Jews do not even remotely fit his descriptions. From perusing the Marks of Israel, only two possible conclusions can be reached: Either the Bible is gibberish or the Jews are not the chosen people ! Since most Christians believe that the Bible is the inerrant word of God, it is utterly amazing how errant the Bible is once the Jews are assumed to be Israelites.

Once this assumption is dropped and the Bible is understood according to what it plainly and actually says then the pious facade of Judaism is exposed and its true nature is revealed. It is no accident that wherever the Jews have settled, the indigenous populations have nearly always come to hate them as oppressors and exploiters. Indeed, the very universal charge of "anti-Semitism," which follows them wherever they go, is proof that they are not a blessing, but a curse.

How they love to quote Genesis 12:3: "*And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all the families of the earth be blessed* ." This blessing was given to Abraham and his seed (future generations); but the obvious question must be asked: "Have the Jews been a blessing to those nations which have blessed them by giving them a place to live?"

Certainly those nations blessed them! But did God, as the Bible promises, bless these nations in return? Were the Jews a blessing to the European nation-states which were forced to expell them all back to Khazaria in the twelfth, thirteenth and fourteenth centuries? Were they a blessing to

Germany which saw them as a blight because of the world-wide boycott declared against them by organized Jewry in 1933? (Germany was already suffering from the ignominious terms of the Versailles Treaty, having been forced to pay reparations for a war which was started by Great Britain, France, and Russia.) Were they a blessing to Tsarist Russia where Zionist Jews, such as Leiba Bronstein (alias Leon Trotsky), Lenin, Jacob Schiff, Alexander Parvus, and others conspired to overthrow the Russian throne while it was in the midst of the most successful agrarian reform movement in history? (76% of Russia's serfs had become owners of their own farms.) Were they a blessing to America by subjecting us to constant tribute (billions in aid annually to the warlike State of Israel) and the resulting regional and world wide enmity created by the Israeli State? We have blessed the Jews and the world hates us for it .

George Santayana's warning bears repeating here: "*Those who do not learn from history are condemned to repeat it* ." In a similar vein, Ayn Rand said: "*You can ignore reality, but you cannot avoid the consequences of ignoring reality.* "

Contrary to Genesis 12:3, those nations which have blessed the Jews have been invariably led to ruin by those same Jews who were given the blessing by that nation! This is the irrefutable fact of history. There is no positive result in dealing with the Jews because, in every case and according to the Jews' own testimony, "anti-Semitism" invariably rears its ugly head and the Jews must defend themselves against the very people who gave them refuge! Now, is this a curse or a blessing? Be honest.

As will be demonstrated later, Abraham had two sons, only one of which was destined to carry out this blessing; and the Jews are not descended from that son, namely Isaac. The Jews **never** quote this prophecy: " ***In Isaac shall thy seed be called*** " (Gen. 21:12), because they obviously do not answer to the appellation, "Isaac's Sons," as the Anglo-Saxons do. (The Hebrew 'Beth-Sak' means 'House of Isaac'.) Nor do they quote the rest of the blessing, "*And in thy seed shall all the earth be blessed; because thou hast obeyed my voice* " (Gen. 22:18), because their holy book, the **Talmud** , is the epitome of disobedience. The rabbi, like today's lawyers, has always been the world's leading expert at dodging the law and getting around the

law for his own benefit. If this statement seems extreme, then I can only say: "Where have you been? Haven't you read the Gospels? What are the Gospels if not a condemnation of rabbinical traditions ?"

Your pastor has not kept you informed of the true record.

(On the very remote possibility that this testament might be read by a person of the Jewish faith, I must say that it is just as important that you know the facts also, because you should know what your leaders are planning to do on your supposed behalf; and you may suffer for their excesses. The fact that much of the research for this book has been obtained from Jewish sources ought to lend credence to the arguments contained herein. Also, it behooves all people to be on the side of truth and justice, and, yes, mercy, for the coming Judgment Day will be your opportunity to redeem your own soul, just as it will be for Christians and others. No blanket condemnation of Jews is intended; however, all Jews who follow the dictates of Zionism and Talmudism are just as guilty as the assassins because they give their support. There can be no pretense of neutrality . Jesus said: "*He that is not with me is against me* ." — Matt. 12:30. God knows your heart. There is no middle ground. If you are Jewish, the rabbis have conditioned you to hate Jesus Christ. Be aware who your true master is.)

This book was written to set the record straight. As unpopular as this theme is in polite and political circles, the fact is that deception must be exposed; and this is the expose.

The mere fact that titles such as **The International Jew** by Henry Ford, Sr., **The Plot Against Christianity** by Elizabeth Dilling, **The Mask of Edom** by William Norman Saxon, **Pawns In the Game** by William Guy Carr, **The Empire of "The City** " by E.C. Knuth and **The Hoax of the Twentieth Century** by A.R. Butz cannot be found in the library and are not discussed in university classrooms is proof by itself that Talmudic Judaism has fully established its tribal oligarchy in America. Jewish censorship of "anti-Semitic" literature proceeds at a very quiet, deceptive pace -- no bonfires and newsreels, just garbage cans and empty bookshelves.

Only in the Islamic world and in some parts of the Oriental world is the true nature of Judaism openly discussed. I say to you: If you have to talk about the Jews under your breath or look over your shoulder to see who might be listening, then you are not free . You are a slave. The extent to which you are a slave is detailed in this book; and the extent to which you understand this book is the extent to which you will be free.

As Jesus said, "*Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall set you free* ," Many of the slaves who were set free by Abraham Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation did not want to be set free. Many saw themselves as having privileged positions in the established hierarchy. For them, freedom meant deprivation and the loss of privilege. The same is true for all of those people in the world today who have allowed themselves to he chained to the Beast called Zionism. Most do not even realize that they are so chained because they do not realize the extent of Zionism's control over their lives. This book was written to set the record straight and to set you free, whether you like it or not.

As a god-fearing and ethical Christian, the writing of this book has been a soul-searching experience. Ever since I began to learn of the true nature of Judaism (most non-Jewish people will have to admit that they know almost nothing about it), I began to be very concerned about the future of Christianity. The fact is that Judaism is not so much a religion than a chauvinistic tribal fraternity, a fraternity constantly at odds with other cultures. *(". . . for ye also have suffered like things of your own countrymen, even as they have of the Jews: who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men* ." — I Thes. 2:13.) Obviously, Paul does not consider the Jews to be a blessing to mankind. The primary characteristics of Judaism are an outward posture of high idealism (liberalism) combined with an inward stealth (Talmudism). The two together establish a culture of deceit.

For obvious reasons, this inward aspect of stealth is not normally subject to public scrutiny. For this reason, the statements made in this research work are thoroughly documented so that the outward posture can be compared to the actual record. "*By their fruits shall ye know them* ," As much as this

book is a judgment upon Judaism, it is also the history of **True Israel** , because only by understanding who the real Israelites are is it possible to understand the Bible. As the above quote from Ezekiel 3 suggests, it is from a sense of duty to my heritage of Anglo-Saxon Christendom and the desire to protect my fellow Christians from the potential horrors to come that I have undertaken this project, which has consumed literally thousands of hours of research, writing, and rewriting.

At issue is whether or not Judaism, as presently constituted as an elitist cultural minority, should be allowed to usurp the inheritance of the rightful heir, which is the subject of this **Great Impersonation** , the impersonation by Esau of his brother Jacob/Israel . To allow Talmudic Judaism and its rich brother, Zionism (also an impostor), to continually deceive the world is too much for a true Israelite to bear. Talmudism is the path of destruction and chaos. To those who are ignorant of Talmudism's true nature, only God can judge whether one's lack of vigilance is cause enough for the denial of entry into heaven.

It is of paramount importance that any broad-scale condemnation be thoroughly documented so that the condemnation can be judged on the basis of its consistency and fair-mindedness. Consequently, the story of the **Great Impersonation** must he historical as well as ethical, so that all Jewish claims can be examined in the light of both history and personal experience.

The one great insight which has devolved upon me as a result of this intensive research is that one can learn to sympathize with and even love one's "enemy" when it is understood how irresistible the forces are which can turn an impressionable child into a raving fanatic. It is with a profound sense of sorrow that I address this subject at all, because, if anything, Judaism is one of the greatest tragedies ever to befall the earth -- and that goes double for those brainwashed people who are unfortunate enough to call themselves Jews. Never has any group of people been subjected to such thorough, intense and rigorous mind-control as those who are born under that bad sign.

It is with a genuinely deep respect for the notion of Divine Retribution that I allow myself to publish the words contained in this volume. To quote Paul

in Romans 2:1, 2:

"*Therefore thou art inexcusable, O man, whosoever thou art that judgest: for wherein thou judgest another, thou condemnest thyself; for thou that judgest doeth these same things. But we are sure that the judgment of God is according to truth against them which commit such things* ."

Lest I be accused of "hate" or "anti-Semitism, it must be pointed out that a hate-monger prescribes violence or ostracism or ridicule whereas an analyst in the spirit of truth prescribes only understanding and the correction of evil practices. Hence, as a believer, I stand in the fear of the judgment of Jesus Christ if I be unfair in the smallest detail of the criticism.

In keeping with my duty as a Christian and considering my assumption of the role of defender of the Faith, I in no small measure regard the responsibility of this role. I have weighed heavily whether I should appease the ostrich, who does not wish to be told he has been deceived, or be a watchman sounding the alarm of the international effort to destroy Christianity and Christendom.

The general method of this book is to document the history of the Jews along with the separate, distinct, and parallel history of the Tribes of Israel. Along with the documentation of these historical distinctions, relevant ethical considerations are brought up which are germaine to the history under discussion. This will be the method up until the last two chapters, where the subject matter turns more toward ethics and prophecy, with a thorough discussion of Jewish ethics and tactics permeating these two chapters.

Such claims as "Judaism invented monotheism," "Jesus was a Jew," "The Jews are God’s chosen People," and others will be examined in the light of Scripture and in the light of secular history. What emerges from this study is

a definite pattern of grandiose deceit and tribal self-worship trying to impose itself upon a resistant outside world. This primitive form of tribal self-worship, due to its nearly total insularity (separate language, separate food, customs, literature, art, etc.), has managed to survive into the modern world and even find its most fruitful soil in the age of democracy, an age which ideologically repudiates tribalism. Yet, for reasons to be explained, Jewish tribalism is tolerated and even condoned by the most verbose democratic ideologues (hypocrites) of our time.

The reasons for the survival of this religious tribalism are numerous; and there is no end to the number of lessons to be learned from the study of its methods, both physical and psychological, of insulating its adherents from the liberating influences of the outside world. It is this insularity -- this rabbinical demand for tribal loyalty -- which has kept Judaism alive down through the centuries in spite of its lack of a homeland.

Crucial to maintaining the rag-tag cohesiveness of the Jewish tribal society is its mythology. This mythology makes several claims about Jewishness besides that being "chosen" by God. This mythology centers around the Talmud and other "holy" books, which give the rabbi the psychological tools with which to corral his flock and fence them in while they are led to believe that they are, in spite of their insular life-styles, cultural and intellectual world leaders. This is control by flattery; and it is extremely effective.

By maintaining separate, Jewish, courts of law, the Kehilla, or Jewish ruling clique, asserts punitive powers even unto the death of those who would resist it. The typical punishment for offending the Jewish ruling clique is ostracism, and for a person who looks, thinks, and acts Jewish, this is well-nigh a spiritual death sentence. The rabbis know this very well. The little Jew is trapped in the most cleverly designed psychological web. That is the main function of the ghetto, which is just as mental as it is physical.

As a separate community within a host nation, the Jews must of necessity accommodate themselves, individually and collectively, to the larger society. The Jewish ruling clique continually agitates for special privileges for the Jewish mini-state so that they can argue before their subjects what a good job they are doing for the little Jew. The truth, of course, is that the

Kehilla and the Rabbinate thrive on the maintenance of the dynamic tension between Jew and non-Jew, manipulating the circumstances for all they are worth in order to 1.) deepen the individual Jew's sense of Jewish separateness and 2.) maintain their political and economic power as fat cats in the mini-state, and 3.) act as influence peddlers and vote-block deliverers to select politicians.

This is exactly how the Sanhedrin operated in the days of Jesus Christ.

As the reader may well appreciate, the kehilla and the rabbinate are the past masters of this psychological game plan, having well over 2,150 years of experience at religious and cultural mind control, texts such as the Talmud offering lessons for the rabbi to sharpen his manipulative skills.

Every once in a while, the kehilla's demand for special privileges is rebuffed by the host nation. When this happens, Jewish pride and honor are at stake; and physical violence often results. This is what happened in Tsarist Russia. If the rabbis decide to pursue the procurement of these special privileges, they will heighten the Talmudic propaganda and whip their flock into a frenzy; and thus begins the oft-repeated Jewish cycle of steadily advancing prosperity followed by pogrom and/or revolution.

This cycle can be described as consisting of the following stages:

The Pleomorphic Life Stages of the Parasitic Nation:

1.) Dormancy

2.) Attachment

3.) Growth

4.) Agitation (Irritation of the host organism)

5.) Rejection by the host and conflict

6.) Victory or defeat

With defeat, logic tells us to expect that the dormancy period will repeat as the next step for a particular Jewish community and that the entire cycle will repeat itself. This is exactly what happens. What happens after a victory by the parasite is the death of the host nation, as exemplified by the Terror of the French Revolution and the mass genocide of Christians in Bolshevik Russia . This will be discussed under the topics of Zionism and Bolshevism in Chapter 12. Within a given Jewish community, whether it be in China, America, or Israel, this cycle will be active at one pleomorphic stage or another, depending on its maturity.

Perceptive readers will notice that this cycle of Jewish development parallels that of a biological parasite which latches on to a host organism and feeds itself off of whatever nutrients the host might provide. If the biological parasite becomes an irritant, the host will do all in its power to rid itself of the parasite. The same is true of the host nations in their relationship to the Jewish mini-states within them: their presence is tolerated until it becomes too painful to endure any more. Historically, the main reason for throwing off the parasite has been the Jewish practice of usury (lending money at interest) and foreclosure.

The Jewish cultural cycle utilizes many important factors besides mythology, tribalism, and special privileges, which go into its design. A major characteristic is the internal power struggles that go on (in true tribal fashion) between the rabbis and secular leaders, between and among the rabbis themselves, between and among local and international kehillas.

A major tribal feature of Judaism is the regrouping of infighting factions against an external enemy in spite of irresolvable differences between the infighting groups. It is herein that Judaism has earned its claim to fame in that it has been able to establish a tribal culture extending over the entire globe, with the international kehilla (comprised mainly of the richest bankers, merchants, entertainment moguls, gangsters, members of the World Jewish Congress and other powerful groups such as B’nai B’rith and the ADL) doing its best to rein in all of the various national and local Jewish communities.

Since Judaism is a tribal religion based on mythology, it matters little whether facts contradict the myths. The myths are sacred, all-important, dogmatic, and theologically unchallengeable. Those who dare to challenge the established myths are either severely rebuked or ostracized by organized Jewry.

Of course, all religions , to some extent, resort to the tactics of myth-making and insularity against other groups; but it is the purpose of this book to make a specific study of Jewish traditions and tactics in this regard, especially since these myths are being used to justify a genocidal war against innocent Arabs and Christians in various parts of the world.

That the Jews and Judaism are not what they claim to be is the main thesis that is proven herein. This book is an attempt to reveal, for all those who care to investigate (including those few Jews who might be interested), the true and semi-secret nature of Judaism, from its ideological beginning in Babylon to its present expression in today's international culture. Judaism's ideology is today applied with force in such seemingly diverse movements as communism and finance capitalism. Upon closer inspection, we find that both movements are led by powerful Jews who take time out to vacation together and talk business just as if they were not enemies at all -- and they aren't. (Trotsky, the revolutionary, and Schiff, the banker, are one example. Marx, the communist, and Engels, the industrial capitalist, another.)

This convergence of revolutionary overthrow and finance capitalism within Judaism was clearly understood by Henry Ford, who in 1920 wrote:

"*In Germany, therefore, as in Russia, distinction is made between the methods of the rich and of the poor Jews, because one method affects the government and the other the morale of the people, but both converge on the same objective. It is not only desire to escape oppression that actuates the lower classes of Jews, but desire to gain control — for the spirit of mastery pulses strong within them. German convictions on this question have reached the place where they may be expressed thus: Revolution is the expression of the Jews' will to power. Parties such as the socialists,*

democrats and freethinkers are but tools for the Jewish plan to power. The so-called "dictatorship of the proletariat" is really and practically the dictatorship of the Jews. "

-- The International Jew, Volume I, p. 29.

And you thought Henry Ford just built cars.

One final note: When faced with a challenge to an established myth or belief, the believer is understandably reluctant to pursue a study which threatens to undermine his world view; but, remember, belief must be based on facts, not on lies. Speaking as one who, in my younger days, had no opinions about the Jews whatsoever, I must say that, once I began studying this incredible and fascinating subject, I was amazed at the difference in attitudes and opinions between what you read in the papers and what you hear across the back fence.

Resentment against Jewish methods and practices is a fact of life. There is more to it than mere envy. If the Jews are indeed the "Chosen People', then why in God's name don't they act like it? Why, if they are the Chosen People, aren't they leading us toward peace through the enactment of God's Law instead of into chaos? Why is their claim not acknowledged by the man in the street, who has experienced the negative effect of Jewish chauvinism firsthand? There should be something about their collective and individual comportment which would sway people into giving them the respect that a "godly race" of people would command. The Jews do not command such respect because they are not the chosen people.

The proof lies before you.

Introduction

"Beware the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy."

Luke 12:1.

Unfortunately, official history books cannot be relied upon to tell the complete story of any particular historical event. It might even be stated as a general rule that the more recent the history, the more unlikely it is that official versions are true. The assassination of President John F. Kennedy is a good example of recent history which is highly controversial. The fact is that virtually no one except members of the establishment adhere to the official version of the lone assassin scenario painted by the Warren Commission. The reason for this rule is that there are usually members of the power elite who require that certain facts be concealed from the public in order to avert a public outcry and possible loss of their accumulated interests.

There are, however, exceptions to this rule. In a few cases, such as ongoing historical conspiracies in which the aims of a particular group of people have been transferred to their heirs, even ancient history remains distorted or is rewritten or even invented outright for the sole purpose of furthering the devious aims of a chosen few. In order for such a group to succeed in altering and inventing history, they must necessarily have either great influence in or total control over the fields of history, journalism, and education. This is the story of just such an ancient and present deception: one, which, by virtue of its present international power, has become a threat to civilization on this planet.

Although the individuals who espouse Zionism as a laudable goal do not apologize for its genocidal tactics against the Palestinians and other non-Jews, they nevertheless make numerous claims for its legitimacy as a Jewish cause. Zionists have attempted to make Zionism the cause celebre of

Jews around the world, and in so doing, a majority of Jews in the world have succumbed to its dual promise of liberation from anti-Semitic oppressors and the establishment of a national home for the Jews.

If such anti-Semitic oppression has indeed ever existed, then one can say, at least, that Jews have a right to be free of it. If, on the other hand, such oppression can be shown to be a natural result of Jewish exclusiveness and/or Jewish supremacism, then it is our duty to expose this trend within world Judaism for what it is.

Of course, trend would be an understatement for a dream which has remained within the teachings of Judaism for nearly 2500 years. Today, in certain circles of Judaism, world supremacy is indeed a trend. In other circles, it is an ideal to which one's daily, weekly, and lifelong actions are dedicated.

A true appreciation of Judaism cannot be achieved without the concept of tribalism. It must be understood that early nationalistic Judaism was motivated by tribal goals. In order to survive, a tribe requires a certain amount of territory, permanent or semi-permanent, and a hierarchy of command to which the rest of the tribe members adhere. It is within this context that Judaism began and it is still this context that drives Judaism to its eventual destiny, whatever that may be.

Whether Zionism is a matter of aggression or self-defense is one concern of this investigation. Based upon its terroristic tactics and apparent disregard for the lives of non-Jews, one would have to question its claim of self-defense. But, we should not be limited to this question alone. In order for the legitimacy of Zionism to be gauged, all the claims surrounding it must be analyzed to see which, if any, are valid. Such claims include Jewish identity with the nation of Israel, the claim of their existence as a separate race of human beings, the claim of historical rights to Palestine, the claim of divine sponsorship of Jewish national goals, etc.

Until all of these claims are scrutinized, Zionism cannot be judged legitimate or illegitimate. It is rare for Jewish assertions on such matters to be publicly examined. One is usually charged with anti-Semitism when such an attempt is made. Herein lies one of the contradictions of the Jewish spirit: usually among the first to cry for open debate on social issues such as minority rights, abortion, artistic censorship, etc., Jewish organizations are quite reluctant to participate in open discussions of Jewish national claims . It is in response to such naked hypocrisy that this investigation has come to be undertaken.

Chapter 1

In The Beginning

The Early Covenants

Judaism, as an entity distinct from surrounding philosophies and nationalities, claims its origins from Abraham, the great patriarch of the Book of Genesis. It is from Abraham that the great promise(s) of future national glory come. It is through Abraham that the Covenant is made between God and the descendants of Abraham.

This claim of descent from Abraham is problematic for the Jewish nation. In order to get a clear picture of who descended from whom we have to start with Noah and his three sons. In Genesis 9, God says to Noah immediately after the great flood that the rainbow is a symbol of the covenant He has made with all mankind so that humans of all races will never again be punished by means of a flood. But this is the only covenant that is made with all mankind. Subsequent Old Testament covenants are made exclusively with Abraham's seed .

The children of God are to remember this covenant whenever they see a rainbow. The rest of Chapter 9 concerns the three sons of Noah: Ham, Shem, and Japheth. Of importance in this Chapter is the curse of Canaan,

grandson of Noah through Ham. The Scriptural reason for the curse is that Ham looked upon the nakedness of Noah while Noah was in a drunken stupor. Admittedly, the Scriptural language is vague and the account is very, very brief.

One real idiomatic possibility, from the Hebrew, is that the phrase, "*the nakedness of my father,* " refers to both the father and his wife, since the wife's nakedness *belongs to* the husband, for in those days, the wife was in near absolute subjection to her husband. As such, it could mean that Ham looked upon his mother's nakedness. Could it be that both Noah and his wife were drunk and that Ham had an incestuous relationship with his mother? Lev. 18:8 states that the nakedness of the mother is "*thy father's nakedness* ," i.e. forbidden for a son to have an incestuous relationship with his mother. This idiomatic expression suggests that that is what happened.

The account goes on to say that Noah cursed Canaan. Genesis 9:24-27 says:

And Noah awoke from his wine, and knew what his younger son had done unto him. And he said, Cursed be Canaan; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. God shall enlarge Japheth, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Canaan shall be his servant .

Genesis, Chapter 10:1-3:

Now these are the generations of the sons of Noah, Shem, Ham, and Japheth: and unto them were sons born after the flood. The sons of Japheth; Gomer, and Magog, and Madai, and Javan, and Tubal, and Meshech, and Tiras. And the sons of Gomer; Ashkenaz, and Riphath, and Togarmah .

Of special interest to us is the name Ashkenaz. Note that Ashkenaz is a descendant of Japheth, not Shem. Today, we have two main branches of Jewry: the Ashkenazim and the Sephardim. Of these two branches, only the Sephardic branch can lay any sort of historical or ancestral claim to the covenants made to Abraham, who was a descendant of Shem.

With regard to the descendants of Ham and Canaan, Genesis 10:15-19 has this to say:

And Canaan begat Sidon his firstborn, and Heth, And the Jebusite, and the Amorite, and the Girgasite, And the Hivite, and the Arkite, and the Sinite, And the Arvadite, and the Zemarite, and the Hamathite: and afterward were the families of the Canaanites spread abroad. And the border of the Canaanites was from Sidon, as thou comest to Gerar, unto Gaza; as thou goest, unto Sodom, and Gomorrah, and Admah, and Zeboim, even unto Lasha .

Later on, we will find Scriptural citations which condemn the various descendants of Canaan as the bitterest enemies of Israel. This is of great significance because the descendants of Israel will cohabit, before, during, and after the time of Christ, with the descendants of Canaan the area east and north of Gaza known as Palestine. It will be shown that it is these Canaanites, not Israelites, who became known as Jews.

With regard to the descendants of Shem, Genesis 11:10 begins a long line of descent which culminates with Terah, at Verse 27, who begat Abram, Nahor, and Haran. Haran begat Lot. Nahor and Haran are deemed not important enough by Scripture to go into detail about, and they are not spoken of again after Verse 31. But Abram and Lot are treated in detail, especially Abram.

Genesis 12:1-3 says this:

Now the Lord had said unto Abram, Get thee out of thy country, and from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will show thee: And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing: And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed .

The blessing of Abram and his descendants does not include the descendants of Japheth (including the Ashkenazi), nor the descendants of Ham (including the Canaanites), nor does it include the other lines of descent through Shem. This blessing is given to Abram and his descendants exclusively . So, from the perspective of this Scriptural investigation, neither the Ashkenazi nor the Canaanites are the descendants of Abram (later to be renamed Abraham).

The implication of this ought to be evident in terms of the historical fraud being perpetrated by international Jewry with regard to their claim of being a.) Semites (descendants of Shem) or b.) Israelites (descendants of Abraham through Israel). At this point it is worth noting that the term Hebrews comes from the patriarch Eber (or Heber). Eber was the great-grandson of Shem; thus, Hebrews are Semites who are also descendants of Eber.

Since there is no such thing as a Jew who is a pure-blooded Israelite, our investigation will show that Scripture is utterly incompatible with Jewish claims regarding their Semitic and/or Israelitish heritage. Further investigation will show that their case gets even worse as 95 to 100% of the evidence points to an entirely different group of people being the heirs of the blessing given to Abraham. That other group of people will be positively identified.

Chapter 2

The Marks Of Israel

Continuing with the story of Abram, Genesis 12:5-15:21 deal with the exploits of Abram and Lot and their travels through the lands of the Canaanites and Egypt. Three more covenants are made, two prophetic and one contemporary, within Chapter 15.

Verse 5 states:

And he brought him forth abroad, and said, Look now toward heaven, and tell the stars, if thou be able to number them: and he said unto him, So shall thy seed be .

Verses 13 and 14 say:

And he said unto Abram, Know of a surety that thy seed shall be a stranger in a land that is not theirs, and shall serve them; and they shall afflict them four hundred years. And also that nation, whom they shall serve, will I judge: and afterward shall they come out with great substance .

Verse 18 says:

In the same day the Lord made a covenant with Abram, saying, Unto thy seed have I given this land, from the river of Egypt unto the great river, the river Euphrates :

God chose to give that land to Abram at that time. But Verses 13 and 14 give a hint of future bondage for a period of 400 hundred years. Verses 5, 13 and 14, and literally scores of other verses can be adduced as being pertinent to the future trials and exploits of Abraham's seed. Exodus 13:40 states that the tribes stayed in Egypt for a total of 430 years. It is possible that a formal state of bondage began after the 30th year and lasted for the remaining 400 years. The probable date of entry into Egypt was 1710 B.C. and the probable date of the exodus was 1280 B.C., during the reign of Rameses II (1290 - 1224 B.C.). See **These Were God's People, A Bible History** by William C. Martin.

Before proceeding with Genesis 16, an analysis of the Marks of Israel must be made. In order to understand the clear Biblical distinctions between Abraham's seed and those people known as Jews, these Marks must be analyzed; and it must be shown that the prophetic statements made by God with regard to Abraham's descendants cannot have been and were not, in fact, fulfilled by the Jews.

Here follows a list of the identifying marks as they occur in the Bible. Some will be quoted in their entirety and others will be merely adduced for the reader's own reference. The references are too numerous to be given individual treatment.

The passages referred to as the Marks of Israel are those which are the most obvious references concerning the fate of a distinct group of people. That group of people is the Israelites, but the promises made to Abraham apply to Israel because Israel is a direct descendant and because the promises were distinctly remade to Israel.

Already quoted above were Genesis 12:2-3 (*I will make of thee a great nation* .), Gen. 15:5, regarding Abram's innumerable offspring, and Genesis 15:13, regarding the four hundred year period of bondage.

The general nature of God's promise to Abraham is given in Genesis 17:2-6.

And I will make my covenant between me and thee, and will multiply thee exceedingly. And Abram fell on his face: and God talked with him, saying, As for me, behold, my covenant is with thee, and thou shalt be a father of many nations. Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of many nations have I made thee. And I will make thee exceeding fruitful, and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee .

Some very specific promises have been made in this passage. By looking at this small collection alone, we can already see that none of these things have come to pass with regard to that group of people known as Jews. The Jews cannot be said to have ever been known as many nations, unless a case can be made for the internationally scattered ghettoes as nations. Neither have the Jews multiplied exceedingly. Even today, their numbers, compared to other races and nationalities are very small. Nor can it be said that any number of kings have come from the ranks of the Jews.

To add to our list of the Marks of Israel, we will cite first the nature of the mark and the Scriptural references, which say it either explicitly or in similar words.

Regarding the descendants of Heber, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (Israel):

The Biblical Marks of Israel

1) The throne of David to be perpetuated forever (The last king the Jews can lay claim to is Herod Agrippa II, 70 A.D.) - II Sam. 7:13; I Chron. 22:10; Psa. 89:35-37; Jer. 33:17, 20; Gen. 49:10.

2) *A great nation and a company of nations* . - Genesis 17:4, 5, 15, 16; 18:18; 35:11; 48:19; Ephesians 2:12. A nation is defined as a country inhabited by a certain group of people having specific laws and customs. Throughout history the Jews have lived within the national boundaries of **other nations** , preferring to live in ghettoes or other small groups. The State of Israel is, in fact, the only nation the Jews have ever had; and even this nation is parasitic in that it relies heavily on outside funding for its existence. Since it is not self-sufficient, does it count as a "nation"?

3) *A multitudinous seed* . - Genesis 13:16; 15:5; 22:17; 24:60; 26:4, 24; 28:3,14; 32:12; 49:22; Isaiah 10:22; Hosea 1:10; Zechariah 10:7,8. The Jews have always been one of the smallest ethnic population groups. This is still true today.

4) A leading nation in the world - Genesis 27:29; 28:13; Deuteronomy 2:25; 4:8; 7:6; 15:16; 28:10; Isaiah 43:4; 60:10,12; Jeremiah 31:7. The Israeli State can only be considered a "leading nation" to the extent that the American taxpayer allows it to exist. Certainly, no "great nation," an alternate meaning, would have to depend on someone else’s money to survive.

5) A mighty seagoing people - Gen. 22:17; Numbers 24:7; Deut. 33:13, 19; Psalms 89:25; Isaiah 60:5. (No chance here for the Jews!)

6) Israel to have a new language - Isaiah 28:11. (The Jews have tried to preserve Hebrew to this day.)

7) Israel to be known by another name (The Jews still claim the old name, Israel, for themselves.) - Isaiah 62:2; 65:15; Hosea 2:1.

8) Israel to <u>forget its identity</u> as the Covenant people.

(NOTE: The Jews have an unbroken historical claim on the promises bestowed upon Abraham's seed; thus, they never could have had the collective amnesia which Scripture says would befall <u>Israel</u> .) - Isa. 29:10-12; 42:16,19-20; Hos. 1:9,10; 2:7-19; Romans 11:7, 8, 25.

9) Israel to be <u>hidden</u> because of its loss of identity - Psa. 83:3; Isa. 49:2; Matthew 13:44. The Jews were never hidden. Indigenous populations (host countries) were always aware of their presence and their claim to being "Israel."

10) Israel to have a new covenant (a reference to the Last Supper Covenant) – Jer. 31:31-37; Hebrews 8:10-13; 9:17; Matthew 10:5-7; Luke 1:77; 2:32; 22:20; John 11:49-52; Galatians 3:13. (The Jews still adhere to the Old

Covenant. Just witness their eye-for-an-eye treatment of the Palestinians as opposed to the Christian concept of forgiveness.)

11) Israel will remember its identity just before the last days - Ezekiel 39:7,22-28; Hos. 3:5. (This prophecy is highly significant for us today, especially since this volume is intended to assist in this awakening process!)

12) A people who would recognize the Messiah (The Jews, of course, did not and still do not.) - Acts 5:31; Romans 11:26, 27.

13) A missionary people (The Jews have historically kept to themselves and have not tried, since the time of the conversion of the Khazars (around 800 A.D.), to convert others to the exclusive faith of the chosen people. The only exception to this rule is when they convert people who can be very useful, either financially or politically, to their cause,) - Gen. 22:18; 28:14; Isa. 43:10-12, 21; 59:21; 66:19; Micah 5:7.

14) Israel to be kind to other people and set slaves free - Deut. 15:12; Psa. 72:4; Isa. 42:7; 49:9; 58:6. (It was Anglo-Saxon Christianity which set the slaves free. Here, the Jews fail again, as the Rothschilds and other Jewish bankers were financial agents of the international slave trade up until the Civil War, at which time they supported the South. Judah P. Benjamin was their highly placed agent in the Confederate government. Even today, the State of Israel has been condemned for its tolerance of white female slaves used for prostitution. According to Christopher Bollyn in the Aug. 20, 2001 issue of the **American Free Press** , Israel is one of the top trafficking nations in the world: "*The Trafficking Act calls for economic sanctions in*

2003 against those countries that fail to act against traffickers. If Israel fails to take significant steps to end the slave trade it could face sanctions...Estimates range between 2,000 and 3,000 women and children being trafficked into Israel each year, 95 percent of them coming from the collapsed economies of the former Soviet Union. Although most are older, children as young as 12 are also involved. ")

15) Possessing the gates of her enemies - Gen. 22:17, 24, 60. The Jews have never had a great military. The one she possesses now is courtesy of the U.S. Taxpayer.

16) To colonize the world - Gen. 28:14; 49:22; Deut. 32:8; 33:17; Psa. 2:8; Isa. 26:15; 27:6; 35:1; 43:19,20; 54:2, 3; 58:11, 12; Zech. 10:8, 9. The Anglo-Saxons, again, have colonized the world. The reality is that, historically, the Jews merely followed us where we went in order to take advantage of our prosperity. We will see that this activity was prophesied in Gen. 3:15.

17) They shall make deserts blossom, an agricultural people (the Jews are not known for their agricultural talents. A Jewish farmer is virtually unheard of except on an Israeli kibbutz. The Zionist claim to having made the desert bloom is mere propaganda. The Palestinians have always had rich groves and orchards in Palestine. The Jews have not increased the production of agriculture. Mark Twain wrote an excellent account of his trip to Palestine in which he describes the beautiful agricultural conditions in Palestine). - Gen. 27:28; 49:25, 26; Deut. 8:7, 9; 28:11; 33:13, 14, 28; Isa. 35:1; 43:19; 58:11, 12. Historically, the Jews have never produced farm products at all. It has **always** been the Anglo-Saxons. The "holy" book of the rabbis, the Talmud, says, " *No occupation is inferior to that of*

agricultural labor ." (Yeb 63a., Exh. 161.) Have you ever met a Jewish farmer? – even in Israel?

Because Cain murdered Abel, God said to him: "*When thou tillest the ground, it shall not henceforth yield to you her strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in the earth."* – Gen. 4:12. This seventeenth Mark is especially damning of the descendants of Cain because Abel's blood was spilled onto the ground; and his blood cried out for revenge (Gen. 4:10). Who are the people known the world over for being fugitives and vagabonds? Could it be the "wandering Jew"?

18) Israel to find a new home away from Palestine - Gen. 28:14; II Samuel 7:10; I Chronicles 17:9; Isa. 41:1, 5, 9; 42:4, 10, 12; 49:1-3, 12; 51:5; Jeremiah 3:18; 31:7-10. (Europe, America, Australia, South Africa!)

19) To be the lineage of the Messiah - II Samuel 7:13; I Chronicles 22:10; II Chronicles 13:5; Psa. 89:35-37; Jer. 33:17; Luke, Chapter 3, in which the lineage of Jesus Christ is given from Adam to Jesus. (As we all know, the Jews reject Jesus as the Messiah; and the Bible clearly shows Jesus in the line of descent from the Davidic line from which he was supposed to come. The Jews rejected Jesus because he was not one of them . He told the Jewish rabbis of His day, the scribes and Pharisees, "*Ye are not my sheep* ." Both Joseph and Mary are recorded in the Gospels as being descended from King David. The scribes and Pharisees, by that time, were a mixture of Idumean blood plus some Israelite blood. According to the Bible, no half-breed can be considered an Israelite. Therefore, the Jews are of a different genealogy and have no royal blood in them.)

20) *In Isaac shall thy seed be called* . (Beth-Sak = House of Isaac = Isaac's Sons = Saxons) - Gen. 21:12. The Jews never quote this passage of

Scripture because they are not descendants of Isaac. It would be impossible for them to explain away the plain meaning of this passage, so they ignore it. The pro-Jewish pastors of "Judeo-Christianity," to their great discredit, ignore this passage as well.

The above are a quick scratching of the surface of the evidence which proves that the Jews are not Israel . These are only some of the most obvious references to the future Marks of Abraham's seed. Many more descriptive statements could be adduced, but these should suffice for the moment. The cited list ought to be sufficient for distinguishing among different groups of people contending for the designation, Heirs of the Promise, or The Covenant People, or The Chosen People. Score: Caucasian Anglo-Saxons, 20; Jews, zero.

Since the point of this investigation is to show that the Jews do not qualify as heirs, it will be demonstrated, throughout this work, that the only identifiable group which can fit the prophecies is the three Houses of Isaac: the House of Israel, the House of Judah, and the House of David. The descendants of all three of these Houses, yesterday, today, and forever, fall into the category of the Celtic, British, Germanic, European Anglo-Saxons.

Chapter 3

The Seed Of Abraham

Since Zionist and/or Jewish claims to the land of Palestine are the basis for their presence there, and since this claim involves the belief that Jews have racially descended from Abraham, it is necessary, in order to confirm or disprove it, that the Scriptures be thoroughly examined with regard to such claims. Picking up where we left off at Genesis, Chapter 16, we read the story of Abram's two wives (Abram's name is not changed to Abraham until Chapter 17).

Here, we find that Sarai, Abram's wife, is barren, and he is very upset that she has not borne him any children. In his impatience, and at Sarai's own suggestion, he takes an Egyptian woman as a mistress. Sarai's servant is a woman who is either an Adamic Hamite or Shemite, as Egypt was settled by the children of Ham, but significant numbers of Shemites were to be found in Egypt, since they were, after all, direct descendants of Noah. Her name is Hagar. At Hagar's subsequent pregnancy, Sarai becomes jealous and drives Hagar out of the household; but an angel appears to Hagar in the wilderness, tells her to return to Abram, and then says to her, *I will multiply thy seed exceedingly, that it shall not be numbered for multitude* .

She then bears a son named Ishmael. But Ishmael is another one of those unfortunates of Genesis who is burdened by a curse. (In this case, it is a mild one). Verse 12 says of Ishmael: *And he will be a wild man; his hand will be against every man, and every man's hand against him; and he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren.* Note the use of the word 'brethren'. It is a historical fact that Ishmael engendered the Arabian tribes, who dwealt throughout the territory of Mesopotamia.

In a little booklet entitled *The Jews, Zionism, and the Bible* , author Sami Hadawi quotes Dr. Alfred Guillame, Professor of Old Testament Studies at the University of London:

Guillame explains that it is 'generally supposed that these promises were made to the Jews and to the Jews alone. But,' he points out, 'that is not what the Bible says. The words to thy seed inevitably include Arabs, both Muslims and Christians, who claim descent from Abraham through his son Ishmael. Ishmael was the reputed father of a large number of Arab tribes and Genesis records that Abraham became the father of many north Arabian tribes through his concubine Keturah.'

(There is an error here. As revealed in the previous paragraph, Hagar is the mother of Ishmael who, in turn, fathered the twelve Arab nations (Gen. 18:20; 25:12-18) through an unnamed woman, also from Egypt (Gen. 21:21)). Also, Keturah is Abraham's wife , not concubine (Gen. 16:3); and she is, since the Bible does not make an issue of her lineage, an Adamite.)

It may be added that any promises made to Abram up to this point and to Abram's descendants, in general, are still binding for Ishmael, whose mother, Hagar, was an Egyptian woman, probably of Hamitic descent (Gen. 21: 9, 13).

A cruel irony here is that the Arabs, by virtue of their clearly demonstrated Biblical descent from Shem through their father, Abraham, are true Semites, except those who have become race-mixed with non-Adamic nations. The same cannot be said of ninety percent of the modern world's Jews by virtue of the fact that they are the descendants of Ashkenaz (a people later to be known as Khazars), a son of Japheth, not Shem . Yet, when people speak out against Jewish inaccuracies like this one, they are labeled anti-Semitic. The fact is that the Arabs are Semitic and Ashkenazi Jews are not. Only some Sephardic Jews can claim to be partial heirs of promises made to Abraham; and - make a note of this - neither the Arabs nor the Askenazi can claim ancestral rights to the promises later made by God to Israel, because those later promises were made to Israel and his descendants **exclusively** .

Although there may be disagreement among scholars on this point, I would argue very simply that, if I made a covenant with your son, Israel, and I did not say anything about including your son, Ishmael, then it would be obvious to all that I only intended to make the covenant with Israel. This is a standard procedure regarding wills and contracts. A promise made to a specific child is not automatically a promise made to the child's siblings.

It must be pointed out, however, that those Marks of Israel which are also Marks of Abraham cannot be denied to the Arabs because they are the Scriptural sons and daughters of Abraham. Still, up to this point, none of these Marks can be said to apply to Jews. In the interest of total accuracy, it must be pointed out that the appellation, Jews, did not become popular until later in history when the land called Judea figured more prominently in this chronicle (shortly before the time of Christ). Also, it must be conceded that

the Arabs fit the promise of becoming a great multitude, whereas Jews have never been a great population in the world.

At this point, our attention must turn to the next most important figure of the Biblical narrative: Isaac.

Abraham begat Isaac, whose mother was Sarai.

If you are an Anglo-Saxon, you carry the genetic material of both Abraham and Sarah. Abraham and Sarah are your exclusive ancestral parents. No other ethnic group can make this claim .

Yahweh, our God, tells us that we should honor our heritage: "*Hearken to me, ye that follow after righteousness, ye that seek Yahweh: look unto the rock whence ye are hewn, and to the hole of the pit whence ye are digged* ." – Isaiah 51:1.

And if you don't believe that this verse is a direct reference to Abraham and Sarah, then the very next line says: "*Look unto Abraham your father and Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him, and increased him* ." -- Isa. 51:2.

The Arabs claim Abraham as their father but they do not claim Sarah as their mother. The Jews claim both Abraham and Sarah as their parents. The Arabs tell the truth; but the Jews are lying because they know that their blood has been mixed with that of non-Semitic peoples. This is a direct violation of God's Law and it disqualifies them from the inheritance.

Chapter 4

Sarah And The Seed Of Isaac

Genesis 17:15 relates this: *And God said to Abraham, As for Sarai thy wife, thou shalt not call her name Sarai, but Sarah shall her name be. And I will bless her, and she shall be a mother of nations; kings of people shall be of*

her...And God said, Sarah thy wife shall bear thee a son indeed; and thou shalt call his name Isaac: and I will establish my covenant with him for an everlasting covenant, and with his seed after him .

Here we have the two main themes of Genesis continuing: the theme of passing on the Covenant from one generation to the other via specific individuals and the theme of the future greatness of this seed.

The covenant with Isaac is distinguished from a similar but not identical blessing bestowed upon Ishmael. Verse 20 states:

And as for Ishmael, I have heard thee: Behold, I have blessed him, and will make him fruitful, and will multiply him exceedingly; twelve princes shall he beget, and I will make him a great nation .

And just so that there is no possible confusion, Verse 21 states:

But my covenant will I establish with Isaac, which Sarah shall bear unto thee [Abraham] *at this set time in the next year .*

You can see God's determination to establish a covenant with Isaac, who has not even been conceived yet ! Of course, the Jews are not known for any connection with the seed of Isaac. The rabbis of Judaism rarely discuss the story of Isaac, Rebekah, Jacob and Esau – certainly not with the

"goyim." They don’t like to bring up a subject which might expose their true identity.

The middle of Chapter 18 interjects the story of Lot and Sodom and Gomorrah, and their destruction because of unrighteousness. Of importance to our subject of the descent of the Covenant people and their relatives, it should be noted that Lot is the patriarch of two more tribes, Moab and Ammon. Due to their concern that their seed be preserved , Lot's two daughters conspired to get their father drunk and then, on consecutive nights, to lie with him. Although incest is forbidden by God, Lot’s two daughters, out of fear that the seedline might not be preserved, committed incest! Both became pregnant and brought forth Moab and Ammon.

Without question, these Semites were very concerned about preserving their seed. Not a page of Genesis goes by without one or more references to the importance of carrying on the line of descent. The fact is that Genesis cannot be understood without reference to this overwhelming desire to maintain a pure line of descent, a righteous breed, as it were, at least down until the coming of the Messiah. Whether or not Lot's daughters had any conception of the mission of the Messiah or not is open to question. More than likely, in this era of intense racial and cultural consciousness, they were motivated only by their traditional race-consciousness as well as by their sense of righteousness vis-a-vis the surrounding populations, since intermarriage with them was absolutely forbidden.

With regard to this righteous breed, it must be said that the Semites were none too righteous in terms of modern notions of righteousness. Dirty tricks, incest, deception, passing one's wife off as a sister, curses, fornication, even murder - by Cain - has happened by now in Genesis. And, as we shall see later, the trick played by Jacob upon Esau is nothing short of dastardly from Esau's point of view. The Semites cannot be said to have been angels in human form. Neither are their descendants. God and the prophets are forced to constantly rebuke them for their wickedness. But within the Semitic stock is to be carried that sense and practice of righteousness, at least among the direct descendants of Abraham and Sarah, which is usually practiced only by the very few, which will eventually result in a maiden named Mary, of the tribe of Judah, mother of Jesus.

Genesis 21 continues the story of Sarah and Abraham. There is born the patriarch, Isaac. Having finally borne a son, Sarah can no longer tolerate the presence of Hagar and Ishmael. She pleads with Abraham to cast them out. He is not so inclined, whereupon God again speaks to Abraham, saying at Verse 12,

...in all that Sarah hath said unto thee, hearken unto her voice; for in Isaac shall thy seed be called .

Here is a prophetic statement with regard to Isaac becoming the patriarch after which the whole tribe and all of the descendants will be named. That the Anglo-Saxons are the true recipients of this heritage will be dealt with in a later chapter (Beth-Sak = House of Isaac; Saxons = Isaac's Sons).

Nevertheless, Ishmael is looked on with affection by the Lord, saying in Verse 13,

And also of the son of the bondwoman will I make a nation, because he is thy seed .

Consequently, the Arabs, who claim descent from Abraham, are not disowned by God. He merely wishes to bestow special privileges upon Isaac and his seed. Hagar, the bondwoman, is quite probably a Semite also. There is no issue made of her seedstock, so she is quite probably a distant relative. The Arab nations later became of mixed stock depending upon where the various tribes traveled, although it must be admitted that many of them are probably pure Semites.

Chapter 24: Abraham is getting old and wishes that his son should marry. In Verse 3, he assigns his eldest servant the task of going back to Mesopotamia, where Abraham's relatives and kindred people still reside, in order to procure a daughter-in-law. He stresses to the servant that Isaac must not marry a Canaanite . He must marry a Semite. The servant carries out these instructions, and he selects a woman based on the quality of her kindness. Her name is Rebekah, and she is the granddaughter of Abraham's brother, Nahor.

Rebekah and her family agree to Abraham's desires, and she leaves with this blessing from her family (Verse 60):

. . . Thou art our sister, be thou the mother of thousands of millions, and let thy seed possess the gate of those which hate them .

By this time Sarah, Isaac's mother, has died and, with the arrival of Rebekah, Isaac marries and is comforted after his mother's death (v. 67).

In Chapter 25, Abraham remarries, a woman named Keturah, and has several children by her; but Abraham eventually sends them all away, perhaps so that they would not interfere with Isaac's inheritance , and he then reconfirms the blessing upon Isaac (v. 11). Abraham dies and is buried by his sons, Isaac and Ishmael. The genealogy of Ishmael is given (v. 16-18) and then begins the saga of Isaac and Rebekah and their two sons, Esau and Jacob.

At this point, it must be brought out that one of the major themes of the Bible is that of two brothers in conflict. Invariably, one brother obeys God and the other doesn’t. Cain and Abel are the first such pair. Isaac and Ishmael are next. Jacob and Esau after them. Later, Judah’s two sons, Pharez and Zarah, diverge. Without an understanding of this theme and the resulting genealogical trees, one can hardly understand the Bible as a historical text. This theme is of paramount importance, especially when it

comes to being able to distinguish between Jews and Israelites. This theme can be called "*the Conflict of the Ages* ."

This is especially true of the conflict between Jacob and Esau, because their struggle marks the clear, distinct, and irrefutable delineation between Jews and Israelites. Jacob and Esau were twin brothers whose parents were Isaac and Rebekah. Let’s get to know them a little better so we can begin to rediscover our Israelite heritage.

Chapter 5

The Sons Of Isaac

The story of Jacob and Esau is one of the most strange, tragic, and poignant of all the Genesis stories. On the face of it, Esau is the victim of numerous deceptions and tragedies, and his brother, Jacob, is the beneficiary of untold, seemingly undeserved, blessings.

Rebekah conceived by Isaac, and she was pregnant with twins. Chap. 25, V 22:

And the children struggled together within her - in the womb, no less! - *and she said, If it be so, why am I thus? And she went to enquire of the Lord. And the Lord said unto her, Two nations are in thy womb, and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels; and the one people shall be stronger than the other people; and the elder shall serve the younger* .

This situation is quite bizarre. One can picture the twins within her womb, had they known of this prophecy(!), each struggling to push the other out

first. But Esau is the unfortunate one and is born first. Jacob is not far behind, coming into the world clutching to Esau's heel.

The boys grew up and, one day Esau came from the field ready to faint:

And Esau said to Jacob, Feed me, I pray thee, with that same red pottage; for I am faint: therefore was his name called Edom. And Jacob said, Sell me this day thy birthright. And Esau said, Behold, I am at the point to die: and what profit shall this birthright do to me ?"

And Esau sold his birthright to Jacob for the proverbial mess of pottage.

From the context of the Book of Genesis, Esau's commitment to his family/relatives/race was being tested. There is nothing more important in Genesis than the preservation of the seed line. At this point Esau is portrayed as a selfish, possibly brutish individual, who, instead of pleading with his brother for mercy, thinks first of himself and asks, ...*what profit shall this birthright do to me* ? If he was still coherent enough to ask such a question, the question can be raised as to why he regarded his seed so lightly. One legend has it that Esau, as the father of banditry, had just returned from a raid when this incident with Jacob occurred.

Chapter 25 ends by saying that Esau despised his birthright. The Hebrew word, 'bazah', means to disesteem, despise, disdain, etc. As it turned out, Esau did, in fact, marry two Canaanite women. In terms of Genesis, this is an unforgivable sin .

In the next Chapter, God renews his covenant with Abraham: ...*and in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed* . (V. 4). But Esau marries Judith and Bashemath, two Hittites, and thus sows the seed of his undoing versus Jacob.

When Isaac was old and blind, he decided to bequeath his estate to his firstborn son - the usual custom. But Jacob was Rebekah's favorite son, so she pleaded with him to pose as his brother and thereby receive the blessing in Esau's stead. The act of deception succeeded and Isaac blessed Jacob thinking he was Esau. But, remember, Esau had already sold his birthright to Jacob and, on top of that, Esau had married two non-Semitic women! Although Esau was Isaac's favorite son, he had still broken a cardinal rule. He might still redeem himself by marrying a Semitic woman.

When Isaac and Esau found out about the trick, Isaac refused to grant Esau the same blessing he had bestowed upon Jacob. Instead, he said, one day *...when thou shalt have the dominion, that thou shalt break his yoke from off thy neck* . (A prophetic reference to the rise of Zionism?) This was small consolation for Esau, *And Esau hated Jacob* . Then he vowed to slay his brother . (The only previous occurrence of brother killing brother was when Cain killed Abel.) But Rebekah found out Esau's intention and sent Jacob away so that he would not be killed.

Before Jacob left, he was once more blessed by his father - who must have admired Jacob's spunk! - and was charged with keeping the seedline pure: *Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan.* (28:1). Esau then fully realized that Isaac was not pleased with his Canaanite wives, and went out and took an Ishmaelite wife. But Esau had already sealed his fate by disavowing his birthright and by having murderous thoughts towards his brother in order to get his birthright back!

At this point in the story, the Lord stepped in and renewed the Abrahamic Covenant, this time with Jacob. Jacob, with all his faults, is the heir to the promise and his descendants are his heirs: *...and in thee and thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed* . (V. 14.) Esau is now totally out of the picture of the inheritance .

Jacob then began his travel to find his kindred in the east (in northern Mesopotamia), and he met Rachel, daughter of Laban, his mother's brother. They are, in fact, cousins. This is perhaps the first recorded instance of love at first sight. Jacob kissed Rachel, raised his voice to heaven, and began to weep.

The story becomes quite involved at this point, with Jacob's uncle, Laban, pulling some nasty tricks on Jacob. Jacob agrees to work for Laban for seven years for Rachel's hand in marriage. When the seven years expire, Laban pulls a bait-and-switch and says that Jacob must marry his elder daughter, Leah, first, because it is customary to marry off the elder daughter before the younger one. Jacob is infuriated but agrees to toil another seven years for Rachel's hand. In the meantime, Leah bears Jacob the first four patriarchs of the twelve tribes of Israel: Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Judah.

The next period of seven years passed, but Rachel turned out to be barren. In her dismay, she bade her husband to have her handmaiden, Bilah. Jacob protested. Rachel insisted, and Bilah bore Dan and Naphtali. Six of the patriarchs by then were born.

When Leah decided that she could no longer bear children, she prompted Jacob to mate with her maid, Zilpah. Zilpah then bore Gad and Asher. Some time later, Leah realized that she was still capable of bearing children and insisted that Jacob do his manly duty - indeed, none of the men of Genesis are as favored as Jacob. She bore him two sons and a daughter: Issachar, Zebulun, and Dinah. Now, ten patriarchs have been born and one matriarch.

One more blessing is to be bestowed upon Jacob. Rachel, his first love, finally conceived. It is the eleventh son; and he is named Joseph.

Jacob remained with Laban for 20 years before deciding to return to the house of his father, Isaac. He packed up his nomadic estate, partially because of a dispute he had with Laban, and moved himself and his family back to his place of birth. On the way, Jacob was confronted by a stranger with whom he wrestled throughout the night. The incident occurred after everyone had crossed a river except Jacob himself. Whether this stranger was actually an angel or some messenger in human form is not clear from the text, but the man who is the stranger was so impressed with Jacob's will to resist that he ended the contest by getting Jacob to bless him. As the man left, he said to Jacob, *Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with God and with men, and hast prevailed* .

As was intimated before, there is something about Jacob's spirit that makes him the favorite of everyone that counts; and even when he was deprived of Rachel as his first wife, the substitute, Leah, turned out to be a blessing because Rachel was barren. Then, a complete stranger comes out of the blue and tells him that his name is Ruling-with-God (Israel).

Chapter 6

The Twelve Tribes Of Israel

The balance of the chapters of Genesis tell this story:

35:10: God changed Jacob's name to Israel in confirmation of the stranger's renaming in Chapter 32 and renewed the blessing, this time on Israel and his descendants, repeating the company of nations prophecy/promise .

Rachel bore one more son, this time in great travail, dying in childbirth. The son was named Benjamin. Isaac died and was buried by his sons Esau and Israel.

Both Esau and Israel prospered to such an extent that they could no longer share the same land. Israel chose to move on with his family and possessions. From this point on - and this is very important for the purposes of this investigation - Esau and Israel lived separate lives and have separate destinies.

36:8 states, *Thus dwelt Esau in mount Seir: Esau is Edom* .

Esau was thus also known by two names. The genealogy of Esau is given in the next verse; and through the names and places given, it is possible to keep track of whose descendants are whose, because the Bible is very much concerned with keeping Israel's descendants distinct from all others, including Esau's. As has already been discussed, Esau's seed is mixed with the Canaanites, and the Bible henceforth treats Esau/Edom as a non-Israelitish people distinct from Israel and Judah .

At this point begins the story of Joseph and his being sold into slavery by his own brothers. Joseph subsequently became a great man in Egypt, counselor to the Pharoah. Due to a great famine in the land, peoples from the entire area were forced to go to Egypt to buy food. Joseph's ability to interpret a dream Pharoah had a long time before led to Egypt's anticipation of a seven-year drought. Egypt thus spent seven years storing up grain for Joseph's prophesied seven year's drought, which arrived on schedule. When Joseph's brothers finally came down to Egypt in search of food, he sent them back to his father to tell him to pack up his family and possessions and move down to Egypt. This Israel did; and this was the beginning of the Israelites' long stay in Egypt.

Just before Israel's death, Israel adopted as his own Joseph's two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh, thus giving him a total of thirteen sons and one daughter, fourteen children in all. He then blessed each son and prophesied concerning each one's fate in the last days . (Many Bible scholars have concluded that we are now in the last days, and in the chapter on the Beast of Revelation, it will be shown that we, in fact, are living in the time of the last empire before the second coming of Christ.) Reuben, Simeon, and Levi are to have negative fates, the language used regarding the latter two being *instruments of cruelty are in thy habitations* . This does not necessarily mean that they themselves will be the cruel ones. The cruelty is "*in thy habitations,* " which could mean that acts of cruelty would take place in their territory, not necessarily by the Simeonites exclusively. Just ahead, it will be argued that Reuben would later be represented as France, and Simeon would become part of Germany, including Bavaria and Saxony. The aspects of cruelty are probably references to the wars of the Reformation, WWI and WWII, respectively. During these conflicts, it was war between kindred peoples, brother against bother, with much cruelty by all of the participants.

Some British researchers have tried to list Germany among the Japhethites or even Hamites because of the strife between these two nations. But this is incorrect. The German people are most definitely Israelites. Indeed, many German provinces are named after their Saxon heritage. If only because of Martin Luther and the Reformation, Germany must be considered one of the leading Christian Israelite nations. Germany has always been an

intellectual, scientific, and artistic center for Israel. Also, in the Old Testament times, it was not uncommon for different tribes to fight amongst themselves. The tribe of Benjamin was almost annihilated by his brethren. So, there is nothing new under the sun?

There is also confusion about Ephraim and Manasseh. For now, it is enough to say that both Israel and Joseph died in Egypt; but Israel asked to be buried in the place of his forefathers while Joseph was buried in Egypt. Note the symbolism here. Israel goes back to the "old country" while Joseph (Ephraim and Manasseh) decides to remain where he is. Neither do modern Ephraim (America) and Manasseh (Canada) have any desire to return to Israel (Palestine) or to Europe.

Genesis, Chapter 49, contains the story of Jacob's prophecies concerning his twelve sons and how their descendants would fare in the last days. Since these are specific prophecies for each tribe and because they differentiate Israel from Jewry, these prophecies should also be considered as Marks of Israel.

"*Reuben, thou art my firstborn, my might, and the beginning of my strength, the excellency of dignity, and the excellency of power: Unstable as water, thou shalt not excel; because thou wentest up to thy father's bed; then defiledst thou it: he went up to my couch* ." – Vs. 3,4.

In my opinion, Jacob is describing the nation of France. France, the nation most known for its aristocracy ("excellency of dignity") and art, is the most likely candidate for this prophecy. France has also been known for its sexual excesses: the notorious French maid, French mistress, French kissing, etc.

"Simeon & Levi are brethren; instruments of cruelty are in thy habitations ." -- Vs. 5.

Levi was not given its own inheritance because it was a priestly tribe which was to serve the other eleven. For this reason, the Levites were not given any land of their own (Josh. 13:14).

"Cursed be their anger, for it was fierce; and their wrath, for it was cruel: I will divide them in Jacob and scatter them in Israel ." – Vs. 7.

The territory of Simeon was eventually divided between two locations: 1.) In the south, where they were surrounded by the tribe of Judah (Josh. 19:1.); and 2.) In the north, where many Simeonites joined with the ten northern tribes in the rebellion against Judah (1 Ki. 11:31). Thus was the prophecy of the scattering of these two tribes among the rest of the Israelites fulfilled.

The Germans consist mainly of the tribes of Judah, Simeon and Levi. The German name, Ludwig, is the Germanic form of the Hebrew Levi. Also the Germans have been the tribe most scattered into the other Israelite nations. At least 40 million ethnic Germans live in America. The Germans were scattered into Poland, Austria, Hungary, Russia, France, Switzerland and Italy. Hitler tried to reclaim much of this territory for Germany. Many Germans are also of the stock of Judah, especially those who settled in Jutland .

"*Judah, thou art he whom thy brethren shall praise: thy hand shall be in the neck of thine enemies; thy father's children shall bow down before thee* ." – Vs. 8.

One of the tribes that settled in Europe was the Jutes. These were the German-speaking members of the Tribe of Judah. As will be explained in Chapter 9, the throne of Judah was transferred to Great Britain. As with all of the Israelite nations, the throne of Great Britain is of Judah. "*The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come; and to him shall the gathering of the people be* ." This is a very interesting prophecy because Great Britain was the original Christian Church. Ancient British legends say it was founded by Joseph of Arimathea, the uncle of Jesus and a tin merchant who had operated mines in the British Isles. Also, the Apostle Peter is said to have founded a church; and Mary and Joseph are said to have settled in Britain to live out their last days with their kin, Joseph of Arimathea.

The *lawgivers* are Alfred the Great, Queen Elizabeth, the Magna Carta, and the Declaration of Scottish Independence, among others. British Common Law is based on the Old Testament. As is true of European royalty, including the Czars of Russia, the British throne is the genealogical continuation of the House of Judah, through David, therefore, the sceptre still resides with Judah. Judah will be a lawgiver until Shiloh comes. Britain is now in a state of decline, struggling for its independence under the onslaught of the European Union, another Rothschild internationalist scheme. Shiloh is the name given to Jesus Christ at his Second Coming.

Come quickly, King Jesus.

It is part of the British Israel doctrine that the Jews are part of the Tribe of Judah. But this cannot be true, because the Jews only pretend to be of Judah. The reality is that the Jews of Judea were Edomites, not Judahites; and modern Jewry consists of 90 – 95% Ashkenzi Khazars, who do not have one drop of Judahite blood in them; so, the BI claim is ridculous. The reason why many British Israelites have made this mistake is because some

ministers, such as Herbert W. Armstrong, have taught that the Jews are Judah. But this is a horrible mistake which was made by accepting the Jewish-Edomite claim without scrutiny. *The Jews have never possessed the sceptre of Judah.* Their last kings were the Idumeans, Herod Agrippa I and Herod Agrippa II. Herod Agrippa I died in 44 A.D. Herod Agrippa II's contested reign did not include all of Judea, but he reigned during the Masada uprising and he saw the destruction of the Temple is 70 A.D. The Jews have not had a king since, except for the money-king Rothschild; and they have never had a Judahite king.

Can the Jews possibly qualify as lawgivers? What laws have the Jews given to the world? They have only their own law, the Talmud, something which they keep secret from the rest of the world . No one else follows *their* law, Judeo-Christians mistakenly believing that Talmudic Judaism is the same as the Mosaic Law. *How many Jewish missionaries have you ever seen running around the countryside quoting from the Bible? Nor do they quote from their Talmud.* If they did, the goyim would tear them to pieces for their insults. This is why no one knows anything about *their* law. Also, their "lawbook," the Talmud, was not translated into English until the mid-1930's, so virtually no one was aware of their law, except scholars who knew Hebrew .

"*Zebulun shall dwell at the haven of the sea; and he shall be for an haven of ships; and his border shall be unto Zidon."* – 13.

Zebulun is Holland. See the book *Strange Parallels* for documentation of this fact.

"Issachar is a strong ass couching down between two burdens..."

– Issachar is Finland. During the first two world wars, Finland struggled to maintain its independence from both Russia and Germany. It succeeded. Finland is the only European nation to successfully defeat the Soviet army without allied help.

We must include Sweden and Norway as his cousins.

"And Dan shall judge his people as one of the tribes of Israel. Dan shall be a serpent by the way, an adder in the path, that biteth the horse heels, so that his rider shall fall backward." Vs. 16, 17.

Although the tribe of Dan named numerous rivers after themselves and left countless monuments along those rivers, they still have a penchant for wanting to be the first ones to "get there," wherever "there" might be. I have heard tourists from other countries complain about this Danish urge. Being the first ones to get there means that they are the most qualified to scout the area and set up an ambush. In essence, the Tribe of Dan acted as the scouts for the other tribes, leading the way into the wilderness of Europe.

Also, it occurred to me that the expression, "*a serpent by the way* ," could represent an eagle’s eye view (the Tribe of Dan is represented by an eagle in heraldry) of Dan’s ships traveling up and down the meandering, serpentine rivers of Europe.

Belgium is a close cousin to the Tribe of Dan.

"Gad, a troop shall overcome him: but he shall overcome at the end ." – Vs. 19.

Despite interbreeding with the Moors and the Marranos (Jews who pretended to be Christians), there are still many pure-blooded Israelites left in Spain. Spain has numerous place-names related to Gad. The troop that overcame him was probably Franco, but the alternative was communism. Gad survived both.

"*Out of Asher, his bread shall be fat, and he shall yield royal dainties* ." – Vs. 20. Asher is Austria. Austrian pastry and delicacies are world-renowned. Such delicacies as ice cream, the croissant, and even margarine were invented by Austrians. Sorry, the Egyptians get the credit for inventing beer. The royal dainties must certainly refer to Hapsburg wealth and elegant architecture and art, including the waltz.

"Naphtali is a hind let loose: he giveth goodly words ." – Vs. 21.

Italian opera and literature are also world-famous. Today, although southern Italy is heavily mixed with Arabian and African blood, northern Italy is quite Germanic in appearance and even language, as is Switzerland. The name, Napoli (Naples), cries for philological comparison.

Joseph and Benjamin require special treatment, although the complete story cannot be told in this volume because there is too much to tell. Let us deal with Benjamin first:

"Benjamin shall ravin as a wolf: in the morning he shall devour the prey, and at night he shall divide the spoil ." – Vs. 27.

Benjamin was given to the Tribe of Judah as a companion. In fact, all the Apostles, except Judas, were of the tribe of Benjamin. The Benjamites lived in Galilee where Jesus spent most of his ministry. In the morning of the Messiah, Benjamin devoured the heathen by leading the charge of Christianity, spreading it, with Paul, to Asia Minor and mainland Europe. Thus Benjamin can be said to have conquered Roman paganism. The "night" must refer to the time after this glorious success, when many Benjamites settled in Iceland, still near Judah-Britain but far enough away to avoid Judah's current political problems.

Also, Russia must be considered a Benjamite country, as it is named after Benjamin's son, Rosh. (Gen. 46:21.)

Joseph is a very special case, because Joseph was replaced by his two sons, the adoptees of Jacob/Israel, Ephraim and Manasseh. British Israelites teach that Ephraim is Britain and Manasseh is America. But Britain began as descendants of Zarah-Judah (Zarah was the "Prince of the Scarlet Thread," which will be discussed in a later chapter), first in Ireland and then in Scotland. British Israel also teaches that the Jews are the tribe of Judah. Herbert W. Armstrong also taught this. <u>This is an absolutely false teaching</u> . This is a horrible mistake which was made by accepting Jewish claims without scrutiny.

But this prophecy concerning Joseph must apply to both Ephraim and Manasseh, since they were adopted by Jacob/Israel as his own, in place of Joseph:

"Joseph is a fruitful bough, even a fruitful bough by a well; whose branches run over the wall ..." – Vs. 22.

Both the United States of America and the Dominion of Canada have been the most fruitful nations on the face of the earth, where modern agriculture has produced the greatest harvests ever recorded. They both live by a well. The well is the five Great Lakes, shared by both nations except for Lake Michigan, which together comprise one-fifth of the world's fresh water supply. Aren't we envious? A well, indeed!

Of course, Britain still has a large population of Ephraim and Manasseh, as well as Judah, from the patriarch Zarah, whose descendants migrated from Egypt to the British Isles well before the Exodus of the Twelve Tribes from Egypt. This episode of our history will be covered herein in great detail.

I would say that the best way to characterize Ephraim and Manasseh is that they comprise the English-speaking Israelites of the world, including Britain, America, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, with South Africa and Rhodesia counting as well. With Britain, Canada and America being the main trunk of the tree, their "branches" are the other English-speaking nations founded by Joseph. This, of course, is a reference to the spreading of the genealogical tree, which reached "over the wall," to various parts of the world.

"The archers have sorely grieved him and shot at him, and hated him: But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty God of Jacob; (from thence is the shepherd, the stone of Israel): Even by the God of thy father, who shall help thee; and by the Almighty, who shall bless thee with blessings of heaven above, blessings of the deep that lieth under, blessings of the breasts, and of the womb " – Vs. 24, 25.

Indeed, America and Canada have shared the longest unguarded border between any two nations. The archers must be the Roman Catholic and Protestant enemies of Joseph, for they drove him across the sea, far from his European brethren. Just as they were born to the west of the original brothers (in Egypt), they fled even further west at the end of days, on this side of the Atlantic. America has developed the world's mightiest army and technological civilization. It has no equal. Given the fruits of the New World, America and Canada have been blessed like no other nations on the face of the earth.

At the same time, Britain, America and Canada have been, unlike the Jews, the missionaries to the world . Germany has also evangelized the world. The Bible has been spread across the globe, after the European conversion, primarily in the English language, by Britain, America and Canada, the Houses of Judah and Joseph. Although the faith of our fathers is rapidly declining all over the world, it is safe to say that America and Canada still have the most active and faithful Christians. The North has had its Steel Belt turn into the Rust Belt, but the Bible Belt is still alive and well, even though they have become duped Judeo-Christians. Evangelization is the by-word.

There's more:

"The blessings of thy father have prevailed above the blessings of my progenitors unto the utmost bound of the everlasting hills: they shall be on the head of Joseph, and on the crown of the head of him that was separate from his brethren ." – Vs. 26.

Britain was separated from the mainland tribes, because its home is an island. And America and Canada are across the Atlantic Ocean.

Of course, it is not possible to say that all of the members of a particular tribe settled in a particular Caucasian country, but it is possible to say that

particular countries were founded by a majority of a particular tribe. Holland today is still a majority of Zebulun and Denmark has a majority of Dan. Go back and read Chapter 49 and make note of these prophecies. They are part of the key to understanding that the Jews are not Israel, as the Jewish people have not fulfilled any of these prophecies.

At this point, the Bible picks up with the story of Moses and the wanderings of the tribes of Israel. Their trials and tribulations as a unified nation continue until the Book of Judges, where the first breach is made, with all the other tribes uniting against Benjamin in a civil war. Previous to this, at the end of the Book of Numbers, a separation of sorts is hinted at, where the Lord states that each tribe is to have a specific inheritance:

. . . and their inheritance remained in the tribe of the family of their father . (Num. 36:12.)

Contrary to the traditions of the Israelites, we must begin to wonder why and when the Jews decided, in opposition to this command of the Lord, to adopt a **matriarchal basis** for verification of descent as opposed to the usual and Scriptural **patriarchal** verification of descent . It was because of patriarchal descent that circumcision was required of the Israelite men, so that they could easily be distinguished from surrounding tribes, many of which were very white, but not Israelite.

As will be shown in the discussions of Talmudism throughout this text, the Jewish religion is not the same religion as the one decreed by Moses. Consequently, Judaism contains countless traditions which are not found in the Bible. All of this extra-Biblical stuff is evil, because it is made up by corrupt priests and not ordained by God. Jesus himself says on numerous occasions, especially in the four Gospels. A comparison of the Mosaic Law and the Talmud will be given in Chapter 12 in the section on Jewish myths.

In addition, we are forced to consider the question of how the individual destinies of the tribes of Israel are to be determined via the exploits of historical Judaism. The fact is that these destinies cannot be demonstrated to be the same because, as I have said, the Jews are not Israel. The only possible connection the Sephardic Jews can have with any of the tribes is through their historical involvement with the post-Captivity (Babylonian) remnant of the tribes of Judah, Benjamin, and Levi . Thus, it can be stated categorically that the Sephardic - and only the Sephardic - branch of modern Jewry has any possible claim to Israelite heritage and blood; and even this is remote because of subsequent intermarriage with other, primarily Canaanite, groups through the past two millennia. For the documentation of this relationship, we must consider, first, the breach between Israel and Judah resulting in two companies of nations and, second, the captivity of both companies of nations.

Chapter 7

Israel And Judah Unto Captivity

Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy, and Joshua continue the saga of the Israelites as a unified group. But in Judges, the first breach occurs. Judges 19, 20, 21, record the moral decay of the tribe of Benjamin, especially among the sons of one Belial. Feuding between Benjamin and the other tribes started up over the gang rape and murder of the concubine of a Levite. This erupted into a full scale war which ended with Benjamin's defeat at the hands of the other tribes united. Judges 20:47 records that only 600 men of Benjamin were left ...and no women or children - such was the vengeance against Benjamin.

The leaders of the unified tribes, feeling themselves obligated to continue the inheritance of Benjamin, procured for the 600 Benjamite men 400 Jabesh-gilead virgins, the Gileadites being a branch of Manasseh. This deed was accomplished with the utmost cruelty: by slaughtering all the Jabesh-gileadites - men, women, and children - except for the 400 virgins.

Although the line of descent is preserved down to this point of the Bible story of Israel, there is nevertheless a distinct deterioration of the moral sense in the tribes of Israel.

Ruth, I Samuel, and II Samuel tell us the story of Saul and David. Ruth, an Israelite living in Moab (among the descendants of Lot), and Boaz, a Judahite, begat Obed who begat Jesse, who begat David. So, we see that the line of descent has been documented and preserved from Adam down to David .

Saul became the first king of Israel when the Israelites began clamoring for a king. The people had become tired of being ruled by a succession of bickering Mosaic judges. Real leadership was desired. Saul, who was hand-picked by the prophet, Samuel, filled the bill. I Samuel 18:16 records one of the last unified moments of Israel and Judah:

But all Israel and Judah loved David, because he went out and came in before them.

Having slain Goliath, David became a national hero.

Saul, being jealous of David's popularity, sought to kill him, but David had an army of his own that was loyal to him. The Philistines, in spite of the slaying of Goliath, continued their warfare with Israel, engaging Saul in the north while David engaged the Amelekites in the south. This was to be Saul's last battle. After his sons were slain, he committed suicide rather than be captured and tortured by the Philistines.

Upon hearing of Saul's death, the men of Judah declared David king, and shortly after, the northern tribes of Israel selected Ishbosheth, another son of Saul; and there was war between the House of David and the House of Saul (II Samuel 3:6).

This is the first instance of differentiation between a House of David and a House of Saul. In the Bible, the House of Israel, as distinct from the House of Judah, is referred to variously as the House of Ephraim, Isaac, Joseph, Samaria, and Aholah.

After the murder of Ishbosheth by a band of Benajmites, the two houses reunited under David. Then, the Lord spoke through Nathan this prophecy:

And when the days be fulfilled, and thou shalt sleep with thy fathers, I will set up thy seed after thee, which shall proceed out of thy bowels, and I will establish his kingdom. He shall build an house for my name, and I will stablish the throne of his kingdom forever . (7:12,13).

Note the word, forever. Elsewhere, the expression is until there is no more moon. We certainly have not reached that time yet.

Thus with this establishment of the throne of David, there is to be an occupant of the throne from that day forward. Yet, virtually no one knows of this perpetual throne. It certainly doesn't exist among the Jews, who neither know of it nor claim it. (Bad oversight on their part!) Either God or the Jews are lying to us! The evidence suggests, however, that the perpetual Throne of David did indeed survive and exists even today among the related families of monarchic Europe. In the next chapter, we will see how, after both Houses were taken into captivity, the perpetual throne survived among the three surviving Houses, which include the Lost Tribes (House of Israel), the House of Judah, and the House of David.

After reigning over united Israel and Judah for 33 years (symbolic of Christ's reign or physical presence on earth), David was succeeded by Solomon.

In the book, **End Time Revelation** , by William F. Fowler, there is this description of the most important events: Solomon

". . . fell into sin," "

causing the people of the thirteen tribes to sin also, and because of their sin, God caused the kingdom to be divided into two kingdoms (I Kings 11:29-36); the ten tribes under the leadership of Jeroboam formed the Northern Kingdom of Israel with Samaria as its capital; and Jeroboam as its king.

The other two tribes of Judah and Benjamin, with most of the tribe of Levi, formed what was known as the Southern Kingdom of Judah, with their capital located at Jerusalem, and Rehoboam as its king. (I Kings 12:16-20). Although Judah had received a large part of the tribe of Levi, she was still referred to as the two-tribed kingdom. The tribe of Levi, having been removed from having an inheritance with Israel (Deut. 18:1-2), was portioned among all the tribes for priestly duties. This did not remove Levi from being a distinct tribe, only from being numbered among the tribes.

I Kings picks up with the story of Solomon, who was king over all of Israel (4:1). Solomon ruled over Israel's greatest empire of the Old Testament, his territory running from the Euphrates River to the Egyptian border. Solomon was on good terms with the Pharaoh, for he married one of his daughters. His kingdom was rich and powerful, and he even had a navy to go along with his riches.

But, apparently, all this material wealth and luxury went to his head, for he fell into a state of depravity, as recorded in Chapter 11:

But king Solomon loved many strange women, together with the daughter of Pharoah, women of the Moabites, Ammonites, Edomites, Zidonians, and Hittites; Of the nations concerning which the Lord said unto the children of Israel, Ye shall not go in to them, neither shall they come in unto you : for surely they will turn away your heart after their gods: Solomon clave unto these in love. (V. 1,2.)

Solomon, after loving strange women began worshipping their strange gods as well.

Solomon had a total of 700 wives and 300 concubines. Out of this collection, one direct Semitic heir came upon the throne, Rehoboam. But before his death, Solomon appointed Jeroboam, *a mighty man of valor* , over the House of Joseph (Israel). Then, the prophet Ahijah prophesied to Jeroboam in the field, taking his garment and tearing it into twelve pieces,

And he said to Jeroboam, Take thee ten pieces: for thus saith the Lord, the God of Israel, Behold, I will rend the kingdom out of the hand of Solomon, and will give ten tribes to thee: But he shall have one tribe for my servant

David's sake, and for Jerusalem's sake, the city which I have chosen out of all the tribes of Israel : (v. 31,32).

Solomon, however, did not like this idea and sought to kill Jeroboam who then fled to Egypt for sanctuary.

Upon Solomon's death, Rehoboam was proclaimed king over all Israel. Jeroboam then returned from Egypt and laid claim to the ten northern tribes according to Ahijah's prophecy. Rehoboam, in his refusal to lighten the load of the northern tribes (apparently one of high taxation and government projects), alienated the northern tribes who thus selected Jeroboam as their king. Chapter 12 records the fateful day of the final split between the two Houses of Israel and Judah.

The House of Israel made the breach final:

. . . What portion have we in David? neither have we inheritance in the son of Jesse: to your tents, O Israel: now see to thine own house, David. So Israel departed unto their tents. .

The tribes of Judah and Benjamin and some Levites then united together under Rehoboam who organized an army to try to force the House of Israel to submit to him, but the prophet Shemaiah counseled against this and persuaded Rehoboam to leave them alone.

From this point on, we have the House of Israel and the House of Judah with separate destinies and totally separate experiences, yet both totally separate from the destiny of the Jews. Judah was destined to remain in Palestine a while longer and was, ultimately, to be further divided into two Houses; but Israel was first to be taken into captivity by Assyria.

The reign of Solomon was unique in that he was such a faithful Israelite until he developed a sexual obsession. In this obsession, he degenerated quickly, and as God warns us over and over again, when we co-habit with non-Israelites, we turn away from Him and start practicing paganism or versions of pseudo-Chrsitianity.

Solomon's obsession can be read as a sort of prophecy for our own time, in which we see race-mixing at record levels, especially in America's cities. This race-mixing is obviously prompted by the Beast of the New World Order just so that he can destroy the White Race. It is evident that only Whites are blind to this reality. Very few of the other races have an ideological belief that race-mixing will cure the world's ills. Blacks marry Whites for purely economic and sexual reasons.

America's obsession with pornography parallels Solomon's sexual obsession with women of other races. This obsession has reached staggering proportions as virtually every Hollywood movie contains nudity and vulgarity; and the porn industry seems to be booming. The Jews of Hollywood and the porn industry are doing their best to make all kinds of perversion, pedophilia, homosexuality, and even bestiality, part of our daily fare.

In light of the fact that Solomon did develop this obsession, it might explain the sensuous prose of the Song of Solomon. Of all the books of the Bible, the Song of Solomon has very little moral, historical or spiritual content. Rather, it reads like a Harlequin romance, with Solomon extolling the virtues of his lover's (lovers'?) physical attributes.

Consider this sample:

"Behold, thou art fair [meaning white-skinnned], *my love ... thou hast dove's eyes within they locks: thy hair is as a flock of goats, that appear from the mount of Gilead. They teeth are like a flock of sheep that are even shorn, which came up from the washing; whereof every one bare twins, and none is barren among them. Thy lips are like a thread of scarlet, and thy*

speech is comely…Thy two breasts are like two young roes that are twins, which feed among the lilies…Thou hast ravished my heart, my sister, my spouse…How fair is thy love, my sister, my spouse! How much better is thy love than wine!...Thy lips, O my spouse, drop as the honeycomb: Honey and milk are under thy tongue; and the smell of thy garments is like the smell of Lebanon." Song of Solomon 4:1-11.

Is this the Bible or Shelley and Keats? Stuck between Ecclesiastes and Isaiah is this strange little book full of sensuous prose! Is this Yahweh's love for His "spouse," Israel? Or is this merely an example of how Solomon became lost in sensuality?

Returning to our main theme, I Chronicles and II Chronicles retell the story of I Kings and II Kings but more from the point of view of the scribes of the House of Judah. The lines of descent of the two houses form a crucial part of the subsequent story of the descendants of Shem.

The next most important events, for our purposes, are the taking into captivity of the Houses. Each House will fulfill specific aspects of prophecy. The fact that all three houses have survived into modern times and now thrive as never before makes the case of the promises and marks of Israel irrefutable. Obviously, the Jews have absolutely no tradition of descent through these houses.

So far, we have had three kings of the united Houses of Isaac: Israel and Judah. These are Saul, David, and Solomon.

Here follows the king list (and line of descent) of the two Houses of Isaac:

The Two Houses of Isaac

House of Israel	House of Judah
Jeroboam	Rehoboam
Nadab	Abijah
Baasha	Asa
Ela	Jehosaphat
Zimri	Jehoram
Omri	Ahaziah
Ahab	Athalia
Ahaziah	Jehoash (Joash)
Joram	Amaziah
Jehu	Uzziah
Jehoahaz	Jotham
Joash (Jehoash)	Ahaz
Jeroboam II	Hezekiah
Zechariah	Manasseh
Shallum	Amon
Menahem	Josiah
Pekahiah	Jehoahaz
Pekah	Jehoiakim
Hoshea	Jehoiachin
-	Zedekiah
(Fall of Samaria in 721 B.C. with most of the House of Israel taken into captivity by the Assyrians)	(The fall of Jerusalem in 587-586 B.C.)

The Assyrians also invaded Judah under Sennacherib in 701 BC, taking the fenced cities of Judah (Isa. 36:11), with the intent of deporting all of Judah as well (Isa. 36:17). But the city of Jerusalem held against Sennacherib’s assault. Thus, the vast majority of the tribes of Judah and Benjamin were

also taken captive by the Assyrians, leaving the remainder of the House of Judah to be taken into captivity by the Babylonians.)

Chapter 8

The Three Houses Of Destiny

Part One: The House of Israel is Lost

I say then, Hath God cast away his people? God forbid. For I also am an Israelite, of the seed of Abraham, of the tribe of Benjamin . - Paul in Romans 11:1.

One of the most fascinating sagas of history is the story of the Lost Tribes of Israel. The fact that they were lost in the earth and had lost the knowledge that they are descended from Israel is a fact of relatively recent history. Even the Pilgrims who landed on Plymouth Rock (1620 A.D.) knew that they were the descendants of Israel, calling themselves the vine of Israel. Their preachers taught it from the pulpits and there was no question about it in anyone's mind. (See Pastor Sheldon Emery's booklet on *Thanksgiving* .)

(The reason why this knowledge had been forgotten by 1900 is most likely due to the secularization of the society (the Great Apostasy) from about 1844 on. The year 1844 saw the failure of a popular movement, which had predicted the Second Coming of Jesus in America. The failure of this movement led to much disillusionment. 1844 was also the year in which Great Britain passed the Bank Charter Act, thus empowering the Bank of England and giving the Rothschilds complete control of the economic

affairs of that empire. Also, through Great Britain, the Jews influenced the Ottoman Empire to issue a decree of religious tolerance. The international Jew had been previously frozen out of that huge market because of Muslim "intolerance.")

Returning to the history of the three Houses, our narrative leaves the Jews behind and establishes that the first House of Destiny, Israel, went on to fulfill many of the promises made to Jacob (Israel) and his seed.

Our story begins with the last king of the House of Israel who reigned in Samaria. His name was Hosea (or Hoshea). At that time, the empire of Assyria was reaching its height under the rulership of Shalmanezer.

Orthodox theology and history tend to dismiss the case of the Ten Lost Tribes as an unsolvable mystery, the verdict being that these ten tribes somehow disappeared from the face of the earth, with no further record of their exploits.

John Challenor, in a booklet entitled *Jeremiah* , asserts the following regarding our subject:

The Assyrians invaded Israel, took the capital, Samaria, in 721, and deported most of the population to Nineveh. The Assyrians replaced them with colonists - and it was the descendants of these gentile colonists, who had mixed with the residual Israelite population, who were the hated 'Samaritans' of the gospel period. The 'lost ten tribes' of Israel never returned from their exile. <u>They intermarried in the east, and ceased to exist as a distinct people</u> . (p.7).

Although there must have been some intermarrying with other people (language barriers posing a certain barrier between host and captive nations), there is no evidence either cited by Challenor nor available anywhere to suggest that the deported Israelites ceased to exist as a distinct

people. Such a statement can be made only out of a lack of investigation, out of repetition of unexamined previous assertions, or out of deceit.

One interesting statement Challenor makes which is worthy of noting is that -

The people of the northern kingdom of Israel never returned from their exile - her identity was lost . (p. 13.)

Note that this is one of the marks of Israel which will be adduced as proof that the Lost Tribes are part of Israel and not the Jews.

The Jewish historian, Harry Gersch, in his textbook entitled **The Story of the Jew** , tells a similar story. Referring to both Houses as vassals of various other empires at that time, Gersch states on page 25,

The vassalage of the northern kingdom ended in 721 B.C.E. [meaning Before the Common Era; note the insistence of the Jews not to use the name or even initial of Christ!] *when the Assyrians sacked the Israelite capital, Samaria, after a three year siege. Sargon, king of Assyria, ordered the leaders of the nation carried off. Thirty thousand warriors, priests, government officials, and merchants were taken from Samaria alone. Without leaders and men of learning, the nation ceased to exist. The tribes that formed Israel disappeared and are remembered today as the Ten Lost Tribes of Israel* .

Gersch fails to mention anything of the colonization of Samaria by other peoples and conveys the impression that the Samaritan nation of Israelites died out because its leadership had been carried off. On the contrary, virtually the entire nation of Israel, its leadership and most of its people, were carried off. The nation was not deprived of its leadership at all. They were deported together. Gersch goes on to mention, in passing, that the Anglo-Saxon English claim descent from these very same Lost Tribes but drops the matter without further comment. To emphasize the fact that the House of Israel is not simply extinguished and vanished forever, it is worth quoting Malachi 3:6. God says to Israel,

I am the Lord, I change not, therefore ye sons of Jacob are ***not*** *consumed* .

Of the remaining books of the Old Testament (we left off mentioning I and II Chronicles), only a few provide information relevant to this historical narrative, although there are scattered references to captive Israel hidden away in both the Old and New Testaments. These are Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and Daniel. The other books are chiefly moral, prophetic, and inspirational works which do not deal very appreciably, if at all, with the historical exploits of the Houses of Israel.

These books will provide the bulk of our information from this point on. Since the House of Israel virtually disappears from the pages of the Old Testament (except for numerous prophecies regarding it) at the time of deportation, we must turn to other historical records in order to trace their migrations from Samaria to the present. Although the House of Israel is no longer the main subject of the remaining books of the Old Testament, there are numerous references to the tribes and groups of Israelites outside of Judea in both the Old and New Testaments.

II Kings, Chapter 15 records the first deportation of a portion of the northern kingdom by Tiglath-pileser, king of Assyria. I Chronicles, 5:26 is

more precise, stating that carried away were the tribes of Reuben, Gad and half of Manasseh. They were deported to *Halah, Habor, and Hara, and to the river Gozan....*

II Kings, Chapter 17 records the second invasion and taking of Samaritan people.

In the ninth year of Hoshea the king of Assyria [Shalmaneser] took Samaria and carried Israel away into Assyria, and placed them in Halah and Habor by the River of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes . (v. 6.

Nineveh, Habor, and Halah were all to the north and east of Samaria and also north and somewhat east of Babylon, the city to which the remainder of the House of Judah was carried at a later date.

An important fact not to be overlooked is the fact that a portion of the House of Judah was also taken captive and deported by Assyria. II Kings, Chapter 18 records

Now in the fourteenth year of king Hezekiah did Sennacherib king of Assyria come up against the fenced cities of Judah, and took them . (v.13.)

But Sennacherib was not able to capture Jerusalem itself. Hezekiah was able to hold up against the siege and a sizeable portion of Judah was saved. Consequently, we have Biblical evidence showing that <u>members of all thirteen tribes were taken into captivity by the Assyrians</u> .

According to an archeological document known as the Taylor Prism which now resides in London's British Museum, Sennacherib left this account of his adventure in Judea:

As for Hezekiah, the Jew [sic, Judahite] *who did not submit to my yoke, forty-eight of his strong walled cities, as well as the small cities of their neighborhood...by escalade and bringing up siege engines, by attacking and storming on foot, by mines, tunnels, and breaches, I took 200,150 people, great and small....* - quoted from a booklet entitled ***Israel, Judah, and the Jew*** by Lauritz Larson, p. 4.

It is left for us to assume that of these two hundred thousand deportees, there were members of the tribes of Judah, Benjamin, and Levi. Since the tribe of Levi was earlier split between the Houses of Israel and Judah, some Levites were already in exile. Whatever the proportion of these three tribes taken by Sennacherib, they were added to those already captured, although there is no information available to us as to whether they were deported to the same area as the House of Israel.

(One comment to be made here is that whoever translated the phrase, *Hezekiah the Jew* , was working on the assumption of the identity of the word Jew with the word Judahite. This cannot be assumed because - especially in the case of translations from Greek-language documents - Jew most often is used to translate from Ioudaios, which means Judean, not Judahite. Judea is the name of the land which was inhabited by Judah, and as such, Judea was inhabited by non-Judahites just as Germany is today inhabited by non-Germans. This distinction must be kept in mind for total accuracy with regard to who is a Jew, Judahite, or Judean. Failure to distinguish among these three exclusively different meanings has led to tremendous confusion when using the word ‘Jew’.)

Another translation, in **Halley's Bible Handbook** , p. 226, reads *Hezekiah, king of Judah* . What's in a word, you ask?

Mark: Of this outcast group of Hebrews, the Lord says in Hosea 2:17, **And they shall no more be remembered by their name** [Israel]. The Bible is very clear on this account. Malachi, Isaiah, Ezekiel, Micah, Hosea (1:10) and the other prophets provide abundant prophetic Scriptural evidence that the House of Israel will survive and that it will be known by another name . No one can therefore assert that this House died out. **Either God is lying or they are** . They did, however, become lost, just as everyone should know. Although the Books of Esdras were not included in the Canon of the Roman Church (Council of Nicea, 325 A.D.), there is a very interesting passage in these Israelite books. II Esdras 13:40-45 states,

Those are the ten tribes, which were carried away prisoners out of their own land in the time of Osea [Hosea] *the king, whom Salmanasar the king of Assyria led away captive, and he carried them over the waters, and so they came into another land .*

(The waters referred to here must be both the Tigris and the Euphrates, with Media being on the east of the Tigris and the city of Nineveh being located on the eastern shore of the Tigris.)

Continuing with II Esdras:

But they took this counsel among themselves, that they would leave the multitude of the heathen, and go forth into a further country, where never mankind dwelt, that they might there keep their statutes, which they never kept in their own land. And they entered into Euphrates by the narrow passages of the river. For the most High then shewed signs for them, and

held still the flood, till they were passed over. For through that country there was a great way to go, namely, of a year and a half: and the same region is called Arsareth .

William J. Cameron, in his book, **The Covenant People** , has this to say about this passage in II Esdras:

If Arsareth can be identified as in the region of the River Sereth [a tributary of the River Danube in present-day Romania], *then Israel had made its way to the west side of the Black Sea* [between the Caucasus Mountains and the Black Sea and then through the Crimea]. *The route that Israel would have taken is not an impossible one. Two centuries later Zenophon led the Retreat of the Ten Thousand in the same general direction of Israel's escape across the upper reaches of the Euphrates. In fact, Zenophon, like Israel, as Esdras tells us, found the waters at those upper parts easy to cross* .

In conformity with the idea that Israel survived its captivity, Hosea 1:10 states,

Yet the number of the children of Israel shall be as the sand of the sea, which cannot be measured or numbered; and it shall come to pass, that in the place where it was said unto them, Ye are not my people, there it shall be said unto them, Ye are the sons of the living God .

It appears that those who claim that the Lost Ten Tribes disappeared into oblivion haven’t been reading their Bibles.

So, in spite of the fact that Israel is exiled on the condition of her having sinned by worshipping other gods and marrying other peoples, the unconditional promises , such as becoming a great multitude and becoming a nation and a company of nations, will yet be fulfilled. As already stated, these promises could not have been fulfilled by the Jews because they never had such an experience. Even today, they lay claim to only one nation, that called Israel; and, comparatively, they cannot lay claim to the promise of becoming an innumerable multitude. The true descendants of the Ten Tribes, the Caucasian Whites of Europe and America, are the only people who can be so described.

Regarding this period of Israel's history, Brian Williams, in a little booklet entitled *What Happened To the Lost Tribes* ?, says

If anyone is disinclined to believe the Word of God, let him go to any library and consult any standard work of reference, any book on the history of the peoples of the east, and let him read what happened in the eighth century B.C. Any and every history book you care to examine will tell you that between 735 and 670 B.C., millions of Israelites were carried captive by the Assyrians, and every one of those history books will tell you that nobody to this day knows what happened to all those Israelites .

Strange, isn't it, that orthodox history books don't care or dare to investigate the numerous extra-Biblical accounts of the migrations of Israel! But, I suppose, if orthodox historians and theologians had bothered to trace the wanderings of the Lost Tribes, the prophecy of their becoming lost and losing their identity might never have been fulfilled!

One of the reasons that the Lost Tribes' identity as Israel was forgotten was that the Assyrians knew them and called them by another name. In those

days it was still a common practice to call a people by the name of a famous monarch. The monarch in question was **Omri** , king of Samaria, of the House of Israel. The Bible account of King Omri's reign is sketchy. I Kings 16 devotes only half a chapter to him, telling us that Omri contended with Tibni for the throne and that Omri won. He is referred to as a mighty king, but any details of this might are not to be found in the Bible. I Chronicles is even briefer, giving only lines of descent without commentary.

W.E. Filmer, in a little tract entitled *The Lost Tribes Found In Assyrian Archives* , referring to the time of Israel's deportation, has this to say about Omri:

Now Israel had long been known to the Assyrians as Beth-Khumri, meaning 'the people of Omri.' Omri in Hebrew began with the letter ayin or gayin . This letter used to be pronounced as a gutteral H or Gh; for example, Gomorrah in Hebrew begins with the same three consonants as Omri. So Omri used to be pronounced Ghomri, which became Khumri in Assyrian . For example, on the Black Obelisk, now in the British Museum, an earlier king of Assyria, more than a century before the captivity, recorded that he received tribute from Jehu, and above the panel depicting the event, the inscription reads, 'The tribute of Jehu, son of Khumri ,' followed by details of what he brought .

Tiglath-pileser also refers to the House of Omri, or **Beth-Khumri** , in his Annals; but, after the deportation in 721, Beth-Khumri is never mentioned again in the Assyrian archives.

In Media, the place where the Israelites were resettled, the Beth-Khumri were known as the **Gamir** , then later as the **Gimira** or **Gimirra** . Due to the language differences there, **Ghumri** or Khumri became Gamir. The Greek equivalent of Gimira is **Kimmerioi** and the English version is ***Cimmerians*** . From Media, the Israelites migrated to the north and west in

their effort to be free of their captors. Filmer traces their movement from Lake Urmia in northern Media (modern Iran) in 707 B.C. The Assyrian King, Ashurbanipal, left a library at Nineveh of which 23,000 tablets still exist. These were published under the title of **The Royal Correspondence of the Assyrian Empire** by L. Waterman. Of these tablets, the **Cambridge Ancient History** says -

The people called Gimirrai by the Assyrians, Kimmerioi by the Greeks...were beginning to move into Asia Minor, and to meet their attack Argistis marched northward. All that we know is that Argistis suffered a great defeat in battle, apparently delivered in territory acknowledged to belong to the Cimmerians . - Vol. III, p. 53.

Filmer comments, in clarification, that it was falsely assumed by both Herodotus, the Greek historian, and the translators of the text under consideration that the Cimmerians were previously driven southward from south Russia into Media by the **Scythians** . Filmer insists that the archeological evidence suggests that *no Scythians appeared in south Russia until after 600 B.C.* , and this story we are now telling occurs 100 years earlier. In fact, as we shall see, the people later to be known as Scythians are a branch of the people referred to as the Cimmerians.

It is fairly clear that certain individual tribes of the Cimmerians were recognized as distinct groups by the Assyrians. Sennacherib refers to a people called the **Mannai** (tribe of Manasseh) located along the River Zab, a tributary of the Tigris, exactly where the northern tribes had been resettled. That some unknown people from the east or north known as the Mannai could have suddenly made an appearance in Media begs credulity. The obvious association to be made is that, since both the time, 708 B.C., and place, Media, conform exactly to the location of the deported Tribes of Israel, there is only one historical possibility, namely, that the Mannai are of the Tribe of Manasseh. The archives also show that in 719, only two years

after the second deportation, many of the Mannai were deported to the west by Sargon II. It is now the westward and northward movement of these peoples that we are concerned with, and there is considerable evidence from many sources which proves that they did, in fact, so move.

Quoting Filmer,

A large prism of Ashurbanipal who succeeded Esarhaddon records that subsequently, about the middle of the seventh century, the Cimmerians had made raids on the kingdom of Lydia in western Asia Minor .

This puts the Lost Tribes as far west as the southwestern end of the Black Sea, only a short hop across the channel from modern Bulgaria.

In addition to the names Gimira and Mannai, another name, **Iskuza** , was applied to a group of people in the same time and place. Esarhaddon refers to the Iskuza as being united with the Mannai in battle. As previously discussed, one of the names by which the House of Israel was known was as the House of Isaac. It turns out that Iskuza is the Assyrian version of the Greek Scythian and the Persian **Sacae** . In Hebrew, Isaac is pronounced Ish-Ak or I-Shak. The Greeks and Persians dropped the first syllable and retained the second. Filmer states that in Hebrew, the accent falls on the second syllable of Isaac. Another possibility is that Sacae is derived from Issachar, the tribe of Israel.

These same Sacae (Scythians) were driven out of Media later that century along with the Mannai and Gimira when Media and Babylon joined forces to overthrow the Assyrian Empire. Some went west, as has already been shown, and some went north through modern Armenia, then known as Urartu, through the Dariel pass of the Caucasus Mountains into Russia; and some went along the northeastern shore of the Black Sea, bordered by the Caucasus Mountains into the Crimea, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia, and Rumania

(Ar-Sereth). This accounts for the placement of the Scythians in those areas by later historians such as Herodotus.

Neither are we without Scriptural evidence! Isaiah 11:16 has this to say about Israel held captive of the Assyrians:

And there shall be an highway for the remnant of his people, which shall be left, from Assyria; like as it was to Israel in the day that he came up out of the land of Egypt .

This highway can only be a reference to the escape route through and around the Caucasus Mountains . There is a narrow pass in these mountains which slowed the progress of these migrating Israelites. The geography books refer to it as the Dariel Pass, but the local inhabitants still refer to it as the Pass of Israel.

It is obvious that the House of Judah was aware of what was happening to the House of Israel, for the scribes of the House of Judah would write things about them. Isaiah wrote these words around 713 B.C., before any Judahites had been deported by Assyria, but a few years after the House of Israel had been deported. It is quite certain that the two Houses did their best to communicate with one another during the entire captivity period.

To establish without any doubt that the names Iskuza, Sacae, Sakka, Gimiri, Gimira, Cimmerians, etc. all applied to the same people, Filmer refers to the tri-lingual inscriptions of Darius the Great, King of Persia, circa 521 B.C. The text is in three languages, Persian, Susian, and Babylonian, each version telling the same story. The name Sakka or Sacae is used in the Persian and Susian, and the name Gimiri is used in the Babylonian. A second tri-lingual inscription on a sheet of gold

refers to the Scyhtians as Sakka in Persian and Elamite and as Gimiri in Babylonian .

The application of these different names to one and the same people is thereby fully established . Therefore, no one can ever again say that the Lost Ten Tribes just disappeared, never to be heard from again.

In a second tract on the subject of the Lost Tribes entitled *Our Scythian Ancestors* , Filmer traces archeological evidence connecting Scythian artifacts with what is known of Hebrew artifacts. Such objects as the battle-axe, three-edged arrowheads, scabbards and sword handles with a tree-of-life design , etc., all go to show that the Scythians of south Russia migrated from the south and not from the east as many historians have believed.

The migrations of the Scythians further north into the North Sea and Baltic Sea areas (circa 100 B.C.) is confirmed by the trail of burial grounds of the Scythians and their kings, which lead into these areas as well as up the Dnieper River valley as far as Kiev, the oldest of which are the closest to Romania, Armenia, and south Russia . These burial sites spread as far as the Danish Islands and the Jutland Peninsula . Quoting Filmer in the latter tract,

Now Tacitus and Ptolemy name the region of the River Elbe and the base of the Jutland Peninsula as the places inhabited by the Angles and Saxons before they came to Britain . According to Roman terminology, this was 'Germany' but it is interesting to note that the British historian, Nennius, in his account of the arrival of the Hengist and Horsa in Thanet, says that 'messengers were sent to Scythia' for reinforcements. The context shows that these came, in fact, from north Germany, so evidently the ancient name of the 'genuine Scythians' persisted long in northern Europe . (The Roman word 'german' meant 'genuine', hence the Germans are 'genuine Scythians'. Apparently, the Sarmatians are an offshoot of Scythians who had apparently settled in what is today Iran, and the Romans used the word, Germans, to distinguish the authentic Scythians from these Sarmatians - not to be confused with Samaritans.)

Of passing interest here is that one group of people still inhabiting the Asia Minor peninsula is the Kur, a definitely Semitic group, who are still nomadic to this day. Many of their children have blond hair and blue eyes.

Regarding the northerly migrations of the Scythians, M.I. Rostovtsev, in his **Iranians And Greeks In South Russia** , says -

We cannot but recognize that in the fourth and third centuries [B.C.] the Scythians endeavoured to install themselves as a ruling class in the northern regions of their empire, to transform their suzerainty into a real domination, and to extend that domination as far as possible to the north. It will not be denied that this Scythian expansion, hitherto unnoticed, is an historical fact of the first importance. - p.98.

These Scythians are subsequently divided into two categories, one known as Sarmatians by the Romans and the other known by them as the Germani. Of course, the North Sea and Baltic Sea areas to which the Scythians had migrated later became known as Poland and Germany.

Pliny the Elder, in his **Natural History** , asserts that . . .

The name of the Scythians is everywhere changed to that of Sarmatae and Germans... . - Vol IV, p. xii. He also states that *The more ancient writers give them the name of Aramii... The tribes among them that are better known are the Sacae, the Massagatae, the Dahae, etc.*

The Tribe of Dan obviously left its name in the Danube River, the Danes of Denmark, the Don River, etc. Scan a map of Europe and you will be struck by the names which can be traced to the Tribes of Israel. Dan abode in ships and used those ships to travel up the various rivers of Europe. Since, in all probability, Dan reached these places first, Dan named many of the places and rivers.

William Fowler in his book, cited earlier, records the migration of the Scythians east of Media into India as well where blond Scythians invaded and stayed for five centuries, leaving a tradition among the Jats (Jutes?) and Rajputs that they are of Scythian ancestry. (This fact helps explain the Aryan connection between Hitler's Germany and India before and during WWII.) Fowler states,

The Saka were the blond people who carried the Aryan language to India . (p. 100). The similarity of the words *Aryan, Aramii, Aramaic* (the language spoken by Jesus), and *Armenian* is self-evident.

Strabo, who wrote in the 1st century A.D., says of the Sacae:

Most of the Scythians, beginning from the Caspian Sea are called 'Dahae Scythae', and those situated more towards the east, 'Massagatae' and 'Sacae'; the rest have the common name of 'Scythians', but each tribe has its own peculiar name .

In rudimentary form, we can trace in Strabo's account the names of Dan, Manasseh, and Isaac or Issachar.

Diodorus Siculus, Greek historian of the 1st century B.C. tells us:

The Scythians...enlarged their dominion far and near, and attained at last to a vast and glorious empire...seated themselves near to the River Araxes [in Media]. Afterwards, one of their ancient kings, who was a warlike prince and skillful in arms, gained to their country all the mountainous parts as far as to Mount Caucasus .

Around 390 B.C., a union of the Germans and Celts attacked Rome. (The Celtic people are descendants of the Israelites of the Egyptian Captivity, who escaped from Egypt and settled the Mediterranean Basin. Thus, the Celtic Israelites preceded the Anglo-Saxon Israelites in Europe by about 750 years. This will be covered in the next Chapter.) According to Sheldom Emry, in **Heirs of the Promise** , another group of Celts moved back to Asia Minor and yet another moved into what is now France. These people were called Galatians by the Greeks, hence the early name, Gaul, for France. They migrated further westward into modern Spain, whose earliest name is Iberia, which in Gaelic means Land of the Hebrews.

Regarding the group that returned to Judea, there is a town in the Jordan valley called Scythopolis, apparently named after the Scythians who returned to Palestine around 626 B.C.

This subject is now approaching well-known early European history, and it is merely worth pointing out that historical texts have adequately recorded the break-up of the Germanic tribes into such groups as the Angles, Saxons, Jutes, Danes, Celts, Vikings, Visigoths, Ostrogoths, Vandals, Lombards, Franks, Burgundians, etc.

Other booklets worthy of note in tracing the migrations of the Sakka/Saxons/Gimiri/Germans/Israelites are here mentioned for their important contribution to this study:

Your Heritage by Bertrand L. Comparet;

Israel And Judah by Arthur A. Pachkofsky;

Heraldry of the Nations by Dr. Wesley A. Swift;

Can It Be True? by Richard Carter;

An Indispensible Key by C.F. Parker;

What Happened To the Lost Tribes? by Brian Williams;

The Distinction Between Israel And Judah As Shown By the Weight Of Scholar ship by Curtis Clair Ewing.

Books which deal with this subject worthy of note are **The Covenant People** by William J. Cameron; **End Time Revelations** by William V. Fowler; and the comprehensive **History of the Anglo-Saxons** by Sharon Turner.

These volumes will be difficult to obtain, since orthodoxy has declared this entire subject to be taboo. If you’re lucky, you might obtain a copy of the Sharon Turner work at a "reputable," Zionist-approved bookstore or library. The others are most definitely censored by the Zionist establishment and can only be obtained through anti-Zionist sources, such as the Christian Defense League in Arabi, Louisiana. (A list of the major Identity/Patriot publishing houses will be provided at the back of this publication.)

The Evidence of Philology

"For with stammering lips and another tongue will he speak to this people." -- Isa. 28:11.

In addition to the historical and archeological evidence which proves that the Anglo-Saxons are Israel, there is the evidence of linguistics. A few Welsh words are shown below which demonstrate their Hebrew origin.

WELSH	HEBREW	ENGLISH MEANING
Anafu	Anaf	To wound, to cut
Ami	Hamale	Plentiful, ample
Annos	Anas	To drive
Annog	Anac	To incite
Achles	Achales	Succor
Annwn	Annan	Abyss
Alaf	Aluph	Treasure
All	Aul	Other, another
Afange	Aphang	Beaver
Bara	Barah	Bread
Bu	Bou	It came to pass
Boten	Betten	Belly
Brawd	Berith	Brother
Breg	Berek	Break
Bwth	Buth	Booth
Brith	Barudh	Bright
Camel	Gamel	Camel

Cas	Cass	Hatred
Cell	Cele	Cellar
Coler	Kolar	Collar
Coron	Deren	Crown
Dagr	Daker	Dagger
Dalen	Dalith	Leaf

-- selected from **British History Traced From Egypt and Palestine** by Rev. L.G.A. Roberts, 1927.

Hebrew words and Hebrew syntax were brought to the British Isles by the Phoenicians, Tyrians, Milesians, and Danaans, as well as by the descendants of Pharez and Zarah.

The June issue of **"Thy Kingdom Come"** contains a report from a speech by Allan Wilson of the International Arthurian Research Foundation:

"After dealing at some length with evidence of the existence of King Arthur (researches proving that there were two kings of that name, thus explaining apparent inconsistencies in timing, etc.), Mr. Wilson spoke of the COELBREN alphabet, found on many inscriptions which gave evidence of the very early arrival of Christianity – in the year A.D. 37. He referred to an Oxford historian, John Williams, who, in 1846, wrote that the British Coelbren alphabet was virtually identical with Etruscan in Italy and Pelasgian in Western Turkey. This alphabet is not confined to Wales. It is found on stones in Aberdeen, in Angus, in London, possibly in Ireland, and in America.

"*Mr. Wilson gave most interesting information linking the Khumri and Kimmeroi with Israel, and the ability to link the Coelbren alphabet with the*

*hieroglyphics of Egypt, revealing items regarding the sojourn of Israel in that land …and of the similarity between the syntax of the ancient **EGYPTIAN** and **WELSH** languages." – p. 32.*

The *America's Promise Newsletter* of May-June 1998 carried an article by Pastor J.S. Brooks entitled, "*The Hebrew-Celtic Connection."* Says Pastor Brooks concerning the Hebrew origin of Western Civilization:

"These historical facts have been known for centuries, and a plethora of books by leading historians has documented the "Phoenician origin" of Western civilization. Historians have given the Phoenicians most of the credit for this emigration from Palestine to Europe although the Hebrews were more numerous and were Divinely promised greatly increased numbers. Perhaps the answer to the confusion is that the Hebrew language is a Phoenician dialect, and the two are virtually identical. But as we will see, a great multitude of the "Phoenician" speaking early European colonists can be shown to be Hebrew. " - p. 6.

From **Essay on the Antiquity of the Irish Language, Being a Collation of the Irish with the Punic (Hebrew) Language** , by Charles Vallancey, 1772:

"*On a collation of the Irish with the Celtic, Punic, Phoenician and Hebrew languages, the strongest affinity (nay a perfect Identity in very many Words) will appear: it may therefore be deemed a Punic-Celtic compound…from the Hebrew proceeded the Phoenician, from the Phoenician, Carthaginian or Punic, from Punic was derived Aeolian, Dorian and Etruscan, and from these was formed Latin…Of the Roman Saxon capital letters, the Irish use but three, all the others bear a very great resemblance to the primitive Hebrew and Phoenician* ." -- p. 2-3.

In 1801, C.S. Sonnini published a document which he discovered during his travels through the Ottoman Empire. He entitled the document *"The Long Lost Chapter of the Acts of the Apostles."* It states:

"*And Paul, full of the blessings of Christ, and abounding in the spirit, departed out of Rome, determining to go into Spain, for he had a long time purposed to journey thitherward, and was minded also to go from thence into Britain.*

For he had heard in Phoenicia that certain of the children of Israel, about the time of the Assyrian captivity, had escaped by sea to 'the isles afar off,' as spoken by the prophet, and called by the Romans Britain." -- Vs. l, 2.

"And Paul preached mightily in Spain, and great multitudes believed and were converted, for they perceived he was an apostle sent from God.

And they departed out of Spain, and Paul and his company finding a ship in Armorica sailing unto Britain, they went therein, and passing along the South coast the reached a port called Raphinus.

Now when it was noised abroad that the apostle had landed on their coast, great multitudes of the inhabitants met him, and they treated Paul courteously, and he entered in at the east gate of their city, and lodged in the house of an Hebrew and one of his own nation." – Vs. 6 – 8.

That city is today known as London. It's nice to find your kin speaking your own language in a far-off place, isn't it? All twenty-six verses of this manuscript are contained in an article by T.G. Cole in the Dec. 200 issue of **"Thy Kingdom Come."** Yes, Paul was in Britain. The first Christian churches were founded in Britain, not Rome. The persecution of Christians in Britain by Rome was minimal because the isles were so far away.

It is clear from history that the new name for the true Israelites would be the Anglo-Saxons and their predominant language would be English . The German language also shares many common roots with Hebrew. Yiddish, the common language of eastern European Jews, has its origin in the language of Khazaria, plus some German, Slavic, Turkish and some Hebrew as well. In essence, Yiddish is the Khazarian language set to Hebrew letters. This process was begun by the rabbis after the Khazars were converted to Judaism. Although Yiddish uses Hebrew characters, there is little resemblance between Yiddish and spoken Hebrew.

The tracing of the Angles, Saxons, and Normans in their further migration into Britain is taken up next, after the stage is set by documenting the earlier settling of Britain by the House of David and the even earlier (Exodus period) migration to Britain of the Israelites of the line of Zarah of the tribe of Judah.

Chapter 9

Israel Is Lost

Part Two: The House of David

For thus saith the Lord; David shall never want a man to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel...Thus saith the Lord; If ye can break my covenant of the day, and my covenant of the night, and that there should not be day and night in their season; Then may also my covenant be broken with David my servant, that he should not have a son to reign upon his throne . - Jer. 33:17, 20, 21.

If the Bible can be believed - and many people swear that every word of the Bible must be considered true - then, if the above Biblical quotation is true, there must be a living descendant of David on a throne somewhere. Yet, many of the same Bible believers who insist that every word is true believe the Jews are Israel in spite of the fact that the last Judahite (Maccabean) king before Herod the Great was John Hyrcanus II, son of Queen Alexandra (circa 63 B.C.). They have no idea that, since the Anglo-Saxons are the true descendants of the tribes of Israel, it follows that among them one or more royal lines of descent exist even today - either that or Jeremiah 33 is false . As far as I can tell, we still have day and night, so Jeremiah meant as long as there is a planet earth. Certainly, the Jews cannot provide an unbroken chain of monarchs from David until the present ; and if any further proof is required to show that the Jews cannot be the chosen people, then the demonstration that the Anglo-Saxons are the true heirs of the Davidic throne ought to convince even the most skeptical.

For some rather astounding, not to mention exciting, history, let us return for a moment to Israel's captivity in Egypt immediately before the Exodus (1486 B.C.). We know from I Chronicles 2:6 that Judah, son of Jacob-Israel, had five sons: Er, Onan, Shelah, Pharez, and Zarah. The first three, respectively, were sons by a Canaanite woman; but the latter two were true Israelites. Pharez, in turn, bore the sons Hezron and Hamul; and Zarah bore five sons: Zimri, Ethan, Heman, Calcol, and Dara.

Although the Bible does not tell us the exploits of these sons of Judah, there is some secular history available to us which is pertinent to our subject. E. Raymond Capt, in his book **Jacob's Pillar** , informs us of the following:

An examination of some of the historical clues reveals that Darda, 'the Egyptian', (son of Zarah) was 'Dardanus', the Egyptian founder of Troy: 'Hecataeus, therefore, tells us that the Egyptians, formerly, being troubled by calamities, in order that the divine wrath might be averted, expelled all

the aliens gathered together in Egypt. Of these, some, under the leaders Danus and Cadmus, migrated to Greece' (Fragmenta Historicum, by Muller; vol. II, pg. 385 - copied from the works of Hecataeus of Abdera, a fourth-century B.C. Greek >historian). - p. 33.

Certainly, among these aliens were the Israelites. The descendants and followers of Zarah, instead of journeying with Moses, chose to break their own paths. The Bible picks up the story of Moses but leaves off the story of Pharez and Zarah. As with the story of the House of Israel, we must pick up the story of the House of David by referring to secular records. Had the Bible kept records on Pharez and Zarah, perhaps the identity of Israel might never have been lost; but, since no such records are known to have been kept, the subsequent fulfillment of the prophecy was made possible.

Referring to the records of the Egyptians, Diodorus has this to say about our present subject:

They say also that those who set forth with Danaus, likewise from Egypt, settled what is practically the oldest city of Greece, Argos, and that the nations of the Colchi in Pontus and that of the Jews, which lies between Arabia and Syria, were founded as colonies by certain emigrants from their country; and this is the reason why it is a long-established institution among these peoples to circumcise their male children, the custom having been brought over from Egypt. Even the Athenians, they say, are colonists from Sais in Egypt. - Diodorus of Sicily, by G.H. Oldfather, 1933, vol. 1, bks I-II, 1-34, pg. 91.

(NOTE: Jews from Egypt is the theme of Diodorus here. Colchi is Calcol, son of Zarah. Be careful to read Hebrews for Jews. No case can be made for the existence of a religion called Judaism nor a people called Jews

(Judeans) until about 125 B.C ., when King John Hyrcanus of Judah conquered the Edomites and Canaanites of Idumea and allowed them to live if they would submit to circumcision. The most likely date of the first circumcision of an Idumean male was around 121 BC. Since that time, the Edomites began to infiltrate the priesthood and leadership positions of Judah. Thus, the Jewish people are actually descended from these Idumeans who became citizens of Judea. It goes without saying that these Edomites were not Judahites. The sect of the Pharisees was thoroughly taken over by these Edomites, as will be shown in the chapter on the Nazarene. In addition, the word *Jew* was originally one which was applied to Judeans by outsiders, who were unaware of the ethnic distinction between Judahites and Edomites. The word ' *Jew* ' is actually a modern nickname which was never used in the days of the House of Judah or Judea. At no time did any of the three Houses of Isaac apply the word *Jew* (Greek: Ioudaios) to themselves. Therefore, with respect to Biblical times, the word *Jew* can only be used in a geographic sense. Because of deliberate confusion about the ethnic composition of the nation of Judea in the days of Christ, almost no one understands that the nation of Judea was composed of two mutually antagonistic ethnic groups: Judahites and Edomites. And the latter group had deliberately infiltrated the former group for the express purpose of sabotage and subversion. By muddying the distinction between Judahites and Edomites, the modern Jews have convinced the world that they are of Judah. We in Identity, therefore, refer to the non-Judahites of Judea as **Edomite Jews** , and the modern Sephardic Jews are actually descended from these Edomites. The distinctions between Judaism and Hebrewism will be discussed in the chapter on the House of Judah.)

Returning the migratory record, the obvious and exciting prospect here is that it is quite possible that the great civilization of the Greeks was founded by Israelites! Perhaps this explains why the Greeks were so readily influenced by the early Christians. (The same is true of all of Europe as Christianity spread like wildfire from Palestine to Britain.)

Capt continues on page 24:

The descendants of Darda ruled ancient Troy for some hundreds of years, until the city was destroyed in the famous 'Seige of Troy'. Aeneas, the last of the royal blood line (Zarah-Judah), collected the remnants of his nation and traveled with them to Italy. There he married the daughter of Latinus, king of the Latins, and subsequently founded the great Roman Empire. Aeneas' son (or grandson) Brutus, with a large party of Trojans, migrated to Malta, and there was advised to re-establish his people in 'the Great White Island' (an early name for Britain due to its chalk cliffs). This advice is recorded in an archaic Greek form on the Temple of Diana in Caer Troia (New Troy). An historic stone still stands in the town of Totnes, on the shores at Torbay, commemorating his coming (circa 1103 B.C.). Brutus then made contact with his kindred blood in Britain and built for himself a new capital city to which he gave the name 'Caer Troia', or New Troy. The Romans later called it 'Londinium', now known as London .

Quoting from a book of Welsh bardic literature, **The Welsh Bruts** , Capt relates the story of the founding of the city of London. The text states:

At this time, Beli the Priest ruled in Judea, and the Ark of the Covenant was in captivity to the Philistines .

Capt equates Beli with Eli of I Samuel. This seems reasonable. After all, you might say, what did the early Britons know of or care about the Ark of the Covenant? The ancient literature, when thoroughly perused, reveals that the founders of Britain were well aware of their Israelite heritage and kept in touch with it even down to the days of Paul and after. Only with the beginning of the Dark Ages was this heritage driven into the background and the common knowledge of it lost, although the leading families of Europe kept records of these lines of descent and the knowledge was forgotten but not completely lost.

What we are doing here is tracing migrations of Hebrews and Isrealites previous to the days of captivity. What we find is that Israelites have, by the time of the captivities (721 to 587 B.C.), settled the whole of the Mediterranean and founded one civilization, Greece, and were founding another, Rome. I quote Capt once more (p. 27):

Historical records tell of the westward migration of the descendants of 'Calcol' along the shores of the Mediterranean Sea, establishing Iberian (Hebrew) trading settlements. One settlement now called 'Saragossa', in the Ebro Valley in Spain, was originally known as 'Zarah-gassa', meaning 'The Stronghold of Zarah'. From Spain they continued westward as far as Ireland. The Iberians gave their name to Ireland, calling the island 'Iberne' which was later abbreviated to 'Erne', and subsequently Latinised to 'Hibernia', a name that still adheres to Ireland.

Other references from British records concerning Britain's Hebrew and/or Israelite ancestry are too numerous to quote from here. For this purpose, Capt's book is a most excellent reference work and should be read by all interested persons. Capt refers to Ptolemy, Gladstone, Moore, and others showing that it was the Hebrews who settled Britain and that it was the ships of the tribe of Dan which brought Jeremiah and the House of David to Ireland.

Sheldon Emry, in his book, **Paul And Joseph Of Arimathea, Missionaries To The Gentiles** , tells us this about that same period:

Maps of Europe show Spain and Portugal on the 'Iberian Peninsula'. Any language teacher will tell you that 'Iberia' means 'land of the Hebrews'. Also, the Spanish river Ebro is derived from Eber, the grandsire of the Hebrews. The river Guadalquiveir was originally Wadi-a-Hibri, which in

Moorish means 'the river of the Hebrews'. The modern Spanish city of Cadiz was originally Gaderia, or 'city of Gad'. It was named when men of the tribes of Gad and Dan worked the mines in 'Iberia' during the reign of King Solomon in Israel 1,000 years before Paul came to Spain!

There is an ancient tombstone in Sagunto, Spain with this epitaph in Hebrew: 'This is the tomb of Adoniram, an officer of King Solomon, who came to collect tribute, and died the (illegible) day.' - p. 15. (See I Kings 12:13 to find mention of one Adoram. If Adoram and Adoniram are one and the same, then we have evidence that Solomon's kingdom was quite extensive.)

From Spain, these sea-faring Danites, Gadites, and other Israelites, both before and after the time of Solomon, sailed up the west coast of France and to the 'isles of the sea', Ireland and England. Ireland is actually the modern English spelling of the island's ancient name of 'Hibernia', or again, 'Hebrew's land'. Irish chroniclers, writing of the origin of their people, trace them back to Spain, the Milesians of the Mediterranean islands, and 'Danaans.' The 'Danaans' are identified as the tribe of Dan, and the Milesians can be traced back to south of the Caucasian mountains, the area we know of as the location of the Assyrian Captivity of the Ten-Tribed House of Israel! It is significant the Irish historians show that two of the sons of the first Milesian king to set foot on Ireland were named Heber the Fair and Heber the Brown! - p. 16.

Howard B. Rand, in his pamphlet, *David's Greater Son* , says of the Milesians, *Thus, from Zarah's line came the progenitors of the Milesian civilization that was established around the shores of the Mediterranean Sea. However, although they were descendants of Judah, <u>they were not Jews</u>* .

Professor Totten, in his compilation entitled **Our Race Series** , tells us this:

For if Darda, the Egyptian, son of Zarah, was Dardanus, the Egyptian founder of Troy, and Chalcol was the Egyptian Cecrops, or Niul, and the contemporary founder of Athens and Thebes, and if Heman, the brother of Niul, was the likewise contemporary Egyptian Agenon who inherited Phoenicia, and if Mahol, son of Zarah, and the father of these famous Egyptians, was Scytha, or Fenesia Fars, the Egyptian ancestor of the Milesians, whose records, full and complete, enable us to blend the whole into one continuous recital down to the present day, surely we have means at hand in Trojan, Grecian and Milesian sources, to continue out the record of the Sacred Chronicles, and lend them greater reverence as we come to understand and prize them at their worth . - Vol. 4, p. 165.

In **Jacob's Pillar** , Ray Capt summarizes the migratory situation for our clear understanding of who is who. Stating that the De Danann were the first to arrive in Ireland, the Milesians arrived next, around 1,000 B.C., invading and subjugating the De Danaan:

Both the De Danaan and the Milesians were kinsmen, who long ages before, had separated from the main Hebrew stem. Many historians, today, erroneously refer to these people as 'Celts' and 'Gaels' whereas in fact, they were only forerunners of the Celtic tribes that wound their several ways across Europe from the East, turbulently meeting and finally blending in amity, and flowing onward in one great Gaelic stream into the Islands of Britain . - p. 28.

So far, we have traced the Israelite origins of the Greeks, Trojans, Milesians, Celts, Gaels, as well as the early Spanish, Irish and British. In order to achieve a full appreciation of the influence and effect on Europe of the migrating Israelites, one more civilization is worthy of noting. William J. Cameron, in his book, **The Covenant People** , states:

..there is evidence that the Spartans were Israelites! Their land, Lacedemon, called also Laconi, from which we get the word 'laconic' for short and sharp speech, the proverbial speech of Israel, and themselves called of old Lacedemonians, are directly connected with Israel by two letters found in the first book of the Maccabees found in the Apocrypha. When the Jews [sic], *under the Maccabees, sent to ask the protection of Rome, their embassy called at Greece with letters to the Lacedemonians. This is what the high priest wrote to those Grecians: 'There were letters sent in times past unto Onias the High Priest, for Darius, who reigned then among you, to signify that ye are our brethren.' He enclosed a copy of that earlier letter, which read: 'Areus, King of the Lacedemonians, to Onias the High Priest, greetings: It is found in writing that the Lacedemonians and the Jews [Hebrews] are brethren, and that they are of the stock of Abraham....* - p. 47, 48.

Having set the stage for the story of the House of David, we can now return to Palestine, around 600 B.C., to examine the events of the taking into captivity of the House of Judah and the subsequent division of the House of Judah into the House of David and the remnant House of Judah.

The purpose of the House of David in Judea was to bring in the kingdom of Jesus Christ by providing the royal line of descent. The purpose of the remnant of Judah in Palestine was to protect the House of David and thus ensure the coming of the Messiah .

In the previous chapter, the taking into captivity and subsequent historical exploits of the House of Israel were traced. That period began in 721 B.C.

The taking into captivity of the remaining portion of the House of Judah occurred in 586 B.C., 135 years later (II Kings 18:13), and is recorded, among other places in the Bible, in II Kings 24:

And Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon came against the city [Jerusalem], and his servants did beseige it. And Jehoiachin the king of Judah went out to the king of Babylon, he, and his mother, and his servants, and his princes, and his officers: and the king of Babylon took him in the eighth year of his reign...And he carried away all Jerusalem, and all the princes, and all the mighty men of valour, even ten thousand captives, and all the craftsmen and smiths: none remained, save the poorest sort of the people of the land . (Vs. 11-14)

Nebuchadnezzar then installed Jehoiachin's uncle, Mattaniah, on the throne of Jerusalem and renamed him Zedekiah. But Zedekiah also rebelled, thus causing Jerusalem to be destroyed. Nebuchadnezzar then tried to install Gedaliah as governor.

And it came to pass in the seventh month, that Ishmael the son of Nethaniah, the son of Elishama, of the seed royal, came, and ten men with him, and smote Gedaliah, that he died, and the Jews and the Chaldees that were with him at Mizpah. And all the people, both small and great, and the captains of the armies, arose, and came to Egypt: for they were afraid of the Chaldees . (Vs. 25, 26). (See also Jer. 40 and 41.)

These last two verses are significant for many reasons: First, the italicized chapter notes - not the text - of the King James Version in the Book of

Jeremiah, refer to these very same Israelites as Jews. This is utterly unjustified. The context of II Kings, Chapter 25 clearly distinguishes between Jews and Judahites. Verse twenty-five states that *Ishmael...of the seed royal, came...and smote Gedaliah...and the Jews and the Chaldees that were with him...* Nowhere does the language of the Bible itself allow us to equate Jews with either Israelites or Judahites. This is a very dramatic illustration of how the mythology of Jewish chosenness has been engineered. It cannot be established from Biblical passages, but Biblical commentary has been used to create an identity which does not exist . The frequent repetition of this error and/or lie, being promoted and perpetuated as introductory comments to actual Scripture, can readily be seen as one explanation for the common acceptance of the myth of Jewish identity with Judah and Israel.

Secondly, some descendants of the seed royal are shown to have remained in Palestine with Jeremiah. (Jer. 41:10 and 43:6.)

Thirdly, Verse 26 tells us that all of those Judahites who remained after the main body was taken captive to Babylon fled to Egypt, and this number included the captains of the armies. So, we have evidence that a great number of Judahites, specifically soldiers, shepherds, vinedressers, and the poor, all fled to Egypt for safety. There, and possibly even in Ethiopia, Judahites settled but were later destroyed as Jeremiah had prophesied. (Jer. 44).

Now, here we have a divergence of opinion among the recent scholars of true, or Christian, Israel. In order to do justice to both points of view, we will discuss each in detail, for it is very important that we decide upon the correct interpretation of the Book of Jeremiah. This interpretation revolves around the parable of the good figs and the bad figs as told in Jeremiah, Chapter 24. This parable will be discussed in detail after having presented the first of the two versions of the exploits of the House of David.

The Good Figs and Bad Figs, Version #1

The first version of the royal destiny of the House of David is the British Israel theory. This theory claims that the royal family of Britain descends

from the family seed of David. According to this theory, one of Zedekiah's daughters came to Ireland with Jeremiah and married into the royal family of Zarah which had started emigrating westward toward Ireland around the time of the Exodus. This marriage re-established the kingship of the House of David over the Zarah Judahites and, ultimately, all Israel. The land-based migrations of the Lost Tribes started from the Caucasus Mountains roughly around 721 B.C.; and some of these tribes eventually linked up with the earlier Zarah and Zedekiah emigrations. These were Israelite tribes that were known as Celts, Angles, Normans, etc.

We pick up the story of Zedekiah and Jeremiah from Chapter 43 of Jeremiah. In this chapter, it is related how Johanan, the military leader of Judah, took all the remaining people of Judah with him to Egypt.

As it turned out, he took with him Jeremiah and the daughters of Zedekiah - the sons of Zedekiah had already been slain by Nebuchadnezzar before he had Zedekiah's eyes put out - to Egypt. From there, Jeremiah took Zedekiah's daughters with him to Spain by ship. The actual number of Judahites which migrated with Jeremiah may be impossible to determine, but it has already been established herein that pre-exodus Judahites, the descendants of Zarah, had settled Spain 500 years before; and the natural consequence of this reunion was for the Iberians (Spanish Hebrews) to accept the daughters of Zedekiah as the heirs of the Davidic promise.

So, in this twisting plot, which is admittedly more tortuous than a spy thriller, the throne of David was moved from Palestine to Europe. According E. Raymond Capt in **Jacob's Pillar** , an early chronicle of Ireland known as the **Plantation of Ulster** , dating to 700 B.C. records the first important settlements of Hebrews in Ireland. One section of these records, known as the *Milesian Records* , gives the genealogy of William the Conqueror, otherwise known as Gallam. Says Capt, *Among the names in the genealogy of Gallam are several that are specifically mentioned as belonging to the 'Red' or 'Scarlet' branch of Judah.* "

Zarah was known as the Prince of the Scarlet Thread. He was one of the many pairs of important twins whose various descendants we have been tracing. Genesis 38:26-30:

And it came to pass in the time of her [Tamar's] travail that, behold, twins were in her womb. And it came to pass, when she travailed, that the one put out his hand: and the midwife took and bound upon his hand a scarlet thread, saying, This came out first. And it came to pass, as he drew back his hand that, behold, his brother came out: and she said, How hast thou broken forth? this breach be upon thee: therefore his name was called Pharez. And afterward came out his brother, that had the scarlet thread upon his hand: and his name was called Zarah .

The story of the descendants of Pharez and Zarah is well summarized in a little booklet entitled **Israel and Judah** by Arthur A. Pachkofsky.

Judah had twin sons, Pharez and Zarah. The royal line was split into two branches, beginning with the posterity of these two boys, and there remained two branches until about 585 B.C. when both branches were united and have been one branch since that time... (p. 14.)

This is a reference to the marriage in Ireland of Tea Tephi, daughter of Zedekiah (a descendant of Pharez), to Eochaidh, the Heremon, Chief King of Hibernia (a descendant of Zarah). (See also Ezekiel 37 for the prophecy of the two sticks that will be joined together. Note, however, that the date indicated by Pachkofsky is obviously wrong. A date of 580 B.C. is more likely.)

Pachkofsky continues:

Pharez was called the high branch, through whom all the kings came from David to Zedekiah and through Christ's birth. Matthew 1:3. Zarah was called the low branch. The rulers of kings over Israel as a whole would have to come through one or both of these two branches. So while the Pharez branch ruled in Jerusalem down to 587 B.C., the Zarah branch had migrated to Ireland and settled there somewhere about 900 B.C.

Jeremiah 31:10 contains a reference to far-off islands (such references are quite numerous in the Bible):

Hear the word of the Lord, O ye nations, and declare it in the isles afar off, and say, He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him, as a shepherd doth his flock.

Unfortunately, no more information is given about the king's daughters, although Ezek. 17:22-24 could very well be talking of them (the tender twig being Tea Tephi). From Scripture, we cannot know how many daughters Zedekiah had, nor their names. Again, we must turn to the extra-Biblical records for this information.

Reverend John Heslip, in a booklet entitled **Who and Where Are the Lost Ten Tribes?** , has this to say about Jeremiah and Zedekiah's daughters:

About two years after the Jews [Judahites] were taken captive to Babylon, there arrived in Ireland, from Egypt, by way of Spain, a sage. He brought with him a princess and his scribe or secretary. 'The ship that brought them

to Ireland belonged to the Iberian Danaan. They landed on the northeast coast of Ireland, where Carrickfergus now stands. The little party brought with them a huge, mysterious chest, a banner, and a large rough stone. The name of the aged seer was Ollamh Fodhla (wonderful prophet), the princess was called Tamar Tephi (Palm Beautiful) and the scribe's name was Brug or Bruch.' This is according to the Irish records. The name Brug or Bruch is practically identical with Baruch, Jeremiah's scribe. Irish poetry is full of the praises of Tamar Tephi, 'of her lofty birth, her stormy life in Jerusalem and at Tahpanhes, in Egypt, her voyage to Spain and thence to Ireland. ' - pp. 19, 20.

Jeremiah 43:7 says *So they came into the land of Egypt: for they obeyed not the voice of the Lord: thus came they even to Tahpanhes.* That an Irish legend would trace an immigrant princess from Spain via Tahpanhes and Jerusalem during the time of the beginning of the Babylonian captivity is too much of a coincidence to be ignored. Clearly, the Irish legends and folklore which link her to the House of David are not to be ignored.

Capt's book also traces the journey by Jeremiah, Baruch (his scribe assistant), and two Egyptian maidens from Egypt through Spain and on to Ireland. Citing such works as **The Chronicles of Eri** , **The Chronicles of Scotland** , **The Annals of the Four Masters** , and numerous others, Capt pieces together a tale that goes something like this:

Jeremiah took with him two of Zedekiah's daughters whose names were Scota and Tea Tephi or Tamar or Tara. The various chroniclers trace these two women to Egypt and from Egypt through Spain and on to Ireland. Scota is said to have married Gallo (Gathelus), progenitor of the Gauls and a Milesian chieftain descended from Zarah. This marriage took place during the reign of the Egyptian Pharoah Hophra, the Pharoah who provided refuge for Jeremiah and his entourage. Hophra died in 566 B.C. Gathelus was apparently the father of Eochaidh, who married Tea Tephi.

Many of the records refer to one Ollam Fodhla, an old man referred to as a saint and prophet, and his companion, variously known as Barech, Berach,

Brach, Brug, etc. Quoting Capt:

Tradition asserts that Ollam Fodhla was none other than Jeremiah, the prophet; that the king's daughter was the heir of Zedekiah, the last king of Judah. Simon Brug (Baruch) was Jeremiah's scribe who figures prominently in Biblical history....

Scota is the lady after whom the Scots are named, and Scota is one of the oldest names for Ireland. Tea Tephi, or Tamar, is referred to in an old poem as the daughter of God's House; Capt suggests that this appellation can only be a reference to the House of Judah. Also to be found in Ireland are two tombs, each of which is held by local traditions to be the burial place for Jeremiah; and in the center of Dublin square is to be found a statue of none other than the prophet, Jeremiah.

The thread that holds Capt's thesis together is the story of the so-Called Stone of Scone, which is, reputedly, the very stone upon which the patriarch, Jacob, rested his head and dreamt the dream of Jacob's Pillar. In tracing the movements of this stone from Mesopotamia through Palestine to Egypt and on to Spain and Britain, Capt has pieced together a convincing argument for this stone's authenticity. This stone presently is encased as part of the actual coronation chair in which Queen Elizabeth II sat while being crowned Queen of England. The stone has occupied this privileged position from time immemorial, with legends claiming that it is, in fact, Jacob's stone.

Ezekiel 21:27 prophesies regarding the throne of David:

I overturn, overturn, overturn, it: and it shall be no more, until he come whose right it is; and I will give it him.

Capt claims that this prophecy has been fulfilled by the history of the Davidic-British throne, whose location has switched to three different places, each place corresponding to an overturn.

The first overturn was the crowning of Eochaidh, this event having officially transplanted both Jacob's Stone and the Throne of David from Palestine to Ireland. The 11th Edition of the **Encyclopedia Britannica** , under the heading of Inisfail, has this to say:

Inisfail, a poetical name for Ireland. It is derived from Faul or Lia-fail, the celebrated stone, identified in Irish legend with the stone on which the patriarch Jacob slept when he dreamed of the heavenly ladder. The Lia-fail was supposed to have been brought to Ireland by the Dedannans [descendants of Darda, son of Zarah] and set up at Tara as the inauguration stone of the Irish kings...Inisfail was thus the island of the Fail, the island whose monarchs were crowned at Tara on the sacred inauguration stone .

The second overturn occurred around 843 A.D. when Kenneth Mac Alpin, removed the stone to Scotland to the Abbey of Scone. There it was used to crown the Scottish monarchs until the third overturn occurred in 1296 A.D. This third and last overturn relocated the Stone in Westminster Abbey where it is today. From Bethel to Westminster, Jacob's Stone certainly has an illustrious history.

Considering the fact that this stone is of such great importance to Israel, Capt quotes two scientific experts. First, Professor Totten of Yale University:

The analysis of the stone shows that there are absolutely no quarries in Scone or Iona wherefrom a block so constituted could possibly have come, nor yet from Tara [in Ireland].

Second, Professor Odlum of Ontario University, regarding his search of the Bethel area for stone similar to Jacob's Stone:

...I found that wet rock, as far as I could see with the magnifying glass I had, was of the identical texture I had been looking for. - p. 59.

So, we have one statement confirming that the rock is foreign to Britain and another statement linking it to Bethel. Certainly, this is a subject worthy of further investigation; but even more certainly, it is a subject which the powers that be wish to crush with silence. As exciting as this subject is for true Israelites, it is nevertheless taboo. One can only pursue this subject with the greatest difficulty.

The pursuance of this subject can only be looked upon with foreboding by international Jewry because of the absolute certainty of the Anglo-Saxons being the Lost Tribes. We can expect both silence and denials coming from Jewish leaders, but we cannot expect open discussion or debate. The possibility of such a debate destroying Zionist ambitions is too great; therefore, Anglo-Israelism must be silenced wherever possible and ridiculed and vehemently denied where silence is not possible. Fortunately for true Israel, the truth cannot be killed; and it is only a matter of time before Zionism's great impersonation is exposed before the majority of the people of the Arab and Western worlds.

In order to provide evidence for the claim that the Anglo-Saxons are the only people who can claim to fulfill the prophecy of the perpetual throne of David, Capt includes a genealogical table in **Jacob's Pillar** which shows the line of descent from Abraham through David and through the three overturnings down to Elizabeth II (pp. 91-94).

This concludes the detailed account of the British Israel interpretation of the destiny of the House of David. Unfortunately, there are some major problems with this theory. These problems consist of some important oversights with regard to the language of the Scriptures. These will now be clarified with the second version of the destiny of the House of David, the exclusively Messianic interpretation.

The Good Figs and the Bad Figs, Version #2

The exclusively Messianic interpretation of the parable holds that, although it may be true that the daughters of Zedekiah went to Western Europe with Jeremiah, **it is not correct to identify their descendants with the House of David. As a matter of fact, Scripture clearly identifies Zedekiah and his descendants as the bad figs** !

Returning to Jeremiah, Chapter 24, we read the parable of the good and bad figs:

The Lord shewed me, and behold, two baskets of figs were set before the temple of the Lord, after that Nebuchadrezzar king of Babylon had carried away captive Jeconiah the son of Jehoiakim king of Judah...One basket had very good figs, even like the figs that are first ripe: and the other basket had very naughty figs, which could not be eaten, they were so bad...Thus saith the Lord, the God of Israel; Like these good figs, so will I acknowledge them that are carried away captive of Judah, whom I have sent out of this place into the land of the Chaldeans for their good . For I will set mine eyes upon them for good, ***and I will bring them again to this land*** *: and I will build them, and not pull them down; and I will plant them, and not pluck them up ...* ***for they shall return to me with their whole heart*** . - Vs. 1-7.

Restating this prophecy, the good figs are to go captive to Babylon **for their own good -** to learn a lesson of humility, perhaps? They are to return again to the land of Judah, and when they do, they will have been reformed and belong once again to God. That is, they will return to His statutes and be His people again. **This return from Babylon is a spiritual and moral return as well, and these good figs are to be the progenitors of the Messiah via the descendants of the family of David** .

And as the evil figs, which cannot be eaten, they are so evil; surely thus saith the Lord, So will I give Zedekiah the king of Judah, and his princes, and the residue of Jerusalem, that remain in this land, and them that dwell in the land of Egypt: <u>And I will deliver them to be removed into all the kingdoms of the earth for their hurt, to be a reproach and a proverb, a taunt and a curse, in all the places whither I shall drive them</u> . - Vs. 8, 9.

Zedekiah and his descendants are clearly identified as being the evil figs. Zedekiah's daughters did indeed migrate to Spain and Ireland; and Jeremiah unwittingly assisted the advance of the evil figs into the rulership of the Lost Tribes by accompanying them there. This was in spite of his own prophecy against Zedekiah which he renewed in Chapter 27, verses 12 to 22 where he again identifies Zedekiah with the evil figs who would not submit to the captivity for their good.

L. E. Jandebeur, in an unpublished manuscript entitled *Who Is Your King?* , states:

The British Throne Theory teaches that the daughters of king Zedekiah went with Jeremiah to Egypt, then to Spain and then to Ireland where it married back into another branch of the tribe of Judah. This may all be true, but was Zedekiah the rightful heir to the Throne of David and did Yahweh bless this ? - p. 1.

I Chronicles 3:15-19 give us the lineage of Josiah, father of four sons: Johanan, Jehoiakim, Zedekiah, and Shallum. As is told in both Jeremiah (Chapters 22 & 52) and Josephus (**Antiquities** , Book X, Chapters 6 through 9), Jehoiakim became the heir to the Throne , although his brothers retained the throne for periods of time.

Scripture tells us no more about Johanan. Shallum reigned for a while but died in captivity (Jer. 22:11, 12.). Jehoiakim also became king (22:18) and had two sons, Jeconiah (also known as Jehoiachin) and Zedekiah (not to be confused with Jehoiakim's brother , Zedekiah (I Chron. 3:16). Jeconiah is childless (Jer. 22:30) and his brother, Zedekiah, is not heard about again. Zedekiah, Jehoiakim's brother and Jeconiah's uncle, is the father of Scota and Tea Tephi.

Jehoiakim is the older brother of Zedekiah and, therefore, the rightful heir to the Throne . This makes Jehoiakim's children the rightful heirs after him; and, indeed, Jeconiah (Jehoiachin) reigned after Jehoiakim's death (II Chron. 36:8).

So far, so good. But Jeremiah, 22:30 says this regarding Jeconiah:

Thus saith the Lord, Write ye this man childless , a man that shall not prosper in his days: for no man of his seed shall prosper, sitting upon the throne of David, and ruling any more in Judah.

This sentence, taken literally, seems to contradict I Chronicles 3:17, which says:

And the sons of Jeconiah; Assir, Salathiel... Furthermore, Matthew 1:11 and 12 state: And Josias begat Jechonias and his brethren, about the time they were carried away to Babylon: And after they were brought to Babylon, Jechonias begat Salathiel; and Salathiel begat Zorobabel ...

There is only one possible conclusion: **Write this man childless is a figure of speech.** It is a lamentation over the conclusion of the active reign of the Davidic monarchs in the land of Judah. At the same time, David's Throne remains in its perpetual reign, **but only through the bad fig seed line of Zedekiah!**

Jeconiah's uncle, Zedekiah, was put in Jeconiah's place by Nebuchadnezzar (II Kings 24:17); and his sons were eventually killed by Nebuchadnezzar (Jer. 39:6); and Zedekiah himself died in Babylon.

In quoting Jeremiah 43:7 in support of their theory, the British Throne theorists ignore the second half of the quotation:

So they came into the land of Egypt: <u>for they obeyed not the voice of the Lord.</u> ...

Jandebeur's question is well asked: Did God bless this seedline of Zedekiah? Apparently not.

But how are we to interpret this fact in the light of European history? The only logical interpretation is that, although the European thrones are of David, Israel is not thereby blessed. What this means is that Zedekiah's descendants cannot be considered a blessing to Christian Israel. Rather, they ultimately became the reproach and a proverb as the progenitors of the arrogant and even anti-Christian aristocracy of Europe. Their perpetual feuding amongst themselves, as well as their cooperation with Jewish bankers and the Papacy during the days of the Holy Roman Empire, did not do Israel any good. Even though the two Branches of Judah were reunited as prophesied by Ezekiel, the reunion was not a blessing. There is even considerable evidence that the bad figs intermarried with Jewish banking families and thus mixed their seed with non-Israelites; and this would have disastrous consequences for the world by providing the Jewish bankers with positions of influence and power.

The reunification of the "Two Sticks" of Ezekiel (the House of Israel and the House of Judah) would not actually occur until the foundation of the American Republic in 1776, when and where all Twelve Tribes were regathered, also fulfilling the prophecy of the "7 times punishment" of Leviticus, Chapter 26, the 2520 years of Israel's wandering in the wilderness, beginning in the year 745 BC and ending in the year 1776. The reason why America had **thirteen** original colonies is because the Tribe of Joseph is represented by his two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh. These two sons were adopted by Jacob/Israel as his own sons. Thus, Joseph received the double portion of the birthright, with his two sons being the progenitors of the largest numbers of Israelites.

The Three Overturns

The British Israel interpretation of the three overturns (Ezek. 21:27) also has its problems. Jandebeur says:

The word overturn, does <u>not</u> mean <u>move</u> the throne from one place to another, as the teachers of the British Throne Theory say, but Strong's

Concordance translates it as ' overthrow ' . Moffatt's Translation uses the word 'ruin'. - p. 5.

Again, the second half of the sentence has been disregarded by the Overturn theorists. Let us translate Ezekiel 21:27 using the word 'overthrow' and let us also pay closer attention to what the verse says after the third overturn:

I will overthrow, overthrow, overthrow, it: and it shall be no more until he come whose right it is; and I will give it him .

It is hard to quibble about the meaning of the words and it shall be no more. Jandebeur argues correctly that the reference is to Jesus Christ, the King of Israel. Certainly, the Kingdom of Judah was temporarily interrupted with the Babylonian captivity. But the royal lineage did not cease to exist ; and that was carried on through Jeconiah, Salathiel, Zerubabbel, Ezra, Nehemiah, etc. The true heirs returned to Judea, as prophesied by Jeremiah, where the prohibitions against intermarriage were steadfastly observed down to the time of Christ.

The prophecy that *David shall never want a man to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel* (Jer. 33:17) can be looked at in three ways: First, as Jandebeur argues, it refers to the perpetual reign of Jesus Christ, the Son of God. Second, even had the family lineage of Jesus died out, the inheritance would revert back, like it or not, to the evil fig lineage of Zedekiah. The coming of Christ did indeed infuse Europe with a new spirit. It became known as Christianity; and with this redeeming spirit, Zedekiah's lineage might be granted a new moral mandate in addition to its technical inheritance. Third, it may refer to actual heirs of the various tribes of Israel, for the passage says house of Israel, meaning all Israel. If we take it this way, then the door is left open for us to search the history books and

genealogical tables of Israelite families who claim lineal descent from the Holy Family.

Interestingly enough, there are people alive today who claim to be descendants of the Holy Family, for if certain passages of Scripture are interpreted literally, then Joseph and Mary may have had children - in the usual way! - after Mary bore Jesus; and Jesus' younger brother would have become the rightful heir to the throne. There are very few books which treat of this subject (descendants of the Holy Family). One is **Holy Blood, Holy Grail** . The authors are self-proclaimed agnostics who even doubt that Jesus died on the cross, but the book is certainly worth reading for the documentation it contains. (Numerous books on the lineage of the Holy Family (Holy Grail) have come out in recent years, although few of them seem to be by Christian or biblical scholars.)

Further discussion of this topic will have to be carried on within the Christian Identity Movement. Whether or not the present Queen of England is a literal descendant of King David is an interesting subject; but two important questions must be addressed by the Overturn theorists: 1.) whether the royal family is of a pure line of descent and 2.) whether it can be shown that this family is not of the bad figs of Jeremiah. The answers to these questions will determine the direction of the Christian Identity Movement.

It seems, however, that the identification of the "good figs" is a problem which has been solved. As it turns out, Mary, mother of Jesus, had a cousin named Anna, whose father was Joseph of Arimathea . Like Mary, Anna was of the House of Judah. Joseph of Arimathea, Mary's uncle, was a tin merchant who owned mines in Britain. After the crucifixion, Joseph took Anna, Mary, Mary Magdalene, and others, intending to go to Britain. The story is that this group was set adrift on a raft and landed on the shores of Southern Gaul. Mary Magdalene stayed in Gaul, while Joseph of Arimathea took the rest of the party on to Britain. **Anna's daughter** , **Penardim, subsequently was married to King Lear of England** , so these relatives of Mary, a direct descendant of David, must be the mysterious line of descent of the "good figs," who returned Judea after the Babylonian captivity, who

1.) stayed long enough in Judea to give birth to Jesus Christ, Son of David, and who then 2.) finally migrated to Britain.

This means that the "Two Sticks" of Ezekiel, representing the ten-tribed House of Israel and the two-tribed House of Judah, were reunited when King Lear, of the House of Israel, married Penardim, of the House of Judah. And this is what Paul is actually talking about in Ephesians 2:11-22, wherein the "enmity" between the Dispersed Israelites (Ten Tribes of the "uncircumcision") and the local House of Judah (the "circumcision") was healed by Christ's sacrifice on the Cross.

Thus, we have two possible solutions to the "Two Sticks" prophecy. One being the regathering of the Twelve Tribes in America and the other being the reunification of the Two Houses under Penardim and King Lear. It is important to note that neither interpretation involves the Jewish people, who never belonged to either of the House of Judah nor the House of Israel .

I will leave it to other researchers to unravel this reunification mystery further. I believe that I have herein provided two plausible solutions to the mystery.

The fact is that the Jews claim that the House of Israel totally disappeared into oblivion, which means that they deny that the Two Sticks prophecy could ever be fulfilled. Whom are you going to believe, God or the Jews?

It is also important to note that the fig tree that Jesus cursed in Matthew 21 does not represent either of these two Houses. That "fig tree," which bears no Israelite fruit, represents the Edomites of Idumea, who had usurped the throne of Judah in Judea, when Herod, the Idumean, murdered John Hyrcanus II, grandson of John Hyrcanus I, and all of his immediate relatives, who were the rightful heirs of the throne of Judah. But Herod had failed in his attempt to murder the baby Jesus. Thus, the seed of David was preserved in Judea, having brought forth the promised Seed in the town of Bethlehem. (Micah 2:12-13; Micah 5:2.)

The First Advent of Messiah cleansed both Houses of their past sins, with Jesus acting as our Kinsman Redeemer. Jesus never ascended to the Throne at that time. That will not happen until the Second Coming.

Chapter 10

Israel Is Lost

Part Three: The House of Judah

Yet the Lord would not destroy Judah for David's sake, as he promised him to give him alway a light, and to his children . - II Kings 8:19.

So Nebuzaradan the captain of the guard took them, and brought them to the king of Babylon to Riblah. And the king of Babylon smote them, and put them to death in Riblah in the land of Hamath. Thus Judah was carried away captive out of his own land. This is the people whom Nebuchadrezzar carried away captive: in the seventh year three thousand Jews and three and twenty: In the eighteenth year of Nebuchadrezzar he carried away captive from Jerusalem eight hundred thirty and two persons: In the three and twentieth year of Nebuchadrezzar Nebuzaradan the captain of the guard carried away captive of the Jews [sic] *seven hundred forty and five persons: all the persons were four thousand and six hundred* . - Jer. 52:26-30.

The story of the House of Judah from the time of the escape of Zedekiah's daughters is the story of the Babylonian captivity and the subsequent return to Judea. The House of Judah is distinct from the House of David even though David was of the tribe of Judah. The distinction stems from the fact that the House of David is the Royal House, the specific family within the House of Judah that is to bear the Messiah.

In order to understand the exploits of the House of Judah at this point in history, some knowledge of Babylonian religion and practices must be granted. In very simple terms, 4,600 monotheistic Judahites were transplanted to polytheistic Babylon. For a period of 70 years (as prophesied by Jeremiah), the leaders of the House of Judah were to reside within one of the most pagan and evil cultures ever known.

Stephen Jones, in his book, **The Babylonian Connection** , tells us the following about Babylon at that time:

In Babylon it was unlawful for any man to marry a virgin. It was their religion for all the women of the land to be adulterous by Biblical standards. Furthermore, the population of Babylonia was a mixture of Accadians and the white race. Therefore, we can conclude that this sex religion forced not only integration, but also interracial sexual relations.

The Israelites were being deported to a land whose customs were, in many respects, the exact opposite of their own. To appreciate exactly how different Babylonian religion was, a few more descriptions will be adduced.

Herodotus has this to say:

The Babylonians have one most shameful custom. Every woman born in the country must once in her life go and sit down in the precinct of Venus, and there consort with a stranger...Here there is always a great crowd, some coming and others going...and the strangers pass along them to make their choice. A woman who has once taken her seat is not allowed to return home till one of the strangers throws a silver coin into her lap, and takes her with him beyond the holy ground...The woman goes with the first man who throws her money, and rejects no one . - Book I, pp. 264-266.

Prostitution was, as a matter of custom, an act which every female citizen had to undergo.

The principal deity of the Babylonians was Baal or Bel, also known as Marduk. It is this deity to which the May pole ceremonies are dedicated. The May Pole is, of course, a phallic symbol. Baal is the deity to whom all sex magic is dedicated and, apparently, no form of deviate sexual practice is too extreme to be forbidden. Sodomy, bestiality, homosexuality, prostitution, temple sex rituals: all were part of the Baal religion. (May Day is still celebrated today among the world's pagans; and anti-Christian groups, such as the communists, socialists, and various secret societies have chosen May Day as a special day of celebration because of its obvious anti-Christian significance.)

In contrast, in the Bible, God says to Israel:

There shall be no whore of the daughters of Israel, nor a sodomite of the sons of Israel. - Deut. 23:17.

Halley's Bible Handbook , under the heading of *Religion of the Canaanites* , says:

Baal was their principal god; Ashtoreth, Baal's wife, their principal goddess. She was the personification of the reproductive principle in nature. Ishtar was her Babylonian name; Astarte her Greek and Roman name. Baalim, the plural of Baal, were images of Baal; Ashtaroth, the plural of Ashtoreth. Ashera was a sacred pole, cone of stone, or a tree trunk,

representing the goddess. Priestesses were temple prostitutes. The worship of Baal, Ashtoreth, and other Canaanite gods consisted of the most extravagant orgies; their temples were centers of vice . - p. 166.

These temples were referred to as the High Places. Concerning an excavation at Gezer, the same book tells us: *Under the debris, in this High Place, Macalister found great numbers of jars containing the remains of children who had been sacrificed to Baal. The whole area proved to be a cemetery for new-born babes* . - p 166.

So, in addition to all manner of sex worship, Baal-worship included abortion and infanticide as part of its accepted features. Passages in the Bible which refer to passing the firstborn through the fires of Molech also refer to infanticide. It was the practice of Baal-worshippers to throw the newly-born firstborn child into a ceremonial fire and thus burn it alive. Thus were sacrifices made to appease the priesthood and also to receive blessings from the gods.

But that is not all. Hislop, in his book, **The Two Babylons** , explains,

When 'the fruit of the body' was thus offered, it was 'for the sin of the soul.' And it was a principle of the Mosaic law, a principle no doubt derived from patriarchal faith, that the priest must partake of whatever was offered as a sin-offering (Numbers 28:9, 10). Hence, the priests of Nimrod or Baal were necessarily required to eat of the human sacrifices; and thus it has come to pass that 'Cahna-Bal,' the 'Priest of Baal,' is the established word in our own tongue for a devourer of human flesh . - p. 232.

'Cannibal' is, of course, the word being referred to. This is also a combination of the words 'Cain' and 'Baal', Cain being the first Adamite to become a Baal-worshipper.

If Hislop is correct, then the Baal priesthood lifted part of the Judahite ritual for animal sacrifice and incorporated this aspect with regard to human sacrifice as well. The Israelites who were taken captive to Babylon in 586 B.C. were entering into an entirely different world! Although numerous Israelite prophets and priests had previously condemned Israel and Judah for engaging in Baal customs, the Babylonian captivity was certain to test their own religion very greatly. From a twentieth century perspective, it would be like the Pennsylvania Amish being relocated to New York City. Christians should take note that all of the afore-mentioned barbaric Babylonian practices are being promoted again today: prostitution, abortion, homosexuality, paganism. Babylon has, indeed, been reborn.

The time period we are dealing with in this chapter is roughly from 600 to 450 B.C. There are twelve books of the Bible which fall into this historical period. These are Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Jeremiah, Lamentations, Ezekiel, Daniel, Obadiah, Haggai, Zechariah, and Malachi. In addition, the Captivity Oracles (chapters 40 - 66) of the Book of Isaiah are believed to refer to this time because of their references to King Cyrus, the Persian king who overthrew the Babylonian Empire.

Lamentations is a dirge on the woes of the Judahites, and, generally speaking, the Books of Obadiah, Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi, and the Captivity Oracles are books of prophecy and morals. Regarding our purpose of tracing the lineages of the Israelites versus the Jews, there is within these books almost no information.

(There are several books within the **Jerusalem Bible** which are not considered canonical by Protestant denominations. However, these books, such as Judith, Baruch, and I and II Maccabees are indispensable for the historical information they provide. They are found usually in the **Apocrypha** and will be discussed at the end of this chapter.)

Unfortunately, little is given to us within these books to help us trace the movements of the House of Judah. For the most part, these Books deal with

prophecies concerning Israel's future. Daniel and Ezekiel prophesy well into the future, both prophets speaking of the latter days before the Second Coming and/or Day of Judgment.

With some patient reconstruction, however, the historical record of the Judahite captivity and post-captivity periods can be traced within these eleven books down to about 450 B.C. Valuable clues emerge which enable us to understand the mindset of the Judahites of this period. Their racial, Israelitish pride remained with them throughout this period, but the transplantation of the chosen people philosophy to an alien culture was to result in the adoption of that same philosophy by the Baal-worshippers.

One of the compromises made by Idumean Baal-worshippers of this period was the eventual acceptance of Hebrew monotheism. This resulted in the appearance of a new religion altogether. This new religion combined certain elements of Hebrewism with elements of Baal-worship. It is this religion which subsequently became known as Judaism . Its seed began germinating in the Babylonian Empire during the captivity of the Judahites, Benjamites, and Levites. The principal monarch of Babylon at this time was Nebuchadnezzar.

Of the twelve Books mentioned above, only two were written by captive prophets. These two are Ezekiel and Daniel.

Ezekiel is not a history book. Except for the first sentence of the Book, which tells us of his captivity in Babylonia by the river of Chebar, little is given about the circumstances of the Judahites. Ezekiel is a prophet of the future. One of his prophecies concerned the impending doom of Jerusalem. This was to occur very soon. According to **Halley's Bible Handbook** , Ezekiel was taken into captivity in 597 B.C. He predicted Jerusalem's destruction in 592 B.C. and Jerusalem fell 6 years later in 586 B.C.

Ezekiel is full of prophecies, visions and diatribes against Israel's unfaithfulness to her own religion, Lord, and Covenants. If nothing else, the Book of Ezekiel proves that the tribes of Israel always had priests and prophets commanding them to remain true to their faith no matter what the circumstances. The crimes of seed-mixing and idolatry were not to be

excused; and Israel's ultimate redemption is dependent upon their faithfulness (obedience to God's Law and Covenants).

Ezekiel's prophecies become more important with respect to Israel's future. As will be demonstrated later, the fact that the Anglo-Saxons fulfill his prophecies - not the Jews - makes our case even stronger.

The Book of Daniel contains a little bit of history. Daniel was among the first group of Judahite captives (604 B.C.). He was to play a role in Babylon similar to that of Joseph in Egypt. He became advisor to King Nebuchadnezzar and dwelt in the palace. He interpreted the disturbing dream of Nebuchadnezzar. Several other events involving different Babylonian kings are mentioned, but most of these are also prophetic in nature. Like Ezekiel, Daniel exhibits and demands a faithfulness from the exiles as well as from all Israel. Chapter 1 records Daniel's refusal to eat forbidden food. Chapter 3 records the casting into the fiery furnace of Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego for refusing to worship Nebuchadnezzar as a god. Chapter 6 records Daniel being thrown into the lion's den, again for adhering to Yahweh's laws and not to those of Babylon.

It was not uncommon in those days for one group of people to adopt the customs and beliefs of another group. Usually, the vanquished people adopted the beliefs of the conquerors; but the doctrine of chosenness must have had a great appeal to Babylon's indigenous priesthood; and it must have aroused their jealousy when Nebuchadnezzar twice proclaimed Daniel's god superior to Baal (Chapters 2 and 3). But this envy was to bode ill for the human race for a long time to come because it led to the creation of a theocratic and chauvinistic culture which became a source of trouble to all people from that point on. Perhaps the most destructive element of their tradition is the Babylonian system of usury. It is well known that usury is forbidden by Scriptural Law (Neh. 5:7, Deut. 23:19, etc.), yet the Jews have been and are its most ardent practitioners. (See also the prophecy of Deut. 28:43, 44.)

Also, at the end of the 70 years captivity, not all the Judahites returned to Palestine, so it is quite likely that some Judahites and/or mixed descendants of Judahites joined with these Babylonian priests to create what we know today as Judaism.

In anticipation of this development, Jeremiah 24, in the parable of the good figs and the bad figs, has this to say:

Thus saith the Lord, the God of Israel; Like these good figs, so will I acknowledge them that are carried away captive of Judah, whom I have sent out of this place into the land of the Chaldeans for their good. For I will set mine eyes upon them for good, and I will bring them again to this land: and I will build them, and not pull them down; and I will plant them, and not pluck them up. And I will give them an heart to know me, that I am the Lord: and they shall be my people, and I will be their God: for they shall return to me with their whole heart. - Verses 5 - 7.

In addition to the possibility of the Babylonian priesthood adopting a chosen people philosophy, there is another group of people that served as the breeding ground for Judaism. Arthur A. Pachkofsky, in his booklet, Israel and Judah, points out that the remnant which was left in Palestine and not carried away had begun intermarrying with local Canaanites. He speaks of two groups of bad figs: One portion had intermarried with the heathen round about them and had accepted the heathen worship prior to the Babylonian captivity. In the light of all the warnings from Jeremiah, they refused to listen and told Jeremiah that they would worship the Queen of Heaven instead of God. They fled to Egypt and were destroyed by the Lord. (See Jer. 44:17-19.) The other portion either remained in the Middle East and returned to Judea or were scattered before the time of Christ.

The Books of Ezra and Nehemiah are our best source for what happened in Palestine immediately following the captivity.

Now in the first year of Cyrus king of Persia...he made a proclamation throughout all his kingdom...saying...he hath charged me to build him an

house at Jerusalem, which is in Judah . - Ezra, 1:1, 2.

Thus ended the 70 years captivity in Babylon and began the period of reconstruction in the land of Judah. Note the phrase, in Judah, showing the use of the word 'Judah' connoting a country rather than the tribe.

Continuing now with Pachkofsky:

Another portion of Judah which remained in Palestine after the deportation to Babylon had intermarried with all the heathen who moved into Palestine. Of these people, history records the following: 'The Edomites, the Ammorites, the Ashodites, and the Samaritans intermarried with the older Jewish [sic] *population, a residue of which had remained in the country in spite of all that had happened.' These half-breed pagan people gave a friendly reception to the returning exiles from Babylonia.* - p 16.

Technically, the older Jewish population was still Judahites, Benjamites, and Levites; but due to their intermarriage with non-Israelites, they were becoming a mixed breed. Previous to this time, there was no serious intermingling of Hebrewism and other religions.

Bertrand L. Comparet, in his booklet entitled *Your Heritage* , gives us an interesting perspective on this subject:

Jesus constantly rebuked the Jews for their apostasy for setting aside the Laws of God in favor of the Tradition of the Elders. This Talmudic Judaism was very different from the religion which we find in the Old Testament. The

late Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, Chief Rabbi of the United States, expressed it so clearly that I cannot improve upon his words. He said: ' ***THE RETURN FROM BABYLON, AND THE ADOPTION OF THE BABYLONIAN TALMUD MARKS THE END OF HEBREWISM, AND THE BEGINNING OF JUDAISM.*** *'*

Since the true religion of the Old Testament was the religion of the real Hebrews (NOT JEWS), the learned Rabbi was quite right in calling it 'Hebrewism' and noting that it came to its end when the Talmud (then called the Tradition of the Elders) was adopted; and that this WAS THE BEGINNING OF A NEW RELIGION - 'JUDAISM,' (or BABYLONIANISM.) - p. 41, 42.

Psalms 50:16 and 17 offer an interesting foresight into this development:

But unto the wicked God saith, What hast thou to do to declare my statutes, or that thou shouldest take my covenant in thy mouth? Seeing thou hatest instruction, and castest my words behind thee .

It is further admitted by Jewish scholars that Jews constitute a mixed breed. Pastor Dan Gayman, in his booklet entitled *The Two Seeds of Genesis 3:15* , states:

Isaiah 34:5 describes a portion of the Esau-Edomite line and calls them ***Idumea, the people of my curse*** *...Herod was an Edomite and you know that he ordered male children of the* ***seed of promise*** *, of the* ***Woman's seed destroyed*** *. The Herodians were Idumeans and Idumeans were Edomites.*

*The **Jewish Encyclopedia** , 1925, Vol. 5, page 41, states ' **Edom is in modern Jewry** ,' this is also corroborated by the **Biblica Enc** ., Vol. 2, Col. 1187* . - p 17.

It must be realized that this Edomite connection applies mainly to the Sephardic Jews of the Middle East and not necessarily to the Ashkenazi Jews of southern Russia.

David Chilton, in an article entitled *The Beast and the False Prophet (Revelation 13)* , echoes Gayman's comments.

It is important to remember that Judaism is <u>not</u> Old Testament religion, but rather a rejection of the biblical faith altogether in favor of the Pharisaical, Talmudic heresy. Like many modern cults, it claims to be based on the Bible; but its actual authority comes from the traditions of men. Jesus was quite clear: Judaism denies <u>Christ</u> because it denies <u>Moses</u> ...(see Matt. 5:17-20; 15:1-9; Mark 7:1-13; Luke 16:29-31; John 5:45-47; 8:42-47) . - June 1985 issue of the **Foundation Commentator** , p. 4.

Howard B. Rand in his booklet, *David's Greater Son* , says:

We now assert unequivocally, as the result of a painstaking study of the genealogical tables and an analysis of the various branches of peoples who trace their ancestry back to Judah, that in one branch of the descendants of Judah alone - and in that instance as the result of a mutation of the bloodstream - will we find the Jews. The origin of the Jews does not in reality antedate the return from the Babylonian Captivity . - p. 10.

('Mutation' is obviously a poor choice of words for Rand. 'Racial fornication' or 'miscegenation' would have been better choices since 'mutation' is generally understood to connote a genetic change of a chemical nature, not interracial intercourse.)

As a concluding quotation on this theme, E. Raymond Capt states in his book, **Missing Links Discovered In Assyrian Tablets** :

After the Babylonian Empire was overthrown by Cyrus, king of the Persians, the exiled Judeans were allowed to return to their homeland. Of the hundreds of thousands originally taken captive, less than 50,000 accepted the invitation to return to Palestine. It is this 'remnant' at became known as the 'Jews', a name meaning 'remnant of Judah,' and never having been applied to any branch of the Semitic peoples prior to the Babylonian Captivity. (The name 'Jew' is a mistranslation of the 'Ioudaios,' meaning from, or being of: as a country - Judea, and 'Ioudaismos,' meaning Judaism: the religion of the Jews. The name 'Jew' cannot be correctly utilized to designate any of the other 'Lost Tribes of Israel'.)

It should also be pointed out that the mass of the Lost Tribes never returned to Palestine, contrary to what some researchers have claimed. As will be thoroughly demonstrated later, post-captivity Palestine was occupied by Judah, Benjamin, Levi, and small remnants of other tribes.

During the Babylonian Captivity, Edomites settled in Jerusalem and they together with the Babylonians who migrated with the Israelites to Palestine and the returning Judeans collectively, became known as the 'Nation of the

Jew.' Modern Jewry includes a further in-mixing with the Mongol-Turkish people (Khazar kingdom of Russia that contained some infusion of Hebrews-Jews of the Diaspora). A great majority of the Jews today are Semites only in speech. - p. 93.

Here, Capt understands that the word *Jew* is derived from *Ioudaios* , not *Yehudi* . '*Jew* ' is obviously the short form of 'Judean' and not 'Judahite' because the word refers to all inhabitants of Judea at that time, including Canaanites and Israelites. Since it is utterly confusing to have a word refer to both Israelites and non-Israelites at the same time, it only makes sense to use the word *Jew* to apply to those who still use it today: the modern Jews . Of course, these Jews are the descendants of the Sephardic Edomites and Canaanites. Hence, the word *Jew* applies exclusively to these non-Judahites. The Jews have always been a polyglot nation of numerous mixed ethnicities, while the Judahites of the land of Judah were always the exclusive, racially homogenous descendants of the patriarch Judah. The mongrelized Jews are always seeking to justify their own mongrelization by claiming that the tribe of Judah itself was mongrelized . That is a patent lie. We will see later that the true Judahites of Judea rejected Herod because he was an Idumean. This included public demonstrations against him by the Judahites of Jerusalem. True Judah tried to have him removed from office, but Herod was vassal of Rome; and Rome was using him to control the land of Judea.

Here we have the beginning of ***the great impersonation*** : the claim by those Edomites known as Jews to being Israel, the Chosen People. As is being demonstrated herein, this claim cannot be made on the basis of descent from the tribes of Israel. And since the vast majority (85 - 95%) of modern Jewry is made up of those of Khazar (Ashkenazi) lineage (which contains no Israelite blood whatsoever), the ancestral claim by the Zionists to the land of Palestine is ludicrous, at best. As we approach the end-times, the political consequences of this fact can only be disastrous for Zionism. Can you can imagine Jerry Falwell and Pat Robertson and all the other pro-Zionist TV evangelists exposing the truth to America's Christians that the Jews are impostors who want to steal Christian America's Israelite

inheritance? Not likely. The historical blackout will continue but it will not succeed. As the poet said: *Truth will out* .

The Book of Ezra provides much valuable information regarding the struggle of the post-captivity Judahites to maintain a pure line of descent. The first thing that Ezra did was to order a registration of pedigree, and those who could not prove themselves to be pure-bred Judahites were cast out of the priesthood (2:62). In addition, all non-Israelite wives and the offspring of their marriages were put away and cast out of the territory (10:2, 3).

The Book of Nehemiah records the same events (7:64, 13:22).

It is apparent to us, however, that in spite of this house-cleaning, some members of the priesthood resumed their forbidden affairs, and by the time of Christ, 450 years later, the Pharisees, the leading faction of the priesthood, were not all pure-bred Israelites. This does not mean, however, that the common people of Judah, Benjamin, and Levi were as guilty of intermarriage as this faction of the priesthood. Indeed, were we lacking evidence, we would have to accept it on faith that both Joseph and Mary were pure-bred Israelites, most likely descended from the stock of simple, devout folk who traditionally do not engage in the sins of those who occupy positions of power and great temptation. The fact that the New Testament makes a point of listing Joseph's genealogy all the way from Adam is evidence that Matthew (Chapter 1) and Luke (Chapter 3) took the matter of genealogy very seriously even though some priests merely regarded it as a rule to be broken and/or disregarded.

A similar situation has obtained today within the Catholic Church wherein some dioceses (San Diego, for example) tolerate homosexuals within their ranks even though homosexuality is strictly prohibited by the Law. We find here that the traditional Catholic is outraged by such laxity of faith and morals. (As is the case with all long-established religions, corruption of the priesthood is a likely development.)

The only remaining Old Testament book relevant to this time period is the Book of Esther; but, before continuing with the Book of Esther, a few

points must be made concerning the cultural changes which were going on among the Judahites at this time.

Due to the deportation of most of Israel from Palestine, the Hebrew language fell into disuse. It was replaced by the Syrian tongue, Aramaic, which is said to be very similar to Hebrew. Aramaic is the language which was spoken in Judea at the time of Christ.

It was also during the Captivity of Judah that the synagogue came into existence. Since the great Temple of Jerusalem was destroyed, the scattered communities of Judahites erected smaller buildings to conduct their worship. Apparently, before this time, there was only the one Temple. Those Israelites living too far away from the Temple to go there on the Sabbath had to get together wherever they were to hold study groups on the Mosaic Law. The synagogue is quite obviously the forerunner of the Christian churches.

What has been previously referred to here as Hebrewism is more correctly called Mosaism because Moses is the actual compiler and administrator of the Law. Before Moses, there was no codified law and the Hebrews were more a distinct cultural group rather than a distinct religious group. This was changed by Moses. It is Mosaism which is always erroneously referred to as Judaism. As will be shown later, Judaism traces its origins to the Sadducees, Scribes, and Pharisees. It was these sects that changed Mosaism to the extent that Jesus condemned their doctrines as the Traditions of the Elders . This fact is of the utmost importance in exposing the historical fraud being perpetrated by those calling themselves Jews. **Mosaic Law was altered by the Scribes and Pharisees. The Rabbis are the inheritors of their traditions. The Talmud is the written catalog of their traditions; and Judaism is the religious philosophy and culture which is the direct result of these traditions** .

Furthermore, due to the scattering of the Judahites from Babylon to Alexandria, Egypt, there began to arise numerous additional sects, many of which developed non-Mosaic and even anti-Mosaic teachings of their own. So, keeping these major cultural changes in mind, let us continue with our history of the House of Judah.

The Book of Esther tells the story of a Benjamite woman (Esther 2:5-7) who is taken as a wife by King Ahasuerus (probably Xerxes I) of Persia. It is the story of her loyalty to her people as well as the story of Mordecai's loyalty to his people (Israel). The Book of Esther illustrates very well the types of intrigues which occur within the halls of government when different groups of people vie for power and influence with the leadership. Judaism has taken the Book of Esther for its own and still celebrates the Feast of Purim which commemorates Mordecai's victory over Haman, the Agagite (Amelekite), contender with Mordecai for influence over Ahasuerus.

The Book of Esther records that Mordecai is a Benjamite. The text does not make an issue of whether he is pure-bred or not; but Josephus, the Judahite historian, does tell us that Mordecai refused to pay homage to Haman because Haman was an Amelekite; and the Amelekites had always been Israel's bitterest enemy. (Chapter 6, **Antiquities of the Jews**). Mordecai is, therefore, a pure-bred Judahite and, hence, <u>not</u> a Jew. Indeed, Josephus carries on the theme of the Old Testament by documenting the lineage of Israel's leadership very carefully. This lineage is always held distinct from the lineage of the surrounding Canaanites.

Esther regarded her own fate with a great deal of tragedy, for as a seed-conscious Israelite, she could only be in a great deal of distress. In her own words: *...and so I will go in unto the king, which is not according to the law: and if I perish, I perish* . - Est. 4:16. Her apparent choice is simple: either lie with the king or face his wrath. Of course, the fate of Israel has always depended upon how our women choose.

(It should be pointed out here that several Identity researchers, such as Bertrand Comparet and Wesley Swift, consider the Book of Esther to be a forgery, an invention of Jewish writers who wished to insert this story into the Bible. The story, as presented in the Bible, however, does not at all detract from the Israelite message.)

At this point in Judea's history, a Jew is technically defined as a half-breed Judahite. The Jew is usually part Judahite and part Idumean or part Canaanite. Although Josephus is relating the history of Judea as well as the Tribe of Judah, his intent is clearly to relate primarily the history of Judah

and the relevant Israelite tribes that were associated with Judah. Considering these problems, the title of his book should be *Antiquities of the Judahites* .

One thing is clear, however. The half-breed child of an Israelite and a non-Israelite would be a Jew, not an Israelite. This is the undeniable Law of the Old Testament. An Israelite must have both parents be of pure-bred descent or he/she cannot be an Israelite. It's as simple as that. Only such a child can claim to be of the chosen. All others who make the claim are impostors.

The best data available to us between the time of Esther down to the Maccabees is that of Josephus. Let us pick up with Josephus where he relates the story of the prophets Ezra (Esdras) and Nehemiah. He documents the valiant struggles of the Judahites to remain a distinct people and kingdom. (It is interesting to note, in this regard, that, true to their half-breed status, Judaism requires that only the mother be Jewish for the child to be considered a Jew. This is an obviously anti-Mosaic tradition! -- and, therefore, anti-Israel and anti-Biblical!)

Two important statements are found in Chapter 5 of the Antiquities: *...Esdras...was very skillful in the laws of Moses...* This shows us that knowledge of the Mosaic law was still something honored and respected at this time, in spite of occasional lapses by the priesthood.

In confirmation of our tracing of the history of the Ten Lost Tribes, Josephus says: *wherefore there are but two tribes in Asia and Europe subject to the Romans, while the ten tribes are beyond Euphrates till now, and are an immense multitude, and not to be estimated by numbers.*

Chapter 6 of **Antiquities** confirms the existence of King Ahasuerus, a fact doubted by many, including Douglas Reed, author of **The Controversy of Zion** . William Whiston, translator of the **Complete Works of Josephus** , says in a footnote: *almost all the objections against this book of Esther are gone at once, if we place this history under Artaxerxes Longimanus, as do both the Septuagint interpreters and Josephus* .

The Book of Esther leaves off at approximately 450 to 430 B.C. In order to tell the story of the Judahites from this point to the time of Christ, we must

turn again to secular history (Josephus, Pliny, and Philo, the Alexandrian Judahite) and the Apocrypha as well as other Judahite literature such as the Pseudepigrapha and the Dead Sea Scrolls. The Pseudepigrapha are distinguished from the Apocrypha by the fact that neither Protestants nor Catholics consider them canonical although they are nevertheless Israelite literature. The Apocrypha are considered canonical by Catholics.

The history of the House of Judah from 430 B.C. down to the time of Christ is divided into four periods:

The Persian Period, 430 to 332.

The Greek Period, 331 to 167.

The Maccabean Period, 166 to 63.

The Roman Period, 63 to Christ and after.

Continuing now with Josephus:

Chapter 8 relates how the Syrians were defeated by Alexander the Great and how the Judahites concluded an alliance with him. Relevant to our documentation of the genealogical history of these Judahites is a statement concerning the Samaritans, a group of Syrians who lived just north of Judea:

...the Samaritans...seeing that Alexander had greatly honored the Jews [sic], *determined to profess themselves Jews; for such is the disposition of the Samaritans, as we have already elsewhere declared, that when the Jews are in adversity they deny that they are of kin to them, and then they confess the*

truth; but when they perceive that some good fortune hath befallen them they immediately pretend to have communion with them, saying, that they belong to them, and derive their genealogy from the posterity of Joseph, Ephraim, and Manasseh .

This Jewish duplicity is evident to us today as well, for in America they fervently praise the "separation of church and state" while in Israel, they are Jewish despots practicing a Jewish theocracy. While having complete freedom of religion here in America, the Jews hypocritically prosecute Christians who try to evangelize Jews in Israeli, with a five-year imprisonment if convicted.

Here in the story of the Samaritans we get another clue as to how the impostor group is coming into being. Again, the use of the word '*Jew* ' for both '*Judahite* ' and '*Judean* ' by the translator is a good example of not keeping distinctions clear. The English word '*Jew* ' did not come into existence until a much later time in history when the confusion of the terms '*Judean* ' and '*Judahite* ' by various historians had become commonplace. The German word '*Jude* ' {pronounced You-Deh} is subject to the same problems. The Samaritans, as pretend Judahites, were simply perceived by outsiders to be Judeans. They claimed to be such, unless, of course, there was no political gain to be made therefrom. Many of these same Samaritans eventually were absorbed into Jewry, although their origin is most likely that of half-breed Israelites of the tribe of Joseph.

Even at this point in time, the Judahites referred to themselves as Judah, not as Judeans. A good modern parallel is the word 'German' which is used exclusively by outsiders to refer to the German people, who refer to themselves as 'Deutsch.' The Greek word '*Ioudaios* ', meaning Judean, is incorrectly translated as meaning Jew, for the word 'Jew' implies a different meaning than would either '*Judean* ' or '*Judahite* .'

W.G. Finlay, late editor of the periodical, THE COVENANT MESSAGE, has this to say regarding this great impersonation: *According to Josephus* - ***Antiquities of the Jews*** - *the name 'Jew' first came into existence with what*

is generally called 'the return from the Babylonian captivity'...I find it very significant that prior to this time, the word 'Jew' was unknown. Why, in the Masoretic text - which is a Jewish work - is there no reference to 'Abraham the Jew' or 'Moses the Jew'? This surely tells us something but so few are prepared to open their eyes and see the depth of the masquerade which is having such a disastrous effect on the TRUE Israel people.

W.N. Saxon, in his booklet, *The Mask of Edom* , says

, Judaism's masquerade as Biblical Judah and, moreover, as all Israel - which is the basis of Jewish Nationalism - becomes patently counterfeit when placed in juxtaposition with the historical record of the true Houses of Israel and Judah. Even a brief summary will show the contrast between the descendants of Biblical Jacob-Israel as opposed to the alien posterity of the cabal which claims for itself not only the Promised Land but the very name and inheritance of Israel . - p. 8.

Envy by the Cananites over the Israelites and their heritage is recorded in the Book of Psalms:

For, lo, thine enemies make a tumult: and they that hate thee have lifted up the head. They have taken crafty counsel against thy people, and consulted against thy hidden ones. They have said, Come, and let us cut them off from being a nation; that the name of Israel may be no more in remembrance. For they have consulted together with one consent: they are confederate against thee. - 83:2-5.

But this envy is nothing more than the lust Esau has for recovering the inheritance which he so foolishly and steadfastly rejected. The Edomites are confederate against true Israel because Esau, who is still in rebellion against God's Law , is the forefather and the animating spirit behind Edom and Judaism.

In addition to Josephus, I and II Maccabees tell us of the struggles of the Judahite leadership to liberate themselves from Greek domination. They should be read in conjunction with Josephus in order to get a full appreciation of the difficulties, in terms of internal squabbling, Canaanite intrigues, and external military threats that the Judahites faced. (The reader should understand that what is being retold here in this chapter is a mere sketch of this period of history, and only the most salient points are being discussed. Reading Josephus and Maccabees is highly encouraged.)

Upon the death of Alexander the Great, the fortunes of Judah changed for the worse. Alexander's empire was divided among his generals, and two of them, in turn, fought over Judea. In response to the invasion of Antiochus the Great, the Maccabeans revolted and gained independence from the Greeks at the cost of ongoing warfare.

During this time, there was continuous struggling among the Judahites for the High Priesthood, and much intrigue resulted with one faction allying themselves with Antiochus while the other faction allied themselves with Ptolemy of Egypt. It was at this time that the sects known as the Sadducees, Pharisees, and Essenes arose; and the story of the House of Judah cannot be appreciated without understanding the power struggle that was going on among them.

Very briefly, the Sadducees can be said to be pro-Greek. The Sadducees usually consisted of the Judahite upper class and the reigning High Priest. These were influential people holding positions of political power. More than likely, they favored the cosmopolitan life-style of the Greeks, with its games and spectacles and sophistication, to the rigid doctrines of the Mosaic Law. The Pharisees, on the other hand, held sway over the common people of Judah; and it seems that their power was not to be denied. Several Judahite leaders, such as John Hyrcanus I and Alexander Janneus, had their lives made difficult by opposing the Pharisees. The Essenes seemed to be a

more monastic group which included a branch of Cenobites who took vows of celibacy. It is apparently the Essenes who took most seriously their Israelite heritage.

The Samaritans, seeing the difficulties of the Judahites discontinued, for the time being, renewed their claim of kinship to Judah.

Judas Maccabeus arose as a great spiritual and military leader and successfully fought off Antiochus and even subdued the Idumeans and Ammonites to the south. Judas was so successful that he nearly regained all the territory which belonged to the Twelve Tribes before the captivity!

But the priesthood was falling more and more into corruption. Josephus relates that *Alcimus, who was not of the high priest stock,* became High Priest. The continuous warfare was taking its toll on Judah's spiritual life. The situation grew worse and worse until, finally, Antipater, an Idumean, gained influence with John Hyrcanus, a Maccabean. Antipater talked Hyrcanus into conquering Idumea and making Judea and Idumea one kingdom, thus tending to mingle the populations again. Antipater's design was to get the nation of Judah allied with Rome and then use his already great influence with Julius Caesar to force the Judahites into his multicultural schemes. It was at this point that the Idumeans, with Roman protection, began infiltrating Judah , for a purely Judahite priesthood would never have accepted Idumeans as fellow citizens. It is inconceivable that the Levitical priesthood - after all, a HEREDITARY priesthood sworn NOT to intermarry with other nations - would suddenly volunteer to accept Edomite scribes and priests! The idea is utterly absurd, but the Jews must maintain this pretense in order to justify their race-mixed status. By the time of Christ, the Babylonian/Idumean influence on the scribes and Pharisees had already allowed them to accept non-Israelite members, otherwise, Alcimus would never have become high priest. John Hyrcanus' anti-Mosaic pact with Idumea permitted them to stay in their country if they submitted to circumcision and subjected themselves to the religious rules and regulations of the high priests. This act was an egregious violation of Deut. 7:2, which specifically states that the Israelites are NOT to make any covenants with Canaanites. Idumea was always a Canaanite nation.

This merging of the Bible's two ***enmity nations*** was, of course, a disastrous development in terms of distinguishing Judahites from Canaanites. Of course, this is exactly what these Edomites wanted: to institute a policy of racial confusion. In a footnote to Josephus, translator Whiston says

This account of the Idumeans admitting circumcision, and the entire Jewish [Pharisaic] law, from this time, or from the days of Hyrcanus, is confirmed by their entire history afterwards...Ammonius, a grammarian, says: -'The Jews [Judahites] *are such by nature, and from the beginning, whilst Idumeans were not Jews* [sic] *from the beginning , but Phoenicians and Syrians; but being afterwards subdued by the Jews* [Judahites] *and compelled to be circumcised, and to unite into one nation, and be subject to the same laws, they were called Jews.* ' Dio also says: - '*That country is also called Judea, and the people Jews* [sic]*; and this name is given also to as many as embrace their religion, though of other nations.'* - **Antiquities** , Chap. 9.

What an excellent commentary and verification of our main argument: that a non-Israelite people called Jews adopted, by virtue of their half-breed relationship to Israel, some Israelite customs and kept these as tokens of the grand identity theft that is Judaism! Also clearly expressed here is how the distinction between Judahites (Israelites) and Judeans became blurred, eventually to be forgotten by the Christian world, with the word '*Jew* ' substituting for both! Indeed, had these developments not taken place, the prophecy concerning Israel's lost identity would never have been fulfilled. **While the modern Jews, the inheritors of the Traditions of the Elders, have claimed continuity with Israel from that day forward, true Israel, the Anglo-Saxons plus the remnant of Judah, eventually forgot who they were and lost their identity!**

It is one of the most important marks of Israel that God foretells true Israel's forgetfulness . The Anglo-Saxons have indeed forgotten their true heritage. In contradistinction, the Jews have always claimed to be the Chosen People! So, who is true Israel, those who fulfill the prophecy or those do not?

John Hyrcanus was succeeded by Aristobulus I and then by Alexander Janneus, who was a most cruel and corrupt monarch. He made a habit of killing many of his own people and is reported to have crucified eight hundred Judahites at one time and slit the throats of their wives and children while they watched from their crosses!

Alexander was succeeded by his wife, Alexandra, who made a league with the Pharisees. She did this because Alexander, on his death bed told her that all his troubles were due to having opposed the Pharisees and joining ranks with the Sadducees. He thus advised her to cease hostilities with the Pharisees if she did not wish to fall into the same tragedy.

Alexandra was succeeded by her son, John Hyrcanus II. But, Hyrcanus II and his brother, Aristobulus II, fought with each other over the throne, Aristobulus II realizing that the nation was being taken over by non-Israelites. But then the Romans entered the scene and concluded a pact with Hyrcanus II and Antipater, the Idumean, thus defeating Aristobulus II.

Upon Hyrcanus II's death, his sons, in turn, struggled to regain the leadership, but Antipater murdered two of them and finally installed his own son, Herod, as monarch of Judea. Judah was at its ebb. Around 60 B.C., Herod the Great, a half-breed Idumean Jew (and now the word is correctly applied), became petrarch of Judea, a collector of tribute money for the Romans.

Thus ended the valiant struggle of the Judahites to remain a distinct people and culture under their own rule . It is important for us to realize that the struggle to maintain the genealogical purity of Israel continued even down to the time of Christ. In spite of all the corruption and seed-mixing at the upper echelons, there were still numerous pockets of pure-bred Israelites in and around Judea, especially of the tribe of Benjamin. One of these settlements, known as Nazareth, was to be the home of Jesus, the Messiah.

After the return from captivity, the remnant of the House of Judah had to perform its function of bringing the Messiah into the world. Its leadership down to the time of Christ was accepted by a succession of prophets, priests, kings, priest-kings, and national heroes. For the record, the

succession of pure-bred Judahites that ruled the House of Judah during this period is given here:

The Judahite Succession of Leadership

Judahite Leader	Associated Contemporary Leader
Persian Period	
Zerubabel	Cyrus
Ezra (Esdras)	Darius
Nehemiah	Xerxes
Eliasib	Cyrus (Artaxerxes Longimanus)
Judas	Cyrus (Artaxerxes Longimanus)
John	Cyrus (Artaxerxes Longimanus)
Greek Period	
Jaddua	Alexander the Great
Manasseh	Ptolemy (Son of Lagus)
Onias I	Ptolemy (Son of Lagus)
Simon	Ptolemy (Son of Lagus)
Eleazar	Ptolemy Philadelphus

Note: *The Ptolemies are the Greek kings of Egypt who reigned after Alexander the Great. Ptolemy Philadelphus commissioned Eleazar to translate the Hebrew books into Greek for the Alexandrian Hebrew community and for his own library. Eleazar sent 70 – or possibly seventy-two - scribes to Alexandria. They produced what is now called the Septuagint, a translation into Greek of the Old Testament (260 B.C.).*

Greek Period Cont.

Manasseh	Ptolemy Philadelphus
Onias II	Antiochus the Great of Syria
Joseph	Antiochus the Great of Syria
Hyrcanus	Antiochus the Great of Syria
Onias III (Menelaus)	Antiochus the Great of Syria
Jesus (Jason)	Antiochus Epiphanes

Note: *(Antiochus Epiphanes destroys Jerusalem in 168 B.C. and the Maccabeans revolt, thus regaining independence for Judah.)*

Greek Period Cont.

Mattathias	Antiochus Epiphanes
Judas Maccabeus	Antiochus Epiphanes
Alcimus (Jacimus)	Antiochus Epiphanes
Onias IV (fled to Egypt)	Ptolemy Philometor of Egypt

Note: *The Essenes, Sadducees and Pharisees began contending for religious and civil power during this period, 170 BC to 70 AD.*

Greek Period Cont.

Jonathan	Ptolemy Philometer of Egypt
Simon	Ptolemy Philometer of Egypt
John Hyrcanus I	Ptolemy Philometer of Egypt

Note: *Idumeans are made Judeans - and are made Edomite "Jews" as the term is properly used - by virtue of coming under Judean civic and priestly rule, <u>but this does not make them Judahites</u> . Circumcision of Idumean males begins, 121 B.C.*

Greek Period Cont.

Aristobulus I	Ptolemy Philometer
Alexander Janneus	Ptolemy Philometer
Alexandra	Ptolemy Philometer
John Hyrcanus II	Ptolemy Philometer

Roman Period

Aristobulus II Julius Caesar

Note: *Aristobulus II deposed his brother, Hyrcanus II; but he was later poisoned by Antipater, father of Herod, thus restoring Hyrcanus II to the throne.*

Roman Period Cont.

John Hyrcanus II Julius Caesar

Note: *Hyrcanus II regains the throne with the help of Antipater. He is the last Israelite to rule Judah. - 63 B.C.*

Roman Period Cont.

Antipater Julius Caesar

Note: *Antipater was appointed procurator of Judea by Caesar. This marks the beginning of Jewish ascendency over Israel. It also partially fulfils Isaac's prophecy to Esau (Edom) that one day Esau would break Jacob's yoke from off his neck. (Gen. 28:39-41.) The complete fulfillment of the prophecy did not occur until the impostor State of Israel was created in 1948. This story is covered in great detail in Chapter 12.*

Roman Period Cont.

Herod the Great Julius Ceasar

Note: *Antigonus struggles with Herod to regain the throne for Judah but is unsuccessful. He is killed by Mark Antony.*

It was to be the function of the good figs of the House of Judah to fulfill the prophecy of the coming of the Messiah. The bad figs, on the other hand, were to become a taunt and a curse in the various lands to which they were scattered; and the Jews, a totally different group of people altogether, were to cause trouble for the good figs in Judea and even to be responsible for the deicide on the cross. They were to dwell in Judea (Palestine) until 70 A.D. before being scattered themselves.

If the "bad figs" are considered to be the European descendants of the House of Judah which did not go into captivity for their own good, then it must be evident that the Jews had much influence over them as well, for all

of the subsequent nations of Europe, including Rome and Greece, were much influenced by the Jewish state-within-a-state technique. The "taunt" and "curse" language must be a reference to the corruption of the European monarchies which did business with the Jews to the detriment of their own people.

The time has come for the Messiah: **Mosaism in Judea is virtually dead. Judaism has taken its place. The Pharisees have gained control of religious and civic life. True Judah is struggling for its survival. Jews are usurping Judah's claim to the Covenant. God appears in human form to set the record straight** .

Chapter 11

The Nazarene

. . When the enemy shall come in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a standard against him. And the Redeemer shall come to Zion, and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob, saith the Lord. As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and for ever . - Isa. 59:19-21.

Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign; Behold, a virgin shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel . - Isa. 7:14.

But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting . - Micah 5:2.

I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel - Matt. 15:24.

Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil . - Matt. 5:17.

Lest Christians think it unimportant, the New Testament (Matt. 1:1-17) begins with the genealogy of Jesus Christ, from Abraham down to Joseph. In addition, Luke, Chapter 3, provides His genealogy in reverse order, from Jesus to Adam, all 77 generations, including God. Luke provides Yahshua's (Aramaic and Hebrew for "Jesus") lineage through Mary. This lineage goes through Nathan, son of David. Matthew provides the legal lineage of Joseph, Yahshua's adoptive father. This lineage goes through Solomon, son of David. There is no question of Mary being a pure-bred Judahite. Mary is that same virgin prophesied in Isaiah 7:14.

Now all this was done, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, saying, Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted is, God with us. - Matt. 1:22, 23. (For a thorough discussion of the genealogies of Matthew and Luke, see Howard B. Rand's pamphlet entitled *David's Greater Son* , pages 13 - 17.)

Nazareth was in the center of the province of Galilee. There are significant points to be made concerning both of these names.

First, Lamentations 4:7 reads:

Her Nazarites were purer than snow, they were whiter than milk, they were more ruddy in body than rubies...

The language is definitely descriptive of White people. A point to be brought up here is that Semitic statuary and coin visages do not show the hooked noses or slanted foreheads of the Jewish visage. The Semitic visage is clearly Nordic in appearance. Because the Jews falsely claim to be "Semites," everyone has been led to believe that a "Semite" has the hook-nosed, receding forehead appearance of an Edomite.

In Issue #10 of the **Covenant Answering Service** , W.G. Finlay states that the word 'Nazareth', has a genealogical connotation.

...it seems to me that it is more likely that the word 'Nazareth' originated from the Hebrew word 'nazar' than from any other source, such as 'netzer', a 'branch'...'nazar' means: 'to hold aloof...abstain...to set apart...' Thus 'Nazareth' would seem to indicate a people set apart, a pocket of true Israelites, of whom Christ could say that He 'came unto his own'.. . - p. 2.

According to Jacob E.Conner, in his book, **Christ was Not a Jew** , a European element had returned to Palestine two centuries before the coming of Christ.

These were the far-wandering Gauls who split off from the army of Brennus in 278-77 B.C., roamed over northern and southern Asia Minor, and finally settled in what became Galatia, named for their race, a name enshrined in the epistles of the Apostle Paul . - p. 27.

Apparently, the Gauls and Galatians were named after the province of Galilee, the stomping grounds of the tribe of Benjamin. Not that they were all Benjamites, but they identified with that territory, which was never polluted with Edomite blood. They Gauls were Israelites of the Lost Tribes, upon their return to Palestine, and they blended in with the local Zebulunites, Judahites, Benjamites, Levites, and Naphthalites, in addition to the Scythians (Lost Tribes) who had resettled in Galilee at Scythopolis several centuries before. Also, around 165 B.C., the Maccabeans drove the heathen out of the region of Galilee (I Maccabees 5:14-24); and thus secured the area for Israelites.

Verse 23 tells us that Simon, the Maccabee brought some rescued Israelites back to Judea with him; but it is quite probable that they returned as soon as it was deemed safe to return to their settlements. The important point for us is that the area known as Galilee was especially hospitable to Jesus Christ because the area had long been a stronghold of Israelite influence while at the same time was little subject to the influence of the Judean scribes and Pharisees . On the contrary, this northern province was the domain of the Essenes, the sect which, according to Josephus (**Antiquities** , Bk. VIII, Chap. 6, Para. 9; Bk. XVIII, Chap. 1), was the most traditional (Mosaic) and which firmly denied the authority of the Pharisees to tamper with the Law.

In connection with the Essenes, there has been and is presently going on, within the modern state of Israel, a gigantic cover-up with regard to the Dead Sea Scrolls, which are very likely the writings of the Essenes. We must ask the question: What do the Dead Sea Scrolls reveal about the status of the Pharisees, the Essenes, and Jesus Christ's relationship to the Essenes? Was John the Baptist, as some have suggested, an Essene high priest?

Charles F. Pfeiffer, in his book, **The Dead Sea Scrolls and the Bible** , says,

...the Essenes formed a separate brotherhood. They deemed themselves the only true (i.e. pure) Israel, and refused to cooperate with what they believed to be the corrupt religious observances of their day. - p. 44.

Werner Keller, in his epic **The Bible As History** tells us that

The Essenes...were members of an ascetic order who lived strictly in accordance with the law...This Jewish [sic] *monastic order, as their records now disclose, recognised as their head a 'Teacher of Righteousness' who had revealed to them a secret rule of life. Some scholars were at first inclined to say that Qumran* [the Essene community] *had strongly influenced John the Baptist, Jesus, and the early Church. This view, which caused such a stir at the time, has on closer investigation proved to be unfounded .* - p. 422.

If this view was unfounded, I wonder what it was that led those early scholars to that conclusion. Another Dead Sea Scroll researcher, Arthur E. Palumbo, Jr., also has misgivings:

It is rather disquieting to realize that the various conclusions contained in many thousands of books and articles about the scrolls, can only remain tentative until everything is published. Vermes says, '...the world is entitled to ask the authorities responsible...what they intend to do about this lamentable state of affairs. For unless drastic measures are taken at once, the greatest...of all Hebrew and Aramaic manuscript discoveries is likely to become the academic scandal ***par excellence*** *of the Twentieth century.* ' - p. 16.

An article in the August, 1981 issue of **The Covenant Message** might help to understand what it is that the Israelis wish to hide from the world. Regarding the Biblical matriarch, Sarah, author M. Stevens says:

In the seventh Dead Sea scroll, whoever wrote this extolled Sarah's perfections from head to foot and while it was written in prose poem, the description as it appeared in the news media was as follows:

'Her skin was pure white;

She had long and lovely hair;

Her limbs were smooth and rounded and

Her thighs were shapely;

She had slender legs and small feet;

Her hands were slim and long and so were

Her fingers.'

The reader can imagine what a boost would be given to the Christian Identity Movement if more of this type of material were released! What myth-destroying bombshells must be contained in the Dead Sea Scrolls! How many skeletons in the Jewish closet would be made bare for all to see!

Thus concluding this digression, we return to the subject of the Nazarene:

By the time of Christ, Galilee had developed an identity very distinct from that of Judea. Marilyn R. Allen, in her article entitled, *Jesus Was Not a Jew* , states *Josephus...describes the Galileans as a people wholly unlike the Jews in temperament and ideals - so different indeed that they could not have been of the same race. There was a taboo against intermarriage between them as recorded in the Jewish* ***Talmud*** *. In a word, Christ...was a Galilean, and the Galileans were not Jews.* - p. 2, **The CDL Report** , June, 1981. In Matt. 13:53, Matthew describes Jesus's relationship to Galilee as his own country. John 7:1 tells us, *After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in Jewry, because the Jews sought to kill him.* Peter, on the night that he thrice denied Christ, was once accused on account of his tell-tale, Galilean accent. (See Mark 14:70 and Luke 22: 58-60.)

So, within the country called Judea, whose people were known as Judeans, there was a distinction between Galileans and Jews proper as well as a distinction between Judahites and Jews. A modern parallel would be the reference to black inhabitants of America as Americans while at the same time they cannot be referred to as Whites. One is a geopolitical term while the other is a racial term.

Lauritz Larson, in a booklet entitled *Israel, Judah and the Jew,* states

In the days of Jesus, the Benjamites (Galileans) lived apart from, and were considered to be poor relations by the Jews; and it is evident that they fled from Jerusalem before the Romans captured it in 70 A.D. as was prophesied they would do. (Jer. 6:1; Hos. 5:8; Luke 21:20, 21.) - p.7.

It is evident that even down to this time, the different tribes of Israel maintained a distinct identity of their own; and this distinct heritage included a distinction between the House of David versus the House of Judah within the tribe of Judah.

Howard B. Rand, in his aforementioned booklet, states that the descendants of the House of David, the royal seed, did not engage in intermarriage with other seeds.

The genealogical table of our Lord Jesus Christ clearly indicates this to be a true fact. Furthermore, the Bible emphasizes that our Lord was of the House of David - not of the House of Judah - and certainly not of the 'mixed seed' represented in Jewry. Both Joseph and Mary were of the House of David . - p. 13.

Obviously, of all the families of the tribe of Judah, the familial heirs of David would guard their lineage most jealously.

Isaiah 11: 1 states,

There shall come forth a rod out of the stem of Jesse, and a Branch shall grow out of his roots.

Jesse is David's father. Jeremiah 23: 5-6 tells us,

Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, that I will raise unto David a righteous Branch and a King shall reign and prosper, and shall execute judgment and justice in the earth. In his days Judah shall be saved, and Israel shall dwell safely: and this is his name whereby he shall be called. THE LORD OUR RIGHTEOUSNESS .

At this point, it bears reiterating a previous observation: Nowhere in the Bible are the terms Jew and Israel used synonymously, and nowhere is there a direct statement saying that the Jews are Israel. As is very apparent from the Gospels and from this research, there is no identity between these two groups, and it is impossible to demonstrate from Scripture any identity between these groups.

Incidentally, Josephus, the chronicler of the history of Israel, especially the House of Judah, was of a pure-bred Judahite family. Josephus, in his autobiography, goes to great pains to demonstrate his lineage, testifying that he looked it up in the hall of records to verify it for himself. Although he was born in Jerusalem, he felt no alienation from the Galileans, for, approximately fifty years after the death of Christ, Josephus was the Governor of Galilee.

A point of clarification is in order here concerning the good figs and bad figs of Jeremiah. It will be recalled from the earlier chapter on the House of David that the good figs are those Judahites, including Jeconiah of the royal family, who submitted to the captivity in Babylon for their own good. The bad figs are either 1.) those who remained in Judea and began, to some extent, intermarrying with neighboring Idumeans and other Canaanites, or 2.) those who ultimately fled with Zedekiah's daughters into Egypt and/or

Spain and Ireland. It is the former component of the bad figs, who were of the mixed seed of Judea in the time of Christ . These were, typically, the ruling class of Judahites who began mingling with the Edomites for economic advantage. The same thing is going today, as wealthy and well-connected Whites have allied themselves with the Jewish overlords of society.

Also in this regard it is worth mentioning that those who most vehemently deny that Jesus was a Jew are the Jews themselves . Their own writings are full of these denials - even going so far as to claim that Mary was a whore and Jesus was born out of wedlock. But when it comes to putting up a Judeo-Christian front, the apologists for Judaism, for the benefit of those Christians who must be deceived, swear and gesticulate Christ's and Christianity's origins in Judaism. This is Jewish duplicity at its finest! Let us not be deceived any more!

Says H. S. Chamberlain, in his **Foundations of the XIX Century** ,

Whoever makes the assertion that Christ was a Jew is either ignorant or insincere . - p. 211.

Jesus Christ and the New Covenant

Now we turn to the Law of Moses versus the traditions of the elders. That the Law of Moses is distinct from the Traditions of the Elders is a basic fact. To the extent that the scribes and Pharisees deviated from the Mosaic Law by their own traditions, Jesus thoroughly condemned them. (Their deviousness and shrewdness is substantiated by Josephus in **Book XVII** , Chap. 2:

These are those that are called the sect of the Pharisees, who were in a capacity of greatly opposing kings. A cunning sect they were, and soon

elevated to a pitch of open fighting and doing mischief . - p. 358.)

In addition to Christ's rejection of their traditions (traditions later to become known as the Talmud) Jesus fully upholds the seed-consciousness of the House of Judah. As much as any of the patriarchs and prophets, Jesus affirms the special place which is assigned to the seed of Abraham in the history of the world. In this respect, the New Testament is thematically coherent with the Old Testament. His Apostles are to minister directly to Israel:

These twelve Jesus sent forth, and commanded them, saying, Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel . - Matt. 10:5, 6.

Where Christ may be said to differ from the Mosaic Law of the Old Testament is in its emphasis on the spirit rather than the letter of the Law. So, although by his own admission he came to fulfill the Law and the Prophets, there were certain changes which applied to the narrow interpretation of certain laws by the scribes and Pharisees. It must be conceded that a religion is a somewhat flexible thing. One would expect it to undergo minor changes down through the years just as any religion does. The question is whether the religion sticks to its basic principles or not. Obviously, Jesus did no tampering with the basic principles.

The only Scriptural evidence for any of the Law being changed is contained in Paul's writings. In Colossians 2:14-17, he states:

Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross; And, having spoiled principalities and powers, he made a show of them openly, triumphing over them in it.

Here, the Greek word for "handwriting," is *cheirographon* , which means, simply, "*written in one's own hand* ." The modern churches falsely teach that this word is a reference to the Ten Commandments. The word *cheirographon* can in no way be a reference to any part of the Law of God. It is a reference to the laundry list of sins that the Israelites, as a nation, were guilty of at the time of the crucifixion. This list of sins was nailed to the cross, not God's Laws.

In his letter to the Ephesians, Chapter 2, Verse 14, he says: *For he* [Jesus] *is our peace, who hath broken down the middle wall of partition between us, having abolished in his flesh the enmity, even the law of commandments contained in ordinances , to make in himself of two one new man, so making peace .*

Jesus's coming corrected the rift between the Houses of Judah and Israel (Lost Tribes); and the elimination of that part of the Law known as the **sacrificial ordinances** was, according to Paul, relevant in mending the rift. (This rift was physically mended in Great Britain five years later when Anna's daughter, Penardim, of the "good figs" of the Pharez branch married King Lear of the Zarah branch. It is also possible that the mending of the rift is a reference to the later merging of the Britons with Saxons under William the Conqueror.)

Since the Law consisted of the Decalogue, statutes, judgments and ordinances, Paul is dealing with only a small portion of the Law: the elimination of the sacrificial ordinances of the Levitical blood rituals, **as prophesied by Dan. 9:27** . The "law of commandments contained in ordinances " was primarily of a ceremonial nature and was what the priesthood would naturally tend to want to keep for their functionary presence. It was not the entire Law - which would have to include the

observance of the Ten Commandments - but merely the ordinances which were nailed to the cross.

The statement by Paul which proponents of the under grace theory always quote is

For sin shall not have dominion over you: for ye are not under the law, but under grace . - Rom. 6:14.

It is a logical error to assume that God's grace does not include the Law. Indeed, the Law is part of God's grace . The Law is God's gift to us, given to us for our benefit.

But Paul explains immediately in the next line:

What then? shall we sin, because we are not under the law, but under grace? ***God forbid*** *. Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin unto death, or of obedience unto righteousness?* - Romans 6:15, 16.

If you don't obey the Law, you cannot be God's servant. Grace and the Law go together. A person behaving under the influence of grace does not commit sin, but only **out of respect and understanding of the Law!** In no way can this be interpreted as abrogating the Law! We have here another instance of a statement being taken out of context with its explanation being ignored by those who would like the Bible to say something they want it to say.

The cause of this confusion may lie in the fact that Christians have misunderstood what is meant by "faith" and more importantly "the faith," for there is a big difference between the two. Under no circumstances can the "faith of our fathers, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob" be mistaken for anything else but the Mosaic Law. That is exactly what they had faith in .

A simple matter of preposition can make a world of difference in the meaning of a sentence. Consider for example Galatians 2:20:

"*And the life which I now live in the flesh, I live by* ***the faith of*** *the Son of God* ." (**King James Version** .)

Compare this to:

"*And the life I now live in the flesh, I live by* ***faith in*** *the Son of God* ." (**Revised Standard Version** .)

Obviously, The King James translation makes an unmistakable reference to the faith of our fathers, which Jesus refers to as "the Law and the prophets." This is **THE FAITH** . This is a far cry from simply having "faith in" the Scriptures, because one's understanding of the Scriptures can be far from correct; thus, "faith in" is a much weaker statement and, obviously, an incorrect translation . It leaves the door wide open to a whole lot of silliness, namely, whatever people might have faith **in** .

Or, to put it quite succinctly: Do you have faith **in** Jesus or the faith **of** Jesus? The former is nothing but a pathetic substitute for the latter, which is

the real deal.

Again,

Whosoever committeth sin transgresseth also the law: for sin is the transgression of the law. - I John 3:4.

The New Testament is no less adamant about obeying the Law than is the Old Testament. Jesus came to fulfill the law and to see that we fulfill it also.

(*I am come not to destroy the law or the prophets; I am come not to destroy, but to fulfil* . - Matt. 5-17.)

That is why he provided the example of how to fulfill it. (See also Psalm 19.)

As concerns intermarriage and the Ten Commandments, no deviation is permissible; and Jesus fully upheld these rules. (Matt. 3:17.) Plus, if we grant that Jesus is the Son of God, then we can assume that any imperfections in the Law that might be ascribed to Moses will be cleared up by Jesus.

But Moses did foresee the eventual change to the "circumcision of the heart."

"*And the LORD God will circumcise thine heart, and the heart of thy seed, to love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, that thou mayest live* ." – Deut. 30:6.

Now, this is what is meant by God's grace: the circumcision of the heart! This is something totally new. Old Covenant Israel required the intercession of priesthood and their rituals for the forgiveness of sins. New Covenant Israel requires no intercessor except Yahshua Messiah (Jesus Christ). Those who insist that physical circumcision is still required haven't read their Bibles very carefully.

There are only two major changes that Jesus proffers: 1.) the abolition of animal sacrifice in favor of personal sacrifice, and 2.) the changing of the concept of circumcision of the foreskin to the circumcision of the heart. The other changes, as we have said, also apply to the ceremonial law contained in ordinances. These priestly rituals have been abolished because Christ's sacrifice has ransomed our hearts and minds so that we may be capable of understanding His Law, i.e. internalizing it.

Regarding the first major change, Jesus was **the last sacrifice** . By his exemplary sacrificial death, Jesus abolished the whole concept of sacrificing worldly objects to God for personal remission of sins. Rather, the whole concept of sacrifice was turned outside-in. Sacrifice is to be something that happens within the individual. It is a self-denial with regard to temptation as opposed to the offering of a material possession to obtain supplication for past or future misdeeds. The distinction is epoch-shattering. One must sacrifice his bad habits and sinful attitudes rather than expect continued ritual forgiveness at the sacrificial table.

This change in the concept of sacrifice held in it tremendous ramifications for the priesthood. The ritual bloodbath at the sacrificial tables was at the center of their hold on the minds of the people. They were the only intercessors between the people and God who could assuage His real or imagined wrath.

The second major change, from the circumcision of the foreskin to the circumcision of the heart, concerns the hard-heartedness of the people. The original purpose of the circumcision of the foreskin was to help distinguish Israelites from non-Israelites. But, since so many Canaanites had adopted this custom by Christ's time, it was hardly worth bothering about anymore. This part of the Law had literally become obsolete.

Although Jesus, on this account, might be accused by some Pharisee of tampering with the Law, there is little other justification for such a claim, especially since this change was foreseen by Moses. This matter of the circumcision of the heart was even prophesied by Jeremiah.

Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah...But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the Lord, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people . - Jer. 31:31-33.

Note here that the new covenant is still with Israel. Although the Gospel is for all people, the **covenant** is for Israel only. We must be careful not to confuse the covenants with the moral teachings. These are clearly two different things.

Furthermore, the Gospels prove that Jesus was wiser and more practical than the established church. Although he came to fulfill the Law, he also came to re-establish it as the Book of Life. That is, life and its experiences are to be integrated with the written Law. In other words, the written Law cannot cover all instances; hence, it is up to us to use our God-given reason, humility, and grace to take the blueprint and apply it to reality with a sense of justice and mercy. The Jewish method of dealing with this problem was to write ever more laws. The stultifying effect of this method is guaranteed

by this tyranny of rules and regulations. It is the essence of the bureaucratic, pedantic, and clerical mentality.

Jesus thoroughly rejected this bureaucratic, nit-picking hard-heartedness in favor of humility and mercy. One can clearly see the difference between the God of Jesus versus the god of the Pharisees. **The purpose of the god of the Pharisees was to keep their sect in power. Their god was not concerned about ameliorating the suffering of the people** . Rules are rules was their answer when someone was unfortunate enough to get caught in their web of authority. Yet, when they themselves were culpable, they ignored the law as if it didn't exist. When the law applied to themselves, their attitude was rules are made to be broken.

It was into this morass of deceit, corruption, avarice, and oppression that Jesus came. That a lowly carpenter from Nazareth made such a profound impression on the world is truly remarkable; but it was obviously predestined. The symbolism of Christ's menial social status is not lost upon us. The moral lesson is very clear: It is that those in positions of power and influence typically have their worldviews distorted by two main considerations: 1.) worldly ambition, and 2.) fear of losing what they have. These two things prevent the ruling class from sympathizing with the plight of the average citizen.

The same is true for the class of intellectuals who, having gained status and/or vainly imagining themselves as being superior to the common people, arrogate unto themselves the privilege of making decisions without the consent of the people. On the other hand, the perspective of the common people (farmers, carpenters, blacksmiths, etc.), is eminently less subject to ulterior motives. He (the common man) quite innocently and rather naively expects that the law should apply to all equally. It is for this reason that the perspective of the common man is more in tune with nature and mankind's needs and wants. It is from these ranks, and possibly only from these ranks, that a truly honest and sympathetic leader can come.

Be that as it may, it is indeed from these ranks that the Saviour came. And it is also true that, from his birth, both the ecclesiastical and political establishments did everything they could to neutralize him. Herod tried to prevent his birth and the Pharisees eventually crucified him. Even though it

is not unusual for a leader to spring from the ranks of the common people, it is very rare for such a one to remain uncompromised after entering the ranks of the ruling class.

The Four Gospels are the story of Christ's battle against the theocracy of his time. While there are numerous parables and moral tales which elucidate for us the principles of the Law, there is no question that of equal weight is the theme of Christ's battle with the Pharisaic theocracy. This is a rather astounding fact insofar as this battle receives so little discussion by modern-day ecclesiastics. Consequently, this story must be referred to as the lost message of the Bible.

The few references one hears regarding the overturning of the moneychangers' tables in the temple and the occasional references to the Pharisees conspiring to crucify the Lord do not do justice to the important place which this theme has in the Bible. Undoubtedly, the New Testament has two main themes. One is spiritual and moral. The other is political. It is to institutional Christianity's great discredit that it has so blatantly ignored the latter theme. For every 19 sermons about the former, there is only 1 or two about the latter - usually reserved for Easter time.

Jesus Versus the Pharisees

We will concentrate on the Book of Matthew.

Chapter 3 begins by introducing John the Baptist. While baptizing the multitudes in the Jordan River, John the Baptist is confronted by the sight of a group of members of the ruling class:

But when he saw many of the Pharisees and Sadducees come to his baptism, he said unto them, ***O generation of vipers, who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come?*** *Bring forth therefore the fruits meet for repentance: And think not to say within yourselves, We have Abraham to our father: for I say unto you, that God is able of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham.* - vs. 7-9.

The hypocrites of the ruling class had come to make a show of their faith because popular sentiment required that they display approval for the activities of a popular prophet. For those among them that were of the seed of Abraham, John counseled them not to think that they could avoid repentance. He challenged them to take seriously this opportunity for repentance. In addition, the Pharisees also performed baptism, but on a smaller scale, for this priesthood had already corrupted the rite of baptism by using it to entice rich widows and non-Israelites into the fold, which by Law was to be reserved only for pure-blooded Israelites. This was their "baptism of proselytes," i.e. converts to the faith.

In essence, the Pharisees had heard about some non-Pharisee who was horning in on their action; but John saw them coming and denounced them as hypocrites.

To those he baptized, he said:

I indeed baptize you with water unto repentance: but he that cometh after me...shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with fire...then cometh Jesus from Galilee to Jordan unto John, to be baptized of him .

But John protested that he was unworthy, that Jesus should baptize <u>him</u> .

And Jesus answering said unto him, Suffer it to be so now: for thus it becometh us to fulfill all righteousness. Then he suffered him. - vs. 11-15.

Chapter 4 relates Satan's three temptations of Christ in the wilderness. The third temptation regards worldly power:

Again, the devil taketh him up into an exceeding high mountain, and sheweth him all the kingdoms of the world, and the glory of them; And saith unto him, All these things will I give thee, if thou wilt fall down and worship me. Then saith Jesus unto him, Get thee hence, Satan: for it is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve. - vs. 8-10. Worldly power is indeed one of the greatest temptations.

And leaving Nazareth, he came and dwelt in Capernaum, which is upon the sea coast, in the borders of Zabulon and Nephthalim . - vs. 13.

This piece of Scriptural evidence verifies that descendants of the tribes of Zebulon and Naphtali still dwelt along the sea coast at the time of Christ. Verses 14 through 16 state that this fulfills the prophecy that the children of Zebulon and Naphtali, living in darkness, would see a great light (Isaiah 9:1-2).

Next, Jesus begins to choose his apostles from among his brethren, fellow Israelites. Peter, Andrew, James, and John, all Galileans, are the first to be selected.

Within the Sermon on the Mount, Jesus puts righteousness in perspective:

For I say unto you, That except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no case enter into the

kingdom of heaven .

Here are the guardians of the Law and of the kingdom of heaven being declared unfit for their assignment. No doubt the same criticism applies to many lawyers and priests today. And the same advice applies today: Unless your righteousness exceeds that of the lawyers and priests, you shall in no wise be considered worthy of heaven.

And when thou prayest, thou shalt not be as the hypocrites are: for they love to pray standing in the synagogues and the corners of the streets, that they may be seen of men.

One can picture the dovvening (bobbing) heads of the rabbis at the wailing wall! How are they to be viewed in the light of this charge of hypocrisy?

Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves...Wherefore by their fruits shall ye know them .

And...the people were astonished at his doctrine: For he taught them as one having authority, and not as the scribes .

Moral: beware of the established con artists. They thrive upon your gullibility and unquestioning loyalty.

Who would dare to teach such a doctrine? Who would dare to wake the people up to their mental conditioning at the hands of the ruling class? Who is this madman who teaches that things are not as they seem? Why does he spoil our wistful sleep and our false sense of security?

And, behold, they brought to him a man sick of the palsy, lying on a bed: and Jesus seeing their faith said unto the sick of the palsy; Son, be of good cheer; for thy sins are forgiven thee. And, behold, certain of the scribes said within themselves, This man blasphemeth. And Jesus knowing their thoughts said, Wherefore think ye evil in your hearts? For whether is easier, to say, Thy sins be forgiven thee; or to say, Arise, and walk ? - Ch. 9:2 - 5.

Stating that diseases of the body are related to the sins of the soul, Jesus rebuked the scribes for their lack of faith and knowledge. True healing is accomplished by the forgiveness of sins. This should prove to them that he is the Son of God, having the power to forgive sins. It is obvious that the Pharisees were so intent on preserving their spiritual and political power that forgiveness was the last thing on their minds.

After selecting Matthew, a clerk, to become his apostle, Jesus is again confronted by the Pharisees.

And it came to pass, as Jesus sat at meat in the house, behold, many publicans and sinners came and sat down with him and his disciples. And when the Pharisees saw it, they said unto his disciples, Why eateth your Master with publicans and sinners? But when Jesus heard that, he said unto them, ***They that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick*** *. But*

go ye and learn what that meaneth, I will have mercy, and not sacrifice: for I am not come to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance .

Of course, the Pharisees were not really concerned with repentance. They were concerned with the maintenance of their spiritual and material caste system by which they elevated themselves above the people they claimed to serve. They were petty tyrants and elitist bureaucrats: doctor, lawyer, priest and judge, all rolled into one!

And Jesus went about...teaching...and preaching the gospel of the kingdom, and healing every sickness...he was moved with compassion on them because they fainted, and were scattered abroad, as sheep having no shepherd. Then saith he unto his disciples, The harvest is truly plenteous, but the laborers are few; ***Pray ye therefore the Lord of the harvest, that he will send forth laborers into his harvest*** . – vs. 35-38.

Jesus is the Lord of the Harvest. There is no point in trying to harvest bad figs. Only the good figs will be harvested. It behooves the children of Israel to pray to the Lord of the Harvest if they ever expect the yoke of Cain to be lifted off their necks.

Chapter 10 begins by telling us something about the twelve apostles. Jesus commands them:

Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel .

It is clear from this that Christ wanted the Apostles to concentrate their preaching exclusively on the true Israelites. To do otherwise is, to quote from a similar passage, like casting pearls before swine.

Chapter 10 tells us about two of the Apostles: Simon the Canaanite (not to be confused with Peter), and Judas Iscariot. In the booklet, *The Cain-Satanic Seed Line* , Bertrand Comparet tells us,

Your Bible calls him Judas Iscariot: and there is no such word as 'Iscariot'...It is a corruption of the Hebrew word 'Ish Kerioth,' meaning a man of Kerioth - and Kerioth is a little village down in the southwesterly portion of Judaea, down in the territory occupied by the Edomites. - pp. 23, 24.

At that time Jesus went on the sabbath day through the corn; and his disciples were an hungered, and began to pluck the ears of corn, and to eat. But when the Pharisees saw it they said unto him, Behold, thy disciples do that which is not lawful to do upon the sabbath day .

Jesus responds by condemning them for their lack of mercy, their self-righteous insistence on the letter of the law while at the same time, they, unknown to the people, break the very same laws. The omnipresent Pharisees kept an oppressive eye on the people, like communism's Big Brother, checking their daily movements. One can see the totalitarian orientation of this elite group.

And, behold, there was a man which had his hand withered. And they asked him, saying, Is it lawful to heal on the sabbath days? that they might accuse him. And he said unto them... ***it is lawful to do well on the sabbath days*** *...Then the Pharisees went out and held a council against him, how they might destroy him. But when Jesus knew it, he withdrew himself from thence...*

Mercy, apparently, militates against the authority of mammon. To those hypocrites who hold the reins of power, it is not important that the laws be just and fair. It is more important that the laws be obeyed , that the authority of the lawyers, priests, and judges not be questioned. This is the justice of those who worship mammon. This is the justice of the Talmudic rabbis.

Jesus became such a popular healer that people came from distant countries. His fame had spread abroad. To the ruling sect of Judea, the Pharisees, this was becoming an intolerable situation. Jesus was undermining their strangle hold on the minds of the people, so they accused him of being demon-possessed.

...they said, This fellow doth not cast out devils, but by Beelzebub the prince of devils. And Jesus knew their thoughts, and said unto them...if Satan cast out Satan, he is divided against himself; how shall then his kingdom stand?...O generation of vipers, how can ye, being evil, speak good things? for out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh . - Vs. 24, 25, 34.

It must be borne in mind that one of the meanings of the word 'generation' is offspring. Jesus makes continual references to evil trees bringing forth evil fruit. Whether or not one believes in the evil seed doctrine of the Bible,

it is, on the other hand, perfectly understandable how evil traditions can be passed on from one generation to the next. Usury, mind control, economic manipulations, elitism, and many other evil practices have become entrenched in the Pharisaic tradition. Their power over their people is virtually absolute. Since they control religion, education (including history!), law, justice, economics, and culture, they have established a totalitarian theocracy. Seemingly, the only flaw in their totalitarian technique was that they had to pay part of their tax revenues to Rome as a bribe to Roman officials to maintain their hegemony. And as long as they are able to collect taxes from their own people, the Roman legions would enforce their hegemony.

Consequently, it must be realized that neither the Pharisees nor the Sadducees were seriously challenging the military occupation by Rome. Far from it. This was a very cozy relationship. Rome's military might kept the Pharisees in power as official tribute collectors while the Pharisees had the people believing that they actually had some loyalty to their own flock. The shepherds were wolves in sheep's clothing but the people didn't understand how the game was being played. Those Pharisees, who were of Israelite stock, are most accurately described as traitors to their own people while making a continuous pretense and occasional public show of displeasure at Rome's imperialism. Since the Pharisees were a Judahite sect before the annexation of Idumea, it is clear that many of the Pharisees were Judahites; but, under Herod, the ethnic composition of the Pharisaic cult became more and Edomite in composition. This multicultural sect of manipulators and deceivers could always threaten Rome with another messy insurrection if things were made too difficult for them.

Were the people of Judah in need of some rather disturbing enlightenment? Yes. Did Jesus have the courage to expose the Pharisaic strategy? Again, yes. And this was certainly his earthly undoing. The Pharisees could not tolerate having their deceptive and totalitarian methods exposed.

Chapter 15 finds Jesus at odds with the scribes and Pharisees again. He publicly disdains and mocks the traditions of the elders which they held up before the people as inviolable. *Why do thy disciples...wash not their hands before they eat bread* ? he is asked.

Jesus gets in a couple of digs before answering their question:

Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition? For God commanded, saying, Honor thy father and thy mother...But ye say, Whosoever shall say to his father or his mother, It is a gift, by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me; And honor not his father or his mother, he shall be free. Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition. Ye hypocrites, well did Esaias [Isaiah] *prohesy of you, saying, This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoreth me with their lips; but their heart is far from me. But in vain they do worship me,* ***teaching for doctrines the commandments of men*** . - Vs. 1-9.

The scribes and Pharisees taught, contrary to the Mosaic Law, that it is not necessary to honor thy father and thy mother, that whatever honors parents received from their children were a gift and not mandated by the Law.

But this entire verse can be read in a different light, namely that of the prohibition against intermarriage with non-Israelites. Since this mandate runs through the entire Old Testament, there is ample reason to suspect that this is the true meaning of the fourth commandment. Since some of the scribes and Pharisees practiced intermarriage, they would hardly interpret the Law in such a way as to criticize themselves.

In Issue #3 of the **Covenant Answering Service** , W.G. Finlay has this to say:

How does one 'honor thy father and thy mother' - remembering of course that if each generation since Sarah did this, Israel would indeed 'look unto the rock whence ye are hewn and the hole of the pit whence ye are digged' (Isa. 51:1). If Israel refrained from 'adultery' in its primary sense, it would

not 'kill' the nation or 'bastardise' it so as to make it impossible for the LORD to fulfil the Promises which were made to the Covenant seed alone. - p. 2.

Then, Jesus finally answered their question:

And he called the multitude, and said unto them, Hear, and understand: ***Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth a man; but that which cometh out of the mouth, this defileth a man*** . - Vs. 10, 11.

Or in other words, lies and hypocrisy are much greater crimes than not washing your hands before eating. Not so?

This guy Jesus was just continually digging His own grave with the Pharisees!

Then came his disciples, and said unto him, Knowest thou that the Pharisees were offended, after they heard this saying? But he answered and said, Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up. Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch .

By the authority of Jesus's own words, the Pharisees were not planted by the Father. We will discover who planted them, later.

In the next confrontation, this time with the Sadducees and Pharisees, they demand from him a sign from heaven which will prove to them who he is. His answer:

A wicked and adulterous nation seeketh after a sign; and there shall be no sign given unto it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas...Then Jesus said unto [his disciples]...Take heed and beware of the leaven of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees . - 16:4-6.

Here is Jesus shown in perfect accord with the Old Testament prophets. Keep the faith. Do not depart from the Law. **Beware the leaven of the Pharisees** .

But the apostles did not understand what Jesus meant! Unbelievably naive, they thought Jesus was referring to actual bread.

How is it that ye do not understand that I spake it not to you concerning bread, that ye should beware of the leaven of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees? Then understood they how that he bade them not beware of the leaven of the bread, but of the doctrine of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees . - Vs. 11, 12.

Indeed! Hopefully, dear Christian, you understand as well!

The leaven of the Pharisees is the new traditions and self-serving interpretations of the Law which the Pharisees had deemed expedient to the prosecution of their religious, intellectual, and economic cartel. **It is to this**

very same tradition which the modern rabbis adhere . In no uncertain terms, Judaism stands in the total condemnation of Jesus Christ! Is it any wonder that today's rabbis hate Jesus just as much as the Pharisees and Sadducees did? Can you now understand their hatred of Christianity?

Ernest L. Martin, in the October, 1984 issue of *The Foundation Commentator* , tells us about the Pharisees in relation to the Mosaic Law:

... *Pharisaical beliefs were annulling many of the commandments of Moses and teaching the people that they did not have to obey Moses any longer* . - p. 3.

For Catholics today, Vatican II might come to mind as the modern Pharisees within the Catholic Church seek to undermine it by replacing it with their modern form of paganism, otherwise known as humanism.

Continuing with Martin:

So many new and contradictory teachings had entered the mainstream of Judaic belief that even top Jewish scholars today are honest enough to admit that the Judaism of the Second Temple period had drifted far from observing the written commandments of the Old Testament. Their traditions had made void much of the Word of God . This fact is echoed clearly in the statements of Christ and the apostles . - p. 3.

When these new, non-Mosaic assertions and new, anti-Mosaic interpretations were finally written down, they became known as the

Talmud . The Talmud is the very essence of both ancient and modern Judaism. The Torah (the five Books of Moses) is the old Law; but the Talmud is the self-serving reinterpretation of the Torah plus a mountain of additional material which bears no resemblance to Mosaic Law. **Judaism is the religion of the Pharisees, not of Moses** . There is no realization about this subject which is more important than this understanding: **Judaism is not a continuation of the religion of the Hebrews. It is a denial of that religion and a reinterpretation of that religion which suits the purposes of a band of usurpers!**

Please stop here for a moment and reread this last boldface statement. Let it sink in for a while. It is crucial to the understanding of Judaism's true relationship to Christianity.

These facts enable us to state categorically and without any fear of Scriptural contradiction that there never was, is not now, nor will there ever be any such thing as Judeo-Christianity. As is being proven here, Christianity began as a vehement denunciation of all things Pharisaic . Judaism began as a Pharisaic distortion of Scripture.

The rabbis hate Jesus because He was the one who was exposing their racket! The rabbis, the intellectual and tribal inheritors of the teachings of Judaism, are that band of Pharisaic usurpers! As it happens, most Jews themselves do not even realize this since their own religious and historical teachings claim allegiance to Moses. They are as much deceived by the rabbis as fundamentalist and mainstream Christianity.

Jacob Neusner published an essay in the March, 1985 issue of **Midstream** entitled "*Why the Talmud of Babylon Won* ." In describing the Mishnah, which was pre-Talmudic tradition, he states:

"So a book was holy because in style, in authorship, or in (alleged) origin, it continued Scripture, finding a place therefore (at least in the author's mind) within the canon, or because it provided an exposition on Scripture's meaning."

"*But the Mishnah made no such claim. It entirely ignored the style of biblical Hebrew, speaking in quite a different kind of Hebrew altogether. It is silent on its authorship through 62 of the 63 tractates (the claims of Abot, a generation later than the rest, pose a special problem). In any event, nowhere does the Mishnah contain the claim that God had inspired the authors of the document…Above all, the Mishnah contains scarcely a handful of exegeses of Scripture. Those, where they occur, ordinarily play a trivial and tangential role."*

"*…But the Mishnah was (and is) law for Israel* [sic]. *It entered the government and the courts of the Jewish people, both in the motherland and also overseas, as the authoritative constitution of the courts of Judaism. The advent of the Mishnah therefore marked a turning in the life of the nation-religion…But as soon as one dealt with the Jewish government in charge of everyday life – went to court over the damages done to a crop by a neighbor's ox, for instance – one came up against a law in addition to the law of Scripture, a document the principles of which governed and settled all matters. So the Mishnah rapidly came to confront the life of Israel. The people who knew the Mishnah, the rabbis or sages, came to dominate that life. And their claim, in accord with the Mishnah, to exercise authority and the right to impose heavenly sanction came to perplex. Here the crisis is fully exposed."*

"*The Mishnah therefore made necessary the formation of the Talmuds, its exegetical companions."*

As the old saying goes: "One crisis leads to another." In rabbinical terms: "One bad law leads to another." In this fashion, the rabbi's opinions and speculations became more important than "the law and the prophets" of the Old Testament. As hard as this may be for some Christians to believe, Jesus was not a rabbi, nor was He a Jew.

Jesus Mocks the Devil

Chapter 21 tells the story of Palm Sunday, the victorious ride of Jesus into Jerusalem on the back of an ass. One must realize the effect of this act upon the elite classes of Judea. People, by this point in Jesus' ministry, had begun referring to him as the Messiah. This put the scribes, Pharisees, and Sadducees in an extremely difficult position. Their whole power structure was on the verge of being overthrown by one who utterly rejected their authority. He had, on numerous occasions, publicly accused them of being hypocrites and power-mongers.

On top of this, Jesus was not cast in the mold of Solomon nor David, the warriors. This Messiah preached peace and enlightenment! This could never do! After all, the primary means by which crooked politicians maintain their power is by staging and exploiting nationalistic struggles. The Judean elite, in their symbiotic relationship with Rome, were constantly, although discreetly, inflaming the hopes of the people with talk of a Messiah (while secretly mocking the whole idea). The Messiah idea was their leverage against Rome; but the Messiah everyone was expecting was to be a political liberator, a potential military hero, not a humble carpenter spreading nasty criticisms about the subterfuge and manipulations of one's own leaders! (If the military Messiah had actually appeared, the Judean elite would have been in a quandary as to whether to rebel or maintain their Roman symbiosis. The later rebellion of the Zealots at Masada, indeed, proved to be disastrous!)

In the light of these expectations, Jesus' ride into Jerusalem on the back of an ass - <u>not a gilded steed</u> ! - can only be considered as an ultimate insult, total rejection and mockery of the Pharisees' public idea of the Messiah as a liberating military hero. Had there been newspapers in Jerusalem at the time, the glaring headlines would have screamed: THE MESSIAH RIDES INTO JERUSALEM ON AN ASS! Can you imagine Douglas MacArthur returning from Korea riding down Pennsylvania Avenue on a bicycle? How about a scooter?

All hope of their recruiting him to their cause was very effectively and pointedly dashed by this public display. Jesus was not a pacifist (*I come not to send peace, but a sword* . - Matt. 10:34.), but he was no fool either. There are just causes and then there are military situations which are contrived for

the sole purpose of maintaining control of people's allegiances. Beyond any shadow of a doubt, the only people who were better than the Sadducees and Pharisees at playing this game were the Romans. The Romans had established power-sharing relationships with nearly all of its conquered territories and peoples. The people of foreign nations were much easier to control if one of their own leaders was set up as an acting governor. But, in the last analysis, the Romans had the army with which to annihilate any petty regime which didn't fully cooperate. In the meantime, the local leader would assist in the collection of tribute money. As such, the Judean elite had paired up with the masters of people manipulation; and the partnership is one to be envied by the crooked politicians and mob bosses of New York and Chicago.

This aspect of the Messiah's ride into Jerusalem is totally lost on most of institutional Christianity. They have been very cleverly led to believe that the symbolism of Palm Sunday is pacifistic. On the contrary, no clearer statement could have been made to the power-mongers and the manipulators of the mass consciousness that their methods are mocked by God himself. The Pharisees of that day and the Pharisees of today do not realize that the symbolism of that ride is really bad news for them! God is laughing at them – they who think they are so powerful and invincible! And if they think they're laughing at God, then I wonder if there's any doubt as to who is going to get the last laugh.

Certainly Jesus was a man of peaceful means; but he was also a man of action. He did not stand idly by while innocent people were being bled by the leeches of this paternalistic dictatorship. What is the attitude of modern, institutional Christianity? Unfortunately, if the pastors of the ostrich church aren't turning a blind eye (not to be confused with the proverbial other cheek), then they are actively encouraging us to play the game of pacifism. Their brand of pacifism is not even passive resistance. It is the vain hope that what we don't know won't hurt us, that things will get better without putting forth the effort to ensure justice, that if you repeat the words "peace, peace" often enough and long enough, then maybe everything will turn out all right.

Justice is not guaranteed by nature. It is man's responsibility, both individually and collectively, to work toward its establishment and continued operation. The power-mongers could hardly achieve greater cooperation from the oppressed masses than that which is encouraged by the pacifists! Of course, in reality, the leading pacifists are always the paid agents of the ruling class, especially at the international level. The pastors of pabulum say: Let us stick our heads into the sand when in the desert; and when at sea, let us not rock the boat, for peace can only be secured by avoiding a confrontation with the government of mammon.

As Patrick Henry, one of our great patriots, observed:

"*Those who would achieve peace at the expense of liberty deserve neither* ."

Douglas Reed, in his monumental piece of research entitled **The Controversy of Zion** , makes ample use of the writings of Jewish scholars on this subject. Reed and many other writers mistakenly argue that Judaism finds its origins with Ezekiel and his contemporaries immediately after the Babylonian captivity. This is definitely wrong, although he correctly analyzes Judaism's essential character as stemming from Pharisaism. We have shown that Judaism originates with the rise of the Pharisees around 150 B.C.

The Bible clearly shows that Ezra and Nehemiah purged the House of Judah of its non-Israelite blood . Nevertheless, the chosen people idea had taken root among the Babylonian priesthood; and it is among these Babylonians and the Judahites **who did not return to Jerusalem** that the Babylonian Talmud finds its origin. As is recorded in Josephus, Babylonian priests of either pure or half-breed stock had travelled to Judea at various times between the Return and the destruction of the Temple in 70 A.D. **It is among the Pharisees that these Babylonian priests found their influence.** Just as socialism has crept into the modern churches, Babylonian

ideas crept into Pharisaism. It is these ideas, among others, that differentiated the Pharisees from the other sects.

Reed relies heavily on Jewish sources for his information. Quoting from a work entitled **History and Destiny of the Jews** by Dr. Josef Kastein, Kastein says,

'*Jewish life was regulated by the teachings of the Pharisees: the whole history of Judaism was reconstructed from the Pharisaic point of view...Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and the thought of the Jew for all the future...It makes 'separatism' its chief characteristic.* ' - p. 69.

Like Mosaism, or what Reed calls Jehovaism, Pharisaism preaches separatism; but Jewish separatism is not so much a matter of genealogy but a matter of religion and culture. Since there is no possibility of a Jew being a pure-bred Israelite, a Jew is either a half-breed or he has no Israelite blood whatever. As we have already seen with the Ashkenazis, there is no Israelite blood in them at all.

Rabbi Louis Finkelstein of the Jewish Theological Seminary of America, sums it up this way in his **The Pharisees, the Sociological Background of Their Faith** :

Pharisaism became Talmudism, Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism...throughout these changes in name...the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives, unaltered.. . . - p. 21.

Given the anti-Pharisaic character of the New Testament, how is it that this modern myth of Judeo-Christianity has arisen? The very word, 'Judeo-Christianity', can be shown to be of comparatively recent origin. It is obviously part of the arsenal of ideas in Zionism's thought war against Christianity.

In Chapter 21 of the Book of Matthew, the chief priests and the elders challenge Jesus Christ's authority. Observe the language of the New Testament and what it reveals about the devious thought processes of the elders of Talmudism:

And Jesus answered and said unto them, I also will ask you one thing, which if ye tell me, I in like wise will tell you by what authority I do these things. The baptism of John, whence was it? from heaven, or of men? And they reasoned with themselves, saying, If we shall say, From heaven; he will say unto us, Why did ye not then believe him? But if we shall say, Of men; we fear the people, for all hold John as a prophet . - 21:24-26.

You see, the elders did not care a hoot about John or what the people believed just as long as they could use what the people believed to control them. Jesus had put them on the spot. Their inability to answer showed that they had no commitment on the issue of baptism! They couldn't have cared less about it as a spiritual issue! All they cared about was keeping their worldly positions. And since the issue was not a serious one in their minds - they didn't care one way or another - the whole subject of baptism was little more than a propaganda vehicle: good subject matter for self-righteous speeches and the rhetorical crying out for the saving of lost souls and the shedding of crocodile tears! In short, the subject had a lot of good P.R. potential, but none of the elders took it seriously, except for its proselytizing value.

The gospel continues:

And they answered Jesus, and said, we cannot tell. And he said unto them, Neither tell I you by what authority I do these things.

Can you imagine the effrontery of this carpenter's son? Those Christians who have not read the Bible for themselves and who have relied solely on the interpretations of the denominational Pharisees of modern Christianity are not likely to perceive the incredible game of wits being played out between Jesus and the power-mongers. Yet, this is a central issue of the gospels, equal in importance to Christ's message of love, forgiveness, and mercy.

Be ye therefore wise as serpents, and harmless as doves . - Matt. 10:16.

Now, things really started to heat up between Jesus and the elders. Jesus was venting his scorn of the hypocritical ruling class in front of the multitude. He was becoming dangerous to the status quo. The people began muttering amongst themselves, asking why Jesus was so hostile to their own leaders. This situation could not be tolerated.

What if I, for example, went up to a well-known minister or politician on national television and said, *Verily I say unto you, That the publicans and the harlots go into the kingdom of God before you.* ? And if I were a well-known and well-respected personality, what would the viewers think? How would the minister or politician react?

Then Jesus told the elders the parable of the evil husbandmen who were trying to kill the householder's son and thereby steal the property of the householder.

And when the chief priests and Pharisees had heard his parables, they perceived that he spake of them. But when they sought to lay hands on him, they feared the multitude, because they took him for a prophet . - 21:45, 46.

Chapters 22 and 23 continue with the intellectual parrying between Jesus and the elders. Chapter 23 is worthy of special attention because it summarizes Jesus' teachings regarding the scribes and Pharisees:

Then spake Jesus to the multitude, and to his disciples, saying, The scribes and Pharisees sit in Moses' seat: All therefore whatsoever they bid you observe, that observe and do; ***but do ye not after their works: for they say, and do not*** *. For they bind heavy burdens and grievous to be borne, and lay them on men's shoulders; but they themselves will not move them with one of their fingers. But all their works they do to be seen of men; they...love the uppermost rooms at feasts, and the chief seats in the synagogues, and greetings in the markets, and to be called of men, Rabbi, Rabbi.* ***But be ye not called Rabbi*** *: for one is your master, even Christ; and all ye are brethren...* ***woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men*** *: for ye neither go in yourselves, neither suffer ye them that are entering to go in. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows' houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte,* ***and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves*** *... Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye pay tithe of mint and anise and cummin,* ***and have***

omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith *: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone.* ***Ye blind guides which strain at a gnat and swallow a camel*** *. Woe unto you...for ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess...ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead men's bones, and of all uncleanness. Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisy and iniquity ...* ***Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves, that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets*** *. Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.* ***Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell...that upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth*** *, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar...Behold, your house is left unto you desolate* .

Why are the pastors of orthodox Christianity glossing over this matter of utmost importance? Clearly, no more vehement condemnation of spiritual, political and economic corruption has ever been recorded than these words of Jesus Christ. What most people fail to understand is that this condemnation applies to Judaism just as clearly as it applies to the elders that Jesus was speaking to, **for it is their tradition which still survives today and has come to be known as Judaism** .

The next time you hear someone call Jesus Rabbi on Christian television or anywhere else, please refer them to Chapter 23, verse 7; and please enlighten them with regard to Judaism's origins in the traditions of the elders and Christ's scathing denunciation of all things Pharisaic. No honest person could possibly deny the diametric opposition between Christianity and **Judeo-Pharisaism** . The modern pundits of dialogue between Christians and Jews never bring up these issues of dispute between Jesus and Judaism. It is always Christians who must be understanding of Judaism. The Jews never make concessions to Christians; and the Christians who go down that one-way street resemble more and more the mammon worshippers of Judaism.

The claim that Christianity owes its origins to Judaism can only be taken seriously in the sense that Christianity is the antithesis of Judaism, a reaction against the oppressive evils of Judaism. Exactly how deeply and thoroughly antithetical these two religions are will be discussed in the next chapter.

The other major point to bear in mind is that Jesus, like the Old Testament prophets, distinguishes between Israelites and non-Israelites. Although Jesus is not exclusive in his teaching of the Law, it is nevertheless a fact that, for Jesus, true Israel plays a major role in the salvation of this world, by which is meant that this world is to be **restored** to the condition which obtained before Adam and Eve fell.

Jesus does not consider non-Israelites unworthy of his attention. The Canaanite woman's daughter in Chapter 15 is healed by her faith in Jesus just as all the others had been healed. The Apostles begged Jesus to send her away because she was not one of their brethren. His answer must be recorded here:

I am not come but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel .

This may be considered as a reference to the Lost Tribes which have been scattered abroad; but it is also a reference to the spiritually lost. Those who are lost are caught in the web of false religion and/or lack of faith. The Canaanite woman poignantly acknowledges the fact that she is not of Israelite stock:

And she said, Truth, Lord: yet the dogs eat of the crumbs which fall from their masters' table . - Vs. 27.

Jesus was not preaching truth, justice, love, and mercy for one group of people only, namely, the tribal in-crowd. He was preaching these things for all people. Yet, there is a special role to be played by the Israelites: that of leadership by example. This is what the crumbs represent in the story. Non-Israelites are to learn from us by our example of justice, obedience and charity – and all of the blessings that proceed therefrom. And it can be truly said that the descendants of Israel have civilized the world and have done their best - despite all their faults - to spread this gospel around the world. It is not the Jews who have provided the world with the Magna Carta, the U.S. Constitution, freedom of religion, cross-cultural charity and other acts of nobility on a mass scale. It follows, logically, that True Israel cannot fulfill its function as the "light to the nations" if we give up our racial, Covenant inheritance. Our separation from the other races is mandated by Scripture, as this book will abundantly prove.

Do modern Jews contribute to charitable causes which are non-Jewish in their aim? Have the Jews been so generous in the past? A simple glance at a Jewish checkbook would contain the proof of the scope of their generosity. The fact of the matter is that Jews are not known for cross-cultural generosity either on a small or mass scale. And their generosity toward oppressed minorities can been easily demonstrated as calculated to win the sympathies of those minorities away from true Israel. Organized Jewish charity always has strings attached to it. In modern times, one of those strings is support for the cause of Zionism. There is little hope of receiving Jewish generosity without such pledges of support.

On the other hand, true Israel, the Anglo-Saxon Europeans and Americans, South Africans, Australians, etc., have always given generously to all causes without strings attached. As much as our critics hate to admit it, we are the most charitable people who have ever lived. No other race or group has ever given as generously to people in need and without regard to their race or ethnic origin. As much as the multiculturalists hate to admit it, this is the undeniable truth. Just have a look at our checkbooks.

With regard to the Roman centurion who asked that Jesus heal one of his servants, Jesus said,

I have not found so great a faith, no, not in Israel . - 8:10. Chapter 12, Verse 21:

And in his name shall the Gentiles [nations] *trust* .

There is no question that the principles that Jesus taught are to be applied to all human beings; and these principles are for the creation of a just society. But there is no evidence from Scripture that Jesus advocates race-mixing or even the blending of cultures. Racial and cultural diversity are part of God's plan on earth. The arbitrary notion that to do away with the races will solve all our problems is to argue that we need not learn the lessons of humility and respect which racial and cultural diversity provide us. Legislation which attempts to outlaw man's natural desire to stay with his own kind militates against both the natural order and the Bible. The Books of Genesis, Leviticus, and Deuteronomy are full of references to things reproducing after <u>their own kind</u> . Jesus nowhere annuls these laws.

Jesus, the Israelite, jealously protects his heritage against the scribes and Pharisees. The Gospel of John records this exchange between Jesus and the non-Israelitish descendants of Abraham. Jesus said,

... If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed; and ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.

Jesus baited them with a spiritual question and they answered him with genealogical arrogance:

They answered him, We be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man: how sayest thou, Ye shall be made free? - 8:31-33.

In their answer can be seen the essence of Jewish group consciousness.

Remember that Esau was Jacob's twin brother. Bertrand Comparet, in his booklet, *Your Heritage* , summarizes the situation in this way:

These Edomite Jews could say that Abraham was an ancestor of theirs, through Esau, as they did in John 8:33; but this Hebrew blood through Esau had been diluted to the vanishing point by 1700 years of marrying only people of Canaanite racial stocks. Therefore, Jesus Christ rebuked them for falsely claiming to be still of Abrahamic (and therefore inferentially of Israelite) lineage... - p. 27.

And they still make this false claim today.

These particular descendants of Abraham were half-breeds; and although they could claim descent from Abraham, they could not claim descent from Jacob-Israel . Yet, this is precisely what these Jews were doing. And it happens to be the case that the half-Canaanite descendants of Abraham (the bad figs) were not taken into captivity as the Israelites were. Hence, their

admission, *We were never in bondage to any man* , is self-incriminating . They could not possibly be of pure-bred heritage without remembrance of the captivity!

Remember also that the Edomites had gained ascendency over the ruling classes of Israel with the appointment of Herod the Great by Caesar. Herod was a half-breed who did not have a claim to descent according to the Law. Neither did these Pharisees. Although some of the Pharisees and Sadducees were probably still pure-bred Israelites (Paul had been a Pharisee at one time), the important consideration is that the takeover was well under way by the time of Christ and the sacred insistence on pure breeding had been dropped - or better yet, the prohibition against intermarriage did not apply to Canaanites. It is therefore easy to understand the thorough and absolute schism between their traditions and those of true Israel.

They answered and said, Abraham is our father. Jesus saith unto them, If ye were Abraham's children, ye would do the works of Abraham. But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham. Ye do the deeds of your father. Then they said to him, We be not born of fornication [racial intermarriage]*; we have one Father, even God. Jesus said unto them, If God were your Father, ye would love me:* ***for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me...Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it*** . - Vs. 39-44.

As we will see in the next chapter, Judaism is a religion of lies. Judaism, spiritually, traditionally, and even to a genetic extent, is a culture based on historical, mythological, philosophical, and ancestral lies - all invented by the Pharisees and their descendants and converts. Jesus declares them to be, without a doubt, Edomites and no true followers of the Law. This passage

applies to spiritual Edomites as well as to literal Edomites. The lesson for Israel is that, given the likes of Esau, the main problem faced by true Israel has been the traitorous behavior of Israelites who reject their responsibility and heritage in favor of their personal gain.

Nowhere in the New Testament does Jesus abolish the Old Testament requirement of keeping the seedline pure. Nowhere does Jesus, the pure-bred descendant of David, open the floodgates of intermarriage. The doctrine of love and mercy is for all people but the seedline must still be kept pure. Otherwise, there will be left no group capable of instituting the moral law. Neither will there be any group capable of instituting government on the basis of a free and anti-totalitarian spirit. Clearly, this is the destiny and responsibility of Israel, as history has abundantly demonstrated .

One of the false ideas promulgated about the seed of Israel is that it is good while other seeds (races) are automatically bad. But, over and over again, the prophets have railed against Israel's own unfaithfulness. Jesus is no exception. So, we must be careful not to place the blame for our present condition of subjection to the Jewish International on anyone else but ourselves. Our initial unfaithfulness put us in this position. The Seven Times punishment (see the final chapter) is what we were promised if we persisted in our unfaithful behavior; but ultimate redemption and the promise of deliverance are also ours because of the unconditional promises made to the Covenant seed.

Being of Abraham's seed is not enough for salvation. This is exactly what John the Baptist told the Pharisees and Sadducees (Matt. 3:9). Complementarily, not being of Abraham's seed is nothing to be ashamed of. Therefore, racial hatred is under no circumstances justified. Jesus asks us to deal with all people wisely and justly, but this does not include intermarriage. Jesus does not give us this license.

Of course, Jesus was eventually crucified at the insistence of the Pharisees. As much as Jewish apologists wish to deny it, the Bible clearly states that the guilt for this act is to be placed on Jewish heads. Even the reputedly cruel Pontius Pilate was sensitive enough - perhaps sensing that Jesus might actually be a God-sent holy man - to wash his hands of the entire

proceedings. Thus Rome ceremonially stepped out of the way and allowed the Jews to humiliate, torture, and murder the prince of peace.

When the chief priests therefore and officers saw him, they cried out, saying, Crucify him, Crucify him. Pilate sayeth unto them, Take ye him, for I find no fault in him. The Jews answered him, We have a law, and by our law he ought to die, because he made himself the Son of God . - John 19:6, 7.

When Pilate, Procurator of Judea, heard this response, he went and asked Jesus: Who are you? But Jesus would not reply. Pilate then said that he has the power of life and death over Jesus.

Jesus answered, Thou couldest have no power at all against me, except it were given thee from above: therefore he that delivereth me unto thee hath the greater sin . - Vs. 11.

And those who delivered Jesus into his hands were the chief priests, the Sanhedrin of the Jews. This should settle the matter of responsibility.

For those who would question whether or not Jesus of Nazareth was of the Anglo-Saxon race, we will quote from the following description of Jesus by Publius Lentulus, Governor of Judea, addressed to Tiberius Caesar, Emperor of Rome, found in an excavated city, written in Aramaic, on stone:

"*There lives at this time in Judea, a man of singular virtue, whose name is Jesus Christ, whom the barbarians esteem as a prophet, but his followers love and adore him as the offspring of the immortal God. He calls back the dead from graves, and heals all sorts of diseases with a word or touch.*

He is a tall man, and well shaped, of an amiable and reverend aspect; his hair of a colour that can hardly be matched, the colour of chestnut full ripe falling in waves about his shoulders. His forehead high, large and imposing; his cheeks without spot or wrinkle, beautiful symmetry; his beard thick and of a colour suitable to his hair, reaching below his chin. His eyes bright blue, clear, and serene, look innocent, dignified, manly and mature.

In proportion of body, most perfect and captivating, his hands and arms most delectable to behold.

He rebukes with majesty, counsels with mildness, his whole address, whether in word or deed, being eloquent and grave. No man has seen him laugh, yet his manner is exceedingly pleasant; but he has wept in the presence of men. He is temperate, modest and wise; a man, for his extraordinary beauty and divine perfections, surpassing the children of men in every sense ." (Reproduced in an article by Michael A. Clark in the November 2001 issue of "**Thy Kingdom Come** ," p.35.

We also have available to us a most eloquent document written by Pilate himself concerning Jesus. It was written to Caesar sometime before the Pharisees had filed their charges against Jesus. Hear it from the horse's mouth:

To Tiberius Caesar, Emperor of Rome.

...A young man, it was said, had appeared in Galilee preaching with a noble unction a new law in the name of the God that had sent him. At first I was apprehensive that his design was to stir up the people against the Romans,

but my fears were soon dispelled. Jesus of Nazareth spoke rather as friend of the Romans than of the Jews. One day in passing by the place of Siloe, where there was a great concourse of people, I observed in the midst of the group a young man who was leaning against a tree, calmly addressing the multitude. I was told it was Jesus. This I could easily have suspected, so great was the difference between him and those listening to him. His golden-colored hair and beard gave to his appearance a celestial aspect. He appeared to be about thirty years of age. Never have I seen a sweeter or more serene countenance. What a contrast between him and his hearers, with their black beards and tawny complexions!

Several points before continuing with Pilate's letter to Tiberius Caesar: One, Pilate is moved with awe at Jesus. His eloquent testimony bears witness that even a notorious Roman official could not help but admire Jesus. Two, Jesus' hair is blond . This is the testimony of Pilate. Let those who wish to intimate that Jesus was not a white man read the records. Three: For those history distorters who claim that Jesus did not exist, let them explain this letter! Indeed, there is such a wealth of contemporaneous documentation regarding the life of Jesus Christ that it would be like denying that Abraham Lincoln existed!

Returning to Pilate:

. . . Never have I read in the works of the philosophers anything that can compare to the maxims of Jesus. One of the rebellious Jews, so numerous in Jerusalem, having asked Jesus if it was lawful to give tribute to Caesar, he replied: 'Render unto Caesar the things that belong to Caesar, and unto God the things that are God's.'

...I wrote to Jesus requesting an interview with him at the praetorium. He came. You know that in my veins flows the Spanish mixed with Roman blood - as incapable of fear as it is of weak emotion. When the Nazarene made his

appearance, I was walking in my basilic, and my feet seemed fastened with an iron hand to the marble pavement, and I trembled in every limb as does a guilty culprit, though the Nazarene was as calm as innocence itself...For some time I contemplated with admiration and awe this extraordinary type of man - a type of man unknown to our numerous painters, who have given form and figure to all the Gods and heroes. There was nothing about him that was repelling in its character, yet I felt too awed and tremulous to approach him.

'Jesus,' said I unto him at last - and my tongue faltered - 'Jesus of Nazareth, for the last three years I have granted you ample freedom of speech, nor do I regret it. Your words are those of a sage. I know not whether you have read Socrates or Plato, but this I know, there is in your discourses a majestic simplicity that elevates you far above those philosophers.

...'Your blood shall not be spilt,' said I, with deep emotion; 'you are more precious in my estimation on account of your wisdom than all the turbulent and proud Pharisees who abuse the freedom granted them by the Romans. They conspire against Caesar, and convert his bounty into fear, impressing the unlearned that Caesar is a tyrant and seeks their ruin. Insolent wretches! They are not aware that the wolf of the Tiber sometimes clothes himself with the skin of the sheep to accomplish his wicked designs. [Here, Pilate clearly underestimates the wiles of the Pharisees!] *I will protect you against them. My praetorium shall be an asylum sacred both day and night.*

Jesus carelessly shook his head...

- from the **Archko Volume** of historical records, Library of Congress, Washington D.C.

As much as Pilate admired Jesus, when the final hour came, he had no choice but to cooperate with the Sanhedrin, the ruling clique of Judea, many of whom were scribes and Pharisees. The Judean tribute money was channeled through the Sanhedrin who, therefore, had great influence in Rome. Rome could not allow an uprising to occur on account of some

rabble-rousing carpenter! --nor did Rome want the flow of tribute money to be interrupted. If Pilate didn't cooperate, his own neck would have been on the chopping block.

There is no question. Judaism is guilty of the murder of Christ .

...His blood be upon us, and on our children...and they bowed the knee before him, and mocked him, saying, Hail, King of the Jews. - Matt. 27: 25, 29.

Chapter 12

The Identification Of The Anti-Christ

And the Lord answered me, and said, Write the vision, and make it plain upon tables, that he may run who readeth it. For the vision is yet for an appointed time, but at the end it shall speak, and not lie: though it tarry, wait for it; because it will surely come...he is a proud man, neither keepeth at home, who enlargeth his desire as hell, and is as death, and cannot be satisfied, but gathereth unto him all nations, and heapeth unto him all people: Shall not all these take up a parable against him, and a taunting proverb against him, and say, Woe unto him that increaseth that which is not his! - Habakkuk 2:2-6.

For nothing is secret that shall not be made manifest; neither any thing hid, that shall not be known and come abroad. Take heed therefore how ye hear: for whosoever hath, to him shall be given; and whosoever hath not, from him shall be taken even that which he seemeth to have. - Luke 8:17, 18.

This second passage, which has seemed so cryptic and even unfair to many Christians (why would God take away something from the people who need it most?), is not referring to possessions, but to **knowledge** . "*My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge* ." – Hosea 4:6. Knowledge is something you have to work at obtaining. That's why we have education. Plus, no matter how extensive your education, at some point you have to educate yourself and avail yourself of all materials applicable. You have to be willing to challenge existing myths. You have to listen to all sides of the story so you get other people's perspectives. Otherwise, you remain

ignorant and orthodox. Paraphrasing Luke 8:18, the more you know, the more you will understand, the less you know, the less you will understand. Whose responsibility is it that you become educated? If you leave that responsibility to the Zionist establishment or public education, you will be ignorant indeed.

Malachi, Chapter 1: Thus saith the Lord through Malachi:

And I hated Esau, and laid his mountains and his heritage waste for the dragons of the wilderness. Whereas Edom saith, We are impoverished, but we will return and build the desolate places; thus saith the Lord of hosts, They shall build, but I will throw down; and they shall call them, The border of wickedness, and, The people against whom the Lord hath indignation for ever. - Vs. 2 and 3.

Although it is difficult to say exactly when the end of the current world order will come, it is obvious that we are very close to the end, for virtually all of the prophetic eschatological indicators given to us by the Bible have already occurred. There are only a few that haven't, and the crescendo of fulfillment is occurring rapid-fire. The above quotations are only a handful of those given to us about the "latter days." As Habbakuk says of his prophetic vision: "*at the end it shall speak* ." We will understand what is required of us by God in order to prepare ourselves for the Wedding Feast of the Lamb. Yahweh God will give His People sufficient warning just before the Great Day of the Lord, for at least a remnant must survive to carry out His promises of eternal life for us.

In order to survive the Day of Judgment, we must remain true to God's word and remain faithful until the smoke clears. We must be capable of standing by and enduring the worst possible wars, disasters, and calamities without losing our grip and without losing our faith. We must realize that the Day of Judgment is designed to purge the tares from among the wheat;

and even though our house caves in around us, we must endure. Know that the tares have been given six thousand years from the fall of Adam to make their peace with Yahweh. If they do not, they will most assuredly burn with an intense heat. "*For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up.* " – Mal. 4:1. The question remains: Who are the tares and who are the wheat?

Who Are the Jews?

Behold, I will make of them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews [Judahites]*, and are not, but do lie ...* - Rev. 3:9.

To be sure, the Chaldean word, *Yehuwd* , which is used in Rev. 3:9, has three possible meanings: Jewry, Judah, and Judean. We have already discussed the enormous confusion which has obtained historically because of the careless juggling of these three definitions. The definitions are not synonymous! But in the above quotation, it is obvious which one of the three meanings is employed. It is obviously 'Judah' who is impersonated, for no one is attempting to impersonate Jewry, and no one would want to impersonate a Judean. Only a Judahite, a member of the twelve tribes of Israel, is a potential heir to God's promises (covenants). The story of the attempted theft of this inheritance is precisely what the Bible is all about.

Paul tells us in I Thessalonians 2:14 that the Jews are "*contrary to all men* ." Now, the word, '*Jews* ' as used in I Thess. 2:14 obviously means Jewry, not Judah or Judean. Jewry is the people who obey the scribes and Pharisees, who killed the Lord Jesus. Although some Judahites were involved in the death of Christ and also some other Judeans (who happened to live in Judea but were neither Jews nor Judahites), it is the Edomite Jews being referred to here. And it is obviously Jewry that is famous around the world for being "contrary to all men" for their doctrine and customs.

This contrariness was freely admitted to by Jew and goy alike in times past. Indeed, Zionist propaganda, in the latter half of the nineteenth century,

proposed that international support for a Jewish state in Palestine would assuage this contrariness because it would give the Jews what they have wanted for so long: Palestine.

In a booklet entitled *The Restoration of Israel* , an 1890 reprint published by the Israel Commission, headquartered in Chester Springs, Pennsylvania, the author, a Pastor Russell, argues for giving Palestine to the Jews. This recent booklet contains no date of publication, but it was apparently published in the early seventies. It has this to say:

"*As a people they still have faith in God though in their blindness and pride of heart they have stumbled over the humility of God's appointed messenger for the world's salvation; so that, instead of receiving him, they crucified the Savior, the Lord of glory. And yet the apostles and prophets show us that even this flagrant crime, to which their pride and self-will drove them, was not one which could never be forgiven them. Because of it, they have been punished, and that severely. When they condemned the Just One and said, 'His blood be upon us and upon our children,' they little expected the fearful recompense which followed* ." – p. 246.

Mind you, that this piece, which was composed fifty years before the "holocaust," could cite many hardships as their punishment for the crucifixion of Christ. It thus demonstrates that the tone of Zionist propaganda was set long ago. The poor, persecuted Jew must have Palestine to make up for the suffering that was endured in the ghettoes Europe and Asia. Today, of course, they deny having crucified Christ, for, as we all should know from their incessant propaganda, the Jews are innocent and blameless – of anything and everything. Whites and Christians are to be blamed for all of Jewish "suffering."

Pastor Russell continues:

"*In Germany, France, England and Italy, they were circumscribed in their rights by decrees and laws of the ecclesiastical as well as civil powers, excluded from all honorable occupations, driven from place to place, compelled to subsist almost entirely by mercantile occupations and usury, overtaxed and degraded in the cities, kept in narrow quarters, and marked in their dress with signs of contempt, plundered by lawless barons and penniless princes, an easy prey to all parties during the civil feuds, again and again robbed of their pecuniary claims, owned and sold as serfs by the emperors, butchered by mobs and revolted peasants, chased by monks, and finally burned in thousands by the crusaders, who also burned their brethren at Jerusalem in their synagogues, or tormented them by ridicule, abusive sermons, monstrous accusations and trials, threats and experiments of conversion...They could own no land, belong to no guild of mechanics and engage in no form of art; they were shut up almost exclusively to trading. And,* ***finding all mankind at war with them*** [i.e., "contrary to all men"], *their national pride and arrogance were by no means softened, and the breach consequently widened between the Jews and their Gentile neighbors everywhere.* " – p. 5.

Now, to all of this very clear and sympathetic history we must respond: "Now, whose fault is it that the Jews are confined to the ghettoes? Was not the Biblically-proscribed practice of usury the true cause of all this animosity?" Is this not the policy of the rabbis from the Babylonian inception to the present? Who determined how they dressed, how they were educated, how they ate and drank but the rabbis themselves? All of these "abuses" can be laid on the doorstep of the Talmudic Rabbinate as part of their state-within-a-state political strategy. This is how they controlled their own sheep. The surrounding ecclesiastical leaders and civil leaders cannot be blamed for having to deal with the Jews as **a ghetto culture** ! This is their own doing! This is what they were from the beginning! This is how they have preserved their identity down through the ages! Pastor Russell simply assumes, as do all deceived Christians, that ghettoization was a

circumstance imposed from the outside . But this is a false presumption. It is Judaism itself!

Further on, Pastor Russell tells us:

"*It will be seen, then, that Palestine, as one of those Asiatic provinces, is now under England's care; and this accounts for the greater laxity on the part of the Turkish government in the enforcement of its laws unfavorable to Jewish interests. This providential opening of Palestine to the Jews was followed by renewed persecutions in the 'north country', Russia and Roumania, certain to induce emigration from this country to their own land. As a result of this combination of circumstances, Palestine, and, especially Jerusalem, is rapidly increasing in Jewish population of the orthodox type. Now the Jews in Jerusalem outnumber all other nationalities combined, whereas for centuries they have been a small minority* ." – P. 20

Note: This "small minority" of Jews cannot be Israel. Israel is prophesied as being an immeasurable multitude of people, as the sands of the sea and as the stars in the sky. The number of Jews, compared to the other peoples mentioned in the Bible, remains small today. The Jews do, however, fulfill the prophecy concerning Esau's smallness:

"*For, lo, I will make thee small among the heathen, and despised among men."* – Jer. 49:15.)

Since Zionist and communist agitation was constantly alienating the Russian people, pogroms resulted and orthodox Jews were convinced to

start "resettling the Holy Land." It was not Providence but Rothschild who conceived of this plot to force resettlement. It is truly mind-boggling how this Pastor Russell can admit so much of Jewish error yet still assume that Jewry is the salvation of the world. Their god does, indeed, work in strange ways.

On March 5th of 1891, William E. Blackstone, a representative of a recent Conference of Christians and Jews held in Chicago, presented the President of the United States, Benjamin Harrison, with this Memorial, which states, in part:

"*What shall be done for the Russian Jews? It is both unwise and useless to undertake to dictate to Russia concerning her internal affairs. The Jews have lived as foreigners in her dominions for centuries, and she fully believes that they are a burden upon her resources and prejudicial to the welfare of her peasant population, and will not allow them to remain. She is determined that they must go. Hence, like the Sephardim of Spain, these Ashkenazim must emigrate. But where shall two millions of such poor people go? Europe is crowded, and has no more room for more peasant population. Shall they come to America? This would be a tremendous expense and would require years.*

Why not give Palestine back to them again ?" [As if it ever belonged to them!]

The entire text of this Memorial is presented as Appendix A. It is quite revealing as to the tenor of Zionist propaganda at the time. This Zionist prose admits that the Jews have had no homeland for centuries. How is this to be squared with the Biblical fact that Israel will be a nation and a company of nations? How is this to be squared with the prophecy that the throne of David will live forever as an unbroken chain as long as there are stars in the sky? The memorial admits that the Jews have been a thorn in the

side of sovereign nations wherever they have settled; and, unfortunately for America, too many of these rabidly fanatical Ashkenazi Jews settled in New York City as well as in Palestine. Indeed, you cannot understand the sudden emergence of communist agitation in America without knowing its source in the Jewish ghettoes of cities like New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles. A certain Mr. Alger Hiss, communist infiltrator and spy and author of the United Nations Charter, was influenced by the Washington, D.C. ghetto.

So, in the very diplomatic language of this Memorial, the Zionist plot is laid out for all to see if they can understand what they are reading. The early history of Zionism, with its diplomatic maneuvering behind the scenes by very wealthy Jews, is crucial to the understanding of politics today. Without such understanding, it is impossible to analyze Zionism's purpose and influence.

In the early stages of Zionist propaganda, the Jewish Question was used as an argument by the Zionist's themselves as an argument for their resettlement into Palestine. Today, the Jewish question is hushed up altogether and the pretense is made that the Jews have always been a blessing, not a curse.

The Tribe of Judas

For ye, brethren, became followers of the churches of God which in Judaea are in Christ Jesus: for ye also have suffered like things of your own countrymen, even as they have of the Jews: Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men : Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles that they might be saved, to fill up their sins alway: for the wrath is come upon them to the uttermost . - I Thessalonians 2:14-16.

The rabbis, who are masters of language distortion, have always used the word 'Jew' to mean whatever they wish, leaving the three distinct meanings to be sorted out by the uninitiated. So, when they say, "Jesus was a Jew,"

they know full well that he was a Judahite but not a Pharisaic Jew. He was a Judean, but not a Pharisaic Jew. But to gullible Christians, the rabbis know that the meaning they want to convey is that "Jesus was a member of Jewry," which He most definitely was not . Did anybody ask the question, "What's in a word?"

This last quotation from I Thessalonians is very revealing in many ways. First, it reveals that Paul (the author of Thessalonians) addresses the Thessalonians as brethren (fellow Israelites). Second, it verifies that the Jews killed Christ. Third, it distinguishes among three separate groups of people: Jews, Israelites, and Gentiles. Fourth, it asserts the hostility of Jews and Judaism for all things non-Jewish. Fifth, it asserts that God's wrath - not his blessing - is directed at the Jews .

In this quotation alone, five generally accepted Jewish myths are contradicted - myths that Jewry promotes in order to maintain its impersonation of Israel.

For now, let us concentrate on the identity of the Jews up to the time of Paul. Paul distinguishes Jews, Gentiles, and Israelites. First of all, let it be pointed out that 'Gentiles' means nations; and nations are often made up of mixtures of people. Thus, Paul is careful to distinguish the Gentiles from Jews and Israelites.

It is obvious from the context of the above quote that the Gentiles are not to be confused with Israelites. Paul is addressing the Israelites who lived within those nations, not the nations themselves. Those nations were, in fact, pagan in their religion and would not have any comprehension of what Paul was trying to say . Jews today usually lump all non-Jews together by calling them goyim, or Gentiles. Pro-Jewish Christians do the same. However, such a distortion of meaning is not justified by the Bible. This is the equivalent of saying that the Bible should be preached to the communist

Chinese regime. Do so at your own risk. The fact is that Paul knew his brethren as the scattered (lost) sheep of the House of Israel who were living among the Gentiles (Greek *ethnos* , meaning "race, nation"); and he naturally distinguished them from the nations they were in.

If Jews are neither Israelites nor Gentiles, then what are they? Of course, as has been demonstrated throughout this research, the Jews, up to the time of the mass conversion of the Khazars to Judaism (around 740 A.D.), were primarily a half-breed mixture of Israelites and Canaanites. The Israelite blood they had is attributed mainly to Esau, brother of Jacob. The non-Israelite blood they had is attributed mainly to the descendants of Cain (Canaanites). These Canaanite nations are specifically identified in the Bible. (Deut. 20:17; Jos. 12:7-24.)

Genesis, Chapter 4 is primarily about Cain. Verses 11 and 12 state:

And now art thou cursed from the earth, which hath opened her mouth to receive thy brother's blood from thy hand; When thou tillest the ground, it shall not henceforth yield unto thee her strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in the earth .

Like gypsies, the Jews have never been known for their farming ability. Indeed, Talmudic Judaism considers it demeaning to perform any kind of physical labor at all. Jews must aspire to be doctors, lawyers, bureaucrats, and bosses, so that they will always have positions of influence and power over the goyim. The more power the average Jew has, the more power the Sanhedrin has . The little Jews are the pawns in their political chess strategy. A Jew who does not have a position of influence is an object of scorn among his own kind.

It is also true that Jews have been fugitives and vagabonds. Virtually every country in history has, at one point or another, either expelled the Jews altogether or tried to limit their influence over the indigenous population.

Zionism acknowledges the vagabond Jewish heritage by its stated determination to bring a partial end to it via the Zionist state.

One of the more popular myths of the Bible is that Adam was the first human being. This cannot be demonstrated from what the Bible actually says. Verses 14 through 16 of Genesis 4 make it very clear that the Adamites were not the first human beings. Cain protests against the curse which has just been placed upon him and says,

Behold, thou hast driven me out this day from the face of the earth; and from thy face shall I be hid; and I shall be a fugitive and a vagabond in the earth; and it shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall slay me . And the Lord said unto him, Therefore whosoever slayeth Cain, vengeance shall be taken upon him sevenfold, lest any finding him should kill him. And Cain went out from the presence of the Lord, and dwelt in the Land of Nod, on the east of Eden . They are still vagabonds and fugitives today!

It seems very odd that God would put a curse on anyone who might slay the murderer, Cain. A 7-fold vengeance seems rather extreme; however, the curse may be due to the fact that Cain and his seed must be given the opportunity to redeem themselves; and God does not want anyone to interfere with this process.

At Genesis 4, only four people have been mentioned: Adam, Eve, Cain, and Abel. So, who are these others to whom Cain himself refers that are supposed to desire to kill him? And how did the land of Nod, which is east of Eden, get its name? Geographical areas, in those days, typically got their names from the people who inhabited the land or from the king who ruled them. *And Cain knew his wife...* - vs. 17. Now, where did she come from ? Those who preach the myth that all races descended from Adam and Eve have no answers to such questions.

Mrs. Sidney Bristowe has written an outstanding book on this subject. It is entitled **Sargon the Magnificent** , and it is an attempt to identify the historical person of Cain in Sargon, the first king of Sumeria (also known as Chaldea), which later developed into Babylon. The Bible says that Cain built a city in the land of Nod. A city is a place that contains lots of people, not just two.

In general, it must be said that the myth that Adam was the first human does not hold up to archaeological evidence. Nor is it Biblically tenable either. The fact is that Genesis 1 is talking about early man and Gen. 2 is talking only about Adam and Eve. Observe:

"And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth, after his kind: and it was so." – Gen. 1:24.

"Living creature" is a very broad term that means land animals that breathe air. The word 'creature' comes from the Hebrew *nephesh* , meaning "*a breathing creature* ," from the root word *naphash* , meaning "*to breathe* ." The Hebrew word *chay* simply means "*alive, or living thing* ." This concept of living, breathing animals (*chay nephesh*) is **very broad** and INCLUDES hominids (two-legged "beasts"), which are referred to in this verse as " *beast of the earth* ." By falsely translating the Hebrew word *awdawm* as "man" (meaning all races), the KJV translators imply that no two-legged creatures are included in this Gen. 1:24 creation. That is a completely false idea, as is proven by a survey of how the expressions, "beast of the earth" and "beast of the field" are used in the Bible. For example, in Jonah 3:8, the so-called "beast" is capable of doing some very human things:

"*But let man and **beast** be covered in sackcloth, and cry mightily unto God: yea, let them turn every one from his evil way, and from the violence that is in their hands."*

So, these "beasts" are capable of wearing clothing, crying out to God, and doing evil and committing violence with their **hands** . Whether intentionally or unintentionally, the KJV mistranslators have conveyed the false impression that the "*beast of the earth* " can only mean four-legged "beasts" and below.

Now, pay close attention: The Adamites are not created until verses 26 and 27:

"*And God said, Let us make man in **our** image, after **our** likeness ...So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them* ."

The early man of Gen. 1, the nomadic wanderers and gatherers, was created on the sixth day . This would include the White Race along with all of the other races. But only Adamic man is given dominion over the earth. Adam and Eve, however, were not placed into the Garden until after the seventh day . Early man did not farm or cultivate the earth in a civilized manner, so Yahweh went about creating one who does: Gen. 2:7 says,

"*And the Lord God formed man* [Awdawm] *of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man* [Awdawm] *became a living soul* ."

That we are confronted with two types of man is confirmed by the fact that in Hebrew there are two different words for the English word ‘adam’: #119, **adam** , pronounced *aw-dam* and #120, **adam** , pronounced *aw-dawm* . In the old Hebrew language, vowels were not written. They were indicated by marks below the vowels. A modern example would be the umlaut sign in German (‘’), two dots which go above the letter o or u to change its pronunciation. This change in pronunciation also changes the meaning.

The meaning of *adam* , #119 is "**to show blood in the face** ." This, of course, can only mean the White Race of Adamites. No other race shows blood in the face . The meaning of *adam* , #120 is "a human being" with the connotation of being "mean" or "of low degree." But this matter of higher and lower degree can only be applied to Adam (the individual) before he fell from grace. So, we have two reasons, different spellings and different meanings, for saying that *awdawm* and *awdam* are not exactly the same, yet they only apply to the White Race, the only race which is capable of blushing. *Awdawm* (the White Race) was created on the sixth day and *Awdam* (the individual) was created after the seventh day.

Also, Adam, the "man" of the garden, had the "breath of life" breathed into him (Gen. 2:7) by Yahweh Himself . This is not true of the sixth day "man." In Genesis 1, the operating force is *Elohim* , meaning the heavenly host of beings under Yahweh’s command. This is why verse 26 says "*after OUR image and likeness* ." The form of all beings is first created in the immaterial world ("heaven") as a design or blueprint and only then is it created in the physical world.

Given these considerations, the contention that the human race is only six thousand years old is simply untenable. Applying the principle of one of God’s days being equal to a thousand earth years (2 Pet. 3:8) and counting backwards, we have six thousand years of history from the fall of Adam who was of *awdam* , plus, going backwards in time, at least one thousand years of "rest," plus another one thousand years within which occurred the creation of *awdawm* (during the sixth "day"). And there is no telling how much time delay intervened after the day on which God rested before he

eventually created *awdam* . Genesis 2 does not state how much time elapsed after He rested. **Any number of God's "days" could have intervened before *awdam* of the garden of Eden was finally created** .

The contention of the creationists, namely, that the human race goes back only to about 4004 B.C., is subject to the above complications. In addition, there is no telling how long Adam and Eve were living in the Garden before their fall. Although Adam lived nearly one thousand years, the six thousand years of history now under discussion did not begin until the fall and the subsequent ejection from the Garden of Eden. This could have happened at any time within the nine hundred thirty years of his life. Consequently, the attempt to pinpoint an exact date for the creation of the human race is futile given only the information in Genesis.

Since the Bible does not categorically state that Adam was the first human being, and since the context and evidence of Genesis 1-4 contradict this myth, it is reasonable to conclude that the Bible is in agreement with science on this issue - as it is on probably all issues when care is taken to read the Scriptures and disregard the mythology surrounding them.

This myth is on a par with the usual representation of the forbidden fruit as an apple. This image is presented to all who confront the Garden of Eden story. Yet, <u>there is no mention of an apple in Genesis</u> . Chapter Three tells us this story, and I would defy anyone to find any mention of an apple or any other kind of literal fruit.

The fact is that the words tree, fruit, "branch" and seed are repeatedly used in the entire Bible to refer to human offspring, **the genealogical tree** . <u>There is no justification for us to deviate from this treatment of words, which is totally consistent from beginning to end.</u> And to dispel all doubt about what is being referred to in Chapter Three, it is stated in Verse 15 - after Eve has been beguiled by the serpent -

*And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and **her seed** ; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel* .

There is certainly no doubt about the meaning of 'seed' in this statement. It, of course, means human seed, literal offspring. "Thy seed" here refers to the seed of Satan; and "her seed" refers to the seed of Eve through Adam, especially that seedline which resulted in one Jesus Christ. The enmity between these two seedlines is one of the most important themes of the Bible, although in these days of race-mixing propaganda, the two-seedline doctrine is rarely heard.

Now, since this is such an important theme in the Bible, we must treat it in detail. We must bear in mind that Lucifer and his angels had been cast down into the earth long before Adam and Eve were placed in the Garden of Eden. Planet Earth is their place of final banishment before judgment. So, in all likelihood, pre-existing races, such as the Orientals, Blacks, Aborigines, Amerindians, and even pre-Adamic (Heb. ADAM) Whites (the Cro-Magnon Aryans), had all inhabited planet Earth long before the Adamites (the specific progeny of Adam - HA-ADAM, or THE ADAM, the individual who is the subject of Genesis 2 - and Eve). It is clear from Scripture that Adam was a "special creation" who had a special mission.

It is also clear that the fallen angels are the infamous "nephilim," the angels who were cast down from heaven and who took human form. Genesis 6 says:

"*...the sons of God saw the daughters of men* [ADAM] *that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children unto them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown* ." – Vs. 2, 4.

In Hebrew, the phrase "sons of God" comes from "ben-elohiym." ‘Elohiym’ can mean good guys or bad guys. We can see how easily "ben-elohyim" can become "nephilim."

If human history begins only at 4,004 B.C., then where is the evidence of these "giants" who roamed the Earth and were "men of renown"? Why is there no mention of any interaction between these giants and the Adamites? When, exactly, did these giants roam the Earth? It had to have been at a much earlier time that they died out, although it is quite possible that Goliath, the adversary of David, was one of the last genetic giants of Biblical times. It is also quite possible that this genetic material is still being carried by some human beings, and an occasional "giant" is born. The medical term for this condition is called giantism.

At the turn of the Century in Britain, an archeological discovery of immense proportions (literally) was put on display and toured the countryside by rail. One of the promotional pictures of the tour, published in *Strand* Magazine in 1895, shows a twelve-foot-tall mummy propped up against a boxcar. This photo was published in many newspapers of the time. **This mummy is taller than the boxcar it is leaning on** ! The promoters of the tour fell to squabbling amongst themselves and the remains of this astounding find were lost. (A reprint of this photo is contained in the September-October, 2001 issue of **Nexus Magazine** , p. 60. Their website is www.nexusmagazine.com.)

In the secular literature surrounding the Bible, the nephilim are always big, bad guys, traditionally interpreted as being the fallen angels of Genesis. In the same context , Gen. 6 tells us that it is the wickedness of these same ben-elohyim which causes God to bring about the Great Flood, thus destroying them. Hence, the seed of the ben-elohyim had surrounded Noah and his family, threatening to destroy the Royal Seed from which Messiah would come; thus they had to be eliminated.

Genesis only mentions the Serpent himself, Lucifer/Satan, as being in the Garden with Eve when he "beguiles" her. Just as Noah and his family were surrounded by evil seed, so was the Garden surround by "evil seed" for we know that Lucifer was cast down with one third of the heavenly host.

"*How art thou fallen from heaven, O, Lucifer, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations* !" – Isa. 14:12.

Speaking of the great red dragon, Scripture tells us,

"*And his tail drew the third part of the stars of heaven, and did cast them to the earth* ." – Rev. 12:4.

This is all part of the "war in heaven" which started long ago and continues to this day.

This war in heaven was brought down to manageable proportions by Yahweh God when the rebellious angels were cast down to earth. Hence, when Satan seduced Eve, his genetic imprint was left within her. And his seed was mixed with her seed. This is what is meant by the " *tree of the knowledge of good and evil* ." Instead of one "good tree" and one "bad tree," we have a very mixed-up genealogical tree. In the context of the Garden story, obviously, a sexual interpretation of the word 'beguiled' is the correct one; and the apple theory must be thrown out. One of the alternate meanings of the Hebrew word 'nasha' is **to seduce** (see **Strong's Concordance** , item #5377), lending even more credence to this interpretation.

The fact that Jesus constantly refers to the scribes and Pharisees as being serpents and vipers is not lost upon us. What is obviously going on in Genesis 3 is the first recorded instance of miscegenation, or race-mixing, between an Adamite and a non-Adamite, a practice which the Bible

condemns through and through, from beginning to end; and if you don’t know that, then you haven’t been reading your Bible. And who are the people who today most encourage race-mixing among the Gentiles? They have not yet changed their strategy; and the enmity between Eve's seed and the serpent's seed is as strong as ever, just as the Bible prophesied. By diluting and polluting true Israel’s seed, they hope to overcome their only real enemy.

Genesis 3 does not record whether or not there were any direct offspring from a sexual beguilement, although I John 3:12 states that Cain *was of that wicked one* . Adam and Eve fell from grace for having committed a sin related to the bearing of evil fruit." The connotation begs for a sexual interpretation; and the principle being violated is the principle of racial purity. Adam and Eve must have violated that principle.

I think we can safely say that God's principles are not to be violated - even if only in thought - whether anyone is perceived as being hurt by such thinking or not. Jesus makes this case very strongly by telling us that we cannot even mentally imagine committing a sin. He equates this with actually having committed the sin (Matt. 5:28). Evil thoughts are as bad as evil deeds. But there is certainly more going on here than mere mental deception. The fact is that Adam and Eve were made aware of their nakedness by this incident and they henceforth covered their genital areas to hide their shame. If they ate literal fruit, like apples, what would that have to do with reproduction? And what would the eating of a literal forbidden fruit have to do with nakedness?

Oddly enough, within the Christian Identity Movement (Anglo-Saxon Israel), there is debate as to whether the Two Seedline Doctrine should be taken literally. The counter argument is that there is enough damning information about the Jews that no Satanic, genetic defect is required to make a case against them. This may be true, but the fact is that the Garden of Eden story makes no sense unless we can determine exactly what is meant by the "*tree of knowledge of good and evil* ." Every other, non-sexual interpretation is simply speculative metaphysics at best or the leaven of the Pharisees at worst.

Part of the problem in interpreting Scripture lies in the fact that our modern translations do not reflect the idiomatic nature of ancient Hebrew. Clifton A. Emahiser has issued a series of pamphlets on this subject entitled, *Special Notice to All Who Deny Two Seed Line* . He has made a study of the idiomatic language contained in the Garden story and has this to say:

"*Yes, the word "tree" as used in this verse means a hard, firm, or solid tree such as wood, timber, stocks... As a matter of fact, the counterpart word for the Hebrew #6086 (tree) is #3586 in the Greek and means the same thing. The problem, though, for understanding "trees" of Genesis 3 is in the* ***Hebrew idiom*** *. As George M. Lamsa points out in his booklet Idioms in the Bible Explained, page ix., that both the "tree of knowledge" and the "tree of life" have sexual connotations. In addition, Lamsa said this in his introduction:*

" ***I chose the King James text from which to pick the idioms quoted in this book...They translated many Eastern idioms and metaphors literally, not knowing their true meaning. For instance, 'You shall handle snakes.' They did not know that the 'snake' refers to 'an enemy.' 'Beware of dogs' was understood as 'beware of gossipers', in Semitic languages .*** "

Are there any "dogs" in your neighborhood? Says Mr. Emahiser: "*The bottom line is: if one cannot understand the idiom, one cannot understand the Bible, in numerous cases* ." – from Pamphlet #5.

Jesus Christ, in the eleventh Chapter of Isaiah, is referred to as the future "rod," "stem" and "root" of Jesse. If the anti-seedliners are correct, this obviously genealogical language can only be taken literally, and Isaiah must be talking about literal wood . But this is clearly absurd.

Considering the importance of Adam's genealogical tree in the Bible, all 77 generations from Adam down to Jesus Christ can be pictured in terms of a tree, with Adam at the bottom and his descendants forming the trunk rising

upward and the branches spreading out. Bear in mind that our ancestors must have had such imagery in mind when they thought of our genealogical tree. Jesus himself reaffirms this imagery in the parable of the mustard seed.

In modern parlance, for example, if you hired an immigrant to do some work for you and he was moving too slowly, you would tell him to "step on it." If he were unfamiliar with this idiom, he might start looking around on the floor for something to step on or just scratch his head wondering what you were talking about. He wouldn't understand that you meant "move faster." In the same way, unless we are familiar with the idioms of ancient Hebrew, we will misunderstand and mistranslate until we find the true meaning of the idioms.

For the purposes of this study, however, we are merely trying to be true to the language of the Bible. And since our interpretation fits in with the common usage of words in the Bible, we can say that this interpretation is the true one because nearly all of the relevant words used in the Garden story have some sort of sexual connotation, whether referring to sexual intercourse or genealogy. This is true of words such as "tree," "beguiled," "eat of," "touch," and yes, the old favorite, "know." How often have you heard someone say "*He knew her in the Biblical sense* "? The meaning is obvious. Therefore, we can only conclude that, consistent with the rest of the Bible, we are admonished not to mix the good seed with the bad seed; yet, Eve did it anyway. When all of these words are used consistently in the Bible to teach moral lessons about sexuality, why are we then supposed to look for a different interpretation for Genesis 3 ?

Now, since "tree" refers to progeny and "knowledge" refers to sexual intercourse, we are talking about specific offspring. And since one of the main themes of the Bible is the prohibition against race-mixing, it follows that " *the tree of the knowledge of good and evil* " (Gen. 2:17) refers to precisely that: race-mixing. That is what Adam and Eve are expressly prohibited from doing. Any attempt to spiritualize the sin of Adam and Eve falls apart based on a thorough analysis of language used throughout the Bible. The main stumbling block to this conclusion has been the completely unwarranted assumption that Adam and Eve were the only two human

beings on the earth at the time. They may have been alone in the Garden, but not alone on the planet.

Adam and Eve were expressly forbidden from mixing their seed with that of other races; but they broke that rule. When Eve was beguiled by the Serpent, she either didn't think he was of another race, or he convinced her that race-mixing is not a sin. Either way, she was mistaken, and the history of human conflict is the result.

Returning now to Cain, we find that after he has taken a Nodite to wife, she bears his first son Enoch. Genesis, 4 gives us this genealogy:

Cain

Enoch

Irad

(Note the philological similarity to 'Iraq' and 'Iran'.)

Mehujael

Methusael

Lamech

Lamech had two wives, Adah and Zilah.

Note that the Bible fails to list Adam as the father of Cain. If Adam were indeed the father of Cain, why such an oversight? The obvious conclusion is that Adam is not listed as Cain's father because <u>he is not his father</u> ! Laurence Gardner, in his book, **Realm of the Ring Lords** , a book about

the genealogies of the most ancient kings and queens and their modern descendants, lists Cain as descended from Eve but, correctly, does not list Adam as the father. (pp. 324-327.) Now, if the Serpent were the real father of Cain, would he not wish to keep this a secret from true Israel?

The biblical genealogy continues:

Lamech

(Adah)

(Zilah)

Jabal and Jubal

Tubalcain

At this point it is important to state that it is these Cainite (also known as Kenite) people and their descendants that comprised the half-breed ruling class which ruled over the indigenous people of Sumeria and, then, Babylon. The Adamites and, later, the Israelites, were forbidden to intermarry with these and other peoples. It is also these same Cainite people who bear the enmity against the Adamites.

For clarification, the following partial genealogies are listed, showing the distinctions between Adamites and Cainites:

Evolution of the Two Seedlines

God of Truth, Yahweh God of Lies, Lucifer

Adam & Eve	Serpent (Satan)
Seth	Cain
Israel	Esau
Jesus	Pharisees
Christians	Jews

The Great Flood

Another erroneous conjecture about Scripture is that all the people of the world drowned in Noah's flood and that, therefore, all of the human races come from Noah's three sons, Ham, Shem, and Japheth. Again, this cannot be maintained from a careful reading of Scripture; and we must include this myth with the other two (the apple and Adam being the first human). The Hebrew word, '*erets* ', can be translated either as 'earth' or 'land' and these words are rarely used to denote the entire earth . (In **Strong's Concordance** , the word '*erets* ', #776, has the following meanings: *"..country, earth, field, land, ground...wilderness, world* ." The last entry of any dictionary definition is usually the least common meaning.)

The two most reasonable readings are that 1.) the flood was a major one, but from the locale between the Tarim Basin to Western Turkey (Mt. Ararat), the rains were mightily supplemented by an upwelling of water from underground due to earthquakes (see Dr. Wesley Swift's analysis entitled *The Races and the Flood* , a taped lecture available from the New Christian Crusade Church, POB 426, Metarie, LA 70004), or 2.) the deluge was world-wide but did not annihilate everything . In the area where Noah lived, the magnitude of the flood was increased by the upwelling of the local aquifers, due to the earthquakes that set this water free to the surface. (Gen. 7:11.)

Certainly, not all of the world's birds, nor the species of beasts of Africa, Australia, America and others unknown to Noah were passengers on the Ark. If all the known species were on the Ark, their migration from the Ark's landing site would have to be traceable and proven. Bones of kangaroos would have been found in the Middle East if "all" of the world's animals were represented. What did they do? Hop all they way to Australia

as soon as they were let loose? Add to this fact the additional fact that Noah housed two of each species on the Ark, we are looking at a ship that would have had to carry **millions** of animals **plus food** for them all! The difficulties of maintaining this dubious thesis are incredible! We can only conclude that when Genesis 6 speaks of all flesh that have the breath of life being destroyed in the earth/land, it is most likely speaking of a particular region of the globe, but not the entire globe. That portion is most certainly and most specifically the area inhabited by the Adamites at that time. Dr. Swift taught the Tarim Basin theory, but recent archeological evidence suggests that northern Turkey was the true site of the Garden.

Ongoing archeological research demonstrates that numerous mummies of normal-sized human beings are scattered all over Mongolia, especially in Xinjiang Province of Western China. These mummies are the remains of so-called Caucasians, but they are most likely eastward migrating Japhethites, who still call themselves Uighurs. Thus we have clear evidence that White people inhabited that area in ancient times.

The Book of Joshua, Chapter 24, makes several references to the flood. There is no mistaking that the flood being referred to is Noah's Great Flood. There are two Scriptural reasons for this. The first is that the references to the flood are preceded with the definite article 'the' and in all cases in Genesis and Joshua refer to one and the same flood. The second reason is that, in reading the Bible from Genesis down to Joshua 24, there is no mention of any other historical flood.

Joshua distinctly and repeatedly refers to this flood as being local in nature. On four occasions, Joshua refers to specific events happening on the **other side of the flood** , thus proving conclusively from Scripture that the flood was <u>not</u> global in nature. To assert that all races descended from Noah contradicts the archaeological evidence as well as the genetic code, the moral laws of the Bible, and the evidence of the Book of Joshua. The Bible names the descendants of Noah's sons. To this day, none of them are of different races, nor does genetics permit them to be.

The Hebrew word, '*Adam* ,' means *to show blood in the face* , that is, to be able to blush. This clearly indicates that the Adamites were pre-Israelite White people. To argue that blacks, Orientals, and others evolved from

Noah is to insist on a narrow interpretation of the Bible which is both contradicted by other Bible teachings and unable to stand up to scientific criticism. It is, quite simply, ignorant nonsense, which sprang up during the Middle Ages. The Biblical insistence on racial purity would be pointless in view of such an interpretation.

The genealogy of Noah is given in Chapter 6. We have already traced the genealogy of Shem, the progenitor of the Semites. It is repeated here so that we can tell the players apart. Starting with God, we have:

Adam

Seth

Enos

Cainan

Mahalaleel

Jared

Enoch

Methuselah

Lamech

Noah

Shem

Arphaxad

Kainan (This patriarch is mentioned in the Septuagint, but not in the

Masoretic text. See Luke 3:35, 36 for a reference to Kainan, son of Arphaxad.)

Salah

Heber

Peleg

Reu

Serug

Nahor

Terah

Abraham

Isaac

Jacob (Israel)

If you are an Anglo-Saxon, these men are your direct progenitors. If you are a Jew, the blood in your veins contains either zero genetic material from these men or you may have some of their genetic material plus the genetic material of any number of other ethnic groups and races. That many of the descendants of Noah did, in fact, mix with other races is undeniable. But the seedline being discussed here remained pure; and this seedline still exists today. This is the seedline of Shem. Let us also consider Ham and Japheth, his Adamite brothers.

Chapter Ten tells us that Japheth had seven sons: Gomer, Magog, Madai, Javan, Tubal, Meshech, and Tiras.

Gomer, son of Japheth, had three sons: Ashkenaz, Riphath, and Togarmah. Ashkenaz is the patriarch of the **Ashkenazim** , who are still known by that name today as a major branch of Jewry. Notice that, as the descendants of Japheth, they are not Semites .

Javan had four sons: Elishah, Tarshish, Kittim, and Dodanim.

Note that many of the names given in these genealogies have survived into the 20th century either as territorial names or as ethnic names or both. Verse 5 refers to these people as having divided amongst themselves the territories of the Gentiles, that is, the pre-existing nations around them.

The sons of Ham: Cush, Mizraim, Phut, and Canaan.

The sons of Cush: Seba, Havilah, Sabtah, Raamah, and Sabtechah.

Cush begat Nimrod, who was a mighty one in the earth, a powerful monarch.

In this tenth chapter, we see the names of all those nations and peoples which were to become Israel's enemies: Babel (Babylon), Asshur (Assyria), Philistim, Canaan, the Jebusites, Amorites, Girgasites, Hivites, etc. ...*and afterward were the families of the Canaanites spread abroad* . - vs. 18. (See also Psalm 83.)

It is important to realize that some of the descendants of Shem also became part of the peoples who eventually became known by the umbrella term, 'Canaanites.' One of these families is that of the **Sephardim** , presently the other major branch of Jewry, who apparently got their name from the area of the mountain named Sephar. These people were Semites, but not Israelites . They cannot even be considered Abrahamites - in spite of the fact that they make this claim - because the Sepharites (Sephardim) are only cousins of Abraham, not his descendants . (Of course, today, the entire class of Middle Eastern (non-Ashkenazi) Jews is referred to as the Sephardim.)

W.G. Finlay, in issue #10 of the *Covenant Answering Service* , sums it up this way:

(Note:At this point in our discussion, it would be fitting to start using Israelite terminology in contradistinction to Jewish terminology so that all relevant categories can be clearly and distinctly separated. This is primarily to minimize confusion, but also to start reclaiming our heritage as the children of the Covenant. Consequently, we will be using the word 'Shemite' instead of the word 'Semite', among other changes. This fact will be appreciated more and more as the text continues.)

For our purposes, a simple logical phrase is most useful: All Israelites are Shemites, but not all Shemites are Israelites. Indeed, the Israelites comprised only a portion of the descendants of Shem; but, without a doubt, the Israelites are the Covenant People upon whom the blessings were bestowed. The other Shemites either intermarried with the surrounding Canaanites or, perhaps, kept to themselves but still have no part in the Covenant bestowed to Jacob-Israel.

We thus begin to see the genealogical nature of the Canaanites, the historical and racial ancestors of the people today known as Sephardic Jews. Jacob's brother, Esau, married two Canaanite women and thus violated the laws against intermarriage. By this act, he repudiated his share of the Covenant.

As we can see from tracing the names of these historical families and tribes, much animosity toward Israel came from the descendants of Ham. The extent to which these Hamites, Japhethites, and non-Israelite Shemites intermarried with the Chaldeans (Sumerians) and others is not so important as the fact that, whether they intermarried or not, **they have no claim to the Abrahamic Covenant** .

The blessing given to Abraham (*I will make of thee a great nation...And I will bless them that bless thee, and curseth him that curseth thee* ...(12:2, 3)) applies only to his direct descendants . And this excludes both the Ashkenazim and the Sephardim. The fact that they might be near or distant cousins, by racial intermarriage, is not good enough.

In addition to these pre-Israelite or Sephardic Canaanites, the descendants of Esau (Edom) must be figured. Genesis, Chapter 36 tells us how Esau settled in the land of Canaan and dwelt at Mount Seir. Esau-Edom had two sons: Eliphaz and Reuel.

And the sons of Eliphaz were Teman, Omar, Zepho, and Gatam, and Kenaz . - 36:11.

By a concubine, Eliphaz had Amalek. (The Amalekites were to become the fiercest enemies of Israel.) The sons of Reuel were Nahath, Zerah, Shammah, and Mizzah.

As we have already seen, the Jews admit their Canaanite heritage (*Edom is in Jewry* - **Jewish Encyclopedia** , 1925, Volume 5, p.41); **and it is demonstrated by Scripture that Israel endeavored at all times to remain distinct from the Canaanites** . We thus have conclusive Scriptural proof that the Jews are not Israelites. **They are Canaanites.** And we have shown that the Idumean tribe of these Canaanites surreptitiously overcame the tribe of Judah when Antipater wrested civil and religious power from John Hyrcanus II, the last true Judahite to rule over Judah.

With that beginning, the great impersonation of Israel by Canaan-Edom developed into what it is today, with Zionism trying to force its terrible and ignoble will upon the innocent peoples of the world. One of the principal excuses Zionism gives in its gigantic charade is that the Jews are the supposed descendants of Israel. The fact that the Khazarian Jewish leaders

know that this claim is false is all the more reason to condemn them, for they are brash to the point of murder, terrorism, and genocide.

Their brashness is confirmed in Isaiah 4:9:

The shew of their countenance doth witness against them; and they declare their sin as Sodom, they hide it not. Woe unto their soul! for they have rewarded evil unto themselves .

Reverend Bertrand L. Comparet, in his booklet, *The Good Figs and the Bad Figs* , has this to say regarding the show of the Jewish countenance:

...all the ancient monuments that show Israelites show a straight-nosed, typically Anglo-Saxon face; and the monuments which show the Canaanites, from the Hittites in the north, way up into Turkey, on down through the various Canaanite peoples living in Palestine, they all show hook-nosed, typically Jewish faces . - p. 13.

(This hook-nosed, receding forehead type of countenance goes all the way back to the Sumerians, who were the first people to be ruled by Cain. This facial type is still within Jewry today. For a simple demonstration, compare the profiles of Grace Kelly, a Shemite, and Barbra Streisand, a Sephardic Jew.)

Neither do they hide their sins: Liberal causes, such as abortion, secular humanism, modernism in the Christian churches, Freemasonry, homosexuality, miscegenation, pornography, incest, atheism, etc., are all

openly and vigorously pursued and publicly promoted by various representatives of Jewry. It is only the blind and thoroughly indoctrinated who either cannot see this or refuse to see it.

The Fourteenth Tribe

One final installment remains with regard to the ancient history of the Jews. We left off in Chapter 11 with the facts concerning the distinct differences between the Nazarites of pure-blooded Israel heritage versus the Edomite Judeans (Idumeans) which were of a mixed racial stock. The Idumeans remained in control of Judea until around 70 A.D., when the Temple of Herod was destroyed by Titus of Rome.

Before proceeding with the history of the Khazars, it is most fitting that we heed the words of Titus from a speech which he gave to the Jews just before Jerusalem was attacked and the Temple was destroyed:

I hope you, sirs, are now satiated with the miseries of your country, who have not had any just notions, either of our great power, or of your own great weakness, but have like madmen, after a violent and inconsiderate manner, made such attempts, as have brought your people, your city, and your holy house to destruction...It can therefore be nothing certainly but the kindness of us Romans which hath excited you against us. Who, in the first place, have given you this land to possess; and, in the next place, have set over you kings of your own nation; and, in the third place, have preserved the laws of your forefathers to you, and have withal permitted you to live, either by yourselves, or among others, as it should please you? and, what is our chief favor of all, we have given you leave to gather up that tribute which is paid to God.. .

In other words, concerning the special talent of the rabbinate, the Roman government had granted the Jews all sorts of special privileges, including

the collection of taxes for the benefit of the rabbis , and they still, like madmen, chose to rebel. Titus is amazed at their fanaticism and pitiful delusions of grandeur, their rebellious zeal appearing to him to be insane while other conquered peoples would gladly love to have such a favorable arrangement under an overwhelmingly powerful force. Indeed, the Jews could have waited out their occupation, but their messianic zeal would not allow them to do so.

Continuing with the speech of Titus:

...when you were in enjoyment of all these advantages, you turned your too great plenty against those that gave it you, and, like merciless serpents, have thrown out your poison against those that treated you kindly...then did you Jews show yourselves to be our enemies. You sent embassies to those of your nation that are beyond Euphrates to assist you in your raising disturbances... [Isn't it so terribly sad that the same behavior exhibits itself yet?!] *...new walls were built by you round your city, seditions arose, and one tyrant contended against another, and a civil war broke out among you* [sounds like the Israeli State today!]*; such, indeed, as became none but so wicked a people as you are.* - quoted from an article by W.G. Finlay in Vol. 52, No. 7 of the **Covenant Message** , entitled *The Destruction of the Temple* .

Titus is elaborating for our benefit the life cycle of the parasitic culture or state-within-a-state, which every Jewish community has engaged in. First, they feasted on the privileges allowed them. They grew fat and ever more vain, until their vainglory outstretched their capabilities of reason and ventured toward an impossible dream: to actually believe that they could, perhaps with divine or fateful intercession, conquer the Roman army! Note that Titus also sees fit to compare them to "serpents," just as Jesus did. The Zionist sponsored war against Iraq in 2003 is just another example of the

Hidden Tyranny which moves and shakes captive governments. It is a megalomania which will either rule the world or destroy it.

Look at the Israeli State today and compare it with Titus' description: ...*and one tyrant contended against another* ... Menachem Begin, Ariel Sharon, Yitzak Shamir, Yitzak Rabin, Shimon Perez: the very names sound ominous to the ear. The faces look like those of hoodlums. The speech sounds like that of the uneducated. The acts of terrorism and torture those of tyrants. And these modern Jews are not even Israelites! They are either Canaanites (Sephardic) or Khazars (Ashkenazi). The Khazars have no Israelite blood in them whatsoever, and the modern Sephardim have even less Israelite blood in them than what was possessed by Herod.

According to Douglas Reed, in his book, **The Controversy of Zion** , the Pharisees, after Jerusalem was sacked, moved their headquarters to Jamnia, in Palestine. Reed cites this time as the beginning of the Talmudic phase of Judaism, wherein the rabbis retreated into their various ghettoes in the Middle East, such as Bagdhad, Babylon, and other large cities where Jewish culture has always thrived. At Jamnia began the process of turning the written Torah and the oral traditions and commentaries into what became the written Talmud. This era he places from 70 A.D. to 1800 A.D., with the actual writing of the Talmud starting around 200 A.D. According to Dr. Josef Kastein, in his **History and Destiny of the Jews** , the rabbis' intention was to *make the life of the Jew utterly different from that of the Gentiles* . In this, the rabbis have succeeded beyond their wildest expectations.

It was at Jamnia that the strategy of pretending to deny their own religion in the face of hostile peoples originated. This strategy of false conversion, as opposed to Christianity's heritage of taking a courageous stand upon principle , gives a clear indication of the mindset differences between Judaism and Christianity. Christianity demands a strong character in the face of opposition; but Judaism encourages stealth, cunning, and deception as opposed to facing the issues out in the open. This tradition is still very much evident in Jewish culture today, an example being their skill at evading issues during a debate and turning to personal assaults on the character of the opposition, such as the smear charge of anti-Semitism.

Once the smear is uttered, the discussion ceases, the cameras are turned off, the reporters go home.

From Jamnia, the center of Judaism was transferred to Usha, in Galilee, around 170 A.D. An example of the intellectual heritage of Usha was the pronouncement of a curse upon Jews who had converted, sincerely , to Christianity.

With the accession to power of the Emperor Constantine in 320 A.D. and his pronouncement that Christianity would henceforth be the religion of Rome, the rabbinate moved its headquarters, appropriately, back to Babylon , the intellectual birthplace of Judaism. Babylon was chosen because a remnant community of Jews descended from the original Babylonian Captivity still existed there. The Talmudic government was set up in the city of Sura, with academies at Pumbedita.

The two main positions of power were l.) the Gaon (meaning, simply, Excellency), the head of the academies, and 2.) the Exilarch, or Prince of the Captivity, the secular head of the dispersed Jewish communities.

Dr. Kastein describes the Talmudic thought control process as *A ring of vast proportions and colossal elasticity* ... Reed describes it more succinctly as *the mystic circle of fear and superstition* .

It is within this period of time that Judaism incubated - perhaps 'festered' is a better word - within the ghettoes of the Middle East. Also within this period, one of the most significant events in world history occured. The fact that this event and its significance are virtually unknown to the students of history is an indication of how much power over education is wielded by the Talmudic thought police.

Here, again, we have to thank remonstrant Jews for exposing the facts of the mass conversion of the nation of the Khazars to Judaism around 740 A.D. The earth-shaking significance of this historical event lies in the future political power which was eventually generated by this marriage of tribalistic religion with a semi-barbaric culture.

One of the Jews to whom we owe a debt of gratitude for revealing this historical development is Arthur Koestler. His book, **The Thirteenth Tribe** , is a history lesson about the Khazars and their mass incorporation into the thrall of Judaism. The fact that the kingdom of the Khazars, contrary to the kingdoms of the Huns and other barbarians, is left out of the history books is a modern educational scandal, par excellence. The reason for this exclusion is obvious. **Were the fact of the non-Isrealite heritage of the Khazar Jews known to the world, the Chosen People farce would become the laughing stock of the Western world** .

The international kehilla and various rabbinates around the world could not allow this to happen.

Of course, Koestler's title is based on the notion that there were only twelve tribes. We know that 13 tribes -- with the two tribes of Ephraim and Manasseh substituted for the one tribe, Joseph -- already existed, so that the Khazars would, were they Israelites, count as #14.

Khazaria was an empire of such great size and power that, according to J.B. Bury, in his **A History of the Eastern Roman Empire** ,

The first principle of Imperial policy in this quarter of the world was the maintenance of peace with the Khazars . - p. 414.

Yet, the Western student of history is not even aware of their existence.

If the Khazars are not Israelites, then what are they? Koestler, reporting on the Khazar King Joseph's own account of his ancestry, tells us:

Joseph then proceeds to provide a genealogy of his people. Though a fierce Jewish nationalist, proud of weilding the 'Sceptre of Judah', he cannot, and does not, claim for them Semitic descent; he traces their ancestry not to Shem, but to Noah's third son, Japheth; or more precisely to Noah's grandson, Togarmah, the ancestor of all Turkish tribes . - p. 89.

Among the progeny of Togarmah's ten sons are included: Uigur, Dursu, Avars, Huns, Basilii, Tarniakh, Khazars, Zagora, Bulgars, and Sabir. Says Joseph, King of the Khazars, *We are the sons of Khazar, the seventh...* (Gen. 10 tells us that Ashkenaz and Togarmah were brothers. It may be an impossible task for a historian to both trace and distinguish the separate histories of these Japhethite tribes.)

The **San Diego Union** of Aug. 28, 1966, carried the following account by Leo Heiman under the title of The Jews That Aren't:

Nathan M. Pollock has a beef with the Israeli Government.

His elaborate plans to celebrate in September the 1,000th anniversary of the Jewish-Khozar alliance were summarily rejected.

He has devoted 40 of his 64 years trying to prove that six out of 10 Israelis and nine out of 10 Jews in the Western Hemisphere are not real Jews' Jews, but descendants of fierce Khozar tribes which roamed the steppes of southern Russia many centuries ago .

Given the explosiveness of such a revelation, do you think that the Israeli government would give Mr. Pollock enthusiastic support?

In a tract entitled *The Origins of the Jews* , Pastor Sheldon Emry states:

The Soviet Khazar-Jews, called in the Bible Gog, Magog, and Togarmah, have already invaded Palestine and stolen it from the Arabs, as prophesied in Ezekiel. [Ezek. 38:8] *The Soviet government is secretly supporting Zionism, and knows that it must hide the Khazar ancestry of the Ashkenazim in order to maintain the Christian support of Zionism .*

More will be said about the Soviet-Zionist-Khazar connection throughout this Chapter. For now, it is safe to say that the non-Israelite origin of the Ashkenazi Jews has here been shown to be well established. It is so well established that official Jewry does not want the subject brought up or discussed under any circumstances. To do so would be to pull the rug out from underneath Zionism.

William Norman Saxon, in his fine booklet entitled *The Mask of Edom* , sums up our argument in this way:

The Jews' masquerade as the LORD's chosen people is undoubtedly the greatest hoax in history. This is the basis of the anti-God conspiracy of which Asaph spoke. The plan began to evolve among the Jews in Babylon who, as the historian H. G. Wells wrote, found 'the idea of belonging to a chosen race predestined to eminence was a very attractive one.' If they could foist themselves off on the world as Israel, their grandiose ambitions for world dominion would be greatly enhanced. They began to claim Abraham for their father, and thrust their company upon the remnant of the true Judahites in Babylon . - p. 22.

The true Judahites, like their Israelite kinsmen, who were taken northward in the Assyrian captivities were never known as 'Jews,' nor had they been called 'Jews' while in Palestine...As Wells wrote, 'The main part of Jewry was never in Judea' (Palestine). - p. 23.

As we have pointed out before, the Gentile word 'Jew' (Greek: 'Ioudaios') was always used by outsiders to refer to the inhabitants of the geographical region known as Judea. It was never used to single out the ethnic Judahites who lived among the other Judeans. Hence, a Judahite might have been referred to as a Judean by virtue of his physical residence, but never strictly by virtue of his ethnic heritage or religious persuasion . In fact, as the chapter on the Nazarene has amply demonstrated, true Judahites rejected the idea of a mixed breed as anti-Mosaic .

We would do well to heed the warning given to us in Revelation 2:9:

...I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews [sic], and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan .

Let us finally, once and for all, be aware of the meaning of these words and work toward the resolution of the world's problems by exposing the violent, virulent and oppressive masquerade as Israel which is called Judaism.

Naturally, today's ideological Jews who are subject to the exploitational tactics of the modern Sanhedrin (international Jewish leadership) are just as much victims of this impersonation as are the non-Jews suffering from Zionism and other Jewish ideologies. Zionism is just one of these Talmudic ideologies, as we will show in our consideration of the Talmud and what Judaism really is.

What Is Judaism?

Beware ye the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy . - Luke 12:1.

Then the Pharisees and scribes asked him, Why walk not thy disciples according to the tradition of the elders, but eat bread with unwashen hands? He answered and said unto them, Well hath Esaias [Isaiah] *prophesied of you hypocrites, as it is written, This people honoureth me with their lips, but their heart is far from me. Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men. For laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men, as the washing of pots and cups: and many other such like things ye do.*

And he said unto them, Full well ye reject the commandment of God, that ye may keep your own tradition...Making the word of God of none effect through your tradition, which ye have delivered. .. - Mark 7:5-13.

What is Judaism?

Don't expect to get a straight answer to this question from a Jew. Judaism is constantly going through so many changes in its development - or I should say in its strategic relationship vis-a-vis the goyim - that it is always in a state of flux. The one thing that never changes is Judaism's tribalism. That is the important factor.

Of course, no loyal Jew will bring up this factor to the outside world. He will always try to explain Judaism in terms of its liberal values; but within Judaism itself, the only really liberal movement is the one called assimilation. But assimilation means the merging of the Jewish people into the rest of the world, and this idea is thoroughly rejected, for obvious reasons, by Judaism's power structure. They don't want to lose their power, plain and simple. Assimilation means just that.

The evasive Jew is careful not to define himself too clearly, for this would mean that he might wind up contradicting himself later on; so, he resorts to internally self-contradictory language, often accompanied by a twinkle in

the eye, which says a lot about Jewish habits but next to nothing about Judaism's real relationship with the outside world.

A good example of this fork-tongued prose is contained in Israel Shenker's *What Is a Jew* ? an article printed in the 1986 Passover edition of the **Jewish United Fund News** . Shenker uses and chooses such ambiguous phrases as *A choosing people, A headstrong, moody, murmuring race, G-d-fearing Jew, G-d-denying Jew, passionate and indifferent, hero and villain, yea-sayer, nay-sayer,* all calculated to maintain the Jewish mystique of the shifty, elusive, glory-seeking, self-promoting, nomadic man-about-town. A romantic and yet tragic figure. This is the type of literary garbage by which the Jews keep their tragic mystique alive.

The Jew, as a matter of fact, has a harder time describing himself than others have. To others, his habits and style are easily described: in a word, different, and often suspicious. Given the Jew's inbred pretended posture of superiority, he or she is at once ill-at-ease in the presence of one who is different, especially when that one is obviously not inferior. The goyim do not fail to notice this, but they do not understand that the real cause of this behavior is the stress created by the deep, dark secret of Jewish superiority/inferiority. The neurotic writer and filmmaker, Woody Allen, is a perfect example of the freak trying to live in a normal world. He lusts after white (Adamic) women who take pity upon him for being so amusingly inferior while faking intellectual superiority.

The typical ideological Jew is one who has been totally controlled by Jewish propaganda from cradle to grave. He believes all the flattering fables and for this reason he accepts all the burdens that his leaders put upon his shoulders. In essence, Judaism must be described as a religion of beguilement. But even worse than this, Judaism is a religion of cynicism, a cynicism which suborns the value of human life to that of expediency for the aims of the Sanhedrin.

We must be careful not to blame the brainwashed Jew for acting the way he does any more than we blame the attack dog that has been bred by the master breeder for violently attacking anything that threatens his master. **And without a doubt, the body of the Sanhedrin (Kehillah) is his master.** They, not the so-Called Gentiles, or goyim, are the cause of all his

troubles. They are the cause of his restlessness and long-suffering persecution. They are the cause of his abnormally high percentage of neuroses and other forms of mental and physical illnesses. They are the cause of his unrealistically high hopes.

The promise of the Jewish Messiah has not yet been kept; and, as was previously intimated with regard to the Sanhedrin's relationship to imperial Rome, his actual arrival would cause a serious problem for the Jewish power structure. With absolute certainty, we can predict that, were the Jewish Messiah to appear, two very powerful sects would arise within Judaism: the pro-messiah group led by the true believers and the anti-messiah group led by the Kehillah. In addition, a military Messiah had better be prepared to win the battle of Armageddon if he is to fulfill Jewish hopes.

Jacob E. Connor, in his book, **Christ Was Not a Jew** , has this to say about the nature of Judaism:

Judaism is a cult under a religious cloak, beneath which we discern these outlines:

First , Judaism is primarily an organized racial or tribal unit or bond instead of a religion, state or nation. It has a partially concealed structure... This concealed structure may be as simple as that of a herd or pack...with a self-appointed leadership, provided that the pack yields a willing and effective obedience. Such leadership it already has in its rabbis ...

Second , Judaism...is not entitled to be regarded otherwise than as an ethnic group, with aims and objectives of its own that are admittedly at variance with ours as a nation.

Third , Judaism is an unethical bond or unit, except among those of its kind. According to the Talmud its hand is against everyone outside itself.

Fourth , Judaism is a clandestine unit or bond, using for various purposes the following-named organizations: the local Kahals, or Kehillas; the American Jewish Committee, which is the central organism; Young Judea, which trains its youth...for Jewish interests; the B'Nai Brith (sons of the covenant), and its secret agent, the Defamation League (with the prefix camouflage 'Anti'), the B'Nai Abraham and many others. The Defamation League is the agency which prevents or discourages publications of which it disapproves.

Fifth , Judaism is an industrial unit or bond - in effect a close corporation for the exclusive benefit of the tribe. It is more than that, for its power is used also to the harm and disadvantage of Gentiles, especially those who know and reveal its true character and methods. In the financial field it is a concentrated power operating in many nations, like a huge corporation with monopolistic advantages, a veritable 'octopus', remorseless and persistent in its revenge upon those who oppose its will. It is a public enemy that will destroy till it is destroyed - just as history records. Its watchword, 'All for one and one for all,' is for Jews only. - pp.157, 158.

This accurate summary of Judaism is supported by numerous Scriptural and secular witnesses, as well as by the eye-witness testimony of millions of people throughout history. Anyone who has ever had a ruthless Jewish landlord or property manager or who has hired a Jewish shyster lawyer or who has dealt with a Jewish pawnbroker or Jewish teachers, doctors, and bankers - with few exceptions, the record, and therefore the assessment, is the same: dealing with the Jews is very hard. Sooner or later even hatred of the entire class of Jews develops. Only the young and naive are inclined to regard Jews as just like everybody else. As is usually the case with personal experience, prejudice has a cause.

Certainly, prejudice is not justified. Not all Jews are active Talmudists; but experience tells the wise to be careful when doing business with Jews just as experience tells the wise to be careful when walking through a ghetto at night. A Jew is always expected to be a Jew first and an American or a

German second. Their first loyalty is to their tribe. Their own literature is filled with such admonitions and admissions.

It would not be an exaggeration to say that, from the international Sanhedrin on top, within the World Jewish Congress, down to the local Jews wherever they are in whatever country, Judaism constitutes a secret society whose membership demands 1.) allegiance to the society, 2.) secrecy vis-à-vis the outside world regarding the group's main objectives, and 3.) severe punishment for those who violate 1 and 2. This is the factual hierarchy of Judaism, its internal politics. The perceptive reader will have noticed that there is very little difference between this arrangement and that of the mafia. The only difference is that Judaism claims to be a religion. Yes, it is a religion, a religion of the underworld, in both senses of the term, i.e., the religion of the Jewish mafia and the religion of Satan, as our discussion of the Talmud will show.

The central figure of Judaism, of course, is the rabbi, the expert on Jewish law, or at least as Bernard J. Bamberger puts it: a competent authority on Jewish law. Bamberger, in his book, **The Story of Judaism** , traces the origin of the title of 'Rabbi' to shortly after the destruction of the Temple by Titus. He says: ..

.with the fall of the Temple, the power of the priests, and hence the Sadducean party, practically disappeared. Pharisaism was no longer a sect but the Judaism of the overwhelming majority, and the Rabbis were its spokesmen and interpreters . - p. 107.

(Actually, the term, rabbi, was already in use during the time of Christ; and He did not want anybody applying the term to Him.)

Thus, the rabbi incorporates the philosophy and practices of the ancient Pharisees and is the quintessential Pharisee, the lawyer-priest, since the only

major competing sect, the Sadducees, was eliminated with the destruction of the Temple in 70 A.D.

Continuing with Bamberger:

The Judaism of the Rabbis is also called 'Talmudic' because the Talmud is the creation of this period and the chief source of our information about it. We shall deal with this epoch in considerable fullness, and this for several reasons. First, Talmudic Judaism possesses in itself great interest and merit, which have too often been obscured by ignorance and misrepresentation. Second, traditional Judaism, especially on the side of observance, remains to this day very much as the Rabbis of the Talmud left it. Third, the Talmud has been the chief authority in Jewish life. ***True, the Bible was theoretically the ultimate authority, but the Bible was understood as the Talmud interpreted it.*** *On the other hand, later codes were accepted only insofar as their decisions were in accord with the Talmud* . - pp. 107, 108. [Emphasis provided.]

One must appreciate that Bamberger is trying to paint Judaism in its most appealing light, yet the passage quoted cannot more adequately support the contention that Judaism is, indeed, the leaven of the Pharisees, also known as the Tradition of the Elders, and as the tradition of men. Anyone who might have thought that Judaism is the religion of the Old Testament should have no further basis for illusionment. Obviously, the rabbis want the goyim to think that Judaism is the religion of the Old Testament, but nothing could be further from the truth.

Jesus tells us But be not ye called Rabbi (Matt. 23:8). Paul tells us ...

for ye also have suffered like things of your own countrymen, even as they have of the Jews: Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men (1 Thess. 2:14, 15).

If it were true that only non-Jews are critical of Judaism, then we might be inclined to disregard such statements as denominational disputes; but the fact of the matter is that some of the most outspoken critics of Judaism are themselves Jews – Benjamin Freedman, Alfred Lilienthal, Samuel Roth, Felix Mendelssohn, Myron Fagan, to name a few. These are Jews who have been ostracized and otherwise punished for their indiscretions. We have been quoting these critics throughout this work, for they are the best proof of Judaism's dark spirit. In fact, there is a whole body of literature by Jews against Judaism which Jewish apologists themselves refer to as "Jewish self-hate ." The fact that this body of literature exists – or even such a concept! – is quite unique, for I know of no other religion which has so many renegades trying to expose its evils.

For now, we will study the Jewish holy law, so that we may gain a true appreciation of why Judaism is almost universally denounced by the most famous figures of history, such as Benjamin Franklin, Martin Luther, Voltaire, and even Karl Marx!

The Jewish holy law is the Talmud. Quite briefly, the Talmud is the spiritual, legal, and cultural constitution of Judaism. Its unabashed racism and chauvinism are self-incriminatory; and it is definitely reasonable to say that anyone who dares to uphold the Talmud and its disgusting teachings is not worthy of a place in a civilized society.

Numerous critical essays have been written about the Talmud. Most of these are of comparatively recent production. The reason for this is that the Talmud has not been accessible to non-Jews. Its fairly recent translation into English in 1935 provided us with an opportunity to peruse its contents.

Benjamin Freedman, the Jewish author of a booklet entitled **Facts Are Facts** , has set down for us some of the teachings of the Talmud. Referring to the various teachings and legal rulings of the Talmud, Freedman points out that, for Jews:

Sexual intercourse with children under nine years of age is acceptable behavior (Book of Sanhedrin, 55a-55b).

A maiden three years and a day may be acquired in marriage by coition ... - Sanhedrin, 55b.

Unnatural connection is permitted to a Jew. - footnote 3, Sanhedrin, 58b. (Why are there so many Jewish homosexuals?)

Incest between a mother and her son of less than nine years of age is permitted (Sanhedrin, 69b).

When a grown up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing, for when the girl is less than this [footnote says 3 years old], it is as if one puts the finger in the eye . - Kethuboth, 11a-11b. (Oh, really?)

A woman who had intercourse with a beast is eligible to marry a priest . - Yebamoth, 59b.

Christians are idolators; therefore, Jews must not associate with them (Hilkhoth Makhaloth).

Clean female Jews are contaminated by meeting with Christians (Iore Dea, 198, 48). (If a Christian were to make such a statement, he would be called a "bigot" in a hurry.)

Jews are human. Christians are not human. (Kerithuth 6b, p.78). (Interestingly, this claim is subject to genetic disproof, especially since the Jews share the genetic characteristics of many ethnic groups.)

The birth rate of Christians must be diminished for Jewish causes to survive (Zohar (II, 64b)). (This is why they support abortion for non-Jews.)

Jews possess more dignity than angels! (Chullin, 91b).

To strike a Jew is like slapping the face of God! (Sanhedrin, 58b).

Trade with Christians is allowed because they have money (Abhodah Zarah (2a)T).

Cannot trust Christian midwives (Abhodah Zarah, 26a).

Jews must hide their hatred for Christians if celebrating together (Iore Dea (148, 12H)). (Such restraint must be agonizing!)

Jews are not allowed to praise Christians (Iore Dea (151, 14)).

Christian property belongs to the first Jew who claims it. - Babha Bathra (54b).

A Jew may keep the money if a Christian overpays. - Choschen Ham (183,7).

Deception of Christians is permitted. - Babha Kama (113b), Iore Dea (157, 2H), Zohar (I, 160a). (And it is most certainly tolerated.)

Usury is permitted upon non-Jews. - Abhodah Zarah (54a), Iore Dea (159,1).

Perjury is acceptable. - Babha Kama (113a), Kallah (1b, p. 18).

Assisting Christians in trouble is forbidden. - Hikkoth Akum (X,1), Choschen Ham (425,5), Orach Cahiim (330,2). (Compare this Talmudic teaching with the Good Samaritan story. Is there any hope of a non-hypocritical claim by a rabbi to good faith fellowship with Christians? Do Jews For Jesus ever discuss the Talmud with their Christian "friends"?)

Baptized Jews are to be executed. - Hilkoth Akum (X,2), Choschen Ham (338,16). (Do Jews For Jesus submit to Christian baptism?)

The captivity of the Jews will end when all Christian princes are dead. - Zohar (II, 43a). (This has been virtually accomplished. Very few of our political "leaders" are Christians any more. Those days are over.)

Killing Christians is acceptable. - Sepher Or Israel (177b), Abhodah Zarah (26b)T, Ialkut Simoni (245c), Zohar (II, 43a), Zohar (L,38b,39a).

From the book, **The Talmud Unmasked** , by Rev. I. B. Pranaitis, we have the following excerpts from the Talmud:

Mar said: Jesus seduced, corrupted and destroyed Israel - Sanhedrin 107b.

And be it known that Christian people who follow Jesus, although their teachings vary, are all worshippers of idols . - Perusch (78c).

God created them in the form of men for the glory of Israel. But Akum [goyim] were created for the sole end of ministering unto them [the Jews] day and night. Nor can they ever be relieved from this service. It is becoming to the son of a king [a Jew] that animals in their natural form, and animals in the form of human beings should minister unto him . - Midrasch Talpioth (fol. 225d). (Isn't it interesting that liberal authors insist that men are animals and not spiritual beings? I wonder if Jewish liberals count themselves among the animals.)

The sexual intercourse of a Goi is like to that of a beast - Sanhedrin (74b) Tosephoth.

When a Jew is wounded in any way, even so gravely that he would have to violate the Sabbath in having a doctor, he must not employ the services of a Christian (Akum) doctor who is not known to everyone in the neighborhood; for we must guard against the spilling of blood. - Iore Dea (155,1). (Where are the Jewish voices that are usually raised against such intolerance and prejudice?)

Those who do good to the Akum...will not rise from the dead . - Zohar (125b).

Do not say anything in praise of them, lest it be said: How good that Goi is! - Abhodah Zarah (20,a Toseph).

Reverend Pranaitis says, *Jesus is ignominiously called 'Jeschu' - which means, <u>May his name and memory be blotted out</u> . His proper name in*

Hebrew is 'Jeschua', which means Salvation.

Mary, the mother of Jesus, is called 'Charia' - dung, excrement ... In Hebrew her proper name is 'Miriam'.

Christian saints, the word for which in Hebrew is 'Kedoschim', are called 'Kededchim' ('cinaedos') - feminine men (Fairies). Women saints are called 'Kedeschoth', whores.

Sunday is called the 'day of calamity' . - p. 70, **The Talmud Unmasked** .

This sampling of teachings from the Talmud ought to give the reader a fair understanding of the intellectual heritage that a rabbi is sworn to uphold. Whatever else the Talmud contains that is good or worthy of merit is severely clouded by this type of tribal hatred, conceit, and secretive elitism. There is no other way of describing a rabbi except as the composite hypocrite : one who believes privately numerous things which he either publicly denies or will not admit to for fear of being exposed as a liar.

Based on this fact, it is not unreasonable to declare that Judaism is a religion of lies which is promulgated and prosecuted by a collection of crafty liars who are taught, by their tradition, to be proud of their lying because it is for the good of the tribe. What, in your imagination, could come closer to the doctrines of Satan himself?

If you have ever watched the somber mood of those Jews who are walking to the synagogue on their Sabbath, you must appreciate the enormous rationalizations circling around in their minds in order to justify their creed. It is nothing less than a self-declared superiority which demands public

restraint. Yet, whenever they shed innocent blood because of their creed, they dare not admit that they themselves are the evil ones. Their rabbis keep assuring them that their g-d is doing this for them. Obviously, in the Israeli State, such restraint is not required, and Jewish fanaticism is bared for all to see.

The fact that most non-Jews (and even many Jews) are ignorant of the contents of the Talmud prevents them from assessing Judaism in its true light. **A philosophy that teaches that other people are not human, that other people can be lied to, cheated, and stolen from, that other people can be raped and murdered with no sin having been committed - that philosophy could hardly be given daily publicity.** Other people, whether human or non-human, would not take kindly to such satanic chauvinism.

And since the rabbis of this satanic cult (the Synagogue of Satan) rightly anticipate a negative reaction on the part of the heathen, care has been taken that the Talmud be withheld from non-Jews. The Talmud even enjoins Jews to execute non-Jews who pry into Jewish law (Sanhedrin (59a)). Certainly, Eli James is in Talmudic trouble.

Some others who are on the Talmudic blacklist are now worthy of quotation:

William Zuckerman, from **Decadence of Judaism in Our Time** : *Why does the richest and most powerful Jewish community choose voluntarily to live in an atmosphere of ideological subservience and controlled thought which can be imposed only by force in countries behind the iron curtain? To this writer, the present UJA* [United Jewish Appeal] *crisis is but a symptom of a much more serious crisis of the Jewish community which, for the last three decades, has not lived its own life, but largely that of another people and country seven thousand miles away* .

Franz Liszt: *The Jew continues to monopolize money, and he frees or strangles the throat of the state with the loosening or tightening of his purse strings. A veritable Pandora's box has been opened. The small industries and trade of bygone times have been replaced by the vast dealings of the banks, by the monstrous commerce of Finance, where, with vertiginous rapidity, the Jew has become the autocrat-king and absolute lord* . - quoted from the book, **Anti-Zion** , a compilation by William Grimstad, p. 84.

Martin Luther: *They are the real liars and bloodhounds, who have not only perverted and falsified the entire Scriptures from beginning to end and without ceasing with their interpretations. And all of the anxious sighing, longing and hoping of their hearts is directed to the time when some day they would like to deal with us heathen as they dealt with the heathen in Persia at the time of Esther...Oh how they love that book Esther , which so nicely agrees with their bloodthirsty, revengeful and murderous desire and hope!* - from **The Jews and Their Lies** .

How many modern Protestants are even aware that Martin Luther wrote a book by that title? Some one or some force has put it into the memory hole. See if this book is in the library of your "Lutheran" school. If it is not, then Talmudic censorship has deprived you of your heritage.

Bernard Lazare: *Young Jewish students, scarcely escaped from the ghetto, have played an important part in the Nihilistic propaganda; some, among them women, have given up their lives for the cause of Liberation, and to these young Jewish physicians and lawyers, we must add the large number of exiled workingmen who have founded in London and New York important labor societies, which serve as centers of socialistic and even of anarchistic propaganda ...*

The complaint of the anti-Semites seems to be founded: the Jew has the revolutionary spirit; consciously or not, he is an agent of revolution.

Karl Marx was a clear and lucid Talmudist...full of that old Hebrew materialism which ever dreams of a paradise on earth and always rejects the chance of a Garden of Eden after death .

- from **Anti-Semitism** .

Benjamin Franklin, a prophet who could see right into our day: *I fully agree with General Washington, that we must protect this young nation from an insidious influence and impenetration. That menace, gentlemen, is the Jews .*

In whatever country Jews have settled in any great number, they have lowered its moral tone; depreciated its commercial integrity; have segregated themselves and have not been assimilated; have sneered at and tried to undermine the Christian religion upon which that nation is founded, by objecting to its restrictions; have built up a state within the state; and when opposed have tried to strangle that country to death financially, as in the case of Spain and Portugal.

If you do not exclude them from these United States, in this Constitution, in less than 200 years they will have swarmed here in such great numbers that they will dominate and devour the land and change our form of government, for which we Americans have shed our blood, given our lives, our substance and jeopardized our liberty . - quoted from the **Diary** of Charles Cotesworth Pinckney.

Whatever faults the Founding Fathers might have had, gullibility was not one of them. They had first-hand experience of what was going on in France during the Great Terror. Many of them were American Freemasons and they had heard about the Illuminati and the Jacobins and how the

government of France had been subverted by money and Masonic infiltration and corruption. In fact, it can be safely stated that **there is no comparison between the French Revolution versus the American Revolution** . The French Revolution was financed by the same force that our patriots were fighting against in their War of Independence. It was fought precisely to rid America of the schemes of the international financiers, namely the Rothschild money trust, which was behind King George's extravagant colonialism. Why are the names of the King's bankers always deleted from the history texts of the public schools?

Given the secretive, chauvinistic, insular, xenophobic, megalomaniacal, and downright genocidal teachings of the Talmud, it is no wonder that throughout history, the Jews have been regarded as a scourge wherever they have gone. Yet, with great chutzpah, they claim to be a "blessing" to the world. Only of the Jews can it be said that, at one time or another, they were expelled from virtually every nation in Europe. It is not common knowledge, but history records the expulsions of Jews from such nations as England, France, Germany, Spain, and many others.

The subject of the expulsion of the Jews from the European nations is discussed in William Guy Carr's book, **Pawns in the Game** . On pages 16 & 17, he states:

In 1253 the French government ordered the Jews expelled because they refused to obey the law. Most of the Jews who were expelled went over to England...In 1272 King Henry died. Edward I became King of England. He determined the Jewish leaders must give up the practice of usury. In 1275 he had Parliament pass the Statutes of Jewry . They were designed to curb the power the Jewish usurers were exerting over their debtors, both Christians, and fellow Jews.

Carr lists the following expulsions in addition to those of France and England: Saxony, 1348; Hungary, 1360; Belgium, 1370; Slovakia, 1380; Austria, 1420; Netherlands, 1444; Spain, 1492; Lithuania, 1495; Portugal, 1498; Italy, 1540; Bavaria, 1551. On the other hand, Poland, in 1264, passed the Statute of Kalish , which permitted the Jews to practice their usury with the government's blessing. Poland has long since been a refuge for Jews. Ask the Polish people: Has blessing the Jews been a blessing for Poland ? If you ask this question of an old-time Pole, you will get nothing but a cynical laugh. Indeed, America should be the most blessed nation on earth, but our fortunes have steadily turned for the worse as more and more Jews insinuate themselves into positions of authority. Yet, the fundamentalist true believers insist that we are being blessed by our "blessing of the Jews"!

In all of these countries, the story was the same: The Jews became the bankers, merchants, landowners, educators, tax collectors and government officials. In the case of Spain's Marranos, they even became priests of the Catholic Church in order to subvert it – thus leading to the Inquisition. Through this typically Jewish subterfuge, the native peoples were frozen out of their own institutions by the foreign invader/parasite. The Jews used these institutions to then further the interests of their own tribe at the expense of the goyim. Unlike military invaders, the Jews use infiltration and exploitation to fight their battles, while the victim is not even aware that a life-and-death struggle is being waged. The goyim simply cannot conceive of such enormous deceit and conceit. The same thing happened to Germany leading up to World War I. If you look closely, the same thing is happening to America today. Jewish monopolization of the banking industry (the Federal Reserve Board), education, entertainment, government, and the press are at all time highs as we open the Twenty-First Century.

Says Carr,

The expulsion of the Jews from Spain has special signification. It throws light on the Spanish Inquisition. Most people have the idea the Inquisition

was instituted by Roman Catholics to persecute Protestants who had broken away from the Church. As a matter of fact the Inquisition, as introduced by Pope Innocent III, was a means of unmasking heretics, and infidels, who were masquerading as Christians for the purpose of destroying the Christian Religion from within.

In a footnote, Carr reports:

Because the Jews were being evicted from all European countries Chemor, Rabbi of Arles in Provence, sought advice from the Sanhedrin then located in Constantinople. His appeal was dated Jan. 13th, 1489. The reply arrived back November 1489. It was signed V.S.S - V.F.F. Prince of the Jews. It advised the Rabbis to use the tactics of 'The Trojan Horse' and make their sons Priests, Laymen, lawyers, and doctors, etc. so they could destroy the Christian structure from within.

What is it that always causes the Jews to be hated and despised wherever they go? The Jewish propaganda machine would have us believe that the Jews are utterly innocent of any wrongdoing and that the fault lies entirely within the goyim imagination! The most common explanation for this unfounded reaction by the goyim is that the goyim must be looking for scapegoats! Surely, one must admire them for their chutzpah! Apparently, the goyim react against Jews because there must be some irrational and uncontrollable urge within them to hate Jews – a kind of Darwinian knee-jerk reflex! (Because they are such a blessing!) This is the explanation proffered by Jewish apologists! Freudian nonsense and psychobabble! Yet there are people who reflect on this gibberish and take it seriously.

Of course, the reality of the situation is that chauvinistic practices encouraged by the Talmud are what make the goyim reject the Jews. One

might even say that it is a biological fact of all species except hungry carnivores that when unprovoked, people and animals are rather peaceful creatures. Only when they are provoked do they become violent. Isn't this the real explanation for the historical reaction against Judaism? Or are Jewish usury, lying, cheating, spying, assassinations, terrorism, and hypocrisy (all condoned by Talmudism) supposed to elicit responses of respect and admiration? Clearly, only the most gullible and deceived people can accept the Jewish explanation of the problem of Jewish persecution. The real problem always was and will be until the end of the Talmudic mentality: the persecution and exploitation of others by the Jews.

Indeed, the Renaissance of Europe did not occur until the yoke of Jewish usury was removed from Christian necks . The **Encyclopedia Britannica** , Volume 13, 1947, p. 57 states:

The 14th Century was the Golden Age of the Jews in Spain. In 1391, the preaching of a priest of Seville, Fernando Martenez, led to the first general massacre of the Jews who were envied for their prosperity and hated because they were the king's tax collectors.

Their "Golden Age" was our blight. Our Dark Age was their feeding ground. The life cycle of the parasitic organism , as outlined in the Preface of this book, is plainly illustrated in the history of these expulsions .

In that time, the Jews of Spain were called "Marranos," meaning swine, for their treachery: 1.) their false conversion to Christianity, and 2.) their infiltration of the various professions in order to lord it over the indigenous population. Are you beginning to recognize a pattern here?

So, there you have it: the Jews were such a blessing in Europe that the Renaissance of art, music, science, and literature could not have happened until they were kicked out! This is what happens to a society when its

people are no longer shackled by debt. Satan forbid! We must have usury! We must have Jewish "civilization!" We certainly have it today.

Until the Jews renounce the fantastic, fraudulent, and outlandish claims of Judaism (what Douglas Reed calls the most absurd pretension in all history), there will never be peace in this world. This is wisdom. Foolish people may think that such a satanic, culturally-inspired powerlust can be appeased, that the Jews must be given what they want. This notion is absurd. How much bloodshed and genocide of innocent people is to be accepted before the inherent nature of Judaism is seen for what it really is?: Satanism disguised as the religion of Moses. And for those Jews who consider their own race as God, then secular humanism fills the bill as well as communism.

Typical of Jewish hypocrisy is the Kol Nidre, the All Vows prayer which is recited by Jews on their Day of Atonement. The Book of Nedarim, 23a-23b, of the Talmud states:

And he who desires that NONE OF HIS VOWS MADE DURING THE YEAR SHALL BE VALID, let him stand at the beginning of the year and declare, 'EVERY VOW WHICH I MAKE IN THE FUTURE SHALL BE NULL...(HIS VOWS ARE THEN INVALID), PROVIDING THAT HE REMEMBERS THIS AT THE TIME OF THE VOW .

No other religion that I am aware of contains such a declaration. This is a prayer of deceit asking that one's deceptions be forgiven in advance. This is God’s religion?! In the Hebrew prayer book, **Daily Prayers** , Hebrew Publishing Co., 632-34 Broadway New York, 1932, the text of the Kol Nidre, quite fittingly, runs through page number 666. Oddly enough, the Chapter on the Day of Atonement begins with descriptions of presenting animals for ritual sacrifice for the atonement of one’s sins:

"*Soon after the atonement is made, he shall give the rooster to the slaughterer to be slaughtered* ." – p. 641.

Question: Is this to be considered civilized? Is this a religion practiced by a group of civilized people or is this voodoo? Wasn't animal sacrifice abolished two millennia ago by Jesus Christ? A civilized religion would have expunged junk like that from its prayer books long ago! Do the rabbis secretly long for the return to the "good old days" of the bloody altar? Could this be what the Book of Revelation calls the "abomination of desolation"?

How are Jews to explain the Kol Nidre? Is this an exception to the Jewish ethic or is it the true character? Jesus said, *By their fruits shall ye know them* . And it is by their fruits that the goyim eventually come to know them. Jesus also tells us that they are the children of the devil. Could the devil have come up with a more deceptive prayer than the Kol Nidre or a more devilish book than the Talmud?

Judaism is a religion of lies . The Talmud must be exposed for all the world to see. The Jewish pretense must be exposed if ever there is to be peace on earth; and the Jewish overpresence must be understood for the continuous threat that it really is.

Judaism is a religion of lies , and the number and magnitude of these lies must be discussed openly. Organized Jewry never permits open discussion of these issues. Immediately, the cry of anti-Semitism is raised and accusations fly; and truth remains concealed from the people.

Judaism is a religion of lies . Among the many myths the Jews propagate about themselves are such claims as the invention of monotheism, descent from the tribes of Israel, great cultural achievements, that they are a blessing to mankind, etc., etc.

Anyone who thinks that "modern" Judaism is any less rapacious today than it was in the Middle Ages is just not paying attention. The list of barbarities committed against the Palestinian people and against the British troops who occupied Palestine until 1947 is simply monstrous. Among the Jewish terrorists who murdered British officers and officials were Yitzak Rabin and Menachem Begin. Their terrorist gangs were known as the Irgun, the Palmah, and the Stern Gang.

The Globe and Mail , Toronto,, August 6, 1944, had this to say about Shamir:

"*Shamir took part in the decision to kill Lord Moyne and he helped plan the bold and complex operation. The experience was to serve him well later. During his years with the Mossad, the Israeli security and intelligence service, he reportedly directed a squad of assassins."*

Menachem Begin was no better. He was the leader of the terrorist gang that committed the Deir Yassin massacre, which was the first in a series of village massacres. The Palestinian people got the message: flee or die. This is the reality of how "God gave Israel back to the Jews ."

About Begin, who was born in Brest-Litovsk, Russia in 1913, the **Encyclopedia Britannica** tells us:

"*The Soviet authorities deported Begin to Siberia in 1940 but in 1941 he was released and joined the Polish Army in exile, with which he went to Palestine in 1942. Begin joined the militant Irgun Zvai Leumi and was a commander of that group from 1943 to 1948."*

In the January, 1983 issue of **The Covenant Message** , W.G. Finlay details Begin's terrorist record in an article entitled "*The Controlling Mechanism of Religion:"*

"*Begin's more outstanding terrorist accomplishments are listed as follows: 1946, April 25: he assassinates several sleeping British soldiers in a military car-park in Tel Aviv. July 22: The King David Hotel dynamited: 91 nurses, soldiers, and Arab civilian employees killed; more than 100 maimed or seriously injured; many crippled and blinded. Dec. 1946: Kidnaps and tortures three British soldiers. 1947: March 1: Plants bomb in office of the officers' club in Jerusalem killing 10. April 18: Bombs Field Dressing Station, killing 1. April 20: Bombs Red Cross Convalescent hospital. July 12: Kidnaps and torture-murders by slow strangulation with wire, two sergeants, Mervyn Paice and Clifford Martin, both 19: he then booby-traps their bodies. 1948: February: Murders three wounded police officers in their hospital beds in Jerusalem. April 10, 1948: The Deir Yassin Massacres. Begin organizes raid on Arab village of Deir Yassin; murders 254 peaceful, unarmed villagers as a deliberate act aimed at driving Palestinian Arabs out of their homeland. The victims include 25 pregnant women with their bellies ripped open, 52 mothers with young children, and sixty other women and girls. The Red Cross found the bodies of 150 women and children stuffed down a well."*

A regular George Washington, wasn't he?

In 2003, their Prime Terrorist is Ariel Sharon, the Butcher of Beirut. He earned this title for his responsibility in the massacre of 2,700 refugees in the Sabra and Shatila refugee camps in 1982.

The July 9, 2001 issue of **The Spotlight** provides these details:

"An Israeli defense minister, Sharon had overall responsibility when he sent Phalangist fighters into the camps where they tortured and murdered residents for more than three days with the assistance of the Israeli army.

The Israeli army fired flares during the night so that the killing would continue unabated and watched the massacre with the Lebanese commander of the Phalangists, Elie Hobeika, from the roof of their headquarters that overlooked the camp."

If these atrocities had been committed by any other ethnic group, the charge of genocide would be immediately raised; however, since the torturing and murdering is done by Jews, there must be a good reason for it! It's self-defense! Sharon received a slap on the wrist by the Kahan Commission, which found that he was "unfit to serve" as defense minister. As we have seen, being a genocidal terrorist seems to be the main qualification for being Prime Minister of the Israeli State. Now, if these are the leaders of "God's Chosen People," their "most respected citizens," I'd hate to run into some bad examples.

Of course, the first and most important Jewish myth is that one concerning the Chosen People. Everything that has preceded this chapter has been an exposition demonstrating the falsehood of this claim. Well-concealed facts, such as the origin of Judaism as a distortion of the Torah, the origin of the Jews as a half-breed nation, the rejection of half-breeds by the prophets throughout the history of Israel from Adam to Christ, and the survival of true Israel as the Anglo-Saxons: all these things go to show that the Jews are not Israel.

The true chosen people can be none other than pure-bred Israelites, not half-breed Jews. Furthermore, the Chosen People rejected the Messiah who came for Israel, not for Edom.

"*I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* -- Matt. 15:24.

The Judean Edomites rejected Him because He was not one of them. In addition, the Marks of Israel prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that the Jews are not Israel. Organized Jewry has very cleverly usurped the Covenant claim and has deliberately withheld this knowledge from the world for fear that their fraudulent claims might be exposed.

The Myth of Judeo-Christianity

This is one of the more glaring anomalies of our time. Since Talmudism is the very corruption of the Mosaic Law and at the same time the very antithesis of Christianity, how can there be such a thing as Judeo-Christianity? The fact is that there is no such thing, but it suits the Talmudists to pretend that there is such a thing to gain the sympathy of the deceived fundamentalists and all others who are buried under their mountain of lies.

The following list of diametric opposites concerning Judaism and Biblical Christianity should make you understand the serious nature of this deception:

Christianity	Judaism
Paternal Descent	Maternal Descent
10 Commandments	613 Commandments plus thousands of opinions and rulings by the rabbis.
Old & New Testaments	Torah plus Rabinical Tradition (Talmud)
Jesus is the Messiah	Denies Jesus
Racial Separateness	Racial Integration for Whites but separateness for Jews
Faith offsets limited	Rabbi's decisions are absolute

human intelligence	
Doctrine of forgiveness	Revenge (an eye for an eye still holds - how often do you hear of Israeli **retaliation** ?)
Given to the "Lost Sheep"	False claim of Israel heritage
Usury forbidden	Usury par excellence
Mens heads uncovered at worship	Yarmulke (skullcap) required
Homosexuality forbidden	Sodomy acceptable
Incest forbidden	Incest acceptable
Anti-Communist	Pro-Communist
Teaches Truth	Teaches Deception and hypocrisy
Doctrine made public	Doctrine is semi-secret
Teaches Yahweh's Holy Name	Forbids use of Yehweh's Name
Solar Sabbath	Babylonian Lunar Sabbath
Murder forbidden	Murder of Goyim acceptable

This list could go on for numerous pages. The point is to demonstrate how ridiculous the phrase "Judeo-Christianity" really is. Since Judaism rejects the unadulterated Law of Moses in favor of Talmudic interpretations, **it has absolutely no connection with Biblical Christianity whatsoever** . Judaism thus neither preceded Christianity historically nor did it influence Christianity’s doctrine in any way. Christianity is based on the Bible. Judaism is based on the traditions of the elders.

The most accurate statement of the facts is this: **The Mosaic Law is the religion of the Old Testament. Christianity is the fulfillment of the Mosaic Law. Judaism is the expropriation of the Mosaic Law for the devious purposes of the rabbis** . It is the pollution of the Mosaic Law with rabbinical mumbo-jumbo. Thus, the expression "Judeo-Christianity" is a clever invention, on a par with a phrase like **"Satano-Christianity"** or

"Bible-Babble" . With the addition of the Mishnah and the Talmud, the Torah can only be polluted by their opinions and excesses.

At no time in the history of the tribe of Judah did the Judahites practice a religion called "Judaism." The people of Judah simply assumed that the rabbis were professing the Mosaic Law. They didn't know any better because they did not have access to the scrolls. The rabbis could make up anything they wanted and the people would have to assume that that's what Moses said. Only when Jesus exposed them as fakers did the people begin to understand that there was a problem…and this only dimly.

What is the world to do with such a system of institutionalized deception?

You say that this view of the diametric opposition of Judaism and Christianity is exaggerated or that it is not possible? Let the Jews speak for themselves:

"*This is not an uncommon impression and one finds it sometimes among Jews as well as Christians – that Judaism is the religion of the Hebrew Bible. It is, of course, a fallacious impression...Judaism is* not *the religion of the Bible* ." – Rabbi Ben Zion Bokser, **Judaism and the Christian Predicament** . New York, Alfred A Knopf, 1967, p. 59.

"*You will notice that a great difference exists between the Jewish and Christian religions. But these are not all. We Judians consider the two religions so different that one excludes the other...we emphasized that there is no such thing as a Judeo-Christian religion...There is not any similarity between the two concepts* ." – Rabbi Maggal, President, quoted in the *National Jewish Information Service* , August 21, 1961.

Now, your minister may tell you that there is such a thing, but these rabbis are saying that there is not. And, indeed, there is not.

"*Judaism: The religious system, doctrines, and rites of the Jews. Judaism is, specifically, the religion of a Jewish community living among Gentile peoples and is to be distinguished from the religion of ancient Israel* ." – **Standard Encyclopedia** , Vol. 14.

Judaism. Hmm. Not only is there no such thing as Judeo-Chrsitianity, <u>there is no such thing as Judeo-Israelism either</u> . So why do so many "Christian" ministers, like Jerry Falwell, Jack van Impe, Robert Schuller, and Pat Robertson, swear that there is such a thing? Are these people simply fools or are they religious prostitutes whose job it is to destroy Christianity by turning it into crypto-Judaism?

On September 16, 2001, five days after the Twin Towers and the Pentagon were attacked, a televised forum was held by the *Religion & Ethics Newsweekly* , a syndicated television program. Rabbi Jack Moline, of the Agudas Achim Congregation of Conservative Jews in Alexandria, Virginia, had this to say on the program:

"*It's important to hold God accountable for the world that God created and in which we live.* [A Talmudic slip which is pure dynamite!] *But it is far more important for us to take responsibility for our own actions – whether we meet the potential with which we've been endowed."* More Talmudic doubletalk!

I do not believe that there is any other religion in the world which would be so arrogant as to even think of holding God accountable for anything. Can a worm hold a bird accountable for its breakfast? What sort of effrontery and blasphemy are we willing to tolerate on the part of these Edomites? Always pay very close attention to the pronouncements of a Jew, for the Talmudic mind is revealed in subtle ways.

Dear Rabbi: Bless Us Some More

We shall further examine their claim that the world is blessed because of them (Gen. 23:18). Here, a question is in order. Is there a nation or a group of people on the face of the earth which can raise its voice in thanks and praise for the presence of the Jews in their midst?

On the contrary, every nation or cultural group which has ever had dealings with the Jews has found them to be a curse (Gen. 4:11, 9:25, 12:3, 16:12) rather than a blessing. Wherever they go, there is nothing but pain, suffering, and misery for their neighbors. This is due to several reasons; but the primary reasons are their chauvinistic exclusiveness, their practice of usury, their hostility to other cultures, high disease rate (including mental illness), exploitative business practices, political agitation within nations for special privileges, and their fanatical, messianic lust for personal and world power. Combine all these together and it is easy to see why Jews are perceived as troublemakers wherever they go. Of course, not all Jews are this obnoxious, but the sum total of their loyalty and efforts will overwhelm any good the occasional renegade Jew can do for the goyim, because they function as a **tribe** . We appreciate the efforts of the renegades; but the problem that Judaism poses to world peace persists.

Pastor Dan Gayman, in his booklet, *The Two Seeds of Genesis 3:15* , states,

Isaiah 34:5 describes a portion of the Esau-Edomite line and calls them ***Idumea, the people of my curse*** *. The Edom-Esau portion of the serpent seed line is further discussed in Isaiah 63:16, Jeremiah 49:7-22, Ezekiel 35:1-15, and Obadiah 1:1-21. This* ***Edomite*** *branch of the serpent seed in*

time did become and continues to this day to be the most satanic and vile portion of this evil seed. Herod was an Edomite and you know that he ordered male children of the ***seed of promise*** *, of the* ***Woman's seed destroyed*** . - p. 17.

Another Jewish myth which must be challOn the o to having conceived of monotheism. Considering the fact that Judaism did not arise as a distinct culture until after the Babylonian Captivity, it is absurd to claim as their own something which they obviously borrowed from Israel . There is even a tremendous amount of evidence that other cultures before Israel taught monotheism.

For example, Hinduism, in spite of its pantheon of thousands of gods and goddesses, teaches that there is one supreme being over all and that this supreme being has no equal. There is very little difference between this and the worship of angels and saints by modern Catholics who are, nevertheless, monotheists.

Another religion which predates Israel also taught monotheism. That is Egyptian religion. **The Egyptian Book of the Dead** , which dates back to 2,600 B.C. declares:

Thou art the one, the God from the very beginnings of time, the heir of immortality, self-produced and self-born; thou didst create the earth and make man .

This rings poetic as if it were a line out of Genesis!

Whether or not these two religions maintained their original monotheism is another matter. The fact remains that their oldest teachings stress the One

God concept. In both cases, the pantheons of gods and goddesses developed later in their histories.

Douglas Reed, in his **The Controversy of Zion** , puts it this way:

Judahism was retrogressive even in 458 BC, when men in the known world were beginning to turn their eyes away from idols and tribal gods and to look for a God of all men, of justice and neighbourliness. Confucius and Buddha had already pointed in that direction and the idea of one-God was known among the neighbouring peoples of Judah. Today the claim is often made that the religious man, Christian, Muslim or other, must pay respect to Judaism, whatever its errors, on one incontestable ground: it was the first universal religion, so that in a sense all universal religions descend from it. Every Jewish child is taught this. In truth, the idea of the one-God of all men was known long before the tribe of Judah even took shape, and Judaism was above all else the denial of that idea . - p. 1.

Even though Reed assigns the origin of Judaism to the same general time that I do, he is in error when he assumes that this is the religion of the tribe of Judah. In this area, he is a victim of the same confusion that most people are. Although Reed confuses Judaism with Mosaism, his assessment regarding the nature of Judaism is most accurate. Judaism is not a universal religion. It is a tribal religion which prays to its tribal God for the welfare of the tribe and for no one else! This is obvious from both their prayers and their daily attitudes and their behavior toward others.

Another monster of a myth promulgated by the Jews is their claim of liberalism and pluralism. As a matter of fact, the antagonism of the Jews for other cultures is known by all who have ever had to deal with them. At best, liberal rhetoric coming from Jews is mere propaganda designed to win advocates to their deceptive causes. This is true even of the Black/Jewish coalition found in America's cities. The fact is that Blacks are useful in

undermining the power of the white middle class; and since the White Race is the true obstacle of Judaism's grandiose ambitions, Jews do all they can to promote other groups against Whites, especially White Christians. The liberalism they preach is to be practiced by everyone but Jews.

On the other hand, when in 1986 the Black Hebrews demanded entrance into Israel, the Israeli government and Jews all over the world were indignant and refused to accept them. It is totally against Talmudic teachings for Jews to accept any other group as being their equals. It is not against the Talmud, however, to deceive other groups for Jewish profit.

In an article in the **Chicago Sun-Times** of May 1, l986, entitled *Black Hebrews struggle to stay in their Promised Land,* author Jay Bushinsky states*:*

Israel's orthodox rabbis, who are authorized under Israeli law to determine who is and who is not a Jew in this country, have turned their backs on the Black Hebrews. Accordingly, Israel's courts have formally doubted their Judaism . - p. 52.

Apparently, Jewish coddling of minorities in other countries is not so idealistically motivated after all! The same is, of course, true of the Jewish attitude toward the separation of Church and State. It is not uncommon to see orthodox rabbis in America protesting the Christmas-time display of the Nativity scene; but in Israel, it's the rabbis who determine which politics is kosher and which isn't. Hypocrisy, thy name is Judaism!*"Beware the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy."*

The myth of Jewish civilization is another item of propaganda. As everyone knows, the Jews have never had a civilization of their own. As a tribe of shopkeepers, bankers, lawyers, doctors, etc., they have had very few notable cultural achievements for which the world must be grateful. Whatever achievements they have made have always been made possible

by the pre-existence of other cultures. The only famous thing coming out of Jewish culture is usury. Everything else they have borrowed from somebody else and claimed to have invented it. Jewish inventiveness and Jewish genius are designed to benefit only the tribe, consequently, other cultures are singularly unaware of Jewish contributions to civilization. Given their tribal orientation (ghettoization), it was natural for Jews to lag behind the rest of the world in the arts and sciences. Their participation in the arts and sciences actually did not begin to take place until the emergence of the modern assimilation movement and the concomitant exodus of young people from Judaism in the middle of the Nineteenth Century. Of course, this assimilation tendency among young Jews is a constant threat to Judaism.

According to Eustace Mullins, the most notable feature of Jewish culture is parasitism. Jewish culture is parasitic. That is, it only takes root where another culture has already begun . Since the Jews do not till the soil ("*When thou tillest the ground, it shall not yield to you her strength* ." – Gen. 4:12.) and since few of them practice animal husbandry or any other arts which pertain to the land, they can never be a complete culture. Since they do not farm, the basic necessities of life must be provided by other people, such as Arab or White culture. Even in the modern State of Israel, Arabs do the farming while the Jews are the landlords.

Even more telling is the Israeli's inability to maintain his culture without assistance from American taxpayers. The State of Israel is always in financial trouble. Since Judaism breeds a tribe of exploitative mercenaries trained to prey upon unsuspecting non-Jews, the State of Israel presents a peculiar dilemma because the usual victims of their exploitative skills are absent, so they must prey on each other. Without sheep to prey upon, the wolves are at each other’s throats.

Israel's constant internal turmoil is a direct function of Judaism's exploitative culture. Without a healthy, prosperous host nation, Jewish culture cannot survive because it is simply not within the realm of Jewish experience to have a non-exploitative culture. Therefore, most Jews choose to remain in the big cities of other countries where they can practice their skills on pre-established, economically viable civilizations. Most non-Israeli

Jews prefer to stay away from the Israeli State. ("It’s a nice place to visit, but the pickin’s are too lean!")

Eustace Mullins' analysis of Jewish parasitism is detailed in his book, **The Biological Jew** . In this and another book, **History of the Jews** , Mullins frequently compares Jewish culture to a literal biological parasite. Regarding the failure of the Jews to produce a truly independent culture, Mullins says:

One cannot expect that the parasite can successfully administer the affairs of the host, even if it wishes to do so. The Jew does not wish to do so because his first concern is his own security. He must remain attached to the host, and everything else, including the future of the host, is sacrificed to this goal. Even though he exercises complete mastery over the host, the Jewish parasite can never feel secure . - p. 13.

We would have to wonder where the State of Israel would be today were it not for the past and present aid given to it primarily by Great Britain first, then America and also post-War Germany. Mullins is right. The Jews do not know any other culture except the parasitic one. Although some Jews break out of their rabbinical shackles and become sincere artists, tradesmen and scientists, the fact is that there are not enough of them to start an independent civilization - certainly not enough farmers.

Central to their tradition as a parasitic culture is the ghetto, the source of all Jewish relations with the host nations. Without the ghetto, the rabbis would never have been able to control their flock. By keeping their breed behind the ghetto walls (both literally and figuratively), the rabbis have been able to maintain control of their flock by two main techniques.

The first technique is that of engendering fear of the host. Jewish children are thus brought up to be fearful and suspicious of the goyim. The second technique is professing superiority to the host and promising an eventual

rise to prominence over the host (liberation). This technique is known as messianism; and the secular version of messianism started out as Bolshevism and is now commonly known as communism.

Maurice M. Feuerlight, in his booklet entitled *Where the Jews Fail*, relates this story of his upbringing:

"I do not believe there are any Jews at birth. The Jewish consciousness is given seed and cultivated consistently, however, from the moment the young Jew is capable of understanding the spoken word – perhaps even before that – every religious experience thereafter tends to remind him that he is not like his friends the Gentiles. Such was my own experience, which may be taken as typical in that I was raised with less than the usual orthodox Jewish inflexibility but with more training than found in most Reformed Jewish homes. My earliest memory is the celebration of the Feast of Lights, or Hanukkah. I sat at my father's feet, as have countless other Jewish youngsters, and heard him tell the thrilling story of Judas Maccabeus and his brave band who risked their lives for their religion. Each year at Hanukkah I lighted the candles in commemoration of the release from the oppressor's hand and sang:

Children of the martyr race

Whether free or fettered,

Wake the echoes of your song

Where ye may be scattered.

Along with my Jewish playmates, I had that theme, 'children of the martyr race,' dinned into my consciousness so deeply that it became part of my very being, a basic element of my emotional and mental life. Almost the first words I understood were oppressed people, martyrs, prejudice, persecution". -- pp. 2-3.

The Jewish child is totally immersed in rabbinical propaganda, from cradle to grave. Do you think that the rabbis would stop with their own children? Once they have gone to the trouble of breeding such a cadre of true believers, would they not exploit their own fanaticism as much as possible?

Throughout their history, the rabbis have promised the coming of a liberating messiah who will destroy the Jews' enemies and make them the inheritors of the world. Obviously, they don't believe in Christ's dictum that the meek shall inherit the earth. Fortunately for the world, the Jews have not been in a position to make good on their messianic designs until recently with the advent of Zionism and Bolshevism. As such, Zionism and Bolshevism are the fulfillment of Talmudic Messianism and the State of Israel is the headquarters of this messianic movement.

Unfortunately for the world, Zionism's aggressive tendrils extend into all of the world's parliaments and exact cynical control of legislatures. This control is accomplished mainly through the power of money, the Zionist lobby being unquestionably the most powerful lobby in America and elsewhere.

It is apparent to all experienced observers of Jewish culture that Judaism's thrust is internationalism. The modus operandi of the international kehilla has been to influence governments from behind the scenes. If all Jews were to move to Israeli, the modus operandi would fall apart. Hence, there is little likelihood of any great number of important Jews moving away from their nests in existing power centers. Indeed, the present state of Israel depends, for its very economic survival, upon the tax and grant money which the local and national kehillas extort from their respective countries.

Bearing this fact in mind, we can see that, as other previous messianic movements within Judaism, Zionism is not meant to succeed in its stated "nationalism." The Zionist International would suffer from such a development. Aside from discontented Soviet Jews and those Jews who fled from Germany during the days of the Nazis, there was never any real movement toward the State of Israel by the world's Jews. This is according to plan, for a serious effort by all Jews to colonize Israel would mean the end of Zionism and Israel, for, without the efforts of the Jewish lobbies in the host nations around the world, the flow of tribute would dry up. The parasite would be without a host.

Another myth that Jewry invented was that the Jews "made the desert bloom." This is just another Jewish fable. From **The Unholy Land** , by A.C. Forrest, 1971:

"There is desert and wasteland in both Israel and Jordan. There are orchards and vineyards in both. The Israelis have done well with their part. They have received more economic aid than any people in the world in relation to their size and population…according to the 1951 report of the Palestine Conciliation Commission of the UN, four-fifths of Israel's area and two-thirds of her cultivable land belonged to Palestinian refugees prevented from returning home. [Thanks to terrorists like Rabin and Begin.] *One-third of Israel's Jewish population was living on absentee Arab property; nearly one-third of the new Jewish immigrants were settled in urban areas abandoned by Arabs. Half of the citrus orchards, almost all of the olive groves, and ten thousand shops, businesses, and stores in Israel in 1953 belonged to absentee Palestinian refugees.*

I have been amused and irritated by the "oh's" and "ah's" of Christian pilgrims, being shown about by expert Israeli guides, at the beautiful Israeli orchards – all wrested from the desert, one would think. Yet as a farmer I know something about how quickly trees grow.

One need only give a little attention to what the travellers said about Palestine before Zionism or spend a little time in Lebanon to sense the

enormity of the propaganda trick being played upon us.

Mark Twain described the rich green valleys of the Huleh district in North Galilee a century ago. Sir Moses Montefiore wrote in 1839: 'In the Holy Land the Jewish settlers will find a greater certainty of success; here they will find wells already dug, olives and vines already planted and a land so rich as to require little manure.'"

So, another Jewish myth is exposed. The desert bloomed well before they got there. It is only for the benefit of Christian tourists (and their fleecing) who, stupefied by the Zionist propaganda onslaught, are made to parrot Zionist lies. A host of newspapers, magazines, and videos all make the same claim: "The Jews made the desert bloom."

I hope you, dear reader, are beginning to appreciate the enormity and scope of Jewish lying and deceit, for there is no end to it. Truly did Jesus speak rightly of them when He said:

"Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father will ye do… When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own; for he is a liar, and the father of it." -- John 8:44.

Any wonder why the rabbis hate Him so much?

Jewish Ideologies

(Judaism on Six Fronts)

As for my people, children are their oppressors, and women rule over them. O my people, they which lead thee cause thee to err, and destroy the way of thy paths . - Isa. 3:12.

The above statement certainly applies to the latter half of the twentieth century. We find our culture being steered in the direction of teenagers' appetites. Feminists do all in their power to undermine the established order which, by the way, had benefited women by having legislated against the excesses of men. Political leaders, especially liberals, do away with traditional morality and substitute for it criminal-coddling, anti-victim laws. Pop culture, which is created by Hollywood and by slick advertising, determines dress, style, musical trends, a new vulgarity, and a careless and irresponsible sexuality. All these things indicate an advanced state of cultural decadence.

One of the most notable aspects of society's descent into decadence is that this decadence proceeds with all the greater speed as Jews assume positions of power within the society.

A central tenet which must be understood about Judaism is that, given its stated right to rule the world (Talmudic), all loyal Jews are at war with the rest of the world. Consequently, good Jews work ceaselessly in two areas: 1.) to enrich themselves and, thereby, the Jewish community and 2.) to undermine and impoverish the surrounding (host) community. This can be referred to as the dual Talmudic strategy of subverting the host while enriching itself through exploitation.

The way in which Judaism undermines its host communities involves an infiltration of the professions and a redirecting of the professions toward Jewish aims and ideologies. One could name any profession, such as medicine, law, teaching, etc. The same general picture emerges: First, a thriving, respected professional community exists within a society. Second, it is infiltrated by Jews. Third, the Jews who enter this professional community apply their twofold principle of behavior. They redirect the service-oriented profession toward self-enrichment and decadence

(liberalism). Fourth, the profession begins to lose respect and credibility as professional goals and ethics are sacrificed for the advancement of the Jewish element. Fifth, the non-Jewish professionals begin to behave unethically (like exploitative Jews) because everybody else is doing it. All of this amounts to a very sophisticated and unrelenting sabotage of the original principles of the profession.

Medicine, for example, was once a highly respected profession. Doctors were held up by the public as demi-gods. But then Jews started moving into the profession. Instead of operating on the basis of pride in one's work and skill, the Jewish doctors redirected the profession toward personal profiteering and subversion of an established institution with the view of Jews taking total control. Of course, not all Jewish doctors accept the challenge as messianic Judaism sets it forth. Some are dedicated doctors. Some are merely profiteers. Others, the Talmudic fifth-columnists, serve the Jewish cause fully. The damage done by the latter two categories is immeasurable.

One can analyze the decline of the profession of teaching in the same way. Once it was a highly respected, if underpaid, profession. Then, as Jews began moving in and taking over, the quality of teaching, the subject matter, and the respect for teachers all declined drastically. Instead of focusing on the profession of teaching, Jewish agitators in teachers' unions such as the National Education Association redirected the profession of teaching towards power politics, internationalism, secular humanism, liberalism, anti-white "multiculturalism," Marxism, feminism, homosexuality, and socialism - everything but the three R's.

The same can be said for lawyers and judges. As Jews moved into these professions, corruption, excessive litigation, exploitative business practices all increased. Law had become a joke. Shysters and smooth operators became the norm.

The legacy of the Jewish presence in all professions is marked and clear. It follows the dual Talmudic strategy in every case: exploit and destroy.

In the same way that Jews infiltrate and undermine professions, Jews infiltrate and destroy governments and nations. For example, the Jewish-run

Weimar Republic of Germany - the corrupt, banker-dominated administration which created the hyperinflation of the l920's - led the way to Germany's total economic collapse. Many historians have pointed out that this, plus the punitive terms of the Versailles Treaty, led to the rise of Hitler. The Talmudic strategy worked very well in bleeding and milking Germany for all it was worth. The post-WWI bleeding went so far as to send agents onto German farms for the removal of every last chicken, sheep, and pig. You can imagine the resentment and hatred that this caused. By then, the German people knew very well who the real oppressor was. The breeding ground for the rise of Hitler was caused by the Jews themselves. The same thing is happening today to America and Great Britain as the Zionist International bleeds all nations of their substance in order to fund their globalistic schemes.

Treachery, Treachery and More Treachery

With regard to Jewish relations with Germany during WWI, the true story must be told. The fact is that Germany had provided refuge for Jews fleeing the problems in Russia. Due to the large Jewish population in Russia (all of Khazaria had been taken over by Russia), the Zionists, communists, and Freemasons had wreaked havoc in Russia for virtually the whole of the Nineteenth Century. Jewish refugees found themselves relocating in Germany where they were able to live in peace. In Germany, as a result of the Emancipation Edict of 1812, Jews were given equal citizenship and they swelled the ranks of the professions: doctors, lawyers, teachers, etc. There they flourished as perhaps Jews had never flourished before.

Benjamin Freedman, in an article in **Common Sense** , April 1967, revealed the treachery that the Zionist International inflicted on Germany as a result of the British occupation of Palestine. The article is entitled *Germans' Hatred Against Jews Justified by Unjustified Stab in the Back. Zionists Planned, Promoted and Provoked Germany's Doom.*

Says Mr. Freedman:

"From the time the Zionist movement was organized in 1898 until 1916, Kaiser Wilhelm II extended to Zionists in Germany every assistance. Government authorities gladly gave to facilitate Zionist objectives in Germany and throughout the world."

To begin with, Great Britain had signed the McMackan Treaty with the Arabs. This Treaty set forth the principle that Palestine would be returned to the Arabs after the Turks were expelled. This is a not-so-famous Treaty. It was the very famous Lawrence of Arabia who got the job of carrying out the McMackan Treaty. As we all should know by now, the British betrayed the Arabs by giving Palestine to the Jews instead. Most people do not know why.

Before World War I, Britain, France, and Russia began to feel threatened by Germany's sudden industrial development. These three colonial powers saw a Germany whose industrial capacity was increasing by leaps and bounds. Germany's exports began to seriously compete with British markets. Also, Germany started developing colonies of her own. Britain felt threatened and Russia saw an opportunity to secure a southern seaport from Turkey, Germany's ally. This was the political situation just before WWI. Says Mr. Freedman:

"USA Christians were never told the truth how Jews turned Germany's 1916 victory in World War I into Germany's ignominious 1918 defeat."

Germany had, in effect, won the war. France and Russia had already capitulated and the British were in the process of formally capitulating. Remember, the Germans did not start this war . It was the assassination of the Archduke Ferdinand by a gang of "Serbian" Freemasons that was the spark that lit the fuse. In spite of all this treachery against Germany,

Germany offered the Allies the most generous and humane terms imaginable :

"Great Britain in the Autumn of 1916 was anxiously expecting Germany's peace offer. Germany was convinced by the Autumn of 1916 Great Britain could not continue the war alone. Germany hastened to offer Great Britain peace terms too attractive for Great Britain to decline, Germany's 1916 offer for a 'negotiated peace' on a 'status quo ante' basis."

"Status quo ante" means that everything would return to the pre-war status on both sides. These "evil Germans" had extended these quite gentlemanly peace terms and Britain would have accepted, except for one thing . . .

The Zionists Wanted Palestine

The Rothschilds intervened by offering to bring America into the War in return for Palestine. If the British would betray the Arabs, the Zionists would betray Germany . And that is exactly what happened. The famous Balfour Declaration is what followed, with the British government declaring its support of a "Jewish homeland" in Palestine.

The pretext for getting America into the War was the sinking of the Lusitania, which had happened a year and a half earlier , in February of 1915. At once the Zionist propaganda machine began demonizing Germany in the American press. The Lusitania incident was dredged up as if it had happened the day before. Colonel E.M. House, a notorious Rothschild-Rockefeller agent in the White House, was Woodrow Wilson's personal advisor. (This is the same function which Bernard Baruch played to Franklin Delano Roosevelt and which Henry Kissinger played to Richard Nixon. It was under Woodrow Wilson's presidency that the Federal Reserve Act was treacherously enacted, thus firmly establishing the Zionist Money Power as the economic master of the United States. The Federal Reserve is

America’s version of the Bank of England, which is owned by the Rothschild family.)

The Zionists in Britain and the Zionists in America went to work.

In his book, **The Hidden Tyranny** , Benjamin Freedman tells how Samuel Untermeyer, a prominent New York Zionist lawyer, blackmailed Woodrow Wilson into cooperating with the Zionists in London. Wilson had, before becoming President, had an affair with a Princeton socialite named Mary Peck, a married woman. Mr. Untermeyer had obtained copies of love letters exchanged by the two. Wilson was already being blackmailed by Mary Peck’s son, who was in need of $40,000.00. Of course, Wilson could not pay this amount, so Untermeyer offered to pay the blackmail and did so. But this put Wilson firmly into Untermeyer’s clutches, who, acting according to Rothschild instructions, insisted that Wilson appoint Louis Brandeis to the Supreme Court. With this kind of leverage over Wilson, he could be forced to do just about anything to avoid being exposed as an unfaithful husband before the American people.

Once Brandeis was secure in the Supreme Court, he, in turn, was used by the Zionists to "persuade" Wilson to eventually, after the Balfour Declaration was secured, declare war against Germany. Wilson, who was an ideological liberal, did not need much persuading because he could be exposed by the Zionists at any time. Rather than accept the shame he deserved, he chose to sacrifice thousands of innocent American lives for a completely unworthy cause.

In spite of the fact that Wilson had repeatedly promised, and his re-election campaign slogans had assured, the American people that we would not get involved in the bloody war in Europe, Wilson declared war on Germany. Not only did this declaration needlessly prolong the War, it was probably the most hideous act of international treachery the world had ever seen. The German people, who had been so accepting and open to the Jews for over a century, were absolutely stabbed in the back by this international double-cross .

States W.G. Finlay in his article, "*The Controlling Mechanism of Religion":*

"*When Lawrence found out that British Foreign Secretary Arthur Balfour, under pressure from Zionist leader Chaim Weizman, who came from Russia to become a British citizen in 1910, had signed the infamous* **Balfour Declaration** *in 1917, thereby breaking their 'word of honor' with the Arabs in Palestine, he denounced his government for its betrayal of his Arab friends."* -- p. 21.

According to Freedman in his 1967 article:

"It is also undeniable that if World War I had ended in the Autumn of 1916 that there would not have been a war going on in 1917 into which the Zionists could railroad the USA. Zionists cannot escape their responsibility for the cost in USA lives and treasure by railroading the USA into World War I, for planting the seeds of World War II with Germany, and for unleashing communism on the world in Russia in 1917."

. . . and all because of the **absolutely false assertion** that the Jews are Israel! The cost in human suffering for the promotion of this gigantic lie is literally astronomical – too tragic for words to describe and for minds to comprehend. And the Zionists are the cause of it all. Surely, no greater evil has ever befallen the human race. Yet, in the history of Zionism, it is just another war for billions in profit. Even today, the German people are still regarded as the villains of World War I. You should know differently now; and you should have a fair appreciation of the true nature of Zionist butchery, that the current conditions in Palestine and the Israeli State are just a continuation of this ongoing, genocide against humanity by Esau/Edom.

The Historical Blackout Continues

Above and beyond professions and nations, Jewish Talmudic strategy, in a word, is internationalism. As many critics have pointed out before, Judaism has been a faith without a home. It has wandered wherever the Jew has wandered. The Jewish leadership has liked it that way just fine. But if the messianic ideal is ever to be realized, then the Jewish International must have a capitol, and so Zionism was conceived. As such, Zionism serves as a focus for Jewish restlessness, whatever political shade they might be. Rare is the Jew who speaks out against it.

Working behind the scenes, Judaism can do its dirty work unnoticed by the masses. On the other hand, the State of Israel is a bleeding sore on the political landscape of planet earth. It exposes the genocidal philosophy of Judaism for all to see . Stealthily, internationalism (Judaism's overall strategy of planetary Talmudism) connives always to accomplish Jewish goals using goy lackeys (the "shabez goy"). Even Marilyn Monroe, Sammy Davis Jr., asked to become a Jew. In this way, Jews accomplish their Talmudic goals around the world while the more visible goyim (the ugly American) take the blame and the heat. This tactic was actually begun by Benjamin Disraeli, the first Jewish Prime Minister of Great Britain. He is now known as "the father of British Imperialism." To her great discredit, Queen Victoria allowed herself to be swayed by Jewish advice. The Boxers of China and the Boers of South Africa are some of the victims of this imperialism.

Internationalism (Jewish Imperialism) is the natural means of Jewish political expression since Judaism has nearly always been a state without a country. This historical fact completely disqualifies them as Israelites for one of the major Marks of Israel is that the Tribes will constitute a "company of nations." The Jews have never been anything of the sort. Rather, the Jews have always been in the forefront of promoting internationalistic propaganda, whether communistic or capitalistic. Jewish ideologues and Jewish bankers have been behind every effort to establish a One-World-Government, starting with the Congress of Vienna of 1814-1815, Aix-la-Chapelle in 1818, Carlsbad in 1819, Verona in 1822, London in 1830, the League of Nations down to the present United Nations. There

has been only one ongoing purpose behind all of these attempts to establish an international government: the consolidation of Talmudic business and political power.

Modern naivete assumes that the movement for a One-World-Government is of recent vintage and that it is an idealistic attempt to overcome the shortcomings of nation-states. This is all very clever rhetorical carrot-dangling and is most definitely untrue. The bread of kindergarten toddlers is buttered with this garbage; and it is repeated ad nauseum until they graduate from college: "We must have a one world government. We must have a United Nations." The New World Order has been a centuries-old dream of the plotters and schemers of Talmudism.

As will be demonstrated in the next section on the Anti-Christ, the New World Order movement began with the Rothschilds and other Jewish bankers at the Congress of Vienna in 1815. Here is an account of that affair, the world's first general congress of nations, from the **Encyclopedia Judaica, Volume 16** , 1972, p. 132:

" ***Vienna, Congress of*** *, international congress held in Vienna, September 1814 to June 1815, to reestablish peace and order in Europe after the Napoleonic Wars. The congress met at the Apollosaal built by the English-born Jew, Sigmund Wolffsohn, and the delegates were often entertained during the course of the proceedings in the salons of Jewish hostesses, such as Fanny von Arnstein and Cecily Eskeles."*

"The Jewish question, raised explicitly for the first time at an international conference, arose in connection with the constitution of a new federation of German states. The Jews of Frankfort and of the Hanseatic towns of Hamburg, Luebeck, and Bremen had previously attained equal civil rights under French rule. The Hanseatic cities were annexed to France in 1810, and the Jewish emancipation in France was effective ipso facto there. The Frankfort community paid the French staff of the duke a vast sum of money in 1811 in return for being granted equality. They now sent delegates to the Congress to seek confirmation of their rights, as well as emancipation for

the Jews of the other German states...in May 1815, the opposition to Jewish civic equality grew, despite favorable proposals by Austria and Prussia ...."

This account in the **Encyclopedia Judaica** is very revealing for several reasons: 1.) It shows how the Jews are always active on the international scene, always finagling for themselves rights and privileges within and across borders, the like of which no other ethnic group ever has. In the case of the Hanseatic cities mentioned, **the local Jewish communities had made a separate deal with the occupying military government of Napoleon** . Is this not treason ? This is an excellent example of how their plotting and scheming always puts them at odds with local populations. 2.) It shows how they make a point of entertaining the delegates so as to schmooze them into a sense of security about Jewish aims and intentions. 3.) It raises the Jewish Question of "emancipation" within Germany. Read: the right to practice usury by all Jews. Heretofore, only certain Jewish families were given such commercial licenses, the Rothschilds being foremost among them. 4.) It admits that the Jewish community paid a huge bribe to the French staff to grant "emancipation" in Frankfort, something which France, as a military occupying government, had no right to do, certainly as far as the Germans were concerned. In other words, the Jews are saying to the local Germans: "**We made a deal with the enemy and we expect you to honor that deal. We paid good money for it!"**

To most ethically-minded human beings, such "rights for bucks" activism, and the collective bribery and treason that it entails, is nothing short of mind-boggling. But, remember, we are dealing with the **religion of lies** .

With regard to item #3 above, one must understand that Jews were confined to ghettoes in the cities and towns of Germany precisely because of the havoc caused to previous generations of Germans by Jewish usury and exploitation. But, of course, this is just more of that blind and irrational "anti-Semitism," isn't it? These laws effectively kept the predators penned up in the ghettoes while the sheep lived peacefully and unmolested around them. To the Jew, "emancipation" means only one thing: the freedom to exploit.

The laws restricting Jewish commerce were instituted precisely to avoid a return to pre-Renaissance exploitation and decadence. You can just see these Jews, like wolves in sheep's clothing, rubbing their hands in anticipation, salivating at the prospect of being "emancipated" once again.

This entry in the **Encyclopedia Judaica** also illustrates very clearly how Jews are always thinking internationally, and never just locally. Can you imagine indigenous populations turning against their country and making separate deals with invading armies? It would certainly be unprecedented. This proves that Jews never have any loyalty to the host nation. They are loyal only to the tribe. In short, there can be no such thing as a Jewish patriot. The Jew is always an internationalist first and a citizen second, and, therefore, a fifth-columnist; and this citizenship consists only of supporting regimes which further Jewish aims. Consequently, they will switch party allegiances to whomever will deliver the political fat.

A non-Jewish source had this to say about the Congress of Vienna:

"The Congress of the Powers which met at Vienna in 1814 to resettle the map of Europe, after the upheavals and wars of the previous twenty-five years, was a terrible disappointment; and we, who are now (in 1918) hopefully looking forward to a similar Congress at the end of the present war, cannot do better than to study the great failure of 1814, and take warning from it. The phrases which heralded the approaching Congress were curiously and disquietingly similar to those on the lips of public men and journalists today (1918) when they speak of the "settlement" before us. "The parliament of Man, the Federation of the World"…seemed in 1814 on the eve of accomplishment. The work of the Congress was to be no less than "the reconstruction of the moral order," "the regeneration of the political system of Europe," the establishment of "an enduring peace founded on a just redistribution of political forces," the institution of an effective and a permanent international tribunal, the encouragement of the growth of representative institutions, and, last but not least, an arrangement between the Powers for a gradual and systematic disarmament…The Congress of

Vienna was to inaugurate a new era." -- p. 31-32**, The War and Democracy** , by J. Dover Wilson, London, 1918.

In preparation for the League of Nations, the Zionist propaganda mill had dredged up century-old, moth-eaten, high-sounding platitudes from the viper's archives! Except to the naïve, this Rothschild-inspired rhetoric rang hollow then as it does today; and our newspapers are full of it; but to the uninitiated, the flowery language of the grand deceivers brings visions of hope to their eyes. "Yes, yes, we must have a One World Government" they parrot while the devil smiles and salivates.

Says Eustace Mullins of the post-WWI attempt to establish the League of Nations:

At the conclusion of the war, Jews from all over the world flocked to Paris for the Peace Conference, which could well have been conducted in Yiddish, as every nation was represented by a Jewish delegation. Political observers were amazed at the reckless manner in which the Jews carved up Europe so as to make a second world war inevitable. They created a new state, Czechoslovakia, and presented it to their friend Masaryk as a reward for having defended them against charges of ritual murder. They demanded huge sums in reparations from the Germans, knowing that this would drive the Germans to fight again . - p. 83, **History of the Jews** .

Jewish communists are also ceaselessly promoting their seductive version of internationalism. From the Jewish point of view, the objective is the same: to supplant the existing goyim nations with a Jewish-run supergovernment in which the Jew finally fulfills his tribal expectations of dominating the other peoples of the world.

Here follows a list of the major, pervasive ideologies which originated in the Talmudic, Jewish mind:

The Six-Tentacled Beast of Judaism

1.) Zionism

2.) Internationalism

3.) Secular humanism (atheistic scientism)

4.) Bolshevism (communism)

5.) Liberalism and anarchism

6.) Monopolism

Socialism is not included in the list because socialism tends to be of a nationalistic character and because it originated as an attempt by Roman Catholic intellectuals (Saint-Simon, August Comte, Francois Fourier, etc.) and others who explicitly advocated a return to the feudalistic system of centralized government control of the economy. Socialism is thus most correctly understood, not as an attempt to progress beyond capitalism, but as a **regression** back to feudalism. The function of socialistic ideology, therefore, was and is to head off emerging capitalism (the free market) and to restore a feudalistic system of production. (For a full discussion of the historical development of socialist theory and propaganda, see **The Great Deceit** by Zygmund Dobbs.)

Socialism is supported by the international Jewish kehilla only to the extent that it will eventually be supplanted by Jewish internationalism and/or communism; and this will take shape in the form of a one-world-government with Jerusalem as the headquarters and seat of all power. (*Jerusalem will one day become the capital of world peace* . - Dr. Nahum

Sokoloff, delegate to the Sixth Congress of the World Zionist Organization, 1903.)

Hitler's socialism was one of these temporary manifestations of pre-totalitarian internationalism. (Few people realize that the Nazi State was collaborating with the International Zionists in a mutual agreement to ship unwilling Jews to Palestine. For documentation of this fact, see **The Transfer Agreement** by Edwin Black and **Zionist Relations With Nazi Germany** by Faris Glubb.)

Briefly, each of the above six ideologies will be discussed in order to demonstrate that they are, in fact, Jewish in origin and design.

Zionism

Of course, Zionism makes no pretensions about being something other than pro-Jewish. Zionism is actually Talmudic Judaism practiced openly and without shame or compunction. Its main pretension is that it is only concerned with finding a "homeland" for the Jews. The so-Called "return to Israel" is one of the main pretexts of Zionism. It can hardly give up this false pretext and expect to survive. In reality, Zionism is an international movement with chapters in practically every country in the world (except for the Muslim world). In this sense, it is like an octopus with tentacles reaching into many nations. If these tentacles were ever cut off, Zionism would cease to exist because the flow of funds coming from these nations would cease and the Israeli State would die. The Israeli State has thus become the receptacle of the siphoning process. In other words, if all the Jews of the world actually moved to Israel, Zionism would be "out of business'! Ponder that for a while. So, you see, the so-Called "return" is nothing but an elaborate hoax .

The world, in Zionism, has a very clear example of what Jewish fanaticism, carried toward its goal of total political power, really is. Unfortunately for the Palestinian people, they are the innocent bystanders who have had to endure Judaism's holy war against the goyim. If the world tolerates this example, the only question is: Who's next?

Consider the fact that America currently donates $6 billion per annum to the coffers of the Israeli State. At the same time, our government still refuses to acknowledge the health claims of the Gulf War veterans. This is truly an odd state of affairs. These veterans have been suffering for years from the Gulf War Syndrome, which was contracted by many soldiers who fought there. Thousands have died from it since returning to the U.S., yet our government refuses to pay for their health care. Now, remember, at the same time that our veterans, who have sacrificed life and limb for their country, **get nothing** , the **Israelis get $6 billion per year** . Please try to let this abomination sink in!

Let's try to put this situation into perspective by bringing it home: Let's say that you had a son who came back from the Gulf War with two legs blown off. He was in dire need of medical and financial help and asked you, his parent, to help. But, **instead of helping your own son** , you tell him, "I'm sorry, I can't help you. I've got to send my money to a complete stranger, a religious fanatic who lives 10,000 miles away in the State of Israel who claims to be 'God's Chosen One'. Surely, you understand, don't you?"

I think that the only thing that the son could think is that you've gone out of your mind. "Has someone cast a spell on you? I'm your own son, for God's sake!" he says. You turn away. He just doesn't understand. **THIS IS PRECISELY THE POLITICAL INSANITY WHICH PREVAILS IN AMERICA TODAY** ! We abandon our own so that we can support some alien religious fanatic. How is it possible that a nation can behave in such an irrational way ?

The answer is obvious for those who have eyes to see, ears to hear, and a mind to comprehend: the Zionist Lobby. Don't believe for one moment the media lie that the National Rifle Association is the most powerful lobby in America. When you combine the influence of the American Jewish Committee, the B'nai B'rith, the Anti-Defamation League, the World Jewish Congress, and the myriads of other pro-Jewish organizations that lobby for Israel and Zionism, there is no comparison. The international Jew spends millions trying to influence politicians. And this does not even take into consideration how Zionist businessmen influence world trade to favor Israel and themselves.

The payoff comes when these guilty politicians enact pro-Jewish legislation, such as aid to Israel. And the most amazing aspect of all this is the fact that these same international Zionists are trillionaires, billionaires, and millionaires, who could easily subsidize the State of Israel themselves without having to bribe a single official in another country; but since the Israeli State is the linchpin of their philosophy and strategy, they use this linchpin to agitate within other countries to secure such aid and influence.

It is much more efficient to spend $10,000,000 bribing politicians for $6,000,000,000 in aid. Don’t you think? This is why we refer to the Zionist Lobby as ZOG, the Zionist Occupation Government. Pat Buchanan, in one of his more independent statements, once referred to Washington, D.C. as "Israeli-occupied territory." No truer words were ever spoken about modern American politics.

But even if one accepts that Jewry is Israel, what is the Biblical justification for employing genocide, terror, dispossession, and military occupation against the Palestinians who have lived in the area for two thousand years. In the words of Nahum Goldmann, former President of the World Jewish Congress and the World Zionist Organization:

"*After all, the Zionist political idea is absolutely unique and fantastic...Imagine what would happen if all the peoples of the world were to reclaim the lands they occupied two thousand years ago. Do you see the chaos? Yet here is a people which has had the audacity to act in that way* ." – from **The Jewish Paradox** .

Ivor Benson, a South African writer of international repute, sums it up this way:

"*A vast phantasmagoria of political (and military) phenomena only begins to make sense when we firmly understand the real nature of Zionism as* **a modern super-power** *capable of exerting enormous influence, if not always direct power, on both sides of the Iron Curtain. Its real character is thus that of a vehement* **geographically dispersed nationalism** *, felt most keenly precisely because it lacks the geographical boundaries of traditional nationalism and is so much more confined to the mind .*" **-- The Covenant Message** , September 1982, p. 27.

Internationalism

As previously discussed, internationalism has always been part of Jewish strategy. It is Jewish in origin because it was the Jewish international bankers -–led by the Rothschilds -- who first proposed a one-world-government at the Congress of Vienna in 1815. The descendants of these bankers then proposed the League of Nations, and the present United Nations was conceived by the Jewish bankers as an antidote for the wars of nationalism. Needless to say, millions of Christians died and suffered horribly as a result of World War I and World War II, both of which were conceived and agitated by Jewish internationalists for the purpose of destroying Christian nationhood. Many notable Americans, such as Henry Ford in his **Dearborn Independent Newspaper** , Father Coughlin in his radio broadcasts, and Charles Lindberg (yes, the world-famous pilot of the *Spirit of St. Louis*) of the America First Committee, tried to warn Americans that they were being involved in a gigantic plot concocted by international Jewish financiers and war-mongers. The efforts of these patriots to stave off a disastrous war have been memory-holed by our Zionist Occupation Government. Articles in the Zionist-controlled press often refer to Father Coughlin as an "anti-Semite." You must take their word for this. It is neither "open-minded" nor "fair-minded" to suppose anything else. Of course, if you doubt the word of collective Judaism, then you might find out that their version of history is slightly tainted. Rabbi forbid.

Stated very briefly, the relationship among Zionism, communism, and internationalism is that Zionism is the open fulfillment of Talmudic ambitions <u>while communism and internationalism combine as the covert</u>

strategy of indoctrination through ideology and conversion by force . If Talmudic ambitions are thus realized through this satanic triangle of power (Zionist money, internationalist propaganda, and communist terror), a literal Jewish aristocracy will be created in which all Jews, from the Rothschilds down to the Epsteins, will be scrambling for the bureaucratic positions of leadership (commissars) over the goyim. Note the following very closely:

In the words of Theodore Herzl, the founder of the modern Zionist movement,

When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, the subordinate officers of the revolutionary party; when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse . - from his book, **The Jewish State** .

From the **Canadian Jewish Chronicle** of October 8, 1923:

In all Bolshevist institutions, the heads are Jews. They are contemptuous of everyone, which excites the people against them. They look upon Bolshevism as a Jewish affair.... There is a great national religious fervor among the Jews. They believe that the promised time of the rule of 'God's elect' on earth is come .

Even more explicit are the words of Otto Kahn, Jewish financier:

You will say that Marxism is the very antithesis of capitalism, which is equally sacred to us. It is precisely for this reason that they are direct opposites to one another, that they put into our hands the two poles of this planet and allow us to be its axis. These two contraries, like Bolshevism and ourselves [monopolists and financiers], find their identity in the International . (Underline the word 'identity', for to the Talmudic Jew, monopoly and communism are indeed identical. In both systems, the goyim are oppressed by Talmudic overlords.)

These opposites, which are at the antipodes to one another in society and in their doctrines, meet again in the identity of their purpose and end in the remaking of the world from above by the control of riches, and from below by revolution. Our mission consists in promulgating the new law and in creating a God, that is to say in purifying the idea of God and realizing it when the time shall come. We shall purify that idea by identifying it with the nation of Israel, which has become its own Messiah. The advent of it will be facilitated by the final triumph of Israel. In these ideas we find our New Testament in which we reconcile kings and prophets.... - quoted by Saint-Aulaire in **Geneva Versus Peace** .

If you are one of those leftists who ever took the staged battle between communism and capitalism seriously, you should have no more reason to be fooled. The slogan should be: "Dupes of the Zionists, unite!"

Some Jews take this view of Jewish dominion rather benignly, thinking of themselves as benevolent, though patronizing dictators, such that even the goyim should be glad, for they would benefit from Jewish leadership and that it would be for their own good (a typically liberal attitude). But the world's history is full of stories about how miserably things fail when you do good unto others without their advice or consent.

During the Paris Peace Conference after World War I, Senator Gaudin de Villain of France made this statement in the French Senate:

The Russian Revolution and the Great War of 1914-18 are only phases of the supreme mobilization of the cosmopolitan powers of money, and this supreme crusade of Gold against the Cross is nothing more nor less than the furious aspiration of the Jew for domination of our world. It is the High Jew Bank which has fomented in Russia the revolution prepared by the Kerenskys and finally perpetrated by the Lenins, Trotskys, and Zinovievs, as was yesterday the Communist coup d'etat in Hungary, for Bolshevism is nothing but a Talmudic upheaval . - quoted from Mullins' **History of the Jews** , p. 83.

And now consider for a moment how many millions of innocent Christians and people of all races and cultures who have been slaughtered, killing each other thinking that they were "fighting for their country" or for "world peace" of for "democracy" when, in reality, they were being fed into the Zionist meat grinder.

Harsh words? Better look around at the violence being caused in the world again today because of the strife in the Middle East and who is the real terrorist. The Islamic world is declaring a jihad against America precisely for our support of this Beast system.

This idea of a one-world-government being the cure-all for the world's problems is presently being pushed by all the tentacles of the Beast. Only Zionism plays a low key (publicly) on internationalism, since Zionism is an overtly nationalistic movement while receiving covert international support. Ultimately, relocating the United Nations in Tel Aviv or Jerusalem is not such a far-fetched notion. If New York City continues to endure shocks like the one on September 11, 2001, then the likelihood of this development becomes even more plausible.

In this light, it must be realized that all of the propaganda about so-Called anti-Semitism in the old Soviet Union is just so much balderdash. The movement of the Refuseniks was motivated mostly by the fact that these

Jews were either dissatisfied with Talmudic communism or because they held menial jobs and wanted greener pastures. There was little sympathy in the Free Soviet Jews movement for the non-Jewish victims of communism.

Startling as it may seem, there were at least five independent Jewish States within the Soviet Union **in which only Jews were allowed** . The most famous of these is Biro-Bidjan. As a matter of fact, Jews were favored by the Soviet system. It is certainly probable that the Soviet Union was the only nation besides Israel which had a law specifically making anti-Semitism illegal. This was decreed by Stalin himself. Anyone who merely insulted a Jew could get up to two years in prison for such a heinous crime. As ridiculous as it may seem, such laws were enforced by threat of the death penalty. So, when you hear Jews complain about "anti-Semitism" in the old Soviet Union, take your matzo balls with a grain of salt.

Stalin may have purged a few traitorous Jews from his bureaucracy, but he certainly did not change the existing laws. He merely replaced disloyal Jews with loyal Jews. The international kehillah knew that when Stalin had taken over from Lenin and Trotsky that they had a loose cannon on their hands. Stalin liquidated Jews just as the Jewish Bolsheviks liquidated uncooperative Russians. (Live by terror. Die by terror.)

(For a most thorough expose of the Jewishness of the Bolshevik revolution, the reader is advised to read the book, **Under the Sign of the Scorpion** , by Juri Lina. It is based upon the declassified documents of the old Soviet Union which were made available to public scrutiny after the collapse of the Soviet regime. It is available from Power of Prophecy, 1708 Patterson Road, Austin TX 78733. Unfortunately, Mr. Lina is under the assumption that the Jews and Israelites are the same people, so he comes to some erroneous conclusions about the sanctity of the Bible. That aside, it is a most compelling book.)

Here are a couple of selected quotes from that book:

"*Nobody knows that Zionism appeared as a Marxist movement, a socialist one...Zionsim is actually a revolution* ." – Sergei Lezov, **Strana i Mir** magazine (Munich), #3, 1988, p. 94.

"*The ideals of Bolshevism at many points are consonant with the finest ideals of Judaism* ." **Jewish Chronicle** , 4th April, 1919 (London).

Under the Sign of the Scorpion contains 350 pages of thorough documentation of the Jewishness of communism plus the leadership and support it got from Zionism. It should be required reading in all schools across the world. As the old saying goes, "You can't tell the players without a program."

Not to be overlooked is the fact that communism has always been internationalistic in its aims and scope. This is another tell-tale Talmudic trademark. As such, communism has always been a branch of the Zionist International. The only difference is that communism pretends to be atheistic. Millions of goy intellectuals have been deceived by this tactic.

Today's expression of Jewish internationalism consists of two main movements: the United Nations Organization and global capitalism, also known as globalism. Both of these movements are anti-democratic in nature because they militate against sovereign nationhood. Unfortunately, transnational corporations often dictate lifestyle and business decisions in many countries. Slowly but surely, the economic interests of these corporations determine public policy. This is what these corporations mean by "democracy." In the case of the United States, we will eventually be asked to choose between the Constitution of the United States and the United Nations Charter. The former has provided liberty to millions of Americans. The latter is a blueprint for dictatorial bureaucracy.

Secular Humanism

Some people might require a lot of convincing that the modern social and educational movement known as secular humanism is Jewish in origin. In support of this contention, I would like to say that, as a vehicle for destroying Christian civilization, secular humanism is the perfect ploy for Jewish intellectuals.

Dr. Abraham Maslow, a Jew, is one of the noted leaders of secular humanism in the schools. Secular humanism is the pet project of Jewish teachers and school administrators, especially for those who are tribal loyalists. The Jewish-dominated American Civil Liberties Union has for years been fighting against the teaching of religious doctrines in the schools, even though the theory of evolution is no more scientific than creationism.

In a word, secular humanism is Judaism for atheists and agnostics. Since the only purpose served by Jehovah, the tribal god of the Jews, is to ensure the prosperity and posterity of the tribe, it is not difficult to understand why so many Jews are atheists. And, cynical as they are, as well as being unjustly deprived of their right to rule the world, they are certainly not going to take to a religion of love and humility, such as Christianity.

With regard to Christianity, it should be understood that Judaism has been perpetually at war with the legacy of Jesus Christ. The reader should have an appreciation of just how vituperous this anti-Christianity is. Israel Shahak, Professor of Chemistry at Hebrew University in Tel Aviv, gives us a very revealing insight into the nature of this secret war. In an article entitled *The Official Attitude Against Christianity In The State of Israel And Its Political Implications* , Professor Shahak states:

Dishonoring Christian religious symbols is an old religious duty in Judaism. Spitting on the cross, and especially on the crucifix, and spitting when a Jew passes a church, are obligatory for hundreds of years (from around 200 A.D.) on pious Jews.

The increasing strength of the Jewish state caused those customs to become more open again, but there should be no mistake: ***The spitting on the cross for converts from Christianity to Judaism*** *originated in Kibbutz Sa'ad and financed by the Israeli government is an act of traditional Jewish piety. It does not cease to be barbaric, horrifying and wicked because of this .*

Prof. Shahak tells of an incident in Israel which illustrates how absurd the anti-Christian fanaticism of the Jews really is. In the fifties, a stamp was issued which inadvertently had a picture of a church with a cross on top. The stamp caused a sensation and it was reissued with the cross expunged. And then - believe it or not -

Then there was the long-drawn-out battle about the Christian influence in elementary arithmetic. Pious Jews object to the international plus sign, for it is a cross, and may in their insane opinion, influence little children to convert to Christianity !

I thought that piety implied spiritual goodness! It seems that among Jews, it means irrational fear and superstition. Are words like 'madness' and 'fanaticism' too severe?

...The fact that at the same time Israel is allied with...the American fundamentalists... ***makes absolutely no difference to this attitude, so long as the true facts will continue to be unknown, and the reverse be asserted*** . - CDL Report, May, 1986, pages 6 and 7.

And all the while American Jewry lavishes heavily in its support of anti-Christian atheistic humanism. While Christian schools are being attacked by government bureaucrats and the Jewish-controlled ACLU for failing to license themselves with the proper authorities, not a single Jewish school is heard to be in similar desperation. (We shouldn't give the rabbis any bright ideas!)

Professor Shahak demonstrates that he is one of those Jews who is not controlled by Talmudic propaganda; but what about all those poor Jews who are salivating to the Pavlovian, rabid, rabbinical experiment, sinking to the depths of depraved hatred while filled with a totally unfounded complementary sense of superiority? Is it any wonder why there are so many neurotic, manic-depressive Jews walking the streets of modern Babylon? Once, a gentlemen came to one of my political meetings and exclaimed "I'm a damn mad Jew!" When I asked what he was angry about, he said he was angry about how Jews were being persecuted all over the world. Bear in mind that this gibberish is coming from a member of the wealthiest ethnic group in the world. What persecution? Obviously, he could not see what a tragic victim he was of Talmudic mind control. Just because he was not as prosperous as other Jews was proof to him of this "persecution." Envy and brainwashing go hand-in-hand.

It is well to recall a verse from Matthew. And Jesus said to the rabbis:

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves . - Matt. 23:15.

This is the judgment passed by Jesus upon that hideous, genocidal, and frightful doctrine the world knows as Judaism.

Secular humanism is a form of scientific faith in human beings. It is a very strong movement in the universities where this type of propaganda flourishes. It is always anti-Christian but it is never anti-Jewish. Since there are so many Jewish atheists, secular humanism bears intellectual fruit with them.

Irving Kristol, in his book, **The Future of American Jewry** , explained it this way:

"*Jews in America may belong to Jewish institutions, send their children to Sunday schools for religious instruction, proudly identify themselves as Jews, but their religion, for the most part, is only Jewish in its externals. At the core it is secular humanist* ."

So, not even the average Jew believes in that g-d by which the Talmudic rabbi claims authority. They call him Jehovah in public, but I think in private they address him as "Adonai," or "Lucifer" or "Sammael." Since few people – Jews included – have the stomach for blatant Satanism, they, rather, become atheists or agnostics, because the popular idea of an all-good God is antithetical to the tribal g-d of Judaism.

Secular humanism is designed to placate the consciences of those who have misgivings. It provides convenient rationalizations for their tribal depravity. Thus, for those who do not wish to consider themselves intellectual barbarians, the venom of Talmudism is flavored with the bittersweet taste of Secular Humanism. It goes down a little easier.

And to conclude this section on secular humanism:

The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God. Corrupt are they, and have done abominable iniquity: there is none that doeth good . - Ps. 53:1.

Amen.

Bolshevism

The fact that Bolshevism is Jewish in origin is well known to all Russians. Its main leaders, as we all know, were Karl Marx, Engels, Lenin, and Trotsky: all Jews. When the first commissariats were set up in Russia, well over ninety per cent of the commissars were Jews.

The Reverend Denis Fahey, an Irish Catholic priest and the author of a book entitled **The Rulers of Russia** , apprises us that of the original Council of People's Commissars, 17 of 22 were Jews. Of the original Central Executive Committee, 41 of 61 were Jews. Of the original Extraordinary Commission of Moscow, 23 out of 36 members were Jews. What was the percentage of Jews in Russia? About 5%. And of these, fully 1/3rd of the Jews of Russia became communist officials. The other 95% (real Russians) provided only 2/3rds of the officials. Is there not a great disparity here? Apparently, there was no cry for proportional ethnic representation by these Jews such as we hear of in our country today.

The fact is that Jewish banking houses financed the Russian Revolution, and Jacob Schiff of Kuhn-Loeb alone furnished twenty million dollars. The **New York Times** of November, 1917, ran a story of Jacob Schiff running down Wall Street bragging about how his investment in the revolution had paid off.

Trotsky himself carried some of this money with him from Canada along with an entourage of revolutionaries from New York City. It was these revolutionaries who later became the ruling clique of Russia, virtually all of them having been former immigrants or descendants of immigrants who had come from Russia to New York. The revolution promised them all positions of power and dictatorship over the Russian peasants. Their messianic dreams would be fulfilled!

Believe it or not, **the Russian Revolution was prosecuted by a group of middle class New York Jews who could not even speak Russian!** Lenin, the other main leader of the uprisings, preferred to speak German instead of Russian!

Of the ambassadorships eventually to be established by the Soviet government by 1936, 14 were held by Jews and only 3 were held by native Russians (Fahey, p. 36).

Of special interest here is a document known as the **Oudendyke Report** , the written report of Mr. Oudendyke, Netherlands Minister who was in Russia at the time of the revolution:

...I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging and unless as above stated Bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately it is bound to spread in one form or another over Europe and the world as it is organized and worked by Jews who have no nationality and whose one object is to destroy for their own ends the existing order of things ...

Douglas Reed, in his little-known book entitled **Insanity Fair** , tells us about his experiences as one of the first Western journalists to be allowed into the Soviet Union after the coup:

The censorship department, and that means the whole machine for controlling the home and muzzling the foreign Press, was entirely staffed by Jews, and this was a thing that puzzled me more than anything else in Moscow. There seemed not to be a single non-Jewish official in the whole outfit, and they were just the same Jews as you met in New York, Berlin, Vienna and Prague - well-manicured, well-fed, dressed with a touch of the dandy...and I asked myself, where were the Russians ? - quoted from Fahey, p. 39.

The cooperation among the Soviet Union and other International Jewish institutions went on continuously until its demise in the late 1980's, after which international Jewish financiers such as George Soros, with the aid of the alcoholic Boris Yeltsin, subverted the Russian economy by creating an inflation which destroyed the meager savings of the Russian people. Whole industries were privatized into Jewish hands and many former commissars and factory managers suddenly became owners. (How's that for switching ideologies in an instant?)

Another good example of Israeli-Soviet cooperation is the world-wide ex-Nazi witch hunt which continues even today at the behest of a country which did not even exist at the time of World War II, namely, the State of Israel. Such is the power of the Zionists that they could maneuver the KGB and the OSI (Office of Special Investigations) to work together in these witch hunts and actually deport nationalized American citizens on the basis of evidence gathered by the KGB. Now, if the Soviet regime were indeed "anti-Semitic," why would they cooperate with the Zionists (world capitalists) in "exposing" and "rooting out" these so-Called "war criminals"? Were it not for the hidden Jewish overpresence, such cooperation between the KGB and the United States government would be impossible.

One of the powerful international Jewish monopolists who was very friendly with the Soviet Union was Armand Hammer of Occidental

Petroleum. Mr. Hammer had been known to brag about the fact that, as a small boy, he once sat on Lenin's knee. The fact that he exploited communist slave labor as an international monopolist is not mentioned by the journalists of the West. The literary prostitutes of the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post* do nothing but regurgitate the vomit of international propaganda. The contradictions of this type of activity are also ignored by the typically Jewish-controlled communist rag sheets. Make no mistake, what you don't know will hurt you.

Of course, the essential characteristics of Talmudism are to be found in communism: bureaucratic dictatorship by an elite class where the rabbis are replaced by the commissars; total control of the public's thought processes; total control of education; no freedom of speech; no freedom of press; terrorization by kangaroo courts; no due process of law. In short, all the things for which Jesus condemned the rabbis are to be found in communism as well as Talmudism.

Says Elizabeth Dilling in her monumental work, **The Plot Against Christianity** ,

One of the perpetual difficulties of the Talmudists is putting Communism into power and then concealing the Talmudic origin and direction of it. That 'Jewish look' arouses what is foolishly called 'anti-Semitism' (actually anti-Pharisaism) on the part of the stabled 'people who are like an ass - slaves who are considered the property of the master' (Keth. Illa), as the Talmud designates all non-Pharisees . - p. 21.

Take note, little Jew, of the real attitude your master has towards you! Do you still think he deserves your loyalty? Isn't it insulting to be used in such a way?

King Faisal, before he was assassinated, put it this way:

"*Zionism and communism are working hand-in-glove...It's all part of a great plot, a grand conspiracy...they are only pretending to work against each other in the Middle East. The Zionists are deceiving the United States...The Communists are cheating the Arabs, making them believe they are on their side. But actually they are in league with the Zionists* ." – from an article *entitled "Underground Millionaires of the USSR ," Behind the News* , August 1981.

Of course, the opinion of an Arab shouldn't be allowed in print.

The fact is that Zionism and communism grew up together in the Jewish mind, have always lived with each other in the Jewish mind, and have always worked with each other for the benefit of World Jewry and for the demise of Christendom. One of the main leaders of this Talmudic philosophy was Moses Hess. Moses Hess influenced both Engels and Marx. In a mini-biography at the internet website of **Encyclopedia.com** , it states:

"*In* **Rom und Jerusalem** *(1862, tr. 1958) he declared that the freeing and uniting of humanity was the mission of the Jewish people and urged the establishment of a Jewish state in Palestine* ."

Here, in Moses Hess, we see Zionism, Communism, and neo-Messianism all rolled into one. The origin of all three ideas is the same and the money to finance all three ideas has always come from the Zionists.

Communism's brilliant stroke was to pretend to be anti-capitalist. In reality, it has always been pro-Zionist and pro-monopolist, and it has always

secretly cooperated with Jewish capitalism. Its "anti-capitalism" and "anti-imperialism" have been exclusively reserved for the goy bourgeoisie.

Liberalism

With regard to next subject, liberalism, it is wise to first consult the Scriptures:

But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the Lord thy God, to observe to do all his commandments and his statutes which I commanded thee this day; that...The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high; and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail . - Deut. 28:15, 43-44.

Concerning the Jewish origins of classical liberalism, it would be very difficult to prove it conclusively. However, it remains a fact that the Jewish banking families of 18th Century Europe, for their own purposes, were just as opposed to the twin monoliths of Church and Monarchy as were the non-Jewish liberals, such as Rousseau and Voltaire; and the Rothschilds were not cheap when it came to funneling their money into liberal organizations, all with heavy Jewish membership, such as the Jacobins, the Illuminati and Freemasonry, for the purpose of overthrowing the established order. (The subject of Jewish infiltration of Freemasonry is too big to deal with here, but an old witticism describes the situation concisely: *Too much Maneshewitz wine in Mason jars* .)

Although liberalism may or may not have originated in the Jewish mind, it certainly has been used by international Jewry to undermine the nations of the world. As with secular humanism, the purpose of liberalism is to undermine both Christian civilization and white ethnic pride. In both these areas, modern liberalism serves Jewish ambitions well, especially when it

comes to cooperating with black shabez goys such as Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton. It is no accident that the top two purveyors of liberal (anti-Christian) decadence on television are Jerry Springer and Howard Stern. Yes, class, what tribe are they from?

Modern liberalism is the perfect disguise for the progressive Jew living within a Western society. It enables him to challenge the West's moral traditions with notions of tolerance for other races, creeds, and ideologies. Of all major movements, liberalism does the most damage to Christian civilization because it is an appeal to the heart: the white Christian world must make reparations to the rest of the world for the injustices it has committed upon an innocent world. In reality, most of these injustices have been spurred by Jewish bankers and their henchmen; but the white man was the most evident on the scene as the soldier, the oil man, the business man, or as the missionary, so the white man gets the blame, in spite of the fact that the Jewish bankers have profited most from colonialism, with its attendant dope-peddling, prostitution, slavery, exploitation of natural resources, and warfare.

Liberalism, for all its seemingly noble beginnings, has been turned into a guilt trip for the white man and woman. All the while, Judaism preaches separateness and chosenness for its own.

A good example of this is an article written by columnist Norman Podhoretz in the May 8, 1985, edition of the **Chicago Sun-Times** . The article is entitled *Too few protested Vidal's anti-Semitic venom* ; and Podhoretz's complaint is that a liberal publication like **The Nation** shouldn't allow people like Gore Vidal to criticize Judaism.

Says Podhoretz:

Vidal's main point is that most American Jews are 'Israeli fifth columnists' who 'stay among us, in order to make propaganda and raise money for Israel.' Yet the full flavor of his piece cannot be conveyed by citing even this libelous accusation of treason, or the incredibly impudent statement that

Jews born in the United States are only living here on sufferance as guests and should shut up about 'the politics of the host country.'

One of the special privileges that the Jews have wrested for themselves from the American government is the privilege of dual citizenship . Jews can be simultaneously citizens of the U.S.A. and of Israel. Here, a legitimate question can be raised: Does America have a right to insist that private Americans not concern themselves with the internal politics of other countries? Actually, it is against the law for American citizens to involve themselves in the politics of other countries. Yet, Jews are permitted to do so since they are dual citizens. And since the Israeli constitution is not in the same spirit as the U.S. Constitution, don't we have a legitimate gripe about the actual loyalties of Jewish Americans? Don't we have a right to ask, **Do you support the U.S. Constitution or the Israeli Constitution?** It's sort of like asking: "Do you support God or Satan?"

Mr. Podhoretz apparently doesn't think we should gripe; and he is, of course, careful not to bring up the dual citizenship issue in his article. Mr. Podhoretz goes on to say,

What is troubling, however, is that a magazine professing devotion to liberal values should be willing to print an article that, as an irate letter to the Nation rightly said, would have been at home in one of the anti-Semitic sheets put out by the Nazis in the 1930s. And what is even more troubling is that, unlike the writer of that letter, most of the Nation's prominent friends and admirers have been unwilling to protest .

Here is a Jew saying that freedom of speech (when it comes to criticizing Judaism) is not to be included as an ideal of liberalism. Mr. Podhoretz begs us to allow him to be our conscience and complains that too few people are

protesting against the criticism of Jewish political methods. By this kind of media pressure, world Jewry continues to try to define the parameters of liberalism, hoping to ensure that liberalism always maintains a strict and vociferous anti-anti-semitism. They did the same thing in the fifties and sixties with their persistent anti-anti-communism. "Communism isn’t so bad. These damn dictators always pervert it!"

It was with classical and cynical Jewish sarcasm that Groucho Marx said to an audience composed mainly of goyim, *Who do you believe, me or your own eyes* ? Is Podhoretz a liberal, or is he a flaming Zionist trying desperately to maintain pro-Zionism as part of the liberal agenda?

When liberalism goes to extremes, it becomes anarchism, the total breakdown of the established order. This is what happened during the great Terror in France and in Russia. Very obviously, liberalism foments the decadence which results in anarchism. Look around you at today’s society: abortion, violence, suicide, shockingly decadent entertainment, mass killings in our schools. Now, who is it that took God out of our schools and is trying to take God out of our lives?

Mikhayl Bakunin was the Russian anarchist who often worked hand-in-hand with Karl Marx before he realized that Marx was just using him for devious purposes. Of Marx and the Jews, Bakhunin finally realized:

Marx is a Jew and is surrounded by a crowd of little, more or less intelligent, scheming, agile, speculating Jews, just as Jews are everywhere, commercial and banking agents, writers, politicians, correspondents for newspapers of all shades; in short, literary brokers, just as they are financial brokers, with one foot in the bank and the other in the socialist movement, and their arses sitting upon the German press. They have grabbed hold of all newspapers, and you can imagine what a nauseating literature is the outcome of it.

(This description should remind you of America today!)

Now this entire Jewish world, which constitutes an exploiting sect, a people of leeches, a voracious parasite, closely and intimately connected with one another, regardless not only of frontiers but of political differences as well - this Jewish world is today largely at the disposal of Marx or Rothschild. I am sure that, on the one hand, the Rothschilds appreciate the merits of Marx, and that on the other hand, Marx feels an instinctive inclination and a great respect for the Rothschilds. This may seem strange. What could there be in common between communism and high finance? Ho ho! The communism of Marx seeks a strong state centralization, and where this exists there must inevitably exist a state central bank, and where this exists, there are the parasitic Jewish nation, which speculates upon the labour of the people... . - from **Polemic Against the Jews** .

We can see that what Bakunin is describing is happening today all over the world where Jews hold undue influence and power over education, information, and the media. It is happening at a feverish pace in America because America is the "ripe plum" which is to fall into the hands of our enemies, the Zionists and communists. If it does, the orgy of Terror will surely happen here. Do not doubt these words for one moment. You may not think that it can happen here, but the subversive forces are already in place : Mexicans who want to take the Southwest, Blacks who want whatever they can get, Arab terrorists around every corner, the Jewish fifth column (the Anti-Defamation League and the Jewish Defense League) which has certain individuals and groups (patriots, Christians, the militia) targeted for revenge; and the "free and open society" of America is a sitting duck for terrorism from without and treachery from within. The September 11, 2001 attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon are an omen of things to come as the parasite makes the host’s blood boil with a fever. The average liberal doesn’t seem to comprehend that infiltration and sabotage flourish in a country that has "open borders"

The gullible and angry left-wing pawns of this international chess war, the liberals and other anti-capitalists who hate America for its wealth and strength, will be exploited for their anger against the bourgeoisie, namely Ma and Pa America. By allowing themselves to be indoctrinated with communist propaganda by Jewish teachers in American universities, these pawns will gladly fight, without pay, for their version of anti-imperialism. Little do they know that they are just so much human fodder for the Talmudists. How many times have you seen this happen in other countries on the nightly news of your television set? Do you seriously want to sit in your armchair and flatter yourself by saying "It can’t happen here?" If so, you do not know your enemy.

Just think of the millions of Christians in Russia, Germany, Poland, and the rest of Europe who have been slaughtered by Zionist war-mongering in the last two World Wars. Do you think they have any more respect for Americans?

Having said all of this, it must also be said that it is not the case that the average Jew wants all this to happen. As happened in Germany, it was the local Jews who wound up having their businesses boycotted and their store windows smashed in retaliation for the acts of the Zionist International. The Zionist International exploits its own people just as much as it exploits the host. In fact, the Jewish middle class is a convenient buffer for the International Bankers when things go wrong. They take the blows to the body which should justly be delivered to the head.

But for those middle-class Jews who are also Talmudic activists, they are just as guilty as their overlords, the Zionist International. It is the inevitable outcome of radicalization that people will get killed. This happens in the Israeli State every day. As minority groups step up their rhetoric and acts of violence, the whole show eventually gets out of hand and the melee ensues. By this time, the Jews who live in the cities, where most of this violence is going to occur, will suffer much. Therefore, it behooves every thinking Jew to re-examine his support for Zionism, for its outcome will be disastrous for the average Jew, even if it were possible for Zionism to succeed, which it will not. God has told us it will not. The question is: Do you want to follow them into perdition?

The French Revolution began as a result of one of the harshest winters in memory. The Jacobins, Freemasons, and liberals did all they could to subvert Louis XIV and Marie Antoinette. The revolutionaries took advantage of hard times and the Great Terror followed. In Russia, the Jews, Freemasons, and liberals took advantage of the First World War in order to subvert the Tsar. Numerous assassinations of public officials by Jewish agents had plagued the Tsar's administration, until finally, under cover of war, with millions of dollars in funds from "American," "German," and other sources, the Bolsheviks took over and the Terror was repeated in Russia, with millions of peasants being liquidated on the altar of collectivism. Trotsky, who was a great admirer of the Freemasons and their successes during the French Revolution, even wanted to re-institute the guillotine so as to more effectively slaughter Christians!

America is the apple of the parasite's eye. The worm inside the apple grows fatter every day. And the chief ideology for the distortion of our cultural values toward their scheme of internationalism is liberalism. Liberalism is the decadence before the collapse; it is the rot that consumes the fruit; it is the blustering wind before the storm; and this decadence is disguised as enlightenment and as tolerance for all the old vices and sins ("...*for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light* - II Corinthians 11:14). In short, liberalism is the bait; communism and the New World Order is the trap.

Liberalism promotes homosexuality, promiscuity, bestiality, child pornography, pederasty, adultery, miscegenation, sophistry, pacifism, anarchism, materialism, usury, defeatism, atheism, nihilism, cynicism, suicide, sexually transmitted disease, abortion, disgusting entertainment, etc., etc., etc. The list of crimes against God and man is very long. In short, liberalism militates against Christendom but upholds the warped and satanic notions of the Talmud. There is no doubt that it is a disease of the mind inflicted upon an unsuspecting public by a parasitic entity.

Monopolism

Finally, we touch upon monopolism as a Jewish ideology. The history of usury within Christendom is a history of the Jewish monopoly on money and credit. From money and credit their practices have branched out to all other businesses. Typically, as middlemen in all areas of commerce, the Jews work feverishly to gain monopolistic control of all commodities, including farm products. The most powerful Jewish food monopoly is run by the Freiburg family. It is this family which profited the most from the U.S. grain deals with the Soviet Union. Our farmers got next to nothing for these arrangements. But, for the internationalists, it was a harvest: free food for the tottering Soviet regimes, a hefty profit for the middlemen, and a whopping bill for the American taxpayer, with the Jewish middlemen laughing all the way to the bank.

The power of money to influence the direction of world political movements and the character of governments cannot be overestimated. In the words of Arnold Leese, a Twentieth Century expert on the Jewish question, *The demagogue is the master of the mob; gold is the master of the demagogue and the Jew is the owner of the gold* .

Indeed, the fact that all the means of production as well as all governmental entities are to be controlled by the same group of people means that what we will get is monopoly communism. The New World Order will be totalitarian in the extreme. Big Brother has yet to arrive as a public figure, but his arrival will be certain with the inauguration of a one world government. At that time, the leader of the United Nations will become a ruthless dictator. All the stupid liberals who have been touting the virtues of anti-nationalism and globalism will be in for a big shock . They will no longer be needed nor will there be a budget for jobs for all such ex-intellectuals, since the revolution will have succeeded. The New World Order will be as pragmatic as a soviet concentration camp. We can only hope that the internal corruption of this organization will be such that it cannot be as efficient in its methods as were the agitators of the previous two Terrors.

The Bible tells us that the love of money is the root of all evil. It doesn’t say **money** is evil. It is saying that greed is the **root** of evil. A root is the part of the plant that is hidden under the ground. It sends the fluids and nutrients to

the plant that is on the surface. Zionism and Talmudism have always operated in the underground world of secrecy, conspiracy, and darkness. It is the literal underworld of evil. And it bears evil fruit. And they are the ones who have monopolized the money systems (national banks) of the earth. Indeed, it is Zionist money which is the root of all evil today. They play monopoly with our lives, and we suffer the bankruptcies, the depressions, the foreclosures, the broken families, and the heartaches. Still, we must support the "Chosen People," for "the Bible tells us so."

The one thing that these six world-shaping ideologies have in common is their Talmudic inspiration and strategy. They all have the effect of l.) enriching individual Jews and the Jewish community and 2.) undermining and destroying existing social structures. As Les Apotres put it in a recent issue of the *From the Mountain Newsletter* (Pastor Robert Miles, Editor):

... the jew cannot be considered a race but a breed, a breed cultivated by the rabbis to be provocateurs in peacetime and subversives in wartime . - p. 7, Nov.-Dec., l982.

Such is the legacy of Judaism. In all fairness, it must be stated that Judaism is not the only religion which is guilty of such theocratic deceptions, blasphemies, and genocides. One need only review the history of the Holy Roman Empire with its Inquisition and the long history of Hinduism in India or the history of Islam in order to discover the deceptive practices of priesthoods which had degenerated into repositories of illegitimate power and corruption. The Muslims still practice slavery in Africa today, for example.

The Coptic Church (Christian) of Egypt repeatedly stresses Islam's suppression of the rights of non-Muslims in Egypt. They even accuse

Islamic authorities of Talmudic-style chauvinism, claiming that

According to Islamic principles, no Muslim should be prosecuted for murdering a non-Muslim (infidel) . - *The Copts Newsletter* , Dec. 1985, p. 4.

The corruption of priesthoods is a very common development, historically, within all religions. The modern Occidental Christian Church is no exception with its coddling of collectivistic criminals and carnally-minded homosexuals. The World Council of Churches is a perfect example of a priesthood which has sold its soul to the highest earthly bidder. That bidder happens to be the Jewish International, which is at the height of its historical power. There is no earthly power which can compete with it (*Who is like unto the beast? Who is able to make war with him* ? - Rev. 13:4); and, sad to say, there appears to be no earthly force which can prevent it from doing its worst.

The Hoaxacaust

I have spent a great deal of time and effort in exposing Jewish lies, for the full scope and intent of Talmudism cannot be appreciated without a complete study of their historical and contemporary lies. It is therefore absolutely necessary that I present the so-Called holocaust in its true light, so that the reader can understand why and how the holocaust mythology came about and why it continues to this day.

Of all modern Jewish lies, the holocaust is the most gigantic. Anyone who has taken even a modest amount of time to investigate these absurd claims finds that there is no substance to them whatsoever. Let me say at the outset that the hysterical charges of making soap from human fat and using human skin to make lampshades were part of the anti-German wartime propaganda of World War I. All of those claims were proven to be false and many anti-

German journalists were forced to admit and apologize for their lies after that war. But non-Jewish human beings have very short memories. These absurd claims were dredged up again as part of World War II propaganda, only this time there were no apologies.

The most significant work on this subject is the book, **The Hoax of the Twentieth Century** , by Arthur A. Butz. No rebuttal of this book has ever been made, nor will one ever be attempted, because the facts documented in that outstanding piece of research are indisputable. Again, we are faced with a situation in which we are forced to choose between Jewish lies and historical facts.

Since the subject of the holocaust is so vast, only a certain amount of space can be afforded it. For the present, I have chosen 39 historical facts, all of which are evidence against the holocaust. The one and only piece of "evidence" which the holocaust industry has ever produced is the Hitler memo which includes the expression, "final solution." This expression, in true Hollywood and Jewish fashion, is exploded all out of proportion and our imaginations are encouraged to run wild with the bait. What Hitler meant by the "final solution" was the removal of the Jews to the island of Madagascar: a steady deportation to a place where the Jews could do little harm.

This, and everything else that the holocaust industry has ever come up with, is nothing but hearsay, lies, and doctored evidence.

The Thirty-Nine Lashes Against the Hoaxacaust

1.) Consider the source. This entire research has been dedicated to exposing Jewish lies. Since it has been demonstrated repeatedly that Talmudic Judaism is the **religion of lies** , it follows that no lie, no matter how huge or ridiculous, is beyond their chutzpah. The hoaxacaust is no exception.

2.) Zyklon B delousing gas was just that. In the days of World War II, typhus was a scourge which was transmitted by fleas and lice. Xyklon B

was the treatment given to all prison internees , no matter what their religious background, because typhus had to be controlled. The claim that it was used to poison people is absurd because it was highly flammable. To use it for the purposes described by the hoaxers would have put all of the camp facilities at risk of fire and explosion. Signed confessions, extracted by Jewish and communist interrogators, say that the dead bodies, after death by gassing, were extracted by workers who were "drinking and smoking." Rudolph Hoess signed such a document, which was written for him. Hmm. Would you turn the gas on in your oven without lighting it, wait a while, and then walk into the room with a lit cigarette in your mouth? Obviously, the hoaxers had little technical knowledge when they made their ridiculous claims. In addition, the manufacturer of Zyklon B gas stated that, due to the adhesive qualities of the gas, it would require a 24-hour ventilation period before anyone could safely enter a room which had been used for such a purpose.

In addition, shaving heads was necessary in order to remove the breeding grounds of the lice.

3.) Killing six million people and disposing of their bodies is no small order for an army trying to conduct a war. Can you imagine the waste of fuel and other resources that would otherwise go to the war effort? Of the thousands of reconnaissance photos available from World War II, why are there no pictures of the fuel tanker convoys which would be needed to deliver the fuel for the crematoria? Why are there no pictures of the smokestacks, which were supposedly belching smoke day and night? The reality is that the Germans could hardly afford to waste fuel for such a purpose, unless absolutely necessary.

Think, think, think, you gullible Christian! The Zionists are laughing at you!

4.) Auschwitz, one of the largest concentration camps, had only about twenty ovens in its crematory. The crematoria at the various concentration camps were very crude and required lots of fuel and many hours to consume one body. One researcher has calculated that, given the exact number of ovens available and hours per body, the ovens would have to be going day and night from the War's beginning until 1975 before that many bodies could be cremated. Are you beginning to comprehend the absurdity of such a claim? Yet, it is repeated by the Zionist-dominated bias machine on an almost daily basis and it is force-fed to our school children at taxpayer expense and Zionist urging.

5.) If the bodies were not cremated as many hoaxers suggest, then where are the skeletons of all these bodies? Surely a few dozen would have turned up by now. Or did the Germans find some super-secret body disposal system that no one yet knows of?

6.) Would the Germans go out of their way to provide fuel for the crematoria when their airplanes and tanks were desperately in need of fuel? Not once have I heard anyone accuse the Germans of being stupid. Everyone agrees that the Germans kept tremendous records for efficiency and documentation purposes. Where are the records of these fuel shipments?

7.) Jews were singled out for special interrogation because so many of them were communists. The camp directors had to determine whether these Jews were harmless or dangerous – whether they would make reliable workers or just be troublemakers. Those who were determined to be useful workers were allowed many privileges, including the formation of orchestras. If the Jews were automatically gassed (killed), how would any of these privileges

be considered, let alone established? The mere fact that there are so many Jewish **survivors** of concentration camps is evidence against the hoax.

8.) During the entire length of the War, the International Red Cross had constant access to the concentration camps. They delivered mail and packages containing food and clothing. The International Red Cross, despite this constant presence, never once filed a complaint or report about "the ovens of Auschwitz" or any other camp. It truly begs credulity to think that not a single Red Cross agent ever became suspicious, unless, of course, there was nothing to be suspicious about.

9.) The Nuremberg trials were conducted by the OSS (Office of Strategic Services, forerunner of the CIA) at the behest of the Zionist International. The Israeli State did not yet exist. The vast bulk of "evidence" was provided by the pro-Zionist Soviet Union. Neither of these two organizations could possibly be considered fair or trustworthy. Again, consider the source.

10.) Confessions were obtained under duress and torture. "*It was this same War Crimes Branch which itself administered the nightmarish trials at Dachau, where prisoners were beaten, kicked, starved, and brutalised by Jewish-American jailers."* -- Richard Harwood, from **Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials** , p. 14. In true gangland style, the accused were told that their family members would be hurt or killed if they did not cooperate. Techniques of interrogation included beatings, starvation, testicle smashing and other forms of torture. The German prisoners were often so badly beaten that they could not be brought into the courtroom for fear of demonstrating their adverse treatment. The State of Israel today continues to use torture and murder as part of their terrorist campaign. Amnesty International has repeatedly cited Israel for such violations of human rights.

11.) There were no more than 4 million Jews living in Nazi-occupied territory. As the Nazi army advanced, the Jews fled rather than be captured. On June 30, 1965, the West German government announced that 3,375,000 Jewish "holocaust survivors" had applied for reparations. Now, if we subtract 3,375,000 from 4,000,000, that leaves 625,000 unaccounted for. If we take 625,000 and add a zero to the figure, we arrive at the fictitious and truly fantastic six million lie.

12.) According to the **World Almanac** of 1947, the world population of Jews in 1939 was 15,688,259. These figures were supplied to the Almanac by the American Jewish Committee. Then, the Jewish-owned **New York Times** of Feb. 22, 1948 listed the Jewish population in Palestine as 600,000 to 700,000; and for the rest of the world, the Jewish population is listed as 15,600,000 to 18,700,000. If we add the two lower figures together, we get a minimum total of 16,200,000 Jews world-wide, which is still a net **increase** in the world Jewish population of at least 500,000. If six million Jews had really died, then the total should have been 10,200,000.

But since the whole six million myth was just in the process of being fabricated by the world Zionist propaganda machine, these accurate figures came out before the hoaxacaust could be subtracted from the equation. Considering the fact that populations generally decrease during times of war – which it most certainly did for the German people and other nationalities – it is quite astounding that the Jewish population actually increased during this time. I guess the old saying is true: "*War is the Jews' harvest."*

The fact is that the vast majority of Jews simply relocated to other parts of the world: Palestine, Russia, America, South America, etc.

13.) According to a pamphlet by Dr. E.R. Fields, entitled *Was There Really a Holocaust?,* the Red Cross reported in 1946 that of registered Jewish camp inmates, no more than 300,000 could have died. In item #11 above, we were willing to grant that possibly 625,000 were unaccounted for. If half of them died in the concentration camps and the other half died or disappeared to some other country, then our figures agree.

14.) Heinrich Himmler, Chief Commandant of the Concentration Camps, issued an order, dated Dec. 28, 1942, stating: *"The death rate in the concentration camps must be reduced at all costs."* – from Reitlinger's book, **The Final Solution** . Ladies and gentlemen, the Germans were *fighting a war* , and they needed the productive capacity of the inmates of the camps to produce war material. An extermination policy would have shifted vital resources away from production to waste. Isn't this holocaust claim incredible just from this consideration alone?

15.) One of the Nuremberg documents, a letter of April 10, 1943, was issued by Oswald Pohl, the head of the Economic Administration of the concentration camps. After addressing the above-cited order by Himmler, the mortality rate of the camps had been reduced from 8.5% in July, 1942 to 2.8% in June, 1943. Given an extermination policy, why would there be any concern for mortality rates?

16.) Numerous claims of the hoaxers have been amended over time because the claims were obviously exaggerated or invented. In April 1975, Simon Wiesenthal of the Los Angeles Holocaust Center was forced to admit in the paper, *Books and Bookmen* , "*No gassing took place in any camp on German soil."* At that time, Auschwitz and other camps were still in the possession of the Soviets, who would not allow serious researchers into these camps to validate holocaust claims. We expect that, with full

disclosure, Mr. Wiesenthal will be forced to admit that no gassing ever took place in any camp.

17.) The Red Cross interviewed thousands of freed camp inmates at the end of the war. When asked if they witnessed any alleged "gassings," the response was negative. From Red Cross document #9925, June, 1946, the entry states: *"The detainees themselves have not spoken of them."* How can you gas six million Jews and nobody notices?

18.) Photos of emaciated bodies, both alive and dead, are not proof of an extermination campaign. The fact is that toward the end of the war, the Allies were bombing railroads and roads to the point of obliteration. This meant that valuable food, medicine, Red Cross packages, and other supplies were no longer reaching the camps. This was the real reason for the malnutrition, not to mention the serious side effects of malnutrition, which would be an increase in all types of disease.

The same thing happened in America during the Civil War. Northern prisoners in the Southern camp of Andersonville were also emaciated to the point of being only skin and bone. The commander of the camp, at his trial, said that he simply had no supplies with which to feed the inmates and he was not allowed to set them free. Pictures of these prisoners could easily be substituted for WWII inmates and no one would know the difference.

One thing we know for sure: The Jews are good at writing captions.

19.) In 1943, the SS arrested Buchenwald Commandant Karl Koch for mistreating and executing prisoners. He was found guilty by SS Judge Konrad Morgan and was executed for his crimes. If there were an extermination policy in force, would they bother trying and executing

Commandant Koch? Again, these were work camps designed to assist the war effort. An extermination policy would have required tremendous resources and effort. It would have disrupted the crucial work that was going on and it would have risked undermining the war effort.

20.) Cremating 6,000,000 bodies in order to dispose of the evidence would have left 15,000 tons of ashes. No such volume of ashes was ever found, and no air reconnaissance ever revealed enormous clouds of smoke, and certainly there was never any such total of bodies found. It is only when one considers the enormous lack of evidence of a holocaust – all the physical matter that would have been left over from such a campaign (six million corpses?!!!!) and the sheer magnitude of such an undertaking – then the hoax becomes obvious. It is only the hoaxers themselves and those who have not really thought about the physical details who believe in this tragic farce.

It is quite probable that the Germans did not have enough fuel to consume anywhere near that amount of bodies. One of the hoaxers, Mr. Henri Michel, editor-in-chief of the **Revue d'Histoire De La Seconde Guerre Mondial** , exclaimed of Auschwitz: *"This camp was the most international and the most western of the death factories, and its soil is enriched with the ashes of 4,000,000 corpses."* -- p. 3. The dust cloud from such a volume of ashes would certainly have prompted some aerial reconnaissance photos, don't you think? Where is the evidence? Why is there not one single photo of the crematoria smokestacks belching out their tons of ashes?

21.) William L. Shirer, one of those authors who continued the hoaxacaust story in his book, **The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich** , was forced to admit in a footnote on the crematoria: *"…the measurements are those which are standard for a crematory oven of not very modern design intended for small cemeteries, and would be unsuitable for mass burning."* This footnote

occurs on p. 972 of the German edition only . The rest of the world is still in the midst of the Zionist Dark Age.

22.) Thies Christopherson, a German soldier and author stated: *"I was at Auschwitz! There was no gas chamber there."* Now, remember, this man does not practice the religion of lies. His statement was proven to be true when, in 1960, Auschwitz was opened to tourists and no gas chamber could be found. What a disappointment this must have been for the tourists! Later, in order to make up for this communist oversight (they didn't have much experience at developing capitalist-style theme parks), **the Soviets built one themselves** ! No doubt, the money for construction was provided by some Zionist or rabbinical organization.

23.) The so-Called **Diary of Anne Frank** was not written by Anne Frank at all. Photocopies of the supposed manuscript show two distinct styles of handwriting, one which is not handwriting at all but printing, and the other a very smooth handwriting angled at two o'clock. At least half of the manuscript was handwritten in ballpoint pen. The ballpoint pen, invented during WWII, was not commercially available until after the war . In addition, the American Jewish writer, Meyer Levin, sued Anne Frank's father and won an award of $50,000 for his participation in the writing of "Anne Frank's" supposed diary. This fact was only brought to light because one Jew tried to cheat another.

24.) Although death by starvation and disease had increased toward the end of the war, the videos of huge piles of dead bodies in civilian clothing were most often footage which was filmed in Dresden. Dresden was devastated by the firebombing raids of the Allies on February 13, 1945. **This was one of the greatest wartime atrocities in history,** because Dresden had become, in effect, a large refugee camp for German women, children,

wounded soldiers, and old men. Dresden was one of Germany's main artistic centers and had virtually no industry located in its vicinity. The Allies knew this and yet they bombed the city mercilessly for two nights, apparently to dispose of war ordnance which would otherwise go to waste, for the war was nearing its end. Why not kill as many unarmed civilians as you can? Who will know?

The German refugees thought that Dresden would be a safe haven because of its lack of industry. They were, sadly, wrong. They could not imagine that there would be such immense Zionist bloodlust in command of the U.S. and British military. You can imagine the horror of those who thought they would be safe in Dresden until the end of the war! The war criminals have successfully blocked out this war crime from the history books; but I am sure that Yahweh God will remember those who are responsible.

25.) The Nuremberg trials were an absolute farce. One of the most damning conditions of the trials agreed to by the Allies in the August 1945 London Agreement was "*The Tribunal shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence. It shall adopt and apply, to the greatest possible extent, expeditious and non-technical procedure, and shall submit any evidence which it deems to have probative value."*

In other words, let's railroad these "war criminals" as quickly as possible, so we can go home and collect our medals. How would you like to be tried by a court which does not abide by "rules of evidence?" A mere technicality, you say? Can you see the kangaroos hopping around? Except, these kangaroos have hooked noses and wear yarmulkas now and then.

26.) The fact that American Jews were allowed to be prosecutors and judges is a travesty in itself. The fact that many of them, if not all, were merely instruments of the Zionist International makes the entire proceeding suspect because of a lack of impartiality. No jury would consider it impartial if an

agent of the accuser were to be allowed to be a prosecutor or a judge . Yet, this is exactly what happened at the Nuremberg trials. Unfortunately, the American people were not aware of these shady Zionist dealings. They assumed, as people still assume today, that the Nuremberg trials were held in accordance to standard procedures.

Regarding the London Agreement, Harwood says: *"…the same people who drew up the arrangements for Nuremberg promptly had themselves appointed as prosecutors and judges. Nikitchenko and Falco became judges. Jackson was American Chief Presecutor, Fyfe was Deputy-Chief British Prosecutor. Thus, virtually the same people were judges, prosecution, and court."* -- p. 14. And you were told that the Nuremberg trials were fair and impartial?

27.) Incriminating documents were simply fabricated by the Soviets. In his opening speech at the War Crimes Tribunal, Chief Prosecutor Robert H. Jackson appeared to be quoting from a document which he ascribed to Baron Werner von Fritsch, the pre-war Commander-in-Chief of the German Armed Forces. In fact, he was quoting from a typewritten document of unknown origin. No original document was ever produced. (You can imagine how many clerks and other military personnel were recruited by the Zionists, at U.S. and British expense, to produce "documents." Oops! I shouldn't encourage you to use your imagination. There's been too much of that already! Even if you've only heard the Zionist version of these events, it would only be prudent to exercise some common sense and good old-fashioned skepticism before accepting their story without criticism. It seems that only the Jews routinely get away without scrutiny. Why is that?)

28.) Budd Schulberg, Jewish film producer, was recruited by the OSS to produce the photographic and film "evidence" at Nuremberg. Again, lack of impartiality at its worst. He received a military reward for his efforts. Surprising that he didn't get an Academy Award as well! The Jews of

Russia (Khazaria), America, Britain and Israel were the prosecution, the judge, the jury, the newspaper reporters and the film crew. The poor, persecuted Jew has no influence at all! Why do the goyim hate him so?

29.) Paul Rassinier, author of **The Drama of the European Jews** , relates that many of the hoaxers, authors who had profited from the hoax, could not verify their claims. One author, Dr. Miklos Nyiszli, claimed to have been to Aushwitz but gave travel directions which made absolutely no sense. Professor Rassinier attempted to contact Dr. Nyiszli about such discrepancies and received from him a reply through a Mr. Tibere Kremer. As it turned out, Dr. Nyiszli had long since died, so even the reply was a forgery. Says Dr. Rassinier: "*…this dead witness – another one – was peculiar enough himself to write to me after his death. And so Mr. Tibere Kremer's silence is understandable. No further comment."* -- p. 41. This incident should give the reader an idea of the quality of the "evidence" which has been presented in the hoaxacaust literature.

When faced with a mountain lies, at least some statements must be true, right? Not necessarily.

30.) Prof. Rassinier's book is a detailed examination of Jewish populations in virtually every country of Europe, including Poland and Russia, from 1931 to 1945. According to Rassinier's statistics, in 1931 Europe had 9,777,500 documented Jews (figures from the World Center of Contemporary Jewish Documentation). The population that remained in these locations in 1945 was 4,250,000. The emigrants who left Europe altogether is numbered at 4,416,608. These people went to three main locations: Palestine (the Israeli state had not yet been created), Central Asia (Soviet Union) and the United States. So, the total, documented numbers of living European Jews in 1945, according to Rassinier, was 8,666,608. If we subtract the documented number of survivors from the documented pre-war population, we have a total of l,003,392 unaccounted for. Of these, a certain

number must have died from causes unrelated to the war. If we grant that half of them died from such causes, then we have a total of about 500,000 Jews who perished in the war. This is consistent with our previous totals from items 11 and 13.

31.) At the Nuremberg trials, Philip Auerbach, the Jewish attorney-general for post-war Bavaria was denounced by SS General Otto Ohlendorf. Auerbach had claimed the absurd figure of 11 million Jews having suffered in the concentration camps. "*Ohlendorf lived long enough to see Auerbach convicted for embezzlement and fraud (forging documents purporting to show huge payments of compensation to non-existent people) before his own execution took place in 1951."* -- p. 10-11, **The True History of "The Holocaust," Did Six Million Really Die?** – by the Institute for Historical Review.

32.) It has been frequently reported by American prisoners of war that the Germans treated them much more humanely than the Japanese. The same is true for the civilian prisoners in the concentration camps. Many of these people were arrested for being communist spies. Hundreds of affidavits described the circumstances at the camps as "humane." *"One Berta Schirotschin, who worked at the food service at Dachau throughout the war, testified that the working inmates…received their customary second breakfast at 10 a.m. every morning…The Polish underground leader, Jan Piechowiak, who was at Dachau from May 22nd, 1940 until April 29th, 1945, also testified on March 21st, 1946 that prisoners there received good treatment."* -- p. 21, **Did Six Million Really Die?**

33.) Elie Wiesel has a poor memory. According to the New York Times of Sunday, January 4, 1987, Wiesel recalled "*the day the Soviets arrived at*

Auschwitz ." Previously, in a speech to the National Press Club in Washington D.C., in April, 1983, Wiesel "*noted that on April 15, 1945, he was one of the survivors liberated at Dachau by the U.S. Army."* – Jewish Telegraph Agency, April 11, 1983. "Oy, vey! I remember it like it vas yesterday" we can hear him sighing. Is this two lies or only one? Wiesel would have the distinction of being the only prisoner of war to be liberated from two different camps. Talk about bragging rights! The father of lies is truly proud of this weasel.

34.) In flagrant violation of the principle of freedom of speech, the Zionists impose their will on every organization they possibly can in order to prevent people from speaking out against the hoax. In Germany, it is against the law to deny the holocaust. Numerous people have been imprisoned for making public statements against the mythology. This fact should infuriate anyone who believes in freedom of speech.

35.) The holocaust industry makes millions of dollars every year promoting this holocaust trash. In literary circles, it is still a "hot ticket." Truth be damned. Money talks.

36.) The Soviets brought out a bunch of "human skin" artifacts and "human soap" (presumably dusting off the trunks from their WWI displays), but the Tribunal chose not to pursue the matter any further.

37.) One of the chief presiding judges, Charles F. Wennerstrum, denounced the proceedings:

"*If I had known seven months ago what I know today, I would never have come here…Obviously, the victor in any war is not the best judge of the war crime guilt...The prosecution has failed to maintain objectivity aloof from vindictiveness, aloof from personal ambitions for convictions...the defense had access only to those documents which the prosecution considered material to the case…also abhorrent to the American sense of justice is the prosecution's reliance upon self-incriminating statements made by the defendants while prisoners for more than 2&1/2 years and repeated interrogations without the presence of counsel."* - Harwood, p. 39, 40. But we are not dealing with the American sense of justice. We are dealing with the Talmudic, Zionist sense of justice. Dare we call it Satanic in origin?

38.) Many prisoners of the War Crimes Tribunal were kept in prison, held without charges being filed for months. This included even the wives of the suspects. (Harwood, p. 57.)

39.) Holocaust propaganda has changed with the times. Truth is not flexible. Lies are. No consistent flow of information has ever been established by the hoax industry. New charges and claims arise, all without any substantiation. Old ones dissolve, depending upon which charges have been refuted or not. We all know that the original story was that the Germans kept their "extermination program" a secret. How else could it have happened, anyway? Recently, the hoaxers, contradicting this theory, have claimed that it was common knowledge in those days that the exterminations were going on, but that nobody did anything about it. This claim has been fabricated for the sole purpose of trying to indict Pope Pius XII for "not helping the Jews." But how can you prevent something that isn't happening?

I remember very clearly as a child around 1954 standing in a mom-and-pop grocery store. Two Jewish women were reacting to a radio news story about the holocaust. I will never forget what the one woman said to the other: *"If I*

had known they were being gassed, I would have never gotten on the train." So, obviously, six million others didn't know about it either! And she also survived, whatever camp she was in; and, from her statement, she did not witness any gassings either, because this was the first she had heard about it! Sooner or later, the liar gets caught in his lies. The fact that he owns all the newspapers will not prevent this eventuality.

Never underestimate the power of the BIG LIE. The Jews are the masters of it. My personal opinion is the Zionists decided to promote this myth in order to cover up their own involvement with Nazi Germany in transferring Jews from Germany to Palestine. They needed a smoke screen and a continuous barrage of propaganda to clamp the lid down on any criticism of their WWII maneuverings. The more newspaper space that is taken up by their lies, the less room there is for the truth. As the previous stories have revealed, the Zionists have much to hide.

666: The Beast of Revelation

Since we have identified the Jews and Judaism as being the perpetrators of some of the greatest crimes in history, along with their ongoing oppression of the Palestinian people as well as their continuous deception of the Anglo-Saxon people, we must now address Judaism's place in Bible prophecy.

There is no doubt that Messianic Judaism is the essence of what the Bible has prophesied as the revelation of the Anti-Christ in the latter days. The relevant prophecies which prove this assertion will next be adduced; and the Beast of Revelation (Apocalypse) will be positively identified.

"*...he is a proud man, neither keepeth at home, who enlargeth his desire as hell, and is as death, and cannot be satisfied, but gathereth unto him all nations, and heapeth unto him all people* ." – Hab. 2:5.

The proud, wandering, international Jew, who has an insatiable appetite for power, accrues not only wealth unto himself but kings, nations, and peoples. This is the history of Zionism, communism, and globalism. This history has yet to be written, for as the null decade (‘01-‘09) of the Twenty-First Century unfolds, Talmudic Judaism is just beginning to achieve the recognized status of the Anti-Christ. It will be revealed as such to the entire world – and to the world’s great distress -- just before the end of the age.

One of the most popular and yet most misunderstood areas of Biblical study is the area of prophecy. In our previous discussion of the Marks of Israel, numerous prophetic passages were adduced which clearly show that only Anglo-Saxon Christendom can properly be called Israel. In a similar manner, the prophetic passages in the Books of Daniel and Revelation, plus others, can be shown to be referring to a specific form of government. This form of government has been referred to as a theocracy, which combines secular government with religious dogma. (I do not argue against a theocracy of Yahweh’s Laws. This is, in fact, what will obtain when the Kingdom comes.)

Although it is true that the American government was founded by Christians, the Constitution of the United States does not establish Christianity as a state-sponsored religion. I’m sure it would be accurate to surmise that the founding fathers, with the possible exception of George Washington and Benjamin Franklin, never dreamed that some other religion might impose itself upon the United States. Both of these men warned that the Jews, if allowed to flourish here, would destroy America within 200 years.

With regard to the expressed freedom of religion guaranteed by our Constitution, it contains these words: "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.* .." – First Amendment of the Bill of Rights. Now, the liberal judges who have ruled that the Ten Commandments cannot be allowed to be posted or taught in the public schools are in direct violation of the First Amendment. It states very clearly that, repeat , "*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or the free exercise thereof* ." When Congress or a judge limit this free exercise, they are violating the

Constitution. When politicians and judges cite the phrase, "separation of church and state," they are not following the Constitution. They are following left-wing ideology which is not contained in the Constitution. The Constitution contains no such language. It is a legal fraud, and the promoters of this fraud should be tried for treason against the Constitution and the United States of America.

At the same time, our politicians have seen fit to donate six billion and more dollars per year to a patently religious state, the State of Israel! How can our legislators deny us our own freedom of religion and at the same time support a JEWISH STATE? Am I the only one who sees a contradiction here?

It should be obvious to any aware observer that the Constitution of the United States has been suspended and that we have government by fiat: namely, whatever the government says is law is the law. And if you don't like it, too bad. The U.S. Constitution is in the process of being replaced by the United Nations Charter. This Charter was written by Alger Hiss, the communist spy who was one of Franklin Delano Roosevelt's most trusted appointees. This should tell you a lot about FDR.

Talmudic Judaism has practiced government by fiat for 2,500 years, that is, the government of the ghetto. But the ghetto is now being expanded to include the entire world. Talmudic Judaism, as practiced in Israel, is the world's most blatant and racist theocracy; and this theocracy is being foisted upon us by Zionist power and influence.

The point of this section will be to demonstrate that the United Nations, as a Talmudically-inspired totalitarian state, is the 8 th Beast of the Book of Revelation, and, hence, the last torch-bearer for the Anti-Christ.

The first clue as to the identity of the beast of Revelation is given to us by Paul when he tells us and his contemporaries in II Thessaloniains 2:6-8,

"*And now ye know what withholdeth that he might be revealed in his time. For the mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way. And then shall that wicked one be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming* ." Also I John 4:3 tells us that Anti-Christ is already with us.

Contrary to popularly held beliefs about Biblical prophecy, the evidence clearly tells us the Anti-Christ is not an individual but either a system of government or a system of organized evil. To me, "that wicked one" clearly refers to Satan as personified by the Talmudic rabbi . And the system of organized evil which the rabbis have created will ultimately culminate in the world empire of the New World Order through the United Nations.

In the following investigation of Dan and Revelation, these questions will be analyzed according to what the Bible actually says, and not according to the usual fanciful myths which are typically designed to throw people off the track of Judaism, Zionism, and communism.

Bertrand Comparet has written an outstanding pair of booklets which analyze this subject matter. They are entitled *Mark of the Beast, Part I* and *Mark of the Beast, Part II.* Having compared his analysis with numerous other treatises on the same subject, I can say without a doubt that his analysis is the most accurate that I have found.

Comparet begins his discussion with Nebuchadnezzar's dream as interpreted by Daniel in the Book of Daniel, Chapter 2:

"Thou, O king, sawest, and behold a great image. This great image, whose brightness was excellent, stood before thee; and the form thereof was terrible. This image's head was of fine gold, his breast and his arms of

silver, his belly and his thighs of brass, His legs of iron, his feet part of iron and part of clay...Then was the iron, the clay, the brass, the silver, and the gold, broken to pieces together, and became like the chaff of the summer threshingfloors; and the wind carried them away, that no place was found for them: and the stone that smote the image became a great mountain, and filled the whole earth ." – Vs. 31-33, 35.

Daniel tells Nebuchadnezzar, "*Thou art this head of gold* "; or, in other words, the empire of Babylon is the head of the image. Daniel tells Nebuchadnezzar that after him more kingdoms will arise and that each one of these kingdoms is represented by the different elements of the dream image. Nebuchadnezzar's dynasty ended around 539 B.C.

In conjunction with this prophetic vision is Daniel's vision of the "four great beasts" of Chapter 7. These four great beasts parallel the four of the previous image. This first beast was "*like a lion, and had eagle's wings...and a man's heart was given it* ." – vs. 4.

Says Comparet on page 1 of the first booklet:

"*The word 'man' in this particular sentence is the Chaldean word 'enash', which is derived from the same root as your Hebrew word 'enosh' – which distinguished the non-Adamic people from the Adamic. So the "man's heart" is definitely a reference to a non-Isrealite culture, which throughout history has always been in the form of either paganism or materialism. More specifically, it is probably a reference to Nebuchadnezzar's confession of the one high God in Chapter 4, being a sign that this Babylonian civilization would be superior to its successors, as Daniel has stated with regard to the image* ."

The second kingdom is of silver. Comparet explains that the Medo-Persian Empire which succeeded Babylon is "*two arms united in one breast* ." Media was established first but was later conquered and absorbed by Persia. The two thus became united as one empire. It was this united power, under a Persian king, which eventually defeated the Babylonian Empire.

The parallel vision of the second beast in Chapter 7 describes the beast as a beast having three ribs in its mouth. Comparet says that this is most likely a reference to the fact that Media-Persia conquered three empires: Babylon, Assyria, and Egypt. So that no guesswork is to be relied upon on our part, an angel in Chapter 8 explains to Daniel that the second kingdom is that of Media and Persia. Daniel 2:39 speaks of the same kingdom. Media-Persia lasted until approximately 330 B.C. when it was conquered by the Greek, Alexander the Great.

The third element of that image is brass. Greece is the civilization of brass. The third beast is the leopard, and Comparet finds this symbol most appropriate for the swiftness with which Alexander's armies conquered the known world. The prophecy states that the beast also had four heads, a reference to the fact that upon Alexander's death, his empire was divided among four generals.

These four generals were Ptolemy of Egypt, Lysimachus of old Greece, Antigonus in Asia Minor, Eumeneus of Cappadocia and Paphlagonia. I hope the reader is beginning to get an appreciation for the astonishing accuracy which Biblical prophecy has once the Bible is truly understood. The main reason why prophecies concerning our era have been so badly misinterpreted is because of the misidentification of the Jews with Israel . As will be demonstrated later in this Chapter, the prophecies concerning the end times are accurate to such a degree that one can come away only by being impressed with the fact that Divine inspiration has saturated these prophecies.

Continuing with Daniel, Chapter 8: the angel tells Daniel that the third kingdom would be the "king of Grecia." The angel continues,

"*…and the rough goat is the king of Grecia: and the great horn that is between his eyes is the first king. Now that being broken, whereas four stood up for it, four kingdoms shall stand up out of the nation, but not in his power* ." – vs. 21, 22.

This, of course, is a second, more detailed, reference to Alexander's empire being broken up into four lesser kingdoms. (Daniel's prophecy about the accession of the "*king of Grecia* " occurred about 22 years before the fact.)

Remember, the argument being proffered here is that these empires constitute a continuing historical flow of secular and religious power, with the baton of theocratic imperialism being passed from one kingdom to another. Babylon, Media-Persia, and Greece have now been identified as three of these beasts.

The next in line is, of course, Rome. Rome overcame Greece by 167 B.C. Rome is the empire of the iron legs. No more fitting image of Roman might can be thought of than the legs of its soldiers marching through Europe, Asia, and Africa, and standing guard over its dominions. Comparet feels that the two legs are a reference to the fact that the Empire was eventually divided into two, with the Byzantine Empire breaking off from the Roman Empire at about 283 A.D. The fourth beast of Chapter 7, Daniel says, "*shall be diverse from all kingdoms* ." Rome began as a republic and not as a kingdom. The succession of Caesars did not occur until after a long period of representative rule. For this reason, it is certainly diverse from the three that preceded it.

At this point Comparet chooses to relate the Book of Revelation to the Book of Daniel. He quotes Rev. 12, which refers to the . . .

"*woman clothed with the sun...and upon her head a crown of twelve stars. And there appeared a great red dragon, having seven heads and ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads...and the dragon stood before the woman*

which was ready to be delivered, for to devour her child as soon as it was born ."

The "*woman which was ready to be delivered* " has two references: One was Mary, wife of Joseph, the carpenter. She, although an individual, represents all of twelve-tribed Israel because by and through her Son, all Israel will be delivered. The other is Eve, the mother of all Israelites, Hebrews, and Caucasians. Now, who is the great red dragon? Esau means "red"; and the dragon and the serpent are different words for the same entity: Lucifer/Satan. Lucifer was indeed great for he was originally one of the archangels. And Satan is also great because he is the prince of this world as well as prince of the underworld.

Daniel's fourth beast also has ten horns (Dan. 7:24), and it is during the later rule of the fourth kingdom, Rome. Ten nations did emerge whose rulers formed an alliance with the Holy Roman Empire. These ten were: the Alleman, the Franks, the Burgundians, Suevi, Anglo-Saxons, Visigoths, Lombards, Vandals, Ostrogoths, and the Heruli. These were the original "ten horns" which were allied with the fourth beast, Rome. Obviously, all of these nations had developed from the wandering tribes of Scythians (Saccae) who had come from the east and settled in Europe.

Daniel also prophesied that the Son of Man, Jesus Christ, the Messiah shall appear (Dan. 7:13) during the reign of the fourth beast. History tells us that Herod the Great, a monarch operating in tribute to Rome, was the ruler of Judea at this time. So far, the prophecy seems to have been fulfilled to the letter. So much for the four beasts of Daniel. We focus now on the beasts of Revelation.

In the thirteenth Chapter, John talks about a beast having ten crowns, the now familiar reference to the Roman Empire. This beast is described as "*...like unto a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth as a lion* ." – vs. 2. Now, there occurs in verse 3 one of the more mysterious and thought-provoking statements in Revelation.

"*And I saw one of his heads, as it were, wounded to death, and his deadly wound was healed: and all the world wondered after the beast* ."

Many scholars of Scripture have wondered what this might mean.

Comparet has the best answer:

"*Now, the head of the Western Empire was wounded by the Visigoths (Germans, in A.D. 410), wounded unto death it would seem, because they not only took away everything that had been under Roman domination in that area, but they captured and looted the city of Rome itself. The city was attacked by the Vandals in 450 A.D. and the Ostrogoths in 490 A.D., and then Theodorik the king of the Ostrogoths made himself king of all Italy* ." – p.24.

How, then, was the beast revived? Continuing with Comparet:

"*These events had occurred in the meantime. The Emperor Constantine had issued his edict on toleration of Christianity in the year 310 A.D...so Christianity became an officially recognized and the supported religion, but at the same time Constantine took upon himself the title of* ***Pontifex Maximus*** *of Jupiter...He put up statues of pagan gods...after he issued his edict on toleration...In 320 A.D., this Pontifex Maximus, this chief priest of Jupiter, presided over the Christian Council of Nicea* ."

Indeed, the Pontiff, as he is still known today, was merely doing what was politically expedient to maintain his secular and religious power over the masses. But since Constantine's mother was the Empress Helen of Wales, a Christian, she influenced him to accept Christianity for the Empire. After ten different Caesars had failed to exterminate Christianity, Constantine compromised by accepting both paganism and Christianity. Constantine was a great politician. He was not baptized until he lay on his deathbed – probably a precautionary measure just in case his mother was right about the afterlife!

The power of the Roman theocratic state was thus quietly passed from the Caesars to the Popes, although not directly from one seat to the other, but the seat of power was returned to Rome by the Popes, after a minor detour to Constantinople in old Byzantium. The power of the Popes was fully established by Pope Leo III when he crowned the Gaul (Frenchman) Charlemagne Emperor. The empire began to be called the Holy Roman Empire after the crowning of Otto I, King of Germany, as Emperor in 962 A.D.

The "deadly wound" which killed the pagan Empire was healed and the Empire was revived as the Holy Roman Empire, thus fulfilling the prophecy of Rev. 13:3.

The feet of iron and clay of Nebuchadnezzar's image are to be taken as part of the legs of iron. Daniel does not tell us any more about this aspect of the image. However, the meaning of iron and clay can certainly be that the Empire was waffling between an iron will and "feet of clay." This is most certainly true of European Christianity as a whole because of the numerous declines and revivals, the Reformation of Luther being the most significant revival of them all.

Another meaning of clay in the popular culture refers to being stuck and being unable to move, as in "mired in clay." This also applies to Rome, for just as the Roman soldiers were noted for their marching all over Europe, the feudalistic system of Charlemagne was just as notable for the lack of

mobility of the populace, the serfs being rigidly and legally held to the land on which they worked by their feudalistic lords. Neither was this era, the "Dark Ages," notable for its commerce with other cultures, so that the feet of iron and clay seem most apropos as a symbol of the latter half of Roman rule, especially in consideration of the fact that one proceeds into the future while moving down the image.

I hope that the reader is beginning to appreciate the aptness of all of this symbolism and the amazing fact that all of these major historical developments are contained in Nebuchadnezzar's image. Skeptics may claim that all of this is mere coincidence, but the fact is that we have only scratched the surface of Biblical prophecy. The Book of Revelation is full of prophecies, most of which have come true in the same fashion as the prophecies of Daniel and the prophecies of the Marks of Israel. For a thorough discussion of the prophecies of the Book of Revelation, the reader is advised to read **Study in Revelation** by Howard B. Rand. This book fits all of the prophecies of Revelation into a historical chronology with exceptional detail. It shows that the Bible is a book like none other. No other book is so full of prophecies which have come true. However, we must stress that only when it is realized that the Anglo-Saxons are true Israel does Biblical prophecy make any sense.

When prophetic coincidences add up by the hundreds and thousands, coincidence is no longer an issue. And our method of interpretation is accomplished by primarily comparing historical events with commonly accepted imagery, as well as the Bible's own explanations plus some common sense. Would that all riddles were so obvious as these. Of course, this author has benefited from hindsight and the major fact of the disidentification of the Jews from Israel. These two factors make prophetic interpretation much easier. Once you see the general pattern of Adamic and Israelite revelation, then the interpretation merely requires some patient historical research, especially when these "coincidences" occur in successional and chronological order, then it becomes wise to be skeptical of the "higher criticism" of the agnostic theologians, who don't know anything about the Bible.

Although there is considerable overlap between the prophecies of Daniel and Revelation, there are elements of each which are not mentioned in the other. However, there is enough similarity between the two for us to determine that both prophecies are referring to the same world empires, predicting their rise, development, and fall.

Revelation 17 continues on this theme:

"*...Come hither. I will show unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters: With whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication, and the inhabitants of the earth have been made drunk with the wine of her fornication* ." – vs. 1 & 2.

As explained before, the great whore, as well as the beasts and the anti-Christ, is symbolic of unbiblical, theocratic, dictatorial government. Up to now, only Rome was initially diverse because it began as a republic, but it also became a theocratic dictatorship under the Caesars and Popes. The drunkenness of the people is symbolized by paganism, idolatry, intolerance, and, finally, by materialism, a force which began emerging in Western Europe during the final days of Rome's tenure as the sixth beast of Revelation. This materialism, which began as the so-Called "Enlightenment" was led by Freemasons such as Rousseau and Voltaire. It culminated in the Terror of the French Revolution, a singularly dark even in human history.

In essence, the Law of God was rejected by the "Holy" Roman Empire in favor of its own edicts, just as the Sanhedrin of Christ's time did. What was retained of the Law was used merely for people control. Everything else was exploitation, such as selling indulgences, the threat of purgatory for alleged crimes against the Church, Papal infallibility, transubstantiation, and all the rest. Obviously, the liberals of their day, the encyclopedists and others, had legitimate gripes with the system of Papacy and Monarchy. Of

this there is no doubt. But their outwardly noble intentions were most horribly thwarted by the Freemasons and their comrades.

Verse 3 again identifies the Great Whore as sitting upon a beast "*having seven heads and ten horns* ." Again, we are directed to Rome. (Some interpreters of Scripture refer to the possibility that the seven heads are the seven hills upon which Rome is built, and, indeed, Verse 9 states, "*The seven heads are seven mountains, on which the woman sitteth* ," in which case the reading as "seven hills" seems justified, but, from numerous other prophetic passages, "heads" are to be interpreted as kings and "mountains" are to be interpreted as kingdoms or empires, or nations; and the rest of Chapter 17 confirms this interpretative technique.) Verse 10 says, "*And there are seven kings: five are fallen, and one is, and the other is not yet come. ..*"

The Great Whore of Revelation is obviously a spirit or an evil angel -- a representative of the red dragon, Lucifer –- which indwells all of these thrones down through the ages and which directs the actions of the various beasts. Not all of the characters of Revelation need to be physically embodied, earthly entities, although a case could certainly be made for the idea that the Great Whore is the Talmudic state-within-a-state. Every one of the beasts under discussion had its Talmudic or pre-Talmudic advisors, scribes, and agents, who sometimes served and other times subverted the governments of their masters.

The Rome of the Caesars had its Jewish advisor cliques; and even Papal Rome had Jewish influence to the extent that certain rich Jews were able to buy their way into the Vatican and become Popes. The Medicis and the Borgias both had their selections on the Papal throne. St. Ignatius Loyola was a "converted" Jew who founded the Jesuits, the secret "Society of Jesus," whose main function was the same as the Jewish ADL today, namely, to harass, embarrass, prosecute, and even assassinate "heretics." Some modern heretics to meet this fate were John F. Kennedy, Robert Kennedy, and Martin Luther King. When they turned against the secret society, they were eliminated. The same thing could happen to Jesse Jackson or Al Sharpton the moment they cease to be useful to the Establishment of Satan.

Daniel was contemporary with the third and fourth thrones of John's vision of Seven Beasts, Babylon and Media-Persia. Then followed Greece. Neither Daniel nor John were alive during the reign of Greece, but John was certainly familiar with it because the remnants of Greek culture were to be found everywhere in the Middle East. In fact, the Sadducees, one of the anti-Christ sects which competed with the scribes and Pharisees, were advocates of Greek culture. They adopted Greek customs, spoke Greek as well as Aramaic, and they maintained many of the notions of Greek philosophy. (We might compare the Pharisees and the Sadducees to today's Democrats and Republicans, respectively. One camp is thoroughly corrupt while the other camp is out of touch and plays the role of opposition doormat. Theirs is a mere pretense of conservatism.)

John is told that "...*five are fallen, one is, and the other is not yet come* ." (Vs. 10.) With Daniel we were dealing with a succession of empires, one having defeated the other and assimilating the land, peoples, and cultures of the previous one. With John, we must take into consideration two more empires which must also be counted among the historical thrones of the anti-Christ. In his second booklet on the subject, Bertrand Comparet suggests that we cannot overlook the empires of Assyria and Egypt, for both were great in their day, although neither fit in with Daniel's visions.

Says Comparet:

"*...somewhere around 770 B.C., Egypt was overrun and conquered by Negroes from the south, and they held it for about 70 years, and in that time Egypt became the Mulatto country that it is today, and all of Egypt's greatness disappeared."*

"Daniel was writing in the mid 500's B.C., which was 150 years after Egypt finally threw off the Negroe yoke...Then came Assyria. The Assyrian Empire was overthrown and destroyed. Nineveh fell in 612 B.C. But remember, Daniel wasn't writing until the mid 500's B.C. So, the Assyrian Empire was out of the way when Daniel wrote. " – p. 7.

Since both of these empires ruled before Nebuchadnezzar, they naturally wouldn’t count as part of his dream images, but they certainly must count as the first two of John’s "mountains" upon which sits the great whore.

"*And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH* ." – Rev. 17:5.

Incidentally, it can be demonstrated historically, that the Canaanites had invaded Egypt and even controlled the northern part of Egypt for a period of about 140 years. These Canaanites were known as the Hyksos kings, or Shepherd kings because of the herds of animals they kept. These Hyksos kings made it a point to control the crossroads of trade through Egypt. As a result of this rule, the profession of scribes (weights and measures, record-keeping, and accounting) arose among the Canaanites in order to maintain and record trade in the area. This profession served them well in subsequent times and for other nations, as their services were hired out to many nations. They eventually insinuated themselves into Palestine and Judea as paid servants. One of these professional groups became the record-keepers (scribes) of Judea, eventually to become the very scribes which accompanied the Pharisees. With the infusion of these same Canaanites, known more locally as Edomites or Idumeans, into the priesthood at Jerusalem, we get a very clear idea of how the clique of scribes and Pharisees emerged in Judea.

(The story of the Hyksos Kings is told in detail in a series of articles by Clifton A. Emahiser in the monthly newsletter, *Watchman’s Teaching Letter* , January 2001 through October 2001. The address is 1012 N. Vine St. Fostoria Ohio 44830.)

The presence of the Edomites as early as this in Egypt also lends credence to the notion that the Great Whore is in fact the pre-Talmudic state-within-a-state, for her presence is thus demonstrated as far back as the Egyptian Empire. Or, in other words, the presence of the scheming and conniving Jews is documented as a parasitic presence within all of the beasts .

So, in chronological order, we have documented six of the beasts of Revelation. They are 1. Egypt, 2.) Assyria, 3.) Babylon, 4.) Media-Persia, 5.) Greece, and 6.) Rome. By John's time, the first five of these had fallen ("*five are fallen* ") and one existed as John's contemporary. And one, the seventh, "*is not yet come* ."

Who is the seventh? What is the identity of the 7 th empire? Who or what succeeded the Holy Roman Empire?

The answer, in a word, is: Napoleon.

Continuing with Comparet:

"*The old Holy Roman Empire was fizzling out completely. Napoleon had already licked a number of the kingdoms that were part of the main strength of the Holy Roman Empire. So the Pope was going to crown Napoleon again, and say, You see, you got your empire from me. I conferred it and I can take it back. But Napoleon wasn't having any. He walked up and seized the crown out of the Pope's hands and with his own hands placed it on top of his head. He had gotten there on his own stead and he was letting the world know it. So, December 2 nd , 1804, Napoleon crowned himself Emperor* ." – p. 15.

Napoleon, like a reincarnated Alexander, continued to expand his empire with military conquests until his disastrous campaign in Russia and with his final defeat by Wellington at Waterloo in June of 1815.

Rev. 17:10 tells us of the seventh king: "*...and the other is not yet come; and when he cometh, he must continue a short space* ." Napoleon's empire was indeed of short duration, having lasted only ten and a half years from his crowning until his total defeat.

Now, read very carefully, for we are about to come to our own time:

"*And the beast that was, and is not, even he is the eighth, and is of the seven, and goeth into perdition. And the ten horns which thou sawest are ten kings which have received no kingdom as yet, but receive power as kings one hour with the beast. These have one mind, and shall give their power and strength to the beast. These shall make war with the Lamb, and the Lamb shall overcome them: for he is Lord of lords, and King of kings: and they that are with him are called, and chosen, and faithful* ." Vs. 11 - 14.

First, notice that it is those who have faith in Christ that are "chosen," not those who deny him, such as the Jews.

"*Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son* ." – I John 2:22.

How can anyone hold Scriptually that the Jews are the "chosen people" when 1.) they deny that Jesus is the Messiah, Christ, Anointed One, and 2.) **the true "chosen" are those who are <u>faithful</u> to Christ** .

What kind of Christians are they who swear that the avowed enemies of Christ, namely, the "synagogue of Satan," are at the same time the "chosen people" of Yahweh God? Are the executioners of His Son the "chosen"? Isn't there something illogical here? Are they true Christians or are they shabez goyim? – traitors to true Israel and duped agents of the Anti-Christ?

What kind of perversion of the truth is going on here? Who is behind this perversion? Who is the 8th beast that "deceiveth the whole world" except for the elect?

The plot thickens and the temperature begins to rise.

"*For this is the message that ye heard from the beginning, that we should love one another. Not as Cain, who was of that wicked one, and slew his brother. And wherefore slew he him? Because his own works were evil, and his brother's righteous. Marvel not, my brethren, if the world hate you* ." -- I John 3:11-13.

I John 3 reaffirms our thesis that there are two seedlines: a wicked seedline of murderers from Lucifer through Cain, then Esau, then the Sephardim, plus the more recent addition of most of the Khazar/Ashkenazi. All of these people, as Canaanites, have the genetic code of Satan in them and they are predisposed to evil – except for some pure-blooded Japhethites who are Jews by religion and not by genetics. This predisposition to murder is the cause of the "enmity" between Eve's seed and Satan's seed of Genesis 3 (between Israelites and Jews). It is almost as if they are an alien seed from another Universe which has invaded the known Universe and their seed threatens to kill ours with a parasitic infection.

In 1957, the original version of the science fiction thriller, *The Invasion of the Body Snatchers* , was released. In that movie, seed pods from outer space were placed in the homes of human beings and these seed pods

produced exact look-alikes of each human being such that the unsuspecting humans could not tell that they were being replaced by aliens. In much the same way, Jews have infiltrated our Anglo-Saxon societies, replaced us in positions of authority, subverted our governments, caused chaos throughout the planet, **while we Anglo-Saxons get the blame for their treachery** ! But, in this case, it is a true horror story !

Surely, Mr. & Mrs. Anglo-Saxon, is it not time to wake up and expose this threat before it consumes us entirely? Even if you do not believe in the two-seedline doctrine, or even in the God of our Bible, the immorality of Zionist treachery should be the number one moral, spiritual, and political concern of your life. As long as the Jews continue to impersonate Israel and get away with it, millions of innocent people will continue to be pauperized, debauched, exploited, and butchered by the seed of Cain. **The Great Impersonation must be exposed for the entire world to see** , so, like cockroaches who flee from exposure to the light, the Edomites will flee from the Truth.

"*Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall set you free.* "

If you do not value God's truth more than you value your own human existence, then you still have no comprehension of what is at stake: your God-given soul, not to mention health, peace, prosperity, and spiritual growth.

So, while the Jews have established a world-wide entertainment and news industry (Disney, Viacom, ABC, NBC, CBS, Reuters, etc.) which parallels the bread and circuses of pagan and decadent Rome, we sit on our hands while our women are raped, enslaved, and trashed by non-whites of all shades. We take illicit drugs that poison our minds and souls. We listen to politically correct propaganda that rationalizes all the evils of the world and

pretends everything is all right or blames it on the White Man. We turn a blind eye to the terrorist Israeli State and call it "good."

Mr. And Mrs. Christian, has the whole world been deceived or not ? Admit it. You have been deceived and you are being fattened for the slaughter predicted by Albert Pike, reputedly one of the founders of the Ku Klux Klan and simultaneous head of World Freemasonry during the Civil War. He predicted the first two world wars and their outcomes (the victory of international communism) and he predicted a third war which would involve America being attacked from outside its borders. Loose immigration policies and the invasion of Mexicans from across the border is the Zionist tactic of diluting the White Race and eliminating White resistance over the long term.

We have been deceived and we are being eased into a mindset of giving up our liberties in favor of more government control "for our own protection." With stage-managed "terrorist attacks" like the Oklahoma City bombing and the 1993 and 2001 attacks of the World Trade Center, Americans are being duped into following the game plan for the 8th Beast's New World Order.

Few people realize how stage-managed all international conflicts are. The blatant demagoguery of the Bush administration in its attack on Iraq was too obvious. The publicly stated reason for invading Iraq was the alleged existence of "weapons of mass destruction." No such weapons were ever found, neither chemical nor biological. At the same time, the Israeli State possesses tactical nuclear weapons! Don't think for a minute that these fanatics won't use these weapons! Why aren't we telling the Jews to disarm? – especially since the Israeli State is a theocratic dictatorship, not a democracy.

If Saddam Hussein had actually had such weapons in usable form, he certainly would have used them. Zionist strategy in the Middle East is simple: the Muslim dominoes must fall . Let the American military machine do the dirty work for the Zionists, and let the American taxpayer pay for it. The Bush family's profiteering and the Zionists' imperialistic designs have engineered America's assault on the Muslim world. The Muslim world is the only part of the world which does not employ the Jewish usury system

of debt-capital. As such, Islam is the only true obstacle to complete Zionist domination.

Throughout history, patriotism, nationalism, fear tactics and ethnic pride have been used to whip people into a warlike frenzy. The war on Iraq was no different. The American people have had little inkling that their leadership has been utterly corrupted by Zionism, and that Zionism will use our military for all it is worth. Our people have been manipulated like herds of cattle into corrals of fear and retaliation. The Zionist International creates tensions between two states and their politicians employ demagoguery and demonization in order to gain support for military operations.

Think. Think. Think.

Wake up. Wake up. Wake up.

This is not an armchair liberal parlor game. This is life and death and YOU, Mr. and Mrs. Anglo-Saxon, are the prime targets for extermination. The Anti-Christ knows full well that, after the Muslim world has been defeated, the only obstacle to his success will be Christianity as it is practiced by the Anglo-Saxons. It is this Christianity which has built the world as we know it. The Anti-Christ, if he has to, will destroy all of this in order to eliminate your being and your faith, and, ultimately, your **SEED** .

The hour is late. The Titanic is sinking and you see fish swimming around outside your porthole. The time has come to act.

If, at the moment, you do not know what to do, then start praying for Israel's national re-emergence and redemption. Pray, especially, the 91 st Psalm for you and your family's protection. Then ask Yahweh, your God, to send His Son in Judgment quickly, before it is too late.

"*Pray ye therefore the Lord of the harvest* ." -- Luke 10:2.

The next Chapter, which concerns the Restoration of Israel, will explain exactly what you should do to restore True Israel and prepare for the Second Coming of Jesus Christ.

Recall from the previous section's discussion of Zionist internationalism that the very first attempt to establish a One-World-Government was the Congress of Vienna in 1815. Indeed, the international financiers who wanted to see Monarchy and Church destroyed had even financed Napoleon until they realized he was his own man and wasn't going to play the game the way they had it scripted. They wanted a dictator whom they could directly manipulate from behind the scenes, so they could get the spoils while the visible leader would take the heat. (Realize that George W. Bush is in exactly this situation today, in the year 2003, as is Tony Blair of Great Britain. Who is the leading Shabez Goy of <u>your</u> country?)

So under the guise of a "world peace movement," they had set their One-World-Government machinery into motion in 1815, the very year in which Napoleon was defeated at Waterloo with the help of Rothschild money. The fact is that the Rothschild banking family had most of its money invested in the war against Napoleon. The mainland European nation-states, which were defending themselves against Napoleon -- such as Austria, the German Provinces, Italy, Spain, etc. -- had all been forced to borrow money from the Rothschilds in order to supply their armies. This gave the Rothschilds immense power and influence within the governments of these nations, and, in effect, dominion over them because they had the power of the purse.

Amschel Mayer Rothschild put it very well in a surprisingly candid statement:

"*Give me the power to issue a nation's currency and I care not who makes its laws* ."

The average citizen has no idea of the power wielded by the international bankers: how wars have been fought solely for their profit and for the liquidation of Anglo-Saxon Christendom. To understand the leverage such wealth has over nations, it must be understood that the Rothschilds had established five branches of their international banking operations in five European capitals: Frankfurt (the home office), London, Paris, Vienna, and Naples.

The question might be asked, "Why didn't the leaders of these nations just confiscate the wealth of the Rothschilds or just kill them to get them out of the way?" This is a very good question. The answer is that since the Rothschild Empire was so far-flung and since they always had a branch bank or an affiliated bank operating in the enemy camp, the Rothschilds were just as capable of making war on any nation as these nations themsleves. If, for example, the Emperor of Austria were to try to rid himself of the Rothschilds in Austria, the following sequence of events would have occurred:

1.) All credit from the international bankers would be cut off, and the country would have to run its military operations through deficit spending and inflationary expansion of the money supply. Such a move always risks bankrupting the entire nation.

2.) The Rothschilds would raise armies against Austria by simply using their wealth to hire mercenaries or by bribing governments into using their armies against the Austrians. (This is exactly why the American military has been engaged against Saddam Hussein.) As students of military history know very well, the allegiances of warring parties can switch unaccountably in the middle of hostilities. The usual reason for this is potential losses (or gains) by commercial and banking interests which might result from the war. Since few history books contain the stories of how Big Business profited from World Wars I & II, few people understand how the strategy of war is determined by financial gain. (Many Americans assumed, rightly, that Rockefeller oil interests were a primary motivation for the Vietnam War and the two Gulf Wars. About such facts, the kosher media is silent.)

3.) The Rothschilds, since they not only controlled the banking industry, but all sorts of goods and services, such as diamonds, newspapers, shipping, food, etc. (they had already become the economic middlemen of Europe), could easily cut Austria off from virtually all trade with other countries and leave that Empire totally without all but indigenous resources. The Zionists did exactly that to Germany, when, in 1933, they declared an embargo against Germany because Hitler refused to do business with Jewish bankers.

So, if you did not understand the world-wide implications of control of markets and how these markets can be used to bring nations to their knees, you should now understand how the process works. The only way Europe could have gotten rid of the Rothschilds is if the warring factions simultaneously eliminated all of the Rothschild banks ; but that would have required cooperation between military enemies! Not a likely scenario. The only possibility of this happening is if wise factions within each camp could

cooperate and bring about a simultaneous coup within each nation! Something to think about for the next war!

So, the 8th Beast is internationalism itself, that is, international commerce, as created by and practiced by the Talmudic banking class. **Mystery Babylon = the Zionist International** . Internationalism has always been a conspiracy by the super-rich Zionists to gain control of nations. Of this there is no doubt; and this historical development was predicted by the Book of Revelation . The fact is that no independent nation had ever had any motivation to create an international government. The motivation has always come from the cosmopolitan Jew.

Internationalism has always been the modus operandi of Jewish commerce. This should be one of the most obvious facts of history, but our history books are silent on this subject. As explained earlier, control of commerce by the Canaanites was demonstrated as early as the Egyption Empire by the Hyksos Kings. They are the past masters of international exploitation through commerce. Gentile commerce, until the recent development of the international corporations, has always been limited to local or national enterprise, so when non-Jews get involved in international commerce, they find very difficult barriers to overcome in terms of long-established Jewish dominance. The diamond trade is a perfect example of this. The rabbis have a monopoly on diamond cutting, distribution and manufacture. "Gentiles need not apply"! The only reason that the Gentiles (nations) were able to get into the international market is because of Arab oil. The American oil men became the allies of Arab oil and America thus became a world economic empire in spite of the Jews. The complete story of behind-the-scenes dealings in international trade is a story that must be told. It is too big a subject for the present volume. It will have to wait for the **Great Impersonation, Volume II** .

Here is wisdom:

International Zionism is a result of two dynamic forces: Talmudic Neo-Messianism and Rothschild money . The first is, of course, an ages-old conspiracy operated by the synagogue of Satan. The second is a more recent development caused by the historical Jewish monopoly on usury and the fabulous riches obtained by the Rothschild family during the Napoleonic

Wars. Why is this story not contained in the orthodox history books? Who do you think owns the publishing companies? We will not hold our breaths waiting for the New York Times to publicize our research. Can you see this headline of their book review of **The Great Impersonation** ?:

"*Anti-Semite Eli James Declares Zionism is the Anti-Christ* !"

Would Al Capone publish the memoirs of his criminal exploits while his vice rackets are still in operation?

The 8 th Beast of Revelation is Internationalism with a capital 'I'. More specifically, the Jewish International, which has always been secretly behind international communism, semi-secretly behind international monopolism, and openly behind Zionism, seeks to establish its world dictatorship in Jerusalem, that tortured city. In their own publications, the Jews tout the idea of making Jerusalem the headquarters of the Beast's government. Perish the thought. Of course, the Beast's present outward appearance is that of the United Nations Organization.

Let us find out a little more about this last beast. Rev. 12:9 states,

"*And that great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world; he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him* ."

Lucifer, that archangel who rebelled and became a wise and crafty serpent, having been cast out of heaven and onto the earth, assumed human form,

beguiled Eve and, therefore, her children through himself. And with his cohort of fallen angels, they created a race or breed of people to continue on earth the rebellion which began in heaven .

"*Thou hast been in Eden the Garden of God...Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee...Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee* ." – Ezekiel 28:13-17.

Yahweh God cast them down from heaven into this solar system and they finally came to rest on planet earth. It is quite possible that these fallen angels have done much damage already in this solar system. There are legends of a planet named Marduk which was blown up ("*Merodach is broken in pieces* ." – Jer. 50:1) and is now the asteroid belt. Also, Mars shows definite signs of previous intelligent life. NASA refuses to release the most recent photographs of the surface of Mars. There is evidence of pyramidal structures on Mars. So, Mars may also have seen some major upheaval.

This beautiful planet, Earth, is the last stand for the fallen angels . God has given them plenty of time to repent and become constructive citizens of the Universe again; but it appears that many will prefer to lose their souls than to bend the knee.

At this point, it may be appropriate to discuss a few metaphysical ideas. God has given all of us Consciousness, Free Will, Intelligence, and some kind of body, be it human, angelic, or otherwise, in which this Consciousness and Free Will resides.

It would be meaningless for us to have both Free Will and Consciousness if these powers did not involve the ability to choose evil. If we were mere observers of reality who have no power to influence it with our free will, then there is no possibility of culpability; but it is obvious that the Bible teaches that we do have the power to choose evil over good. 'Evil' could be defined as any behavior that is hurtful to others or destructive of the Universal Harmony which God has created. This Universal Harmony is based on His Law. It **IS** His Law in operation. His Law is that which sustains the Universe. Consequently, those beings which refuse to obey and repeatedly break His Law must eventually be dealt with, for the Universal Order could be disrupted. So, when beings of intelligence use their intelligence and free will to harm others, God gives them fair warning: repent or be destroyed.

God created Adam and Eve to bring forth a race of light-beings who were supposed to show the earthbound Luciferians how peaceful and joyous life can be by following God's Law. What happened? Adam and Eve fell into the trap that was laid by Lucifer, and they succumbed to his deception. They didn't think they could be deceived. The last six thousand years of history is the working-out of this scenario. Since the Adamites failed in their assignment, God had to send His Son to earth to **save us** as well. And this is the great drama which we are living out right now. The six thousand years is nearly over. We are headed for a climax, if the Bible is to be believed. Considering how accurate the Bible has been so far, both historically and prophetically, it would be foolish to go against its Word. And that Word says that we are in for some mighty exciting times. The resolution of the age-old Luciferian rebellion is upon us.

We, indeed, are the end-time generation. World War III has already started, and the resolution of the main theme of the Bible, the clash between the Two Seeds of Genesis, is about to see its Armageddon. <u>God will have no choice but to annihilate the Evil One and his followers</u> .

I realize that modern, polite, Christianity dislikes and avoids the subject of God's wrath, because touchy-feely Sunday-morning Churchianity has

replaced the fire and brimstone preaching of the previous era. Such "modern" Christians recoil at the idea that God could be so violent. But this attitude totally misunderstands what is at stake. It is not just a matter of being nice to sinners (be it known that "being nice to sinners" has never converted any great numbers of them). It is a matter of rooting out the brain cancer that threatens to destroy the life of the victim! Either the cancer is destroyed or the patient dies ! The cure must be implemented for the sake of the body, and that means that every cancer cell must be either healed (converted and redeemed) or destroyed (burned with fire). God's patience is running out; and if this judgment seems too severe to you, then I can only say "Who are you to second-guess God? Do you have any idea, as a mere human being, of what is at stake? It is time to take the Bible at its word."

Saith the Lord in Malachi, Chapter 1, Verse 3:

"*And I hated Esau, and laid his mountains and his heritage waste for the dragons of the wilderness* ." Esau did not wilt, rather, "*Whereas Edom saith, We are impoverished, but we will return and build the desolate places; thus saith the Lord of hosts, They shall build, but I will throw down; and they shall call them, The border of wickedness, and, The people against whom the Lord hath indignation forever* ." – Mal. 1:4.

You have to admire their chutzpah! Rebellious to the bitter end!

But, we all know who is going to win this battle. Note this statement very carefully:

*"... **and in that day there shall be no more the Canaanites in the house of the Lord of hosts*** ." – Zech. 14:21.

What part of "there shall be no more" don't you understand? Are you beginning to see what is about to happen? Is this bold-print statement just too unbelievable? If it is, then you haven't been studying your Bible. Those churches which ignore the Word of Prophecy are due for just as big a shock as the Edomites. Prepare ye for the Day of Judgment. Read the parable of the wise and foolish virgins. It applies to today. It is not too late for the Canaanite/Edomites to repent. Obviously, Yahweh God wants us all to repent; otherwise, he would not have given us 6,000 years to think about it.

"*For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble; and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the Lord of hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch* ." – Mal. 4:1.

"Neither root nor branch" sounds an awful lot like total extermination of the Serpent's seed. That is what the Judgment Day is all about. Those who ally themselves with the 8th Beast will go down in flames with him . Lucifer, whose name was changed to Satan when he was bound to planet Earth, will receive his judgment very soon. The only question is: Who will burn with him?

Those who follow God's Law will be saved. Those who continue to rebel against it will be burnt to a crisp. I think that in this case the words of the Bible can be taken literally.

"*And I saw the beast, and the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against him that sat on the* [white] *horse, and against*

his army ." – Rev. 19:19.

The rider of this White Horse is Jesus Christ at the head of his judgment army.

"*And the beast was taken, and with him the false prophet that wrought miracles before him, with which he defeated them that had received the mark of the beast, and them that worshipped his image. These were both cast alive into a lake of fire burning with brimstone* ." – Rev. 19:20.

"*And death and hell were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death* ." – Rev. 20:14.

There is no surviving the second death. The first death is the death of the body. The second death can only be the death of the soul. It's goodbye <u>forever</u> . Many of the followers of Lucifer, in their impudence, will choose this route. For those who do, Jesus tells us this parable of the wheat and the tares:

"*He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man; The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one; The enemy that sowed them is the devil; and the reapers are the angels. As therefore the tares are gathered and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world. The Son of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity; And shall cast them into a furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth. Then shall the righteous shine forth*

as the sun in the kingdom of their Father. Who hath ears to hear, let him hear ." – Matt. 13:38-43.

Good Christian, do you still think that you will be raptured out of the tribulation if you support the Christ-hating Edomites ? This Rapture stuff is nothing but a very clever Talmudic deception, a deception promoted by "Christians" who are spreading the leaven of the Pharisees. Pay attention: **The tares will be gathered first** . What will happen to them? They will be burned . **Then** comes the harvest of the faithful and the Wedding Feast of the Lamb. The Rapture is the Wedding Feast of the Lamb – here on Earth . It is the rejoicing and feasting to celebrate the two greatest events that history will have recorded: the slaying of the 8 th Beast and the reunification of Yahweh with True Israel.

The throne of the Anti-Christ resides in the Zionist International, in the Israeli State, and in the United Nations. This is the Anti-Christ's tripartite empire. It is Satan's mimicry and mockery of the Holy Trinity, and it will be utterly destroyed. Now, it behooves all good Christians to come out of Babylon before it is too late.

Chapter 13 gives us a separate vision of the earthly anti-Christ. The first beast is the familiar one of "seven heads and ten horns." Then comes a second beast:

"*And I beheld another beast, coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spake as a dragon. And he exerciseth all the power of the first beast before him, and causeth the earth and they which dwell therein to worship the first beast whose deadly wound was healed* ." (Rev. 13:11, 12.)

But the beast whose deadly wound was healed was Rome, which "died" and which was reborn as the Holy Roman Empire, which today still survives as the Catholic Church.

I think it is fair to say that the Catholic Church is still a very powerful organization. Although it was divested of military power by Napoleon, and although it no longer has direct political control of nations, it still has much political and religious influence due to the vast numbers of people whom it leads. The Papacy still survives after all these years.

Now, we have two beasts being spoken of here. The first is a completely new beast which comes up "out of the earth." This is something completely new, for all of the other beasts have come up "out of the sea." By Revelation's own self-explanatory narrative, "seas" are peoples, or populations of nations. But this new beast has come up out of the earth, not the sea. First of all, we can say that all of the beasts that had come up out of the sea were leaders of nations, and the people of those nations or empires recognized these beasts as their leaders. Of this there is no question.

But the eighth beast is completely different from the previous seven in that **it is not a recognized government** . The "earth" is a different element from the "sea." Now, numerous differences in these elements can be pointed out, but I would submit that the most important of these are that:

1.) The "earth" is a different type of people.

2.) The "earth" contains caves and forests in which these people can hide or disguise themselves. 3.) The "earth" is the final resting place of Lucifer's seed as in Genesis, Chapter 3. We are merely being consistent from Genesis to Revelation.

Since this government is not recognized by the peoples of the sea, it must be a government which operates behind the scenes, as a puppetmaster who directs the puppet show. So, from what we know of the 8th Beast, which is the Talmudic Zionist International, we would expect the Zionists to form a behind-the-scenes alliance with the Catholic Church . And, indeed, that is what we find. Although there is very little publicity about this historical event (naturally!), there is indeed a movement afoot at the present time by the Zionists **to alter the character of the Catholic faith and bring it into line with Talmudic Judaism** .

This alteration began with the Second Vatican Council which was called by Pope John XXIII. From then until now, the Jews have been putting pressure on the popes to 1.) absolve the Jews of the guilt for the murder of Christ, 2.) censure Pope Pius XII for not criticizing the holocaust while it wasn't happening, 3.) apologize for "cooperating" with the Nazis during World War II, and host of other capitulations which all go to demonstrate one singular fact: The Jews are calling the shots in the Vatican.

One of the main features of the drive toward One World Government is the simultaneous attempt to establish a One World Religion. The World Council of Churches, along with the international religious movement called secular humanism, in addition to such naive New Age movements as the Bahai faith and numerous others which promote the One World ideology, are all part of the International's world power grab. A host of well-intentioned but utterly deceived activists are all doing their very best – of course, unwittingly – to ensure that the eighth Beast achieves its full power. And the ecumenical "sea" beast of Rev. 13:12 is to be held up for worship by the "earth" beast of Rev. 13:11. Considering how world Jewry is converting Catholicism into crypto-Judaism, we are seeing this prophecy being fulfilled before our very eyes.

Even now, there is a movement under way to move the Vatican from Rome, the capital of Catholicism for centuries, to Jerusalem. The purpose of this move is for Judaism to insinuate itself into Jerusalem via the Pope. Two things will result from such a move: 1.) It will pit the Muslim World and the Catholic (nominally Christian) World into a fierce struggle against one another, thus deflecting Muslim wrath away from the Jews themselves, and

2.) under the guise of this new "Catholicism," Jewry will quietly take over all of Jerusalem. Thus taking advantage of another World War, Jewry will kick the Muslims out of Jerusalem, even attempting to destroy the Islamic Mosque of Jerusalem, the Dome of the Rock. The Israeli government has already started excavations near the Dome, presumably for archeological reasons, but there may be an ulterior motive for these "excavations."

In September of 1996, 61 Arabs and 15 Israeli soldiers were killed in violence that erupted during a demonstration against the on-going construction of this archeological tunnel.

Will the next Pope be a Jew? As part of the Oslo Accords of 1993, a little-known clause was adopted which stipulates a possible shift of the headquarters of the Catholic Church from Rome to Jerusalem. Will Pope John Paul II pull this off before dies? If not he, then who? Who is next in line? It is quite possible that the next Pope will be the Jewish-born Archbishop of Paris, Aaron Lustiger. (Lustiger: "Lust for power"?) Now known as Cardinal Jean-Marie Lustiger, he is one of the leading candidates for the Papacy. Given what is going on behind the scenes, the next Papal election will be a most interesting one.

As long as the Americans, the British, the Catholics and the Protestants can be maneuvered by Zionist money into fighting Judaism’s battles for the sake of Jewry, the Jews stand to gain tremendously without shedding very much Jewish blood. But once this fact is accomplished, the Jews will be unable to restrain themselves; and they will start bragging about their victory, thus revealing the true treachery in all of their actions. At this point, Biblical prophecy will be fulfilled again, where Paul exclaimed:

"*Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition* ." – II Thes. 2:3.

We will deal more with this "falling away" later, for it is one of the Scriptures dealing with the Great Apostasy of Christendom, a prophecy which has most definitely come true in the Twentieth Century, for Christianity has abandoned the Law in favor of the "Leaven of the Pharisees." For now, we are concentrating on the revealing of the man of sin, the son of perdition. That man of sin is, very simply, the Talmudic rabbi . Once the world finds out about all of the scheming, conniving, treachery, terrorism, assassination, bribery, and genocide that have been going on in the name of World Peace, there will be an unprecedented backlash, a backlash which will culminate in the battle of Armageddon.

Then, finally, there will be peace -- but not until the Jews have done their absolute damnedest to achieve their goal of world conquest by deception and intrigue.

The Catholic Church, along with just about every other major religious denomination (demon-nation?), has, since Pope John XXIII, been promoting liberalism and modernism, two doctrines with which the Church had formerly been at war for centuries. Most Catholics just went on with their lives, but many resisted the changes of Vatican II with all of their substance. Others left the Church for its evident hypocrisy. These Catholics are to be praised for realizing that Vatican II was a deal with the devil, a most serious rejection and reversal of Catholicism itself .

Along with liberalism and modernism, many organizations within the Church, such as the Jesuits, openly promoted Marxism by renaming it "liberation theology." Liberation theology is nothing but Talmudism dressed up for the gullible masses of modern, world-peace-through-big-government, feel-good Churchianity. The fact that Jesuit priests and nuns encourage poor people to take up arms against their "oppressors" is lost upon these liberal Christians. They do not seem to realize that it is **they themselves** who are the bourgeois oppressors! – and the bullets of liberation theology will one day strike down these same oppressors. As Lenin put it so frankly: "*Liberals are useful idiots* ."

Liberation theology promises materialistic rewards where the Church formerly promised only a more rewarding spiritual life, but it delivers only dictatorship. Nicaragua is a perfect example of the fruit of Liberation Theology. The World Council of Churches openly advocated and even financed virtually every third-world revolutionary movement that happened to spring up in the latter half of the Twentieth Century. This is Christianity ?

These are the facts. Perusal of their grant-making policy reveals the communist bias. While these institutions condemn the capitalist world (read "democratic Christendom") for its greed and colonialism, they express nary a word of opposition to communist imperialism and genocide, atrocities which occurred on such a huge and damning scale that it is a wonder that anyone would publicly admit to being a communist. (At last count, the communists of the 20th Century had slaughtered 80 to 100 millions of their own subjects. This includes the Soviet Union, Red China, plus the smaller regimes of Pol Pot, Fidel Castro, and others.) Marxism has been thoroughly discredited everywhere except in the university classrooms and in the modernist pulpits; and the Kosher press keeps giving these ultra-leftists a forum. If ever there existed a Satanic hypocrisy, it is in these institutions!

Marx said, "Religion is the opiate of the masses." And I say that communism is the opiate of the intellectuals. Communism is the faith which the left wing has in itself to dictate the terms of life to those less educated (brainwashed) than themselves. The irony of all this is to demonstrate how stupid one can be in spite of a $100,000 "education."

And the Christian World quietly sleeps on while the Titanic sinks. However, very soon, Christendom will start to awaken. This is a development which the Zionists fear like the plague. As more and more people stand up to condemn Zionist terrorism and treachery, the more acrid will be the Zionist hyperbole, and the more sinister they will appear. In other words, their true nature will start to become obvious to everyone ; and the sky will fall.

Some Protestant denominations used to identify the Catholic Church with the Anti-Christ by pointing out that the Pope’s title in Latin, Vicarius Filii Dei, adds up to 666 by using Roman numerals. This is how it works:

V = 5 F = 0 D = 500
I = 1 I = 1 E = 0
C = 100 L = 50 I = 1
A = 0 I = 1
R = 0 I = 1
I = 1
U = 5
S = 0
112 + 53 + 501 = **666**

Yes, this is true. At one time, the Catholic Church, then known as the Holy Roman Empire, was the sixth beast of Revelation; but that beast was defeated by Napoleon. An eighth beast has since taken over and it is also known by three sixes. In this case it is not so obvious but it is nevertheless well known by everybody that the Jews have three sixes associated with them. These are -

The **6** pointed Star of Rothschild,

The myth of the **6** million hoaxacaust,

And the **6** Day War.

No other group of people on the face of the earth can claim to have the number six associated with themselves in three such famous ways. They epitomize the number 666. I think it is fair to say that we have identified the Anti-Christ, the demonic spirit that deceives the whole world into following it into perdition. For those who still remain unconvinced, I can only say, keep your eyes and ears open, for "by their fruits shall ye know them."

The Six-pointed Star of Solomon was renamed the "Star of David" by the Zionists. King David was never associated with such a star. The **Universal Jewish Encyclopedia** , in a feature entitled "*The six Pointed Star,"* states:

"It is only in Jewish sources that the interlaced triangles are called 'Shield of David,' as non-Jewish sources call the symbol the 'Seal of Solomon." – p.507.

The reason for this is obvious to students of ancient history: the six-pointed star is of pre-Israelite, pagan origin. It is referred to as the "Star of Solomon" because Solomon adopted the symbol when he began whoring with the women and gods of other nations. As such, the interlaced triangles are yet another symbol of Jewish miscegenation, although the initial offender was a true Israelite, Solomon.

James Lloyd, in an article entitled *The Star of Solomon* , argues that the interlaced triangles have their origin in Babylon with the god, Molech. The Apostle, Stephen, had rebuked the Sanhedrin for their doctrine:

"*Yea, ye took up the tabernacle of Molech, and the star of your god, Remphan, figures which ye made to worship; and I will carry you away*

beyond Babylon." – Acts 7:43.

Says Lloyd in his superb study:

"At least one liguist felt that the English equivalent should be spelled ***Rephan*** *. This would closely approximate the root word* ***repha*** *, who was related to the Rephaim – who were known to be descendants of the* Nephilim *. It appears that Stephen recognized the fact that the Jewish priesthood was actually worshipping the fallen angels and the Nephilim-Rephaim as far as the time of Moses. –* **Apocalypse Chronicles** , Vol. 7, #1, P.9.

Lloyd does not realize that there were no Jewish priests among Israel at the time of Moses. There were, however, proto-Jewish priests in Babylon; and it is from these Babylonian priests that such worship later was insinuated into the Judean worship just before the time of Christ. It was the Pharisees who eventually adopted this form of worship and added it to the Torah. This is how the Talmud (the Torah plus rabbinical additions) took shape.

As far as the Six-Day War of 1967 is concerned, the world has seen yet another Jewish propaganda coup, for the Egyptians were under the assumption that the Soviets were their allies against Israel. Of course, nothing could have been further from the truth. The Soviet Unions was a creation of the Zionists and has always been supported by Zionist money for the express purpose of dividing the goyim nations into competing factions. This divide and conquer strategy worked well on the Egyptians, who were in fact sabotaged by the Soviets.

The **Spotlight** of January 23, 1984 reported on a speech by Ivor Benson, South African freedom fighter. The speech was entitled, "*Africa and the*

Middle East – the New Imperialism." Mr. Benson described the Israeli-Soviet posture as "*Monstrously false and deliberately fraudulent, the perception of Israel as a Western bastion of resistance to Soviet expansionism."*

According to Mr. Benson, former Prime Minister David Ben-Gurion stated in a speech at Haifa University, June 8, 1970, regarding the formation of the Israeli State:

"Russia supplied us with arms that helped us to survive our war of independence."

And with regard to the then current Soviet posture of supporting the Arab world, Mr. Ben-Gurion is quoted as saying, "*Present Soviet policy is only a passing phase."*

Indeed, the Israeli State, the so-Called "bastion of democracy in the Middle East," has been supporting Red China for many years as well. By selling military hardware and computer equipment to Red China, Zion-Israel shows its true colors: treason toward all democracies .

In a 12/17/00 internet story from the **Jubilee Newspaper** , entitled "*Strange Bedfellows: China and Israel":*

"Former CIA Director James Woolsey testified Israel has covertly sold 'several billions' of dollars worth of top-secret US technology to China since 1983. The Inspector General of the US State Department found, in a

1992 report, a ‘systematic and growing pattern’ of Israel selling American military technology in direct violation of US law. That report concluded Israel was supplying arms based on restricted American technology to China, Chile, Ethiopia, and South Africa, all of whom were then under US arms embargo."

We bless them with our money and other gifts. They curse us with their deceit and treachery; and then they tell us to go to hell if we dare to complain. Something is rotten in D.C. Something is rotten in New York, London, and Tel Aviv.

Let us now return to the Book of Revelation.

Consider the following facts with regard to Mystery Babylon, the Talmudic Eighth Beast, the United Nations:

1.) It is the brainchild of the Zionist Rothschild family.

2.) It is the direct descendant of the Zionist-inspired League of Nations.

"*The League of Nations is a Jewish conception."* – Israel Zangwill in the **Jewish Guardian** , June 11, 1920. *"The League of Nations is a Jewish idea. We created it after a fight of twenty-five years."* – Nahum Sokolow, Carlsbad Zionist Congress, Aug. 27, 1922.

3.) The present land upon which the Beast sits in New York City was donated by the Rockefeller family. The Rockefeller family joined forces with the Rothschild family in the year 1913 when the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Morgans, and Warburgs combined to create three of the most vicious anti-American institutions that ever existed: The Federal Reserve Bank, the Income Tax Amendment, and the Tax-Exempt Foundations. These three institutions serve as the Talmudic Trojan Horse which drains America of its resources and redirects those resources to serve the Zionist International .

4.) It is a world clearing-house for anti-Christian propaganda. This propaganda includes the output of socialists, communists, anti-White racists, secular humanists, and occultists. This last form of propaganda often cleverly disguises itself as pro-Christian, but within this "Christian camouflage" is contained the usual "One World" motif, which is just so much pablum for the deceived masses. Don't you know, dear Christian, the United Nations, by overcoming national boundaries, is the world's **ONLY HOPE FOR PEACE** ? ...Satan said with a smile.

5.) It is the most anti-democratic organization in the world since none of its officials are elected by the people. All of the United Nations' officials are appointed by various committees, if not by Mr. Rockefeller or the Kehilla itself. It is amazing to watch otherwise vocal proponents of democracy who either fail to see or simply ignore this glaringly obvious anti-democratic crack in the facade.

6.) The United Nations created the modern State of Israel by a resolution on November 29, 1947. Thus the United Nations Organization is shown here as again giving "power unto the beast." (Book of Revelation, 12: 3-6, 7-9; and 13:11,12.) With the exception of the "Zionism is racism" resolution

(under Kurt Waldheim's tenure as Secretary General), the UN is a paper tiger when it comes to making the Israeli State behave in a civilized manner towards the Palestinians. The UN has either turned a blind eye toward Israeli terrorism or has seen fit to condone it. U.N. criticism of the Israeli State amounts to little more than lip service and camouflage, for the Zionists will never permit one of their offspring to harm another. Both are necessary for the achievement of their neo-Messianic dream. Those nations who belong to the U.N. who are also opposed to Israeli terrorism have little clout when it comes to actually doing something about it.

7.) As a promoter of secular humanism, the UN reveals itself as a religious power, vying to control humanity's urge for religious freedom. The ecumenical movement (to unify all of the world's religions) is encouraged by the secular humanists because their leaven of "*world peace through globalism* " is highlighted in all of the ecumenical publications.

8.) With the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and numerous other institutions of economic control, the UN demonstrates its Talmudic character of international finance, the historical mainstay of the Zionist technique of power and control. If anything, Zionist banking practices have been institutionalized, formalized, and legalized by the United Nations.

<u>From this organization you expect peace</u> ? As Groucho Marx said: "*Who do you believe, me, or your own eyes* ?"

I realize that many of those of the left-wing persuasion have been convinced that socialism is the only answer to the world's problems; but this idea is totally false. Socialism is merely a form of Talmudic centralization and control. If you do not believe this, then just wait until the

Beast reveals himself. Believe the myth as long as you want, but reality will come calling soon. As Ayn Rand said:

"*You can ignore reality, but you cannot avoid the consequences of ignoring reality* ."

Ayn Rand was a Jewess who saw firsthand the havoc wreaked by the Bolsheviks in Russia. It would do even atheists well to heed such warnings.

There is little doubt as to the nature of the force that guides both the United Nations and the Israeli State. Just as in the staged intellectual dialectic between capitalism and communism, the International Kehilla guides both the UN and Israel, using Herzl's tactic of pressure from above (capitalism) and from below (revolution). Edicts from respected politicians and staged demonstrations by "peaceniks" make for such convincing reality, but understand that it is all just a show, a show staged by a cold, calculating mafia boss whose only goals are profit and power. Zionist high finance provides pressure from above, and rabble-rousing shabez goys (like Bill Clinton and George Bush), and other Talmudic provocateurs, provide pressure from below.

The Zionist Occupation Government presently rules by wealth and stealth; but they are longing for the day when they rule openly, without apologies. Then will the anti-Christ be exposed for all to see. According to Biblical prophecy, this development will prompt His judgement upon planet Earth. First, the people of the planet will be permitted to see how ignorant, vain, gullible and immoral they really are; and then Jesus Christ will destroy the world order which the Zionists have created.

"*As the partridge sitteth on eggs, and hatcheth them not; so he that getteth riches, and not by right, shall leave them in the midst of his days, and at his end shall be a fool* ." Jer. 17:11.

"*...Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen, and is become the habitation of devils, and the hold of every foul spirit, and a cage of every unclean and hateful bird* ." – Rev. 18:2.

Babylon, as the third beast and eighth beast, is fallen twice, *"is fallen, is fallen,* " first at the hands of the Media-Persians, and second by the Second Coming itself. The foul spirits and unclean birds are to be seen everywhere: gay pride parades, communist thugs, Hollywood sin-and-skin "entertainment," the pornography industry, insider trading at the stock market, false priests, illegal drugs, violence in our schools, teenage suicide…and the beat goes on.

"*As a cage is full of birds, so are their houses full of deceit: therefore they are become great and waxen rich. They are waxen fat, they shine: yea, they overpass the deeds of the wicked: they judge not the cause, the cause of the fatherless, yet they prosper; and the right of the needy they do not judge. Shall I not visit for these things? Saith Yahweh: shall not my soul be avenged on such a nation as this? A wonderful and horrible thing is committed in the land; The prophets prophesy falsely, and priests bear rule by their means; and my people love to have it so: and what will ye do in the end thereof?* " – Jer. 5:27-31.

Fatherlessness is rampant for the first time in our history. It is encouraged by liberals who want to redefine the word ‘family’ to mean "a bunch of people living together." The international bankers control trillions of dollars, yet they have nothing to spare for the needy, the homeless, the fatherless. They are "society’s problems," not theirs. Fundamentalist prophets, such as Hal Lindsey, prophesy falsely because they misidentify Israel with Jewry. And priests manipulate their flocks with peace-loving slogans and pleasing platitudes that ignore God’s warnings about deceivers at the end of the age. And, yes, the people love to have it so because the flow of goods and services, the unimaginable wealth, has never been so great.

Another false prophecy is that of the Catholic Church being reborn at the end of the Age. According to our analysis, the last beast, the 8 th beast, is the Babylonian rebirth as the Zionist International. Those who are still deceived by the Jews harbor the vain notion that the Papacy will be revived as the anti-Christ. As we have already explained, the Papacy is being ruled by the 8 th beast, giving it the semblance of power, a power which is not its own. The 6 th beast will not be revived as the 8 th . The sixth has had its day; but that does not mean that the Papacy can’t still be useful to the 8 th beast. Unfortunately, those who fail to see the REAL anti-Christ will be even more convinced that the Catholic Church is the last beast when and if the Vatican moves to Jerusalem. The Great Impersonation will continue to deceive many until the very end.

One final argument is appropriate here: Up until now, I have presented a more or less modern viewpoint, with the benefit of hindsight to guide me in looking back, at the present, and to the future. I recently reread the account of Hippolytus, one of the early Church Fathers, and his summary of the reign of the anti-Christ. Here are some excerpts:

1.) Christ is a lion, and Antichrist is a lion.

2.) Christ is King of things celestial and things terrestrial, and Antichrist will be king upon earth.

3.) The Savior was manifested as a lamb; and he too, will appear as a lamb, while he is a wolf within.

4.) The Saviour was circumcised, and he in like manner will appear in circumcision.

5.) The Saviour sent apostles unto all the nations, and he in like manner will send false apostles.

6.) Christ gathered together the dispersed sheep, and he in like manner will gather together the dispersed people of the Hebrews.

7.) Christ gave to those who believed on Him the honourable and lifegiving cross, and he in like manner will give his own sign.

8.) Christ appeared in the form of man, and he in like manner will come forth in the form of man.

9.) Christ arose from the Hebrews, and he will spring from among the Jews.

10.) Christ displayed his flesh like a temple, and raised it up on the third day; and he too will raise up again the temple of stone in Jerusalem. – "On the Day of the Consummation."

From the **Ante-Nicene Fathers** [Roberts and Donaldson, 1867], **Vol. 5** , Chapters xix-xxxvii.)

Comments:

#1: The lion is the king of beasts. His roar is the authority. These two lions compete with each other. One speaks Truth. The other speaks lies.

#2. Satan is *the "Lord of this world"* .

#3. The U.N. speaks as the arbiter of world peace.

#4. Circumcision is still mandated by Jewish ritual.

#5. The false prophets of ecumenism and secular humanism.

#6. Christian and Jew alike will flock to the new religion of "peace and love."

#7. The U.N. flag and the Star of Rothschild.

#8. The Seed of the Serpent impersonates the Seed of Adam and Eve. Esau impersonates Jacob-Israel.

#9. Talmudic Judaism personified.

#10. The Zionists salivate at the thought of the Third Temple . It will be their last.

Some more:

"*...the accuser and son of lawlessness is to make himself like our Saviour* ." Talmudic Neo-Messianism accuses Jesus of being a phony and strives to take His place.

"*Above all, moreover, he will love the nation of the Jews. And with all these he will work signs and terrible wonders, false wonders and not true* ." The

hoaxacaust, the "regathering" of the Jews to the Promised Land, "making the desert bloom," the "legacy of monotheism," etc. These and more lies, which to the average earthling are realities -- newspaper headlines notwithstanding -- they are no more real than the imagination.

"*But in his first steps he will be gentle, loveable, quiet, pious, pacific...And all this he will do corruptly and deceitfully, and with the purpose of deluding all to make him king* ." The U.N. lion whimpers before it whelps: the propaganda comes before the sword.

"*And by reason of the scarcity of food, all will go to him and worship him; and he will put his mark on their right hand or in their forehead, that no one may put the sign of the honourable cross upon his forehead with his right hand* ." A world-wide recession plus electronic buying and selling. Christianity is being outlawed again from our schools and public places by you-know-who.

Concerning the number 666:

"*And I have an opinion as to this number, though I do not know the matter for certain; for many names have been found in the number when it is expressed in writing. Still we say that perhaps the scription of this same seal will give us the word* ***I deny*** *...when the lawless pressed upon the witnesses of Christ, with adjuration, 'Deny thy God, the crucified One .'*" Talmudism, again is exposed.

And finally:

"*Then, on hearing the words of this deceiver, these miserable men will perceive that this is the wicked accuser, and will mourn in anguish, and weep vehemently, and beat their face with their hands, and tear their hair, and lacerate their cheeks with their nails, while they say to each other: Woe for the calamity! Woe for the bitter contract! Woe for the deceitful covenant!...How we have been beguiled by the deceiver! How we have been joined to him! How we have been caught in his toils! How we have been taken in his abominable net! <u>How we have heard the Scriptures and understood them not</u> ! For truly those engrossed with the affairs of life, and with the lust of this world, will be easily brought over to the accuser then, and sealed by him* ."

How bitter will be the wailing of those who have been deceived by the Jews. Dare we say that the end-time interpretation of Hippolytus clearly agrees with ours? Foresight and hindsight agree when people bother to observe closely, unhindered by the demands of profit, pain and worldly ambition.

"*For all the nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, and the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her delicacies* ." – Rev. 18:3.

"*Therefore all her plagues come in one day, death and mourning, and famine; and she shall be utterly burned with fire: for strong is the Lord God who judgeth her* ." – Rev. 18:8.

The United Nations and the "global community of nations," i.e., **the merchants of the earth** , have indeed waxed rich. But this empire too will collapse from the weight of its own corruption, just like the Soviet Union. God will visit us again, but this time He will finish the job which He started two thousand years ago.

The unbridled materialism of this technology-happy world has led to a falling away of the faithful from the Gospel of the Lord. Modern Churchianity is no longer Christian. It is crypto-Judaic, wearing its prosperity-consciousness and its materialism on its sleeve. It is profoundly ignorant of the warnings against deception, and it has abandoned the teachings of absolute Truth, modesty, economy, and usury-free living. The Church is in a state of apostasy.

Usury As Theft

"*Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother; usury of money; usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is lent upon usury:*

"*Unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon usury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury: that Yahweh thy God may bless thee in all that thou settest thine hand to do in the land whither thou goest to possess it."* -- Deut. 23:19, 20.

Since the Jew does not consider any other ethnic group his "brother," the Jews have practiced money-lending at interest since their very origin,

around 150 B.C., when the Pharisees adopted this Babylonian practice. When Jesus overthrew the money tables in the temple, he was declaring war on both Judaism and on the banking class.

"*He that by usury and unjust gain increaseth his substance, he shall gather it for him that will pity the poor."* -- Prov. 28:8.

It must be understood that usury is lending money at interest. Some economists have tried to redefine usury as "excessive interest," but that definition simply evades the issue. The issue is whether or not lending money at interest is an honest practice. Certainly, it is a profitable practice, but usury has a hidden device which cheats the borrower without his realizing it.

Usury is automatically a form of cheating. The best way to illustrate this is by considering a closed economic system. Consider a small town which has only 100 inhabitants and each person in this town has $100. The entire town thus has a total of $10,000.00; and this is the money by which trade is conducted within the town. Now suppose that a moneylender came along and started lending money to these townspeople at 10% interest. Let’s say that half of the townspeople each borrowed $100 from this moneylender. At this point, another $5,000.00 enters the local economy.

First of all, you will notice that this money will have an initial inflationary effect on the economy. Since the commodities of the economy have not changed in number, their value vis-à-vis each other has not changed. In other words, if the community had a barter system instead of money, the value of one commodity versus another commodity would not change. If the going rate for a cow were one hundred bushels of wheat for one cow, that ratio would not be changed by the money supply.

However, the dollar value of these commodities will rise simply because the money supply has increased by 50%. This is a classic case of inflation. The

money supply merely reflects the current barter rate. If an automobile were to sell for $1,000.00 before the townspeople borrowed this new money, the same automobile would soon be selling for around $1,500.00 because the money supply has increased by 50%. The only way the borrowed money would not have an inflationary effect is if all the borrowers simply left the money in the bank or put it under their beds, unused. Once this money is spent into circulation, then the money supply will be inflated and prices will rise, thus reflecting the amount of money that is actually in circulation. The same would be true if someone had counterfeited $5,000.00 and also spent it into circulation.

As we all know, inflation cheats those who are on fixed incomes. Consequently, all those townspeople who did not borrow any money had had their own money devalued by the rate of inflation. They have been cheated by the moneylender without even realizing it!

But that is not all. The usury scam is very ingenious, and the usurer knows one other fact that the people are not aware of: the long term effect of usury is deflationary . How can this be?

Remember that all of those townspeople who borrowed money have to pay it back. Let's say that they borrowed the money at 10% interest. As the money is slowly paid back to the usurer, the original money supply is taken out of circulation by whatever the interest rate is. After the borrowers have paid back the principal on their loans, which totaled $5,000.00, they still owe the interest, which is a total of $500.00. This $500, once paid, is thus subtracted from the original money supply $10,000.00. The people don't know it, but they have been robbed twice by the same transaction. When the debt is completely paid, there is only $9,500.00 left in circulation. Through the process of usury, the moneylender slowly siphons the money back to himself.

You can see that this process of lending money into circulation gives the bankers tremendous power over the money supply. By this process the lender continues to get a larger and larger share of the money supply. The community winds up with less and less. Soon, certain borrowers find it difficult to come up with the money to pay their debts because there is less money in circulation. In order to get the money, they have to sell their

products at a lower price because the usury collected has actually lowered the money supply. This is exactly what the Zionist bankers did to America in causing the Great Depression. They stopped loaning money into circulation, thus causing the foreclosures to be imposed upon America's farmers, who were the main victims of this Federal Reserve scam. In one stroke, the Fed monopolized the money supply and gained vast holdings of real estate which were eventually sold for huge profits, once the Second World War got us out of the Depression.

The monopolization of the money supply by the lender has a twofold effect: it makes prices fall and it slows the economy. Both of these factors reduce the monetary income of the borrower. The people have been cheated twice by usury. This is why Yahweh, our God, forbids usury. And this is why the Jews are the world's oldest reigning masters of usury. They have an unbroken history of at least 2,150 years of moneylending, starting with the Pharisees.

Foreclosure becomes inevitable unless the bankers continuously loan more and more money into circulation. Foreclosure is the trump card of the scam. Few borrowers realize that the entire banking system is based on this criminal scam. Now do you see why the bible forbids usury? Do you think God knows what He is talking about when He tells us not to practice it? Since the average citizen has no idea of this economic reality, they have no idea why we have these ongoing boom and bust cycles. Establishment economists spout new and old theories of why we cannot have a stable economy. Now you know why.

In terms of simple mathematics, let me illustrate the scam this way: Suppose there is no money in circulation. One day, banker comes to town and lends the community $10,000.00 at 10% interest. Fine. This $10,000.00 is called the principal of the loan. Everybody spends this money into circulation. The day eventually arrives when the $10,000 has been repaid to the banker. Not so fine! The community has repaid the principal but still owes the interest. Where does this money come from? It does not exist! There was only $10,000.00 to begin with, yet we still owe $1,000.00! How did we get into this fix? The banker duped us into it.

Do you see how usury automatically robs people of the ability to pay? Each individual borrower has made a deal with the usurer; but the community has been targeted by this money-leeching trick.

The Jews, as history's bankers, usurers, and moneylenders, have practiced and utilized this scam for centuries. During the Middle Ages, unsuspecting communities would have their local money, be it coins or scrip, taken out of circulation by this method by wandering Jewish moneylenders. This same Jewish moneylender would thus slowly accumulate a monopoly on the local money supply. The poorest borrowers would be victimized first, and they would lose their collateral as the money supply shrank. The Jewish banker would wind up holding all the money and would be foreclosing on the community. Whatever plunder (jewelry, trinkets, tools, furniture, etc.) the usurer could walk off with, he could sell. When the community had nothing left as collateral, he would move on to the next town and very patiently repeat the same process. Of course, these usurers had this scam going in several towns at once. Even worse, the moneylender could have the victim thrown into debtor's prison, leaving his family utterly impoverished and defenseless.

Now do you understand why the Jews have always been hated so much? The people did not know how they were being robbed. All they knew was that before the Jewish moneylender set up business, they were much better off. They didn't have much money, but neither were they in debt. Many of the victims were literally left homeless and penniless. This is the reason why every country in Europe had to expel the Jews at one time or another. Usury is robbery, and the Jew is the master of this form of robbery.

Christians were forbidden by their religion to engage in moneylending. This left the field wide open to the "bloodsucking Jews." Once it is understood that usury is inherently deceptive and manipulative, then it can be appreciated how thoroughly inhuman this practice is. It is a sophisticated form of greed because those who practice it know it is deceptive. Since Talmudic Judaism encourages the practice of usury, especially upon Christian prey, Judaism must accept the responsibility for the vast majority of the suffering which this practice has inflicted upon the world.

Whenever this license to steal has been taken from them, they have raised the cry of "persecution." "We are being persecuted for our religion. Everybody hates us and there is no logical reason for it."

"*And Jesus went into the temple of God, and cast out all them that sold and bought in the temple, and overthrew the money tables of the moneychangers, and the seats of them that sold doves, And said unto them, It is written, My house shall be called the house of prayer; but ye have made it a den of thieves."* -- Matt. 21:12, 13.

"*And the Jews' passover was at hand, and Jesus went up to Jerusalem, And found in the temple those that sold oxen and sheep and doves, and the changers of money sitting: And when he had made a scourge of small cords, he drove them all out of the temple, and the sheep, and the oxen and poured out the changers' money, and overthrew the tables; And said unto them that sold doves, Take things things hence; make not my Father's house an house of merchandise.* – John 2:13-16.

Judaism is a religion of merchandise . It turns everything into merchandise. If you have eyes to see, you can see how everything in our society has been merchandised, including human flesh. The primary techniques of Jewish merchandising are deception, exploitation and vice. All those who fall for its monetary deceptions eventually wind up in the poorhouse. The Synagogue of Satan was the house of merchandise then and it still is today. In the days of Jesus, the Jews merchandised in usury, oxen, sheep and doves. Today it is usury, diamonds, legislatures, and nations. Do you see how good business is today? The Empire of the 8 th Beast, the International Money Power, has grown dramatically, hasn't it? The fact that there are today many non-Jewish bankers does not make this practice any less evil. Most of these goyim-run banks are small operations compared to

the Jewish-owned Federal Reserve Bank, Bank of England, DeutschBank, World Bank, etc. We know who runs the Temple of Greed.

Andrei Krylienko, in his outstanding book, **The Red Thread** , summarizes the problem of usury thus:

"The problem of usury lies in the fact that when the nation's money is made by and borrowed from moneylenders the amount of money in existence at any time can only cover the repayment of the principal of the borrowers' loans, but not the payment of the interest and charges for the same loans. The net profits of usury…remain with the moneylenders and create a shortage of liquidities which can only be put back into circulation through fresh loans compounding the initial shortage.

"As the interest paid on every moneylender's loan transfers possession of the same amount of money from the public to the moneylender, and as the profits of usury have to be continually lent back into circulation if the economy is not to be brought to a standstill for lack of liquidities, in the long term all the money of the community will become the property of the moneylenders." -- p. 50.

The con games which the Jews mastered in medieval European towns and villages are today played upon nations by the Rothschild-Rockefeller Money Trust; and the people are none the wiser.

Chapter 13

The Last Days . . . In Three Parts

Part I: The Great Apostasy

"But, beloved, Remember ye the words which were spoken before of the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you there should be mockers in the last time, who should walk after their own ungodly lusts. These be they who separate themselves, sensual, having not the Spirit." – Jude, 17-19.

"*…the day of Christ is at hand. Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition; Who opposeth and exalteth himself above that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God...And "...the day of Christ is at hand. Let no man deceive then shall that wicked one be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved* ." – 2 Thes. 2:2-10.

The Great Apostasy is the falling away of the faithful from the faith. That faith, known as Christianity, has been fading slowly since about 1850. It was about that time that a new type of "minister" began to appear. This new

type of minister was a rationalist who did not believe everything the Bible said because it appeared to contradict science. Darwin’s Theory of Evolution seemed to be a plausible alternative to Biblical origins; and the amazing technological advances of the industrial revolution gave secular reasoning a tremendous impetus. Academics and theologians began making concessions to the rationalists who seemed to have all the answers at the time. The famous Scopes trial resulted in a defeat for Biblical literalism. Agnosticism and even atheism crept into the ranks of the theological seminaries. It appeared that science was now leading the world and the Gospel was falling behind. As Nietszche put it: "God is dead."

For secular society, all of this was to be expected. However, the way in which the Christian churches began to emulate secular philosophy is truly astonishing. Indeed, by the 1960's, it was common to hear the phrase "There is no God" coming from the pulpits of "modern" Christianity, not just from Jewish and liberal college professors. Some churchgoers sat passively, others dropped out in shame and disgust, as more and more preachers began preaching the "social gospel" instead of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. Slowly but surely, the various denominations of Christendom fell into apostasy.

"*I suppose we shouldn’t be surprised about the continuing deterioration of our churches or the apparent unwillingness of Christians to defend Christianity. After all, the Apostle Paul warned (2 Thessalonians 2:3) that the Day of Christ couldn’t come until there first come a falling away. All we have to do is look around our own communities, read our newspapers or watch television to see signs of this apostasy. Yet, each time we do, a pang of sorrow overcomes us as we realize just how much our loving Lord is defamed and how far our churches have fallen from the very foundation of our faith."* – Brooks Alden, under the heading of NEWS ALERT, from the October 2001 issue of "*Thy Kingdom Come* ."

What is so astonishing is how our so-Called Christian churches have been able to focus on a very narrow aspect of Biblical teaching, namely, the doctrine of personal salvation , to the exclusion of numerous other doctrines of equal or greater import. Such themes as Israel's national salvation , the leaven of the Pharisees, the Second Coming, prophecy, Yahweh's Law, and the apostasy itself are not even mentioned in the mainstream pulpit. These subjects have become unfashionable or taboo. In their place, a whole range of non-Biblical themes are espoused: One World Government, gay lib, feminism, the conversion of "God's Chosen People," the rapture, tolerance for anti-Christ doctrines, multiculturalism, faith without the law, etc., etc.

Just as the rabbis had invented and built up the Talmud, the Catholic Church invented its own doctrine and liturgy. When the Reformation rebelled against these abuses, the Faith was restored for a time; but with Protestantism now going the same route of inventing scripture, the Great Apostasy has come into full bloom. Christianity is no longer recognizable as the "faith of our fathers," Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. I do not wish to insinuate that all of the followers of each of these religions is an apostate; but I am asserting that the various priesthoods have been apostasized, or Talmudized, if you will.

But among many of the faithful, there is the notion that we can pick and choose doctrine from the Bible, keeping what we like and discarding what we don't like. This idea is completely false. The Bible specifically states that we must keep the WHOLE LAW. If we deviate in any small way, it is as if we have broken the entire law.

"*For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all* ." – James 2:10.

We must strive to be perfect Christians, not lukewarm Christians, if we wish to obtain heaven.

"*Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father in heaven is perfect* ." – Matt. 5:48 "*...because thou art lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot, I will spew thee out of my mouth* ." – Rev. 3:16.

Here follows a list of abuses:

If your church teaches that God's Law is no longer in effect, i.e., it was "nailed to the cross," then your church is in a serious state of apostasy.

"*Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy but to fulfil* ." -- Matt. 5:17. See also John 7:49.

If your church teaches that there is no God, your church is an Apostate Church.

"*The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God.* " – Ps. 14:1.

If your church teaches that homosexuality is acceptable to Yahweh, then your church is an apostate church.

"*For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature* ..." – Rom. 1:26.

Who created nature?

"*And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet* ." – Ro. 1:27.

The diseases of homosexuality give the average homosexual a life span which is only half that of the heterosexual. Do these apostate churches suppose that God wants us to be rebellious, lustful, and disease-ridden? See also Deut. 23:17.

If your congregation accepts witches and warlocks, then your church is an apostate church.

"...*neither shall ye use enchantment* ." – Lev. 19:26. See also Deut. 18:10.

If your pastor teaches the Rapture doctrine, then your church is an apostate church.

"*But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved.* " – Matt. 24:13.

If your church accepts usury practiced by anyone, then your church is an apostate church.

"*Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother.* " – Deut. 23:19.

Usury means, very simply, lending money at interest. Virtually the entire commercial world is guilty of this sin. See also Exod. 22:25, Lev. 25:36, Neh. 5:10.

If your denomination teaches that the Jews are Israel, then your church is in a state of apostasy.

"*But I have made Esau bare, I have uncovered his secret places, and he shall not be able to hide himself: his seed is spoiled, and his brethren, and his neighbors, and he is not.* " – Jer. 49:10.

If your denomination teaches that Jesus Christ was a Jew, then your denomination is apostate.

"*If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me...Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.* " – John 8:42-44.

Jesus is of God. The Talmudic rabbis are of the devil. How much clearer can it be?

If your denomination preaches ecumenism, then your church is an apostate church.

"*Fulfil ye my joy, that ye be likeminded, having the same love, being of one accord, of one mind* ." – Phil. 2:2.

Ecumenism is syncretism, the combination of unresolved opposites and contraries.

"*No man can serve two masters* ." – Matt. 23:10.

If your congregation denies the Resurrection of Jesus Christ, then you are an apostate.

If you fail to teach the Bible to your children, then you are an apostate.

"*Hear, ye children, the instruction of a father, and attend to know understanding. For I give you good doctrine, forsake ye not my law... keep my commandments and live* ." – Prov. 4:1-4.

Are your children captives of the godless public schools? Does your denomination teach that "the law has been done away with"? If so, it is not teaching the law of life, as the Bible clearly associates His commandments with life itself.

If your church ignores any one of the Ten Commandments, then your church is an apostate church.

If your denomination fails to warn you about the leaven of the Pharisees, then you might as well belong to the synagogue of Satan.

If your church preaches race-mixing, then your church is an apostate church.

"*And the seed of Israel separated themselves from all strangers, and stood and confessed their sins* ..." – Neh. 9:2.

"*And that we would not give our daughters unto the people of the land, nor take their daughters for our sons* ..." – Neh. 10:30.

"*Now it came to pass, when they had heard the law, that they **separated from Israel** all the mixed multitude* ." – Neh. 13:3.

This is God's Law: No race-mixing. The opposite is man's law, the doctrines of men: modernism, Talmudism, liberalism. See also I Kings 8:52-53; Lev. 20:24; Deut. 32:8-12; Ezra 6:20, 21; Ezra 9:1-3.

If your denomination teaches "liberation theology," then your church is full of the apostasy of the anti-Christ.

If your church teaches that faith alone will save you, that church is teaching apostasy.

"*What doth it profit, my brethren, though a man say he hath faith, and have not works? Can faith save him?* " – James 2:14.

"*But be ye **doers** of the word and not hearers only."* – Jas. 1:22.

If your church teaches that abortion is acceptable, then your church is in a state of apostasy. "And whoso shall receive one such little child in my name receiveth me. But whoso shall offend one of these little ones which believe in me, it were better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and that he were drowned in the depth of the sea." -- Matt. 18:5,6. Does not abortion prevent these little ones from being received by Him?

If your church fails to teach the revelation of prophecy, then it is in a state of apostasy.

With regard to "liberation theology," we must adduce some relevant quotes:

"*Liberation theologians manifest a disturbing double standard. While they are understandably critical of right-wing dictatorships (which they simplistically equate with capitalism), their silence about and sometimes explicit support for left-wing dictatorships (Cuba, Nicaragua, Eastern Europe, the Soviet Union, and Marxist dictators in Africa) raises serious questions about their commitment to democracy* ." -- Ronald Nash, **Liberation Theology** , p.7.

"*God works through Marxist revolution in order to bring all men together* ." -- Emilio Castro, General Secretary, World Council of Churches.

"*The Bible teaches communism* ." -- Jose Miranda, **Marx and the Bible** .

"*Liberation theology was doing more to promote revolutionary change in Latin America than all the millions of books on Marxism has been able to achieve* ." -- Fidel Castro.

"*It was, therefore, most appropriate that the World Council, headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland, and under the leadership of Lenin Peace Prize recipient and United Presbyterian Church minister Eugene Carson Blake, would direct the Liberation Theology (LT) movement among Protestant denominations in the late 1960s* ." -- William McIlhany, **Liberation Theology** , p. 21.

"Doctrines of devils," indeed.

How many of these abuses is your church guilty of? The above list could go on for several more pages. I do not wish to badger the reader with a thousand grievances. I wish merely to demonstrate how deeply this state of apostasy has set in and how far we have wandered from true, Biblical Christianity. We have most definitely substituted the "doctrines of men" for the Law of God, so that now we have not only Jewish Pharisees, but Catholic Pharisees, Lutheran Pharisees, Episcopalian and even Hindu and Muslim Pharisees. It seems to be a matter of the human course of events that, given enough time, the priesthood of any religion becomes self-serving and corrupt.

Bear in mind that all of these things were prophesied by your God, your King, and your servants, the Apostles. It should be very humbling for you to realize that your God knows your inclinations so well. He knows our pride and our arrogance. He knows we are stiff-necked and disobedient. He has told us beforehand how we will stray and how we would accept evil as good (liberalism) and good as evil (moral relativism). How we would reject His Law in favor of our own opinions. How we would follow our lusts rather than accept His blessings. How we would resent His authority. How we would reject His leadership and fatherhood. How we would misunderstand Him completely. All of these things are the consequence of our fall from grace. And yet, in spite of all this, He tells us that He will not abandon us completely. He will have mercy on us if we will just repent and

obey His Law. This six thousand year period of probation is His demonstration to us of how miserable we become without Him, for He is our very source of LIFE. If anything, it is a demonstration of how much He loves us that He has put up with us for so long and with so little appreciation on our part .

But the probation period is about to end. The Great Apostasy is upon us.

Now, the afore-mentioned abuses are the abuses of apostate Christianity. The secular world is even worse:

"This know also that in the last days perilous times shall *come. For men shall be lovers of their own selves, covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, Without natural affection, trucebreakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, Traitors, heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God* ;" – 2 Tim. 3:1-4.

Does this sound like the "me generation": slackers, rappers, deadheads, dopeheads? And don't forget the well-educated atheists and agnostics who know it all. Up until 1950, no one could have imagined that our society would become so unraveled. And then back to phony Christians: "*Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away* ." – Vs. 5.

Society is in a state of meltdown. Ignorance and arrogance rule the day. Children rage against the machine. Mothers do not have time for their children. Husbands don't have time for their families. Television and movies become ever more sick and slick. Politics and greed buy off the poor and steal from the productive and mock the assiduous. Teenagers are captives to drugs, loneliness, alienation and confusion; and their suicide rate steadily climbs with the materialism of our age. Consumers are captives to debt. Rape is like a new sport on campus. Cheating is commonplace.

Indeed, the Spirit of the Age is Materialism, a Satanically-inspired materialism, which passes itself off as enlightenment and tolerance. In reality, it is death and taxes. Our values have been turned upside down. Silently, gradually, and stealthily, this materialism has taken over our whole planet; and even those who consider themselves religious are as guilty as the non-religious. It is only a matter of degree. We ignore God's Law at our own peril; and the most perilous times are just ahead.

It should be very humbling to realize that God chose prophets to put in writing exactly how we would stray. It shows that we are so predictable in our apostasy, so weak, so vain, so foolish – just like Adam and Eve in the Garden. They too thought that they were too smart to be deceived into self-destruction. Now we know better about them. But do we know better about ourselves?

Part II: The Great Day Is At Hand

"Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort you that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints. For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying the only Lord God, and our Lord Jesus Christ...And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day. Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire...Woe unto them! For they have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward, and perished in the gainsaying of Core ." – Jude, Vs. 3-11

"Before of old" can only be a reference to the fallen angels, who later "crept in unawares" into our society, corrupted it and feasted upon it like some ugly, demonic beast.

The destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah is here cited as a presage of things to come, but on a world-wide scale. Recent archeological examinations of the site of Sodom and Gomorrah revealed numerous, curious glass-like beads which were scattered around the entire site. One scientist in a television documentary exclaimed that the only other locations where such beads can be found are at nuclear explosion sites. Whether Sodom was destroyed by such a blast is hard to say; but one thing is sure: It was destroyed by a very intense heat. Modern civilization, in its descent into debauchery, is looking more and more like these ancient cities: homosexuality, saturnalia, paganism (Baal-worship), witchcraft -- you name it – all in the name of "liberation." Yesterday it was called heathenism. Today it is called "enlightenment."

The House of Israel Versus the House of Rothschild

The culmination of history will be the Great Day of the Lord, the Day of Judgment. The rebellious Canaanites will be physically swept from the face of the earth. Although Jesus tells us that not even he but only his Father knows the exact hour when this event will occur, we are admonished to maintain a watch and observe the times so that we will be ready when that day comes. The fact is that the number 70 is repeatedly used in the Bible to refer to periods of probation or judgment. First there was the 70 years of captivity in Babylon. (Jer. 25:11.) In fact, 70 years has become the average life span for Anglo-Saxon Israel.

"*...and it shall come to pass, when seventy years are accomplished, that I will punish the king of Babylon...and I will make it perpetual desolations* ." Jer. 25:12.

"*And it shall come to pass after seventy years, that Yahweh will visit Tyre, and she shall turn to her hire, and shall commit fornication with all the kingdoms of the world upon the face of the earth* ." – Isa. 23:17.

Tyre is an early example of the 8th Beast, the kingdom of merchants. (See Rev. 17:11 and Chap. 18). It was a merchant city which was created by former Israelites who lived in Phoenecia. Once such a thriving metropolis was created, then the Canaanites moved in and turned it into another corrupt city.

Now, since the terrorist State of Israel was founded at 4 p.m. on May 14, 1948, all we need to do is count 70 years to its ultimate end. (President Harry Truman wasted no time in recognizing the Bandit State, 6:11 p.m. in Washington D.C., May 15). The time since the creation of the Israeli State has been tumultuous indeed: the Korean War, the Vietnam War, the Six-Day War, the Second Vatican Council, the Gulf War, the Bosnian Wars, the Afghan Wars, etc., etc.. This has hardly been a time of peace. It has been a time of tribulation. For the most part, America has been insulated from these wars and has had very few attacks upon her soil, but the September 11, 2001 attacks and the wars on Afghanistan and Iraq have changed all that, and we are beginning to see the punishment of America for her fornications with the Zionist International. The Muslim world will certainly coalesce against our pro-Zionist policies and the rest of the world will see us as oil aggressors.

The true House of Israel will be restored as she remembers who she is and begins to separate herself from economic bondage with the House of Rothschild.

Daniel’s Seventy Weeks

Another Biblical prophecy that has literally come true is the prophecy of Daniel's 70 weeks. Daniel, Chapter 9, relates the story of how the angel Gabriel appeared to him in order to give him this prophecy:

"*Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most Holy. Know therefore and understand that from the going forth of the commandment to restore and to build Jerusalem unto the Messiah the Prince shall be seven weeks: the street shall be built again, and the wall, even in troublous times. And after threescore and two weeks shall Messiah be cut off, but not for himself: and the people of the prince that shall come shall destroy the city and the sanctuary; and the end thereof shall be with a flood, and unto the end of the war desolations are determined. And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate* ." – Vs. 24-27.

The Book of Ezra tells us:

"*And even I Artaxerxes, the king, do make a decree to all the treasurers which are beyond the river, that whatsoever Ezra the priest, the scribe of the law of the God of heaven, shall require of you, it be done speedily* ." – Vs. 21.

The decree of Artaxerxes to rebuild Jerusalem is the commandment of which the archangel Gabriel spoke. This decree was given in 457 B.C. Using the day for a year prophetic timetable, Gabriel is telling Daniel that there will be 49 years (7 weeks of years) between the commandment to rebuild the walls and streets of Jerusalem and the accomplishment of that fact. Then, after sixty-two more weeks of years (434 years), Messiah's baptism took place at the hand of John the Baptist. So, the first 69 weeks (483 years) brings us to the beginning of Jesus' mission in Judea. If our historical starting point is correct, then the historical date is 27 A.D. But in the midst of the last week, Messiah will be "cut off." Jesus' mission lasted 3 and one half years. So, in the midst of the last week, Jesus was crucified, not for himself, but for his people, Israel, and, by extension, for all humanity for the sake of righteousness. This gives us a date of 31 A.D.

By his self-sacrifice, Jesus instituted the New Covenant, one feature of which was the abolition of animal sacrifice for the atonement of sins. But the rabbis refused to stop this practice. For this reason Jesus had prophesied Jerusalem's doom.

"*Seest thou these great buildings? There shall not be left one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down* ." – Mark 13:2.

This prophecy was fulfilled to the letter as the army of Titus quite literally left no stone standing in its original place. We related the story of Titus, the Roman general in the last chapter.

Besides being a ransom for Israel, Jesus came to put an end to the animal sacrifices and other priestly rituals. The Old Testament tells us that God did not want to institute the animal sacrifice provision, but He had to because the Israelites were too uncivilized to learn the law of self-sacrifice.

"*To what purpose is the multitude of your sacrifices unto me? Saith Yahweh: I am full of the burnt offerings of rams, and the fat of fed beasts; and I delight not in the blood of bullocks, or of lambs, or of he goats. " –* Isa. 1:11.

It is only out of compassion for our state of ignorance that God accepted these sacrifices from an uncomprehending people.

It was because of the continuation of these abominations by the scribes and Pharisees that Jerusalem would be again destroyed. Three and one-half years after Christ's execution, the Apostle Stephen was stoned to death by the Jews, thus completing the 70 weeks prophecy. This last 3.5 year period is very symbolic, because it may relate to the Second Coming.

The 3.5 year period between the execution of Christ and the stoning of Stephen was a period of intense anti-Christian persecution by the rabbis. The fact that Messiah was cut off in the midst of the week implies that there is another 3.5 years of work yet to be done. This was all accomplished in the Apostolic period.

The Abomination of Desolation

Getting back to the modern abomination of desolation, the Israeli State, where the rabbis look forward to the day when they can openly practice animal sacrifice again, we are projecting a span of seventy years. This seventy year period has been constant turmoil up to now and it will continue to be. This is the period of final tribulation before the end of the world. But Jesus tells us that those days will be shortened. Jesus was cut off in the midst of the 70th week. 1948 + 70 = 2018. If we shorten the last week of Israeli rule by the same time period by which Jesus Christ's mission was cut short, we have 3 and one half years. 2018 - 3.5 = 2014.5. But since our 70-year end point is May 14, 2018, if we subtract 3 years, we get May 8, 2015. If we subtract another six months, we get December 14, 2014. Now, the Father may decide to shorten this time period even more, depending upon how many tares have been destroyed by the fires of the

tribulation. In order to harvest as much wheat as possible, those days would have to be shortened. But of course, only the Father knows the exact hour.

It is interesting that George Washington had a vision of his country's future while he was at Valley Forge. This vision featured three events which would take place on the soil of America. The first event was the coast-to-Coast expansion of the country following the Revolutionary War. The second event was a war which was precipitated by a black cloud coming from Africa. The third event was a dark red cloud which came from Europe, Asia, and Africa. This dark red cloud (socialism plus unrestricted immigration) results in the fiercest fighting yet, with hand-to-hand combat occurring in almost every town and village, while everything burns. But, in the end, America is saved by a bright light coming from above. This last event is most likely the Second Coming. The imagery is exactly the same as Christ's coming in the Book of Revelation. (General Washington's Vision is presented in its entirety as Appendix C.)

In general, various Biblical expressions, such as "in that day," "the last day," "the last days," "the latter days," the "day of the Lord," the "judgment day," etc., refer to the end times. Usually, the context of the passage will obviously refer to the end of the age as well. The first Biblical reference to the last days occurs at Deuteronomy 31:17. Yahweh God has just warned His people not to go whoring after false gods, thus breaking the conditional covenant of His protection. The condition of His protection is that His people obey His commandments. We know how that story went.

He says:

"*Then my anger shall be kindled against them in that day, and I will forsake them, and I will hide my face from them, and they shall be devoured, and many evils and troubles will befall them; so that they will say in that day, Are not these evils come upon us, because our God is not among us* ?"

God has been put out of our schools, put out of our government, put out of our churches. Only a skeleton of our God remains, certainly nothing of His Law remains. This is modern Christianity. Of this modern, Talmudic Christianity, Christ said:

"*This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoreth me with their lips; but their heart is far from me* ." – Matt. 15:8.

Lip service. That's what modern Christianity is: lip service to Jesus Christ, while their actions demonstrate utter ignorance of His mission and the denial of His Law.

"*And I will surely hide my face in that day for all the evils which they have wrought, in that they are turned to other gods* ." -- Vs. 18.

Could it be that God is ashamed to look at us for what we have become? Idol worship reigns supreme: movie stars, rock stars, politicians, drugs, pornography. All of these things are worshipped before God is worshipped. These same abusers go to church on Sunday with Bibles in their hands, like John D. Rockefeller and William Jefferson Clinton, and pretend to worship Jesus Christ. In the hands of demons such as these, a Bible is merely a prop that the actor carries around to impress the ignorant masses, the Christians who believe in only a small portion of the Bible: that portion which has been approved by their denomination.

"*For when I shall have brought them into the land which I sware unto their fathers, that floweth with milk and honey; and they shall have eaten and filled themselves, and waxen fat; then will they turn unto other gods, and serve them, and provoke me, and break my covenant* ." – Vs. 20.

It seems that the worst thing that can happen to Christian Israel is prosperity, for the more prosperous the Christian, the less gratitude he shows toward his Creator. Unlike the spiritually hungry Christians who died for their faith, the prosperous Christian takes everything for granted. He waxes fat and his faith begins to die. Do we dare pray for some hardship to wake these lazy Christians up? Actually, I pray for the opposite. It is my urgent wish that Christians would wake up before the hardship comes. Americans just don't know what it means to suffer. But if suffering is what it takes to turn the minds and hearts of Christians back to their Creator, then that is what he will do.

When that day comes, there will be a wailing and a gnashing of teeth. Think about that for a second. Think about how hard you have to bite down on your lower jaw, to the point where your face begins to hurt...because of fright, because of confusion, because of distress, because of pain... You get the picture. Is this what you wish to go through when that day comes? Or will you be one of those who has kept the faith until the end. If so, then you will be one of the leaders of the new Millennium, the Millennium of peace and rule by Jesus Christ.

In that day many self-proclaimed Christians will wail "How we have heard the scriptures and understood them not!" Why not? What is it that prevents prosperous Christians from taking the Scriptures seriously? Are we just having too much fun? Or is it that we just don't like somebody telling us that we have to change and amend our thinking and our habits to the ways of Yahweh God? We have become literally fat, lazy, and smug, like some medieval aristocrat.

The picture is not pretty for those who deliberately choose to ignore God's Law. God has given us 6,000 years of history and prophecy, warnings and

admonitions, appeals and scoldings, blessings and curses. Now it is time for all of that to start sinking in to your brain, for no one is allowed into heaven who is not morally qualified. There should be no other purpose in your life but to prepare yourself for the Second Coming, to be part of Jesus Christ's victorious host. The alternative is just too frightening.

"*Therefore will I give their wives to others, and their fields to them that shall inherit them: for every one from the least even unto the greatest is given to covetousness, from the prophet even unto the priest every one dealeth falsely. For they have healed the hurt of the daughter of my people slightly saying, Peace, peace; when there is no peace. Were they ashamed when they committed abomination? Nay, they were not all ashamed, neither could they blush: therefore shall they fall among them that fall: in the time of their visitation they shall be cast down, saith the Lord. I will surely consume them, saith the Lord* ...." – Jer. 8: 10-13.

Our wives are being given to complete strangers. They are being used like pieces of meat. They have become goods on the shelf of vice and corruption. And while all this is going on, the politicians say "Peace, peace." "Give us one more chance to try it our way!" And there is no peace.

"Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will punish all them which are circumcised with the uncircumcised: Egypt, and Judah, and Edom, and the children of Ammon, and Moab, and all that are in the uttermost corners, that dwell in the wilderness, for all these nations are uncircumcised, and all the house of Israel are uncircumcised in the heart ." – Jer. 9:25, 26.

It is the circumcision of the heart, the opening up of your soul to God, to accept His wisdom and His Law, which is of the utmost importance now. The days are coming when sex, drugs, and rock & roll will no longer mean anything to you. Gold and silver will lie in the street and no one will bother to pick them up, because circumstances will be so extreme that not even gold can silver can buy a solution. Only God will matter. Indeed, nothing else matters.

It has been the purpose of this book to demonstrate to all those willing to listen that God's Judgment upon Israel and upon the world is at hand. The first twelve chapters were designed to show why it is happening; and these last two chapters are intended to show when. The Great Impersonation of Israel by the Jews is an old story, although it may be unfamiliar to many. But the Apostasy of the faithful is a very recent development, and Paul tells us (2 Thes. 2:3) that it is a sign of the impending Day of the Lord. What more sign do you need before you begin to believe?

There is only one more thing I can say: Repent, repent, repent and turn your heart back to your God, Yahweh. If you need to, go back and start reading your Bible again as a faithful Christian. I can only hope that my literary contribution in this book will clarify passages which, upon previous readings, either made no sense or seemed irrelevant. You should no longer have any such misgivings. God's prophetic record as contained in the Bible is the greatest proof you could possibly have that He is keeping His word to Israel. He will not abandon us as long as we do not abandon His Law.

Childish faith is not enough. We must study the Scriptures and make them a part of our being; otherwise, we are only piddling in the stream.

"*For this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord; I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people:*

And they shall not teach every man his neighbor, and every man his brother, saying, Know the Lord: for all shall know me, from the least to the greatest. For I will be merciful to their unrighteousness, and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more ." – Hebrews 8:10-12.

Ah! A ray of hope! – <u>after</u> those days! Not before!

Part III: The Restoration of True Israel in the Latter Days

"*Why do we sit still? Assemble yourselves, and let us enter into the defenced cities, and let us be silent there: for the Lord our God hath put us to silence, and given us water of gall to drink, because we sinned against the Lord* ." – Jer. 8:14.

Indeed, it is time again for Israel to assemble itself again in silence, the silence of repentance; but also in preparation for Israel's self-defense. It is not the Jews or the Turks, or the Mongols, or the Arabs. It is our own disobedience against which we must do battle. Until we learn this Biblical fact, we will never get it right.

"*But unto you that fear my name shall the Sun of righteousness arise with healing in his wings; and ye shall go forth, and grow up as calves in the stall. And ye shall tread down the wicked; for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet in the day that I shall do this, saith Yahweh of hosts.* ***Remember ye the law of Moses my servant*** *, which I commanded unto him*

in Horeb for all Israel, with the statutes and judgments. ***Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord*** *: And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse* ." – Malachi 4: 2-6.

"*And after six days Jesus taketh Peter, James, and John his brother, and bringeth them up into an high mountain apart, And was transfigured before them: and his face did shine as the sun, and his raiment was white as the light. And, behold, there appeared unto them Moses and Elias talking with him. Then answered Peter, and said unto Jesus, Lord, it is good for us to be here: if thou wilt, let us make three tabernacles; one for thee, and one for Moses, and one for Elias. While he yet spake, behold, a bright cloud overshadowed them: and behold a voice out of the cloud which said, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased; hear ye him And when the disciples heard it, they fell on their face, and were sore afraid. And Jesus came and touched them, and said, Arise and be not afraid. And when they had lifted up their eyes, they saw no man, save Jesus only...And his disciples asked him, saying, Why then say the scribes that Elias must first come? And Jesus answered and said unto them,* ***Elias truly shall first come, and restore all things .*** " – Matthew, Chapter 17: Verses 1-11.

First of all, the six days of Matthew 17 are symbolic of the six thousand years of the earth's probationary period, starting from the moment when Adam and Eve were cast out of the garden. It was Adam's job to see to it that the Adamic people were to defeat Lucifer and his host of fallen angels. That was Plan A. Since Adam and Eve failed to carry out plan A, Plan B had to put into effect; and this Plan would take six thousand years.

Secondly, Jesus confirms that Elijah was reborn as John the Baptist, <u>who had just been beheaded by Herod</u> ! Yet, He says that Elijah must come again! But for what purpose? <u>To restore all things</u> . So, there will be **two**

Second Comings in the latter days: the first by John the Baptist as Elias (or Elijah) the Restorer and the second by Jesus as the Judge on the Lord's Great Day. After the tares (Jewry, homosexuals, apostate Christians, and other Cainites who refuse to obey God's Law) have been wiped off the face of the earth by this Judgment, then the wheat (the remnant of faithful Israelites) will partake in the Wedding Feast of the Lamb. This will be the final outcome of the six thousand year struggle between the two seeds of Genesis.

The coming of Elijah for the third time will be the second time as the Baptist. Since the Christian church has gone so badly astray, all will need to be rebaptized in the true faith, the faith in God's Law. Elijah will restore this Faith back to Israel. And this restoration has already been begun by the Christian Identity Movement which teaches that 1.) the Anglo-Saxons are the tribes of Israel, 2.) that Israel will remember its true identity and mission just before the day of judgment, and 3.) that Israel will undergo a great spiritual revival which will protect the faithful through the Great Tribulation, and 4.) a remnant of Israel will survive to carry on and establish the government of God's Law through Jesus Christ, beginning the Millenium of Peace.

"*The wolf also shall dwell with the lamb, and the leopard shall lie down with the kid; and the calf and the young lion and the fatling together; and a little child shall lead them* ." – Isa. 11:6.

Baptism and the Name, Yahweh

One of the ways in which the Christian Church is failing the flock is by its failure to use God's name, Yahweh. Although we are commanded hundreds of times in Scripture to address Him by His Name, Christianity has falied to do so. When a priest baptizes "*in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit* ," he is not using the **proper names** . He is merely using the titles. The priest should say: "*I baptize you in the name of Yahweh, Yahshua, and Hosios Pneuma* ." (From Matt. 28:19. Hosios Pneuma is the Greek expression for Holy Ghost or Holy Spirit. Since the Holy Spirit is not

given a distinct proper name in Scripture, we must use either "Hosios Pneuma" or "Holy Spirit.")

Whenever possible, baptism should occur as full immersion. Where this is not possible, pouring water on the forehead three times will have to do. Again, it is critical that the proper names of our triune God be invoked, otherwise we are not following the instructions to baptize "in the name of." The priestly ritual of baptism has been nullified by the deletion of the proper names. Without reference to Yahweh, Yahshua and Hosios Pneuma, baptism is incomplete, for we have not invoked their personal presence. We have only invoked their titular office. We will discuss further the importance of God's holy name, Yahweh, under the heading of the Ten Commandments.

The Kingdom Parables

The restoration of the Kingdom or Nation of Israel is dependent upon the conditional promises God made to Israel. The condition Israel must meet is obedience to God's Law. Only then can we claim our inheritance, although God guarantees that there will be a remnant to carry on. This part of the promise is unconditional. The national message is one that has been totally deleted from the Christian pulpit. Many interpreters of the Bible like to "spiritualize" the national message of salvation because they correctly perceive that the Jews, who claim to be the nation of Israel, fall woefully short of setting the proper example of Biblical Law. The claim is often made that Christianity is the rightful heir because Jewry has abrogated its duty to serve mankind. This is correct; but this spiritualization of the message is only made necessary by the misidentification of Jewry with Israel. Since we know that Anglo-Saxon Christendom is True Israel, the seed of the promise, we also know that there is no need to spiritualize the message. The promises were made to Israel's **seed** , quite literally...no 'if's, 'and's or 'but's .

... ***and my covenant shall be in your flesh for an everlasting covenant*** ." – Gen. 17:13.

I’d like to see how these vain ministers can contort "in your flesh" into "in your church" or "in the synagogue." Do you see the liberties that are being taken by these hypocritical and ignorant scribes? The New Testament also makes this same point very clear:

" ***For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones*** ." – Eph. 5:30. ...not to mention His blood.

As Israelites, we Anglo-Saxons share in the same genetic programming that produced Jesus Christ from Adam through Mary. This very same gene pool was transferred to Great Britain by Anna, daughter of Joseph of Arimathea, Mary’s uncle. Anna is therefore her cousin. Joseph brought the remnant of the Holy Family to Britain where he established the First Christian Church at Avalon (Glastonbury), around 37 A.D. This church was home to Paul while he traveled in Britain. (See **St. Paul in Britain** , by Morgan.) Anna’s daughter, Penardim, was married to the well-known historical figure, King Lear; and Israel’s Davidic throne continues to this day through their descendants, the Tudors, Stuarts, Plantagenets, Hanovers, etc. Let’s see if the Jews can claim any such heritage.

With regard to Israel’s earthly mission, we are referred to as "the salt of the earth," "the light of this world," "the city on the hill," "the servants of mankind," etc. As such, True Israel is destined to "rule" by service through the reestablishment of God’s Law. We have partially succeeded at this by spreading the Gospel throughout the world; but the main aspect of our mission has not yet succeeded, and that is the achievement of our national salvation through obedience to God’s Law.

One of the least understood facts of the New Testament is that the parables of Jesus Christ all refer to the national salvation of the Kingdom of Israel .

Many of these same parables refer to the latter days, the days just before the end of this world order. The Bible is replete with prophecies concerning the "latter days" and the exact nature of the denouement between the children of Cain ("seed of the Serpent") versus the children of Adam ("seed of the woman") is spelled out in great detail and with relative clarity.

Most Christian ministers have put only the "personal salvation" spin on these parables – all of which is probably true – but the national message has been totally ignored by the ministers of false Christianity, or Churchianity, as it has become known. The only "national" messages any of these ministers accept are the dubious claims of Jewry. Once you read these parables with this new understanding, you will be amazed at how clear and pertinent they are.

Jesus Christ: The Lord of the Harvest

"*The harvest is the end of the world* ." – Matt. 13:39.

"*And I looked, and behold, a white cloud, and upon the cloud one sat like unto the Son of man, having on his head a golden crown, and in his hand a sharp sickle...And he that sat on the cloud thrust his sickle in the earth; and the earth was reaped* ." – Rev. 14:14,16.

Let’s begin with the parable of the wheat and the tares:

"*The Kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field: But while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way. But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also. So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field?*

From whence then hath it tares? He said unto them, ***An enemy hath done this*** *. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up? But he said, Nay, lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them. Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bules to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn* ." – Matt. 13:24-30.

In this parable is contained the story from the Garden of Eden down to the Great Day of the Lord. The tares, Jewry and their adherents, were seeded among the good seed by Satan, who had crept into the field and planted his evil seed. The house master decided that it was best to wait until the time of the harvest when the tares could be more easily separated from the wheat. Then would they be bundled up and burned.

In light of the two seedline doctrine, it is obvious what is being described here. It is the main theme of the Bible, from Genesis to Revelation. As numerous Biblical citations herein have shown, the seed of Lucifer through Cain and Esau will be burned with fire at the Last Day. If any of these Edomites are redeemable, God will save them; but as Israelites, our job is to give fair warning and prepare ourselves for the Wedding Feast.

The parable of the mustard seed: "*The kingdom of heaven is like to a grain of mustard seed, which a man took, and sowed in his field: Which indeed is the least of all seeds: but when it is grown, it is the greatest among herbs, and becometh a tree, so that the birds of the air come and lodge in the branches thereof* ." – Matt. 13:31, 32.

The mustard seed is Adamic man, who, since he was the last one to be created, had the smallest population, specifically having been whittled

down to merely 8 souls after the Flood! But his seed grew to be the mightiest tree of all: the Anglo-Saxon nations, to which all other people aspire to live among. The birds in the branches are the non-Israelite immigrants who would live among us because of our heritage of prosperity and freedom.

The parable of the net cast into the sea: "*Again, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a net, that was cast into the sea, and gathered of every kind: Which, when it was full, they drew to shore, and sat down, and gathered the good into vessels, but cast the bad away. So shall it be at the end of the world: the angels shall come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just, And shall cast them into the furnace of fire: there shall be a wailing and a gnashing of teeth* ." – Matt. 13:47-50.

Mr. And Mrs. Anglo-Saxon, are you beginning to see the consistency in the parables? They are invariably concerned with the history of the tribes of Israel and the serpent seed which follows them around wherever they go; and finally with the climax of this history at the end times: the salvation of the good seed, and the destruction of the bad seed. How is it that so many "Christian" ministers have overlooked the obvious? Considering all of the theological seminaries and Bible colleges around the world, how is it possible that this message of National Salvation is utterly suppressed? Is there no Biblical research going on in these institutions? The apostasy is quite complete.

The parable of the unprofitable servant: Matt. 25:14-30 is also about the harvest of souls into the kingdom of heaven. We are all given talents by God, our Father – the double meaning here is beautiful – who expects us to invest our talents and become richer by our own efforts. Obviously, the lazy ones fall behind. The hard-working Christian reaps the reward, gaining

entry into heaven. For those unappreciative, lazy servants, Jesus says, "*Take therefore the talent from him, and give it unto him which hath ten talents* ." If you want to accompany Jesus into the kingdom, make sure your talents haven't been wasted.

The parable of the old and new wine: "*No man putteth a piece of a new garment upon an old; if otherwise, then both the new maketh a rent, and the piece that was taken out of the new agreeth not with the old. And no man putteth new wine into old bottles; else the new wine will burst the bottles, and be spilled, and the bottles shall perish. But new wine must be put into new bottles; and both are preserved. No man also having drunk old wine straightaway desireth new; for he saith, The old is better* ." – Mark 5: 36-39.

The old covenant, rule by priestly law as opposed to God's Law, cannot possibly accept the new wine of self-sacrifice and the abolition of priestly ritual. Those days are over, yet many still want the "old-time religion" of following the rabbi or the priest, the blind leading the blind. You must make yourself into a whole new person, one who is capable of holding the greater light without bursting from the intensity. Israel is to become a Kingdom of Priests, each one answering only to God Himself.

"*And ye shall be unto me a kingdom of priests and a holy nation* ." – Ex. 19:4.

If you are unable to accept this responsibility, then you will say, "The old is better."

"*Can the blind lead the blind? Shall they not both fall into the ditch? The disciple is not above his master: but every one that is perfect shall be as his master* ." – Luke 6:39,40.

If you master yourself by the applied use of God's Law, you will be perfect, and no one else will be your master, except God Himself.

"*Verily I say unto you, There is no man that hath left house, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lands, for my sake, and the gospel's, But he shall receive an hundredfold now in this time, houses, and brethren, and sisters, and mothers, and children, and lands, with persecutions; and in the world to come eternal life. But many that are first shall be last; and the last first* ." – Mark 10: 29-31.

He who serves is the least in the kingdom on earth, yet he rules in the kingdom of heaven. Your reward for such service will be one hundred times what you have achieved on earth. Sort of puts things into perspective, doesn't it? But this does not mean that there will be no earthly reward. Indeed, there will be. It will be God's dominion here on earth administered by the children of Israel practicing His Law.

The Wedding Feast of the Lamb

The parable of the ten virgins: "*Then shall the kingdom of heaven be likened unto ten virgins, which took their lamps, and went forth to meet the bridegroom. And five of them were wise, and five were foolish. They that were foolish took their lamps and took no oil with them: But the wise took*

oil in their vessels with their lamps. While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept. And at midnight there was a cry made, Behold, the bridegroom cometh; go yet out to meet him. Then all those virgins arose, and trimmed their lamps. And the foolish said unto the wise, Give us of your oil; for our lamps are gone out. But the wise answered, saying, Not so; lest there be not enough for us and you; but go ye rather to them that sell, and buy for yourselves. And while they went to buy, the bridegroom came and they that were ready went in with him to the marriage: and the door was shut ." – Matt. 25:1-10.

How wonderfully consistent are these parables. The foolish virgins are those Christians whose faith has died. Their lamps have burned out. The wise ones had enough oil to keep their lamps burning. While the bridegroom tarried for two thousand years, the wise virgins kept their faith and were ready when he arrived. They were allowed into the wedding feast. The foolish virgins got caught sleeping.

"*Afterward, came also the other virgins, saying, Lord, Lord, open to us* ." To these foolish virgins, the bridegroom has this to say: "*Verily I say unto you,* ***I know you not*** *. Watch, therefore, for ye know neither the day nor the hour wherin the Son of man cometh* ." Vs. 11-13.

If you have not prepared yourself for entry into heaven, you will not be allowed in. Heaven is not for fools or for wishy-washy Christians. This should be self-evident.

The parable of the faithful sheep: "*Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that entereth not by the door into the sheepfold, but climbeth up some other way, the same is a thief and a robber. But he that entereth in by the door is the shepherd of the sheep. To him the porter openeth; and the sheep hear his voice:* ***and he calleth his own sheep by name*** *, and leadeth them out. And when he putteth forth his own sheep, he goeth before them, and the sheep follow him: for they know his voice. And a stranger will they not follow, but will flee from him: for they know not the voice of strangers* ." – John 10: 1-5.

The unrighteous want to enter heaven just as much as the righteous, but they do not want to give up their vices. They want it both ways: the evil with the good. But evil is the way of death; and our God, Yahweh, is the God of Life, not of death. So, we can't have it both ways. Sorry! And Jesus Christ, the Son of God knows your soul well enough, that when the time comes, to call you by name ; so be ready to hear his voice, for he will be inviting you to come into the wedding feast.

After telling the above parable to the larger audience, the doubting Jews gathered around him and demanded that he explain himself. He said to them:

"*But ye believe not because ye are not of my sheep.* " -- Vs. 26.

At that point they took up stones to stone him because they knew he was saying they were not worthy. Jesus answered them:

"*Many good works have I shown you from my Father; for which of those works do ye stone me* ?"

But all they knew or wanted to know was their own earthly tradition. Their heaven, their earthly dictatorship, was right here and now, and they didn't want to hear anybody say it was going to be taken away from them.

Then, to those who believed on him, he turned and said:

"*I am the vine, ye are the branches: He that abideth in me, and I in him, the same bringeth forth much fruit: for without me ye can do nothing. If a man abide not in me, he is cast forth as a branch, and is withered; and men gather them, and cast them into the fire, and they are burned. If ye abide in me, and my words abide in you , ye shall ask what ye will, and it shall be done unto you. Herein is my Father glorified, that ye bear much fruit; so shall ye be my disciples. As the Father hath loved me, so have I loved you: continue ye in my love. If ye keep my commandments , ye shall abide in my love; even as I have kept my Father's commandments, and abide in his love. These things have I spoken unto you, that my joy might remain in you, and that your joy might be full. This is my commandment, That ye love one another, as I have loved you . Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends. Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you* ." – John 15:5-14.

Jesus is our friend indeed. For as the totally innocent Son of God, He gave up His life for us, so that we might be His friends. I hope that you, as a Christian, appreciate what He has done for you. At the same time, I would hope that all of those Christians, who have been taught that we are saved by faith only, appreciate the necessity of keeping His commandments. This is how you become a child of God. Anything less is foolishness.

Yahweh’s Divorce of Israel and Her Marriage to Yahshua

The Wedding Feast of the Lamb was ordained by God long before the crucifixion. Israel is referred to as the "bride of Christ." Why is this?

"*And there came unto me one of the seven angels which had the seven vials full of the seven last plagues, and talked with me, saying Come hither, I will show thee the bride, the Lamb’s wife. And he carried me away in the spirit to a great and high mountain, and shewed me that great city, the holy Jerusalem, descending out of heaven from God, Having the glory of God...and had a wall great and high and had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels, and names written thereon, which are the names of the twelve tribes of the children of Israel."* – Rev. 21:9-12.

Part of Jesus Christ’s mission is to marry Israel. In fact, the Wedding Feast of the Lamb is the last, joyous event in the historical unfolding of the Bible narrative. The reason why Jesus must marry Israel is because she was once the wife of Yahweh; but God the Father had divorced her because she went whoring after strange gods.

"*Yahweh said also unto me in the days of Josiah the king, Hast thou seen that which backsliding Israel hath done? She is gone up upon every high mountain and under every green tree, and there hath played the harlot. And I said after she had done all these things, Turn thou unto me. But she returned not. And her treacherous sister Judah saw it. And I saw, when for all the causes whereby backsliding Israel committed adultery I had put her away, and given her a bill of divorce; yet her treacherous sister Judah feared not, but went and played the harlot also* ." – Jer. 3:6-8.

So Yahweh God divorced Israel. If she would not return to Him, He would cast her out. Going back to Leviticus, Chapter 26, Yahweh says,

"*And if ye will not yet hearken unto me, then I will punish you seven times more for your sins* ." – Vs. 18.

From other prophetic studies, especially in the Book of Daniel, prophetic researchers have concluded that "a time" for Yahweh is a period of 360 years, symbolizing the 360 degrees of a full circle. So, if God is going to punish Israel for "seven times," the period is 7 times 360, which equals 2520 years. Yes, Israel was cast out into the wilderness and taken off into captivity by the Assyrians. The exact date of the first deportation was 745 B.C. If we add 2520 years to 745 B.C., we get 1776 A.D., the exact year in which Israel, as represented by the United States of America, declared her independence from Great Britain, and thus from the future 8th Beast, the Rothschild-financed and Illuminati-inspired New World Order. From this it is clear that the United States of America would lead the sovereign nations in the battle against this beast.

As of the year 2003, the 8th Beast still has the upper hand, but Israel has yet to awaken to her role as the Bride of Christ. As she awakens to her destiny, fulfilling the many prophecies of both the Old and New Testaments, Israel will begin to prepare herself for her role as the Bride of Christ. This means that there will be a Last Great Revival, namely the Restoration of Israel in the Latter Days which will be initiated by Elijah (John the Baptist) and which will culminate in the Wedding Feast of the Lamb.

Yahweh divorced Israel for the crime of fornication with other gods. According to God’s Law, this is the only reason for which a wife can be legally divorced.

"*It hath been said, Whosoever shall put away his wife, let him give her a writing of divorcement: But I say unto you, That whosoever shall put away his wife, saving for the cause of fornication, causeth her to commit adultery: and whosoever shall marry her that is divorced committeth adultery* ." – Matt. 5:31,32.

Since the tribes of Israel found Yahweh too demanding a husband, He divorced her for her fornications. Thus, the divorce was legal. This fact freed her to marry someone else. After her 2520-year period of punishment, Israel was freed to remarry. Strangely enough, the bridegroom is to be God's own Son, Jesus Christ. The Restoration of Israel is the cleansing period in which Israel prepares herself and becomes worthy of remarriage into the household of Yahweh God. This is the full significance of the parable of the wedding feast! Prepare ye for the Wedding Feast of the Lamb!

And to conclude this section on the parables of Jesus, I would like to quote John 16 20-28:

"*Verily, verily, I say unto you, That ye shall weep and lament, but the world shall rejoice: and ye shall be sorrowful, but your sorrow shall be turned into joy. A woman when she is in travail hath sorrow, because her hour is come: but as soon as she is delivered of the child, she remembereth no more the anguish, for joy that a man is born into the world. And ye now therefore have sorrow:* ***but I will see you again*** *, and your heart shall rejoice, and your joy no man taketh from you. And in that day shall ye ask me nothing. Verily, verily, I say unto you, Whatsoever ye shall ask the Father in my name, he will give it you. Hitherto have ye asked nothing in my name* [Yahshua]: *ask and ye shall receive, that your joy may be full. These things have I spoken unto you in proverbs: but the time cometh, when I shall no*

more speak in proverbs, but I shall show you plainly of the Father. At that day ye shall ask in my name [Yahshua]: *and I say not unto you, that I will pray the Father for you: For the Father himself loveth you, because ye have loved me, and have believed that I came out from God. I came forth from the Father, and am come into the world: again, I leave the world, and go to the Father* ."

From such as this, the promise is as good as done.

The Ten Commandments Restored

"*If ye love me, keep my commandments* ." – John 14:15.

Christianity has plodded along recently thinking that it is not important to maintain a strong commitment to the Ten Commandments. Most observers of the current moral decline in our culture will point out that this decline directly follows our abandonment of the Ten Commandments. Our public schools are forbidden to display them. One might say, if we abandon God, why shouldn't He abandon us? Or, another way of putting it: If we abandon His Law, we lose His blessings.

Notice, first of all, In Exodus, Chapter 20, that Yahweh God is specifically addressing the tribes of Israel. "*I am the Lord thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.* " – Vs. 2. Although the Ten Commandments apply to all mankind, they apply especially to Israel, because Israel is supposed to set an example to mankind by observing and keeping God's commandments.

Commandment #1: "*Thou shalt have no other gods before me* ."

It should be obvious that "no other gods" refers not only to pagan gods but to personal gods as well, such as alcohol, drugs, food, etc. One whose mind dwells on these things before God is guilty of idolizing these things and putting them before Him. One of the reasons Christians used to say grace was to acknowledge His providence. Now, we thank only Kellogg's or General Foods. Remember the true source of your supply at all times.

Commandment #2: "*Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: Thou shalt not bow down to them, nor serve them: for I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me; And showing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments* ." – Vs. 4-6.

Idol worship leads to selfish requests for goodies from lesser gods and goddesses. Eventually, it becomes witchcraft wherein evil spells are cast against one's "enemies." Interestingly, the Catholic Church has always eliminated this Commandment from their catechisms. The Popes decided that all of the statues of Mary, Jesus, and the saints could not be maintained in view of this Commandment. The principle is the same as for the first Commandment, but with the specific prohibition against physical images.

Certainly, we can allow the practice of religious art; but no organized religion can mandate the worship of specific items of art. More importantly, however, Yahweh, your Father, wants you to have <u>a personal relationship</u> with Him and His Son. This should always be kept on a spiritual level and in accordance with the teachings of the Bible.

Commandment #3: " *Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain; for the Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain* ." - Vs. 7.

Now, here we have a very important development within Christianity. Israel has forgotten God's name. What is being discussed here is **not** swearing while using the word 'God' in a sentence. What is being discussed here is the name, YAHWEH. Without the vowels, the name is known as the tetragrammaton, YHWH. Israel's God has a name; and that name is YAHWEH. We haven't been taking His name in vain because we have forgotten it. It's about time we reclaim our heritage and start using God's name again.

"*And Moses said unto God, Behold, when I come unto the children of Israel, and shall say unto them, The God of your fathers hath sent me unto you; and they shall say to me, What is his name? What shall I say unto them? And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you. And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel,* ***Yahweh*** *God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob,* ***hath sent me unto you*** *: this is my name forever and this is my memorial unto all generations* ." – Ex. 3:13-15.

The Bible repeatedly admonishes us to revere God's name in prayer, praise and song; but we haven't done this for centuries. His name is forever and it is to be **a memorial** unto all Israel. So, why haven't we been using His name? One of the answers is provided by **Strong's Concordance** itself, although unintentionally. The English rendition, "the LORD," of the Hebrew "Yahweh" is listed as word #3068. Strong incorrectly uses the traditional Jewish spelling and pronunciation of "Jehovah." "Jehovah" is a medieval rabbincal concoction which is typically unbiblical. Virtually all scholars agree that the correct pronunciation is "Yahweh," with the first syllable pronounced like the German "Ya" and the second syllable pronounced like the German "geh" as in "Gehen Sie heim." This German "eh" sound is longer than the English short "eh" as in "Ed" but shorter than

the English "ay" sound, as in "way." It is almost directly in between. And, in Hebrew, the W is pronounced like a V ("Yahveh").

Since we have forgotten we are Israel, we also have forgotten to use His name when praying to Him. God wants us to address Him by His name, Yahweh. Yahweh means Creator, or, more primordially, ‘I am becoming’, or ‘I am that I am’, or even more simply, ‘I am.’

"*Blessed is the people that know the joyful sound: they shall walk, O Yahweh, in the light of thy countenance. In **thy name** shall they rejoice all the day: and in thy righteousness shall they be exalted* ." – Ps. 89:15,16.

"*Unto thee, O God, do we give thanks, unto thee do we give thanks: for that **thy name** is near thy wondrous works declare* ." – Psalm 75:1.

"*Help us, O God of our salvation, for the glory of thy name: and deliver us, and purge away our sins, for **thy name’s** sake* ." – Ps. 79:9.

When we address God by His name, Yahweh, we are acknowledging His self-creating Being. His name means causation, creativity, will, and power. He is the One who creates everything and allows everything else to be created because of Him. This awesome power is reflected in His name and that’s why we are commanded to 1.) use it properly and 2.) not take it in vain.

"*In Judah God is known: **his name** is great in Israel* ." – Psalm 76:1.

A major feature of the Restoration of Israel in the latter days is this rediscovery of His Name. We are Yah's people and Yah is our God. When we address Him by His Name, Yahweh, He will listen to us. 'God' is a title, not a name.

'Yahweh' is also an affirmation of life. 'Yah' means 'yes'. We accept life with all of its challenges and responsibilities because life is God's blessing given to us. The subject of God's name is a very important one. It is the subject of many philological articles and sermons.

One of the main differences between Judaism and Biblical Christianity is the use of God's name. Contrary to the teachings of the Bible, Judaism forbids the use of God's name, Yahweh. Why would "God's chosen people" disobey their own God and not use His name at all? Well, since the Jews aren't God's people, it is easy to understand why they don't. In order to take God's name in vain, you have to know what that name is. Israel has <u>forgotten</u> His name, and the Jews <u>forbid</u> the use of it.

Most translations of the Bible contain the expression "the LORD" in place of the name 'Yahweh'. But 'Lord' in English is the same as 'Baal' in Hebrew! Why would God want us to address Him as 'Baal' when He condemns Baal worship? Obviously, God does not want us to do so. The explanation for this curious phenomenon lies in the fact that the translators of the King James Bible had adopted the **Jewish tradition** of replacing 'Yahweh' with 'the Lord' wherever it occurred in the Old Testament. What is the Biblical justification for doing this? There is none!

But there are two reasons for this tradition. The first is the false assumption that the rabbis are the authorities on this subject. As we have abundantly shown in this volume, Judaism is NOT the religion of the Old Testament. Their book is the Talmud which often changes and even contradicts the Old Testament. For the rabbi, it <u>overrules</u> the Old Testament. It would not be erroneous to say that whatever the Torah forbids, the Talmud permits.

The second reason can only be a sinister motive by the rabbis who want to keep True Israel from praying to their God in an effective way. If we don't know our own God's name, He does not respond to us in a personal manner. It's the difference between shouting "Hey, you!" versus "Dear John." – Or the difference between wishing upon a star and making a personal appeal to your Creator. To which do you think Yahweh is more likely to respond?

*"I will **publish the name** of Yahweh: ascribe ye greatness unto our God* ." – Deut. 32:3.

*"I will **praise thy name** , O Yahweh, for it is good* ." – Ps. 54:6.

The Bible is full of admonitions for us to use His name and praise His name, especially in the book of Psalms. The Book of Psalms is a book of prayers in which almost every prayer is dedicated to His name and to the use and praise of His name. This should be a lesson for all Israelites, especially when it comes to answering the question, "Why doesn't God answer my prayers?" When you start addressing Him by His name, Yahweh, then you will start getting answers. But when asking for personal help, be sure to make a plea for all Israel and for all mankind, because selfish prayer is not advocated by the Bible. Remember what Jesus said:

"*Seek first the kingdom of God and all these things shall be added unto you* ."

Pray first for instruction and enlightenment, and the same for others; and only thereafter for supplication.

What’s your name? Are you more likely to respond to someone who uses your name or to someone who calls out "Hey, you, Baal!"?

To underscore this topic about God’s name, I would like to quote from the Preface of the Holy Bible, Revised Standard Version, 1972:

"*A major departure for the practice of the American Standard Version is the rendering of the Divine Name, the "Tetragrammaton." The American Standard Version used the term "Jehovah"; the King James Version had employed this in four places, but everywhere else, except in three cases where it was employed as part of a proper name, used the English word LORD (or in certain cases GOD) printed in capitals. The present revision returns to the procedure of the King James Version, which follows the precedent of the ancient Greek and Latin translators* ***and the long established practice in the reading of the Hebrew scriptures in the synagogue*** ."

Now, dear Christian, should we follow Jewish tradition just because the Jews do it or should we follow what the Bible tells us to do? Your answer may determine which side you’re on at the Judgment Day.

Continuing:

"*While it is almost if not quite certain that the Name was originally pronounced "Yahweh," this pronunciation was not indicated when the*

Masoretes added vowel signs to the consonantal Hebrew text. To the four consonants YHWH of the Name, which had come to be regarded as too sacred to be pronounced [by what Biblical authority, please!], *they attached vowel signs indicating that in its place should be read the Hebrew word Adonai meaning "Lord" (or Elohim meaning "God"). The ancient Greek translators substituted the word Kyrios (Lord) for the Name. The Vulgate likewise used the Latin word Dominus. The form "Jehovah" is of late medieval origin; it is a combination of the consonants of the Divine Name and the vowels attached to it by the Masoretes but belonging to an entirely different word. The sound of Y is represented by J and the sound of W by V, as in Latin. For two reasons the Committee has returned to the more familiar usage of the King James Version: (1) the word "Jehovah" does not accurately represent any form of the Name ever used in Hebrew; and (2) the use of any proper name for the one and only god, as though there were other gods from whom He had to be distinguished, was discontinued in Judaism before the Christian era and is entirely inappropriate for the universal faith of the Christian Church* ." – pages 3 and 4 of the Preface (no printed pagination).

In a previous Chapter, we discussed the merits of the Masoretic Text versus the Septuagint and we concluded that the Septuagint was a more accurate text. This was proved by the discovery of certain books of the Old Testament in the Dead Sea Scrolls. These Dead Sea Scroll versions proved to be older than either the Masoretic of Septuagint texts. The Septuagint adhered more closely to the Dead Sea Scrolls, thus verifying that the rabbis had made changes to the original text. Since the Masoretic text is the Jewish version, we must regard with suspicion any changes that the rabbis have made to the text, especially when it comes to crossing out the name "Yahweh" and replacing it with the title "Lord." Such a practice can only be described as blasphemous, for the Bible declares over and over that we are to use and praise His name . Nowhere does the Bible even suggest the idea that the name is "too holy to be pronounced." This false piety is just that: FALSE…and deceptive.

Unfortunately, the Committee, which is to be commended for dropping the use of the word "Jehovah," nevertheless falls into the same trap. Conclusion number 2, to repeat, states:

"*The use of any proper name for the one and only God, as though there were other gods from whom He had to be distinguished, was discontinued in Judaism before the Christian era and is entirely inappropriate for the universal faith of the Christian Church* ."

The rabbinical excuse for not using the proper name, Yahweh, is based on the supposed needlessness of having to distinguish between Yahweh and other gods. The patent absurdity of this claim is proven by merely recalling the First Commandment, which says,

"*Thou shalt have no other gods before me* ."

How can we obey this commandment without being aware of the distinction ? Obviously, there are lesser gods than Yahweh, the Most High; and Yahweh wants us to always remember the fact that He is the **Most High God** ! Yahweh is most certainly to be distinguished from other gods . Why else would He insist on our usage of His proper name? Again, the rabbis have turned Scripture upside down and interpreted it to mean the exact opposite of its true meaning. For this blatant distortion of Scripture, the rabbis deserve the highest marks from Lucifer himsself.

Considering the above-cited Biblical references concerning our God, Yahweh, and the commanded use of His proper name, I find it

inconceivable that these apparently well-intentioned Christians could make such a horrible mistake. Nowhere in the Bible are we admonished not to use God's name except for taking His name in vain. On the contrary, honoring and praising His holy Name is one of the highest virtues of a Biblical Christian . Let there be no mistake: the avoidance of the proper use of God's name is a Pharisaic error. It is the leaven of the Pharisees at its worst; and all Christians should become aware that this "Judeo-Christian" subterfuge is part of the Talmudic end game designed to weaken Christianity and True Israel.

The fact is that, in the hearts and minds of Israelites and all mankind, Yahweh is indeed competing against other gods. The fact that He has a proper name is all the more reason to use it. By using His proper name, Yahweh, *we remind ourselves of His omnipotence* rather than, as the Committee suggests, diminish the idea of His importance. This Committee was obviously influenced by Jewish fables. We pray that the deleterious influence of these fables ends once and for all, **in Yahweh's name** . Amen.

Commandment#4: *"Remember the sabbath day, to keep it holy . . . "*

The previous discussion of the Third Commandment demonstrates how thoroughly Judaized modern Christianity has become. Nowhere is this Talmudization more evident than in the problem of Sabbath-keeping, for this is another area in which Christian Israelites have been kept totally in the dark for centuries.

First, let me say that the Sabbath day is neither Saturday nor Sunday. God's Sabbath day of rest has nothing to do with the modern seven-day week. In fact, when God instituted the Sabbath, the Greeks were using a ten-day week and the Chaldeans were using a six-day week. Neither was there such a thing as continuous, unbroken reckoning of seven-day weeks. Virtually all of the pagan calendars in use at that time, including the Bablylonian calendar were lunar-solar, and the beginnings of months were determined by the NEW MOON. Since the moon's 29 & 1/2 day orbit is totally out of synch with the earth's 365 day orbit around the sun, we can see that a lunar-

solar calendar requires periodic adjustments. These adjustments are made by the use of **intercalary days** and **interacalary months** .

An intercalary day is a day which is added every so often in order to make the calendar adjust to the actual seasons. We do this every four years by adding the Leap Year day of February 29th so that our calendar doesn't stray too far from the seasonal equinoxes and solstices. The reason for this is that an earth year is exactly 365.242 days long. So, after four years, a full day has to be accounted for; and this becomes the February 29th of every fourth year.

Now hear this: The modern Jewish calendar which is – you guessed it – based on the Babylonian calendar, has absolutely nothing to do with Yahweh's purely solar calendar . The rabbis, again, are at variance with Biblical teaching, and, in this case, are totally ignorant of Biblical teaching because the Babylonian calendar is such a main figment of Jewish life that no other possibility is even considered. Somewhere along the line, the rabbis decided that Saturday is the Sabbath day; and they have adjusted their calendar with intercalary days and months to make everything work out from year to year. But the lunar-solar calendar is so complicated that extra months must be inserted occasionally, so that the Jewish calendar can have as many as thirteen months; and the number of days per year can vary from 353 to 384. This calendar repeats in nineteen-year cycles which are based on the phases of the moon. It just so happens that the sun and the moon synchronize every nineteen years, and the process starts all over again.

Now, Yahweh God's calendar, according to the Bible, starts on the first full **day** of the spring equinox. Whatever phase the moon is in is totally irrelevant. And this day, Abib 1, God has declared is a Sabbath day. Would you expect the Jews to at least adhere to this much of the Biblical teaching? Of course not! They begin their calendar in the fall, Tishri 1, and they start their months with the new moon. Since, as you should know by now, they follow the **Babylonian** tradition, they cannot possibly follow the Biblical tradition because the two are antipodes. Remember that the 8th Beast of Revelation is Babylon reborn . Who (which ethnic group) is it that has kept the whole menagerie of Babylonian traditions alive and dragged their

teachings from the barbarous past into the otherwise civilized present? What does God say about this Babylonian calendar?

"**Your new moons I hateth** ." – Isa. 1:14. Clear enough? Now, if Yahweh God tells us that He hates something, we had better pay attention.

Let me assure you. God's calendar has nothing to do with new moons. The moon does not even figure into the Biblical calendar. The first Sabbath of every year is the first full day of the spring equinox. OK, we have a starting point. In simplified terms, from this point on, every seventh day thereafter is another Sabbath day. Given 52 weeks times 7 days, that gives us 364 days, which is 1&1/4 days short of the true year. The way God's calendar adjusts for these differences is by adding intercalary days in order to get us back into synchronization with the true year.

That was a simplified explanation. It does not take into account the various Feast Days which God commands us to observe. The Feast of Tabernacles, for example, consists of eight days at the end of the summer harvest season, near the autumn equinox. Pentecost and the other Feast Days all impact the calendar as well, but God has His reasons for establishing His calendar, so we must make a detailed study of God's solar calendar, so we can understand when the true Sabbaths actually occur. For all the historical debate that has gone on between those who insist that Saturday is the Sabbath or that Sunday is the Sabbath, only one thing can be said: It's much ado about nothing. They're both wrong! Neither position is supported by Scripture because Yahweh's calendar does not include a continuous series of seven-day weeks.

Before proceeding any further, let's state the full text of the Fourth Commandment:

"*Remember the sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labor, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the sabbath of Yahweh thy God: in it thou shalt do no work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, nor thy*

manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates ." Exodus 20:8-10.

Now, although the Jews keep their Sabbaths, they do not keep them in full faith, for the rabbis allow them to hire goyim to do work for them on the Sabbath. What does the last portion of verse 10 say? *"...nor thy stranger that is within thy gates" and before that, "nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant* ." Leave it to the rabbis to make exceptions . Oops, the Commandment doesn't mention your wife! Do you think the husband can make her work on the Sabbath? A thorough search of the Talmud might just reveal another exception.

For Christian Israelites, it is obvious that since both the husband and wife of every household perform work, the Commandment applies to them equally.

To illustrate rabbinical dishonesty with regard to the Sabbath, in the June 22, 2001 issue of the **Toronto Globe and Mail** , the following story is reported:

"*The Montreal suburb of Outremont has been ordered to permit religious Jews in the community to erect a new **eruv** , a thin strand of wire that has become a divisive issue in the well-to-do enclave.*

*Quebec Superior Court Justice Allan Hilton sided with five Orthodox Jews who went to court for the right to string up an **eruv** . They took up a legal battle after civic officials dismantled it, calling it an infringement on public property.*

*An **eruv** is created by a series of barely visible wires or fishing lines that symbolically extend the Jewish household. Strung up high above the street, it allows traditional Jews to do basic tasks outside the home on the Sabbath that are permitted only in the home, such as carrying a prayer book or food.*

Justice Hilton's ruling, citing Charter guarantees of freedom of religion, did not put the hot-button issue to rest.

A group of Outremont residents began to jeer in the courtroom following his decision, shouting out that Outrement's rapidly growing community of Hassidic Jews were "fundmentalists" who are turning their city into a ghetto.

"They're letting fundamentalists take over a territory,' complained Gisele Lafortune. 'It's something Catholics never did."

Members of the Hasidic community said they hoped the ruling would restore good relations with their neighbours. Though complaints about the eruv came from a small core of people, they fed tensions and prompted accusations that the religious Jews were victims of discrimination ."

Very interesting: defacing the neighborhood, violating the separation of church and state, forcing their religious views on their non-Jewish neighbors, using their influence in the courts to overrule established community statutes, all in the name of Talmudic exceptions to the Sabbath! Such examples of tolerance by the Jews are commonplace. We must tolerate their intolerance as long as the overpresence of the Zionist International can be used to intimidate local magistrates.

(The above story is concrete evidence that the Jews, once they achieve a foothold in the host community, never rest in trying to impose their culture upon the host culture. This is what Francis Parker Yockey called "culture distortion." This is what they have done throughout history, wherever they have settled. Nothing has changed. The parasite has a willfully malicious and overbearing appetite which apparently cannot be satisfied.)

Getting back to the 4th Commandment:

"*For in six days Yahweh made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore Yahweh blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it* ." – Verse 11.

In vain have Bible scholars tried to count back in time to the first Sabbath day, hoping to make history fit into a neat, continuous series of seven-day weeks. Sorry, but this just cannot be done. The modern seven-day week did not emerge until the time of Julius Caesar. The Julian calendar served mankind well until the Gregorian made needed corrections; and it is this calendar which we are still using today. But there was no such concept in the time of Christ. And the current names of the weekdays are actually a very recent development. The modern seven-day week has absolutely nothing to do with ancient history and there is no possibility of reconciling our current notion of the week with God's Biblical calendar. There is simply no relationship between the two.

In the simplest possible terms, God's calendar is an agricultural calendar. The first Sabbath of the year, the spring equinox, is also near the harvest time of the winter barley crop. Yahweh times His feast days with such things as harvests and memorials. The feast days are also symbolic, with the last day of the Feast of Tabernacles presaging the birth of Christ as well as the Second Coming of Jesus Christ. This is why it is called "the last great day" of the Feast of Tabernacles. And since the Second Coming has yet to arrive, it behooves all Christians to prepare themselves for the Second Coming by restoring the Feast of Tabernacles into their yearly worship. Too broad a subject for our current discussion, the Biblical calendar, with its Sabbaths and Feast Days, is explained in Appendix E.

Although in the Book of Genesis Yahweh refers to the seventh day of creation as His day of rest, He <u>does not</u> refer to it as a weekly Sabbath. The first mention of the Sabbath is in Exodus 16:22:

"*And it came to pass, that on the sixth day they gathered twice as much bread, two omers for one man: and all the rulers of the congregation came and told Moses. And he said unto them, This is that which Yahweh hath said, Tomorrow is the rest of the holy sabbath unto Yahweh: bake that which ye will bake today, and seethe that ye will seethe: and that which remaineth over lay up for you to be kept until the morning. And they laid it up till the morning, as Moses bade: and it did not stink, neither was there any worm therein. And Moses said, Eat that today; for today is a sabbath unto Yahweh: today ye shall not find it in the field. Six days shall ye gather it; but on the seventh day, which is the sabbath, in it there shall be none...So the people rested on the seventh day* ." – Vs. 22-30

Now, this gathering of manna and baking of bread took place right after the exodus from Egypt. The Bible does not mention any Sabbaths being celebrated between His personal day of rest and this post-exodus Sabbath. God chose this time to institute the Sabbath. The Sabbath statute was established on that day and it was to be celebrated by Israel from that day forward, forever.

"*It shall be a sabbath of rest unto you, and ye shall afflict your souls, by a statute forever.* " – Lev. 16:31.

The statute is to remind us of His day of rest. It is a memorial. There is no need to count any further back in time to try to find the origin of the Sabbath. This is the origin of the Sabbath. On that day, we are to rest from our earthly concerns, study the Bible, and focus on our relationship with God. Obviously, the Saturday Sabbath and the Sunday Sabbath are attempts to meet this requirement, but neither of these traditions satisfies the Biblical requirements.

One final point as it pertains to the Restoration of Israel: It is absolutely crucial that Christian Israel reestablish the Biblical Sabbath. If not, we will never overcome the Eighth Beast. Leviticus 26 tells us:

"*Ye shall keep my sabbaths, and reverence my sanctuary: I am Yahweh your God. If ye walk in my statutes, and keep my commandments, and do them; Then will I give you rain in due season, and the land shall yield her increase, and the trees of the field shall yield her fruit. And your threshing shall reach unto the vintage, and the vintage shall reach unto the sowing time: and ye shall eat your bread to the full, and dwell in your land safely. And I will give peace in the land, and ye shall lie down, and none shall make you afraid: and I will rid evil beasts out of the land, neither shall the sword go through your land. And ye shall chase your enemies, and they shall fall before you by the sword* ." – Vs. 2-7.

What part of "*Ye shall keep my sabbaths* " is so hard to understand? Still think that God's Law was "nailed to the cross" and no longer in effect? Leviticus 26 gives us the prescription for peace and plenty. OBEY MY COMMANDMENTS! If not, destruction comes upon our people and our land. Those shyster "pastors" – wolves in shepherd's clothing – who try to tell us that God's Law no longer pertains because we are saved by faith only, those pastors will most certainly be the first to experience God's wrath on the Judgment Day for so brazenly distorting God's word.

And to demonstrate that the New Testament is <u>in full accord</u> with the Old, Jesus tells us in no uncertain terms:

"If ye love me, keep my commandments ." – John 14:15.

Look at the last century of tribulation. Look at the world today with World War III beginning because of our senseless punishment of the nations of Afghanistan and Iraq. Do you think that the Muslim world is going to sit still while we mercilessly attack an entire people just to satisfy the ambitions of a bunch of greedy Zionists? Be sure that revenge will follow in numerous ways for putting so many innocent people in harm's way while we went after the latest bogeymen.

Of course, many innocent Americans were killed on September 11, 2001; but that does not give us the right to an eye for an eye. Our response should have been dispassionate because two wrongs do not make a right. The true perpetrators must be found and justice must be secured. Killing more innocent people is a fatally flawed response . America had the money and the influence to narrow Osama bin Laden's whereabouts down, through informants and through espionage contacts; but the war-mongers chose immediate war. Knee-jerk retaliation is beneath us as Israelites, but our politicians are no longer Christians; and we will suffer the consequences.

In a speech after that fateful day, Osama Bin Laden had this to say:

"*Are not our tragedies but caused by the United Nations who issued the Partition Resolution on Palestine in 1947 and surrendered the land of the Muslims to the Jews? It was the United Nations in 1947. Those who claim that they are the leaders of the Arabs and continue to appeal to the United Nations have disavowed what was revealed to Prophet Muhammad, God's peace and blessings be upon him."*

"Today, and without any evidence, the United Nations passes resolutions supporting unjust and tyrannical America, which oppresses these helpless people who have emerged from a merciless war at the hands of the Soviet Union...A year ago, Putin demanded that the cross and the Jews should stand by him. He told them: You must support us and thank us because we

are waging a war against Muslim fundamentalism. The enemies are speaking very clearly ."

Whether or not he disputes the evidence, it is obvious that Osama Bin Laden knows more about the 8th Beast than most Americans do. Because of the Rockefeller family and their business partners, America has been one of the main supporters of the United Nations since its inception. Since America, under the influence of the Zionists in our politics, morals, and media, has become a disobedient nation, we are going to lose the protection granted by Leviticus 26. God help us.

Christian Zionists beware:

"...*Should you help the wicked and love those who hate the LORD? Therefore the wrath of the LORD is come upon you* ." – II Chron. 19:2.

Can we blame the Muslim world for being angry with us when we have supported Israeli terrorism 100%? They call America "the Great Satan" for a reason!

The Israeli State delights in having other nations do the dirty work for international Jewry. If you cannot see the puppeteer's strings making George W. Bush dance, then you need glasses. Adding fuel to the fire, Israel's Prime Minister, Ariel Sharon, known to the Palestinians as "the butcher of Beirut," declared war on "terrorism" – except Israel's own terrorism, of course. This declaration occurred on December 3, 2001. He said, "*This will not be a short war* ." Do you think that this terrorist will cease his own terrorism?

Commandment #5: "*Honor thy father and thy mother* ."

No doubt we should respect our biological parents; but there is an even more important meaning to this Commandment. We are also to honor and respect Abraham and Sarah, Isaac & Rebekah, Jacob, Leah, Bilah, Zilpah, and Rachel, i.e., **our heritage** , our forefathers and foremothers, for they are the ones who kept the Faith and made the birth of our King Jesus possible.

Commandment #6: "*Thou shalt not kill* ."

This one is self-evident except for self-defense, war, and legal executions. God mandates the death penalty for a variety crimes, especially murder. Our anti-Christ legal system has gotten further and further away from God's Commandments and much closer to the leaven of the Pharisees. A more accurate translation would say, "*Thou shalt not murder* ."

With regard to our misguided and foolish attack on Afghanistan after September 11, 2001, we are open to the charge of murder. "*Doth our law judge any man, before it hear him, and know what he doeth* ?" – John 7:51. The Bush administration's hasty attack on the nation of Afghanistan, whose innocent people are being bombed and killed because the Taliban regime had taken over **with the CIA's help** during the war against the Soviet Union, is an absolute travesty. It shows how anti-Christian our government has become. "Shoot first and ask questions later." The Bush administration claimed that it had "credible evidence" of Osama Bin Laden's involvement in the September 11 attack. No such evidence has ever been presented to the public.

The kosher press never pursued the story of this very important question: "Who was involved in the short-selling on American Airlines and United Airlines stock just before the September 11 attacks?" The answer to this question will tell you who has the most to hide. A concerned press and a concerned intelligence community would be doing its utmost to find out

who these "investors" were. The fact is that the intelligence community knows who they are, but they are not telling us because the investors were not Arabs. If they were, the story would have been front page news. Everything suggests that the Israelis were up to their old tricks, trying to get us embroiled in another war for their benefit. These war mongers thus reap huge profits while reducing both the Christian and Muslim populations through constant warfare – paid for by America.

George Orwell predicted in his book, **1984** , that there would come a time of "perpetual war for perpetual peace." This was Big Brother's strategy for dividing the world into two opposing camps which would make perpetual war upon each other. The two opposing regimes, manipulated from above by a secret, controlling organization or gang, would constantly whip up their own people into "patriotic" frenzies to perpetuate the war. The Second War on Iraq was Phase II of the post-September 11 master plan of knocking over the Muslim Dominoes.

Commandment #7: "*Thou shalt not commit adultery* ."

Aside from the obvious meaning of having sexual relations outside of marriage, there is the additional meaning of "whoring after other gods," which forbids the nation of Israel from engaging in the abominable practices of other religions. In addition, miscegenation is also a form of adultery. It is the **adulteration of the seed** .

Commandment #8: "*Thou shalt not steal* ."

Our latest generation of children, who have been de-educated by the Rockefeller-sponsored public education system, think nothing of cheating and stealing. The Ten Commandments have been eradicated from our educational system and moral relativism has replaced God's moral absolutes. Do you think Leviticus 26 applies here? <u>If we do not obey His</u>

Law, then His curse will be upon us . Do you think Yahweh keeps His promises?

Christendom does not realize that usury is forbidden also under this commandment, for usury is nothing more than a sneaky form of theft. The bankers are allowed to legally plunder the class of hard-working people who are unfortunately in debt to the usurers. Once forbidden to all Christians, usury has become an institution, especially in the Twentieth Century, which has been called the "Jewish Century," because of the dominance of the 8th Beast through the tactics of usury and monetary control.

Commandment #9: "*Thou shalt not bear false witness* ."

In these days of excess litigation, anti-Constitutional seizure laws, and corrupt courts, an honest man hardly stands a chance.

Zionism's brutal and racist defamation of the German people, given its perpetual and profitable holocaust hoax, will not go unpunished by Yahweh.

Commandment #10: "*Thou shalt not covet* ."

Television, movies, magazines, socialism, the welfare state have all but made covetousness an institution. Socialism is made possible because politicians exploit the envy of the poor in order create transfer-payment programs. Have the poor gotten any richer? Or has the middle class gotten poorer? Conspicuous consumption and commercialism have made materialism a way of life. Christmas is becoming nothing more than a shopping spree, the Christmas spirit being ever harder to find amid all the hustle and bustle. Even the mention of Jesus Christ's name or saying "Merry Christmas" is becoming taboo because it offends the Jews, yet eruvs are protected by the judicial system so that Jews can cheat on their Sabbath. Is not this double standard totally offensive to your sense of justice and fair

play? Christian symbols are being illegalized. Dollar signs are OK, though. So is the Star of Solomon and the menorah candlesticks. Happy Channukah!

The Eleventh Commandment: The Law of Jubilees

"*And thou shalt number seven sabbaths of years unto thee, seven times seven years; and the space of the seven sabbaths of years shall be unto thee forty and nine years. Then shalt thou cause the trumpet of the jubile to sound on the tenth day of the seventh month, in the day of atonement shall ye make the trumpet sound throughout all your land. And ye shall hallow the fiftieth year, and proclaim liberty throughout all the land unto all the inhabitants thereof: it shall be a jubile unto you; and ye shall return every man unto his possession, and ye shall return every man unto his family. A jubile shall that fiftieth year be unto you: ye shall not sow, neither reap that which goweth of itself in it, nor gather the grapes in it of thy vine undressed. For it is the jubile; it shall be holy unto you: ye shall eat the increase thereof out of the field. In the year of this jubile ye shall return every man unto his possession* ." – Lev. 25:8-13.

The essence of the Jubilee year is that **all Israel is to forgive each other their debts** ! Just as Israel is to rest every seventh day, Israel must allow the land to rest every seventh year. And at the end of seven yearly Sabbaths, i.e., forty-nine years, we are to pronounce a special Sabbath year, the year of the Jubilee . Obviously, neither the Jews nor Israel have been obeying God’s voice regarding the Jubilee.

The Jubilee year is the year in which everyone starts from scratch. Economically, all citizens become free of their financial obligations! This law may sound very odd to those who have never heard their "pastors" explain it; but that is exactly what God wants us to do. With the Jubilee in mind, we are to set our finances and other legal obligations in order so that we start anew the following year, debt-free, obligation-free. Does that sound

liberating to you? Do you think that the international bankers will go for this idea? Do you now understand that this battle is between Lord Jesus and Lord Rothschild? Whose side will you be on?

Interestingly enough, many economists have pointed out that, on average, there is a major recession every fifty years . This historical fact is documented in the book, **The Kondratieff Wave** . Is this God's way of enforcing His Jubilee whether we like it or not?

The purpose of cancelling all debts every fifty years is to prevent one person or one group, such as the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers, or the Eighth Beast, from creating a never-ending monopoly on wealth. The Jews have been doing this for centuries, especially since the Napoleonic Wars; and they are not about to give up this monopoly now. Here again, the Jews stand condemned by their own disobedience to God's Law. In this case, they simply ignore the Bible's teachings. By declaring the Jubilees to be in force once again, Israel can break the back of the Eighth Beast. In short, we can live either of two ways: God's way or Lucifer's. I look forward to the day when Yahweh's Jubilee is once again the Law of the Land. Amen.

Conclusion: Chosen to Rule or Chosen to Serve?

"*Ye know that they which are accounted to rule over the nations exercise lordship over them; and their great ones exercise authority upon them. But so shall it not be among you: but whosoever of you will be the chiefest, shall be servant of all. For even the Son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many* ." – Mark 10:42-45.

Not to beat a dead horse, but are the Jews following this example of service? Are they even remotely trying to help mankind by their activities, by their politics, by their religion, by their attitude, style, and dress? Is it not obvious that the Jews are the least likely of all people on the face of the earth to set such an example? **If they were the people of the Book, they would setting the example of the Law of the Book!** The only example the

Israeli State sets is the example of retaliation: ten of your eyes for one of mine. A Palestinian boy throws a rock at an Israeli jeep and the Israelis respond by leveling an entire village with bombs. This is the type of example by which the Jews show their true nature. Do you really expect this tribe of bandits and deceivers to **minister** to the rest of us?

Even the Constitution of the United States is imbued with the idea that our leaders are elected to **serve** , not rule. But our bureaucrats have become petty tyrants. The CIA and other "government" organizations do as they please, disregarding the law as it suits them, even to the point of murdering innocent civilians, of which the Randy Weaver case is only one public example. Tyranny and dictatorship are descending upon us because we have abandoned God's Law.

The name, 'Israel' means "God with us." But if we abandon God's Law, are we not abandoning God Himself? What is God if not the Law plus mercy? And how merciful can we expect Him to be under this current state of apostasy? Christian Israel must reclaim its leadership through service to the race: first, to the service of its own race, Anglo-Saxon Israel, by following God's Law and, second, to the service of the rest of mankind by the same example. This is what is meant by the parable of the City on the Hill:

"*Ye are the light of the world. A city that is set on a hill cannot be hid* ." – Matt. 5:14.

Europe in its heyday was a Christian, God-fearing community of nations. As a result of the Reformation, it was the City on the Hill. America then became that City on the Hill, from 1776 until 1913, when the foundation began to crumble. America became the mightiest nation on earth, in spite of its Civil War, because the Biblical principles of political, economic, and spiritual liberty existed and were practiced here. The entire world looked on with awe as we grew from thirteen small colonies to the most powerful

nation the world has ever known. It was during that period that we obeyed God's Law. We are the mustard tree and the other peoples of the world are the birds that like to sit in our branches. We must once again appreciate who we are! The entire world witnessed how a nation is blessed when Anglo-Saxon Israel obeys God's Law.

We are now going to witness how a nation is punished for disobedience.

"*Take heed lest any man deceive you: For many shall come in my name, saying I am Christ; and shall deceive many. And when ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars, be ye not troubled: for such things must needs be; but the end shall not be yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom; and there shall be earthquakes in divers places, and there shall be famines and troubles: these are the beginnings of sorrows. But take heed to yourselves: for they shall deliver you up to councils; and in the synagogues ye shall be beaten: and ye shall be brought before rulers and kings for my sake, for a testimony against them. And the gospel must first be published among all nations. But when they shall lead you, and deliver you up, take no thought beforehand what ye shall speak, neither do ye premeditate: but whatsoever shall be given you in that hour, that speak ye: for it is not ye that speak, but the Holy Ghost. Now the brother shall betray the brother to death, and the father the son; and children shall rise up against their parents, and shall cause them to be put to death. And ye shall be hated of all men for my name's sake: but he that* shall endure to the end, the same shall be saved." – Mark 13:5-13.

The Restoration of Israel has already been started by the Christian Identity Movement (Christian Israel); but the real momentum will not occur until Elijah (John the Baptist) appears again on the scene. He will come to "restore all things," which means that he will restore God's Law among the people of Israel, the true Shemites. This will be the **great awakening** of His people throughout Christendom. His people will return to Him as they wake

up and remember who they are and why Yahweh God has called them to serve Him on planet earth.

This is your wake up call.

Amen.

Postscript

For concerned citizens who want to change things for the better, the question always is: "What can I do?"

Here is what you, as an individual, can do:

1.) Study the Bible daily, especially in the light of this message concerning the end times and the Restoration of Israel.

2.) Pray for your own protection and for your family’s protection. Psalm 91 is the most effective prayer for protection.

3.) Join or start a Christian Israel study group, so that the ideas contained in this book and the principles contained in the Bible may come alive and be verified in your own mind and in the minds of your friends and relatives.

4.) Join a Christian Israel congregation. As of this writing, these congregations are not yet publicized because the Christian Identity Movement is strictly underground, vilified and harassed by ZOG, the Zionist Occupation Government, just as it was in the early days of Christianity. If there is no local church, start one yourself. Make your presence known to those around you and they will seek you out for instruction.

5.) Prepare for the Great Day of the Lord, the Second Coming and the Wedding Feast by obeying His Commandments and internalizing them to the best of your ability. Read the Book of James for clear instruction on the Word.

6.) Spread the word that is contained in this book and in the books, tracts, and articles of which this research is composed.

7.) Find a church or a minister who will baptize you in the name of Yahweh and Yahshua, or Yahweh and Jesus, using the actual names of your God, not just the titles.

8.) Invite only those Anglo-Saxons that you trust. No Edomites allowed!

The Restoration of Israel does not necessarily need a top commander for Biblical prophecy to be fulfilled. Jesus Christ, our King, will guide us in the spirit, so that the Restoration will become an accomplished fact. Amen.

In the days ahead, we must restore our connection to our God, Yahweh. Daily prayer is an absolute requirement. For your own protection and for the protection of your family, friends, and relatives, pray

Psalm 91

He that dwelleth in the secret place of the most High shall abide under the shadow of the Almighty.

I will say of Yahweh, He is my refuge and my fortress: my God; in him will I trust,

Surely he shall deliver thee from the snare of the fowler, and from the noisome pestilence.

He shall cover thee with his feathers, and under his wings shalt thou trust: his truth shall be thy shield and buckler.

Thou shalt not be afraid for the terror by night; nor for the arrow that flieth by day;

Nor for the pestilence that walketh in darkness; nor for the destruction that wasteth at noonday.

A thousand shall fall at thy side, and ten thousand at thy right hand; but it shall not come nigh thee.

Only with thine eyes shalt thou behold and see the reward of the wicked.

Because thou hast made Yahweh, which is my refuge, even the most High, thy habitation;

There shall no evil befall thee, neither shall any plague come nigh thy dwelling.

For he shall give his angels charge over thee, to keep thee in all thy ways.

They shall bear thee up in their hands, lest thou dash thy foot against a stone.

Thou shalt tread upon the lion and adder: the young lion and the dragon shalt thou trample under feet.

Because he hath set his love upon me, therefore will I deliver him: I will set him on high, because he hath known my name.

He shall call upon me, and I will answer him: I will be with him in trouble; I will deliver him and honor him.

With long life will I satisfy him, and shew him my salvation.

Afterword

At some point in our lives, each one of us must come to grips with the moral universe. There are basically two attitudes towards life: One is the belief in purpose and order. This usually goes along with a belief in God and the recognition that our lives are still in His hands despite all appearances. The other belief is that life is meaningless or that it has no ultimate purpose. Along with this attitude, we usually include atheists, agnostics and all the amoral and immoral people who see no reason for playing by "other people's rules." Although some who take this position manage to put up a brave front, they usually sink into cynicism, because very few of them ever achieve anything close to what they want out of life. And when their ideals are out of reach, they fall prey to sensualism and vindictiveness. They settle for easy pleasures instead of difficult goals.

Rare is the agnostic who is able to maintain his idealism through the hard times. But for mystics, sages, and others who believe in God and in the promises of a glorious "afterlife," or life to come, courage and fortitude seem to well up whenever they are most needed. This is the difference between these two philosophies. The first could be called spiritualism because it is rooted in a belief that there is a Spirit within which all of reality has its being; and this Spirit cares for us with love and tenderness. We spiritualists understand that evil comes upon us when we rebel against this Spirit. The other philosophy is materialism. It is the belief that reality consists only of what our senses and our minds tell us is real. Materialism, therefore, cannot conceive of a higher purpose than humanism; and since humanism cannot explain suffering, the sufferers invariably become cynics. It is just a matter of time.

It is the latter condition in which most Jews find themselves. If they are not immensely rich or influential in the world as the top Zionists might be, they feel cheated and burdened by life, even though most of these same Jews are much better off financially than most other people. But it is precisely because of their high humanistic expectations and low spiritual expectations that Jews find themselves at odds with reality. For most of them, life can never live up to their expectations, so they suffer through their personal and collective disappointments with either a great humanistic resolve or with profligate abandonment. For the ultra-orthodox Jew, both of these can exist at the same time, because his Talmudic belief system allows him to justify virtually anything while claiming humanistic privilege or even superiority. Of course, all of this amounts to nothing but a false world view. It is, in reality, a self-fulfilling prophecy: Disappointment is guaranteed by vain hopes and expectations.

The individual Jew sincerely believes that Jewish suffering is more noble than the suffering of others because of their long and "proud" history of suffering. Little do they realize that this "history of suffering" is not based on fact. It is based on rabbinical fairy tales. <u>There is nothing noble about lies, no matter how sincerely you believe them</u> .

Even when Judaism succeeds, it is doomed to failure because its inherent cynicism feeds on the souls of those who practice this tragic philosophy.

For non-religious Jews, Judaism becomes a philosophy of life, a noble cynicism. It is self-deception, par excellence. Along with this self-deception go paranoia and self-doubt as well as megalomania and delusion. This is the tragedy of Judaism. It is an adolescent, egotistical idealism which never matures into responsible adulthood. It can always blame its failures upon some outside entity or force. "He's out to get me" or "They're against us for no reason." Thus bearing this collective burden of historical persecution, each Jew feels duty bound to avenge history. This cadre of true believers makes up a very determined force when their lust for vengeance is directed at a specific foe. This penchant for blaming others is tantamount to cultural childishness and is inherently self-limiting. But it is the Jewish way. This is what the rabbis have created: a psychology of tribal ambition, persecution and vengeance. It is a cultural self-righteousness which acknowledges no one else's suffering.

Let's let a Jew say it in his own words:

The far reaching consequences of the martyr complex go beyond any effect on the individual Jew and the practice of his religion and beyond the narrowing prejudice with which he confronts Gentiles. The insidious martyr complex and its many manifestations do not leave unmarked even the most kindly and sympathetically inclined Gentiles.

I prefaced my case by stating that friendly Gentiles fear to offer timely and constructive criticism, lest they be accused of prejudice. Such persons as my high school teacher, the dean of my college, sincere writers on the subject have all, as a rule, been confined to the expression of pious platitudes, lest it be thought that the slightest word of criticism carried a tinge of animus. Individuals always find it hard to accept criticism, even when they know it usually springs from a genuinely sympathetic interest in their welfare. Jews are individuals. Add to that the martyr complex, and anything more than gentle exhortations extolling "tolerance and understanding" becomes freighted with dynamite highly injurious to friendly intercourse between the groups.

By thus cutting off honest criticism any attempt at constructive evaluation of the very real differences and prejudices existing, the Jew guards himself against one of the most healthful balances any man can have – the point of view based on a broad perspective.

The Jew, who is certainly primarily an individualist, forgets his group reactions, overlooks the religious issues and human problems to which his martyr complex contributes, and complains bitterly because he is not accepted by the world as an individual first and a Jew second. He forgets that his first reaction is that of a Jew; that perhaps his failure to get a job is due to dirty fingernails rather than a Semitic nose. If a daily newspaper should state that "Isaac Rubens, 26, Jewish, robbed the Smith grocery store last night," every Jew in town would be up in arms against the editor for publication of the gratuitous label. But, if Albert Einstein presents a revolutionary scientific theory, those same Jews glow with satisfaction at a reference to "the great Jewish scientist." We must decide whether we want the world to think of us as individuals or as Jews. -- *Maurice M. Feuerlight,* Where the Jews Fail, *pp. 12-14.*

This eloquent and poignant prose speaks volumes about the Jewish mind. It reveals a psychology of the persecuted tribe – very ancient and primeval. The misunderstood adolescent lacks perspective. He revels in his successes and grovels in his failures. He is the manic-depressive, hero genius, that is, if the rabbis' lessons have taken hold. In his own mind, he is, at one and the same time, the savior of mankind as well as its nemesis, for he relishes the role of spoiler. Through his inbred (both genetically and culturally) sense of being oppressed by the goyim, he is driven by a mad desire to have his way – the Jewish way – once and for all. All of his perceived oppressors must get out the way and let the Jew accomplish his vision for the world, a vision, alas, which is nothing more than cultural conceit; but the individual Jew cannot perceive that this is all that it is.

The rabbis have developed a most sophisticated and successful form of brainwashing. It is composed of what psychologists call the "carrot and stick" approach. The carrot is the idea of "chosenness" and the flattery that it heaps upon the impressionable Jewish child. The stick employs the fear of persecution by the bogeymen known as the goyim, with special venom being reserved for the Christian churches and the Christian monarchs. "We Jews are envied and hated for our perceived material success. Can we help it if you Christians waste your time thinking about the afterlife while we make money while the making is good? Can we help it if we are so talented and clever that people begin to envy and hate us? Deeply aware of their radical physical and philosophical differences from Christian society, they are either embarrassed or emboldened by the discrepancies, depending upon the circumstances. Either way, they have to buy respect; and this is deeply humiliating. This humiliation is only half-conscious because they are incapable of comprehending Christian charity, that is, of giving of oneself without any expectation of reward. This concept is so alien to Jewish culture that whenever a Jew is confronted by it he can only be ashamed of his own materialism whether he consciously acknowledges it or not.

Due to their hard dealing and playing by the letter of the law, Jews get catcalls and insults instead of respect. Unlike non-Jews whose memories are short, Jews are capable of harboring grudges for centuries. As individuals and as a culture, much of their time is spent contriving ways of getting even with the goyim for their perceived insolence. When individual Jews act on these ideas, it is called "chutzpah." They do things that no one else would dare to do in public or in civilized company; but they have the advantage of knowing that the Jewish community will come to their aid and defense if they ever get in trouble. They cry the loudest for their "rights" but they are never around when any unpaid volunteer work is required. In this way, slowly but surely, rights gravitate to the Jews while duties must be fulfilled by the goyim.

When the Jew finds himself with the legal right, he demands his pound of flesh according to the letter of the law. However, when the tables are turned and he is caught redhanded in wrongdoing, his contrite pleas for clemency are heard in the next county. It is a shameless hypocrisy.

In the political theater, the Jewish activist is the pawn who puts himself at risk for the benefit of the tribe. He knows that there is an outside chance of being sacrificed by the Jewish establishment, but this is a risk he has been programmed to take. Individual Jews are encouraged to push the envelope against the goyim, to get as many concessions as possible before total animosity breaks out. Anyone who behaves in such a way for the benefit of his tribe can only see himself as a martyr and a hero for the tribe.

In essence, the Jewish martyr complex prevents him from truly enjoying life because, in sacrificing himself for the tribe, there is always some obstacle to his self-realization. He just can't get away from his Jewishness, whether he wants to or not. Eventually, the martyr either totally sacrifices himself to the cause – and for what? oblivion, money, pride? – or he indulges himself in passion and lust, because for such a person there is often no other source of joy. The Jewish genius cannot rest until there is some sort of victory by the tribe over the goyim. That's when they all dance with delight. In between such victories, life for the Jew is but one grim hurdle after another. He cannot enjoy his own life. He can only enjoy the collective successes of his tribe, usually in terms of personal, minor victories and larger, political victories over the goyim. This is just what the rabbinical programming does to them.

Since Judaism is based on a tradition of lies, there are only two possible expressions for an individual Jew: 1.) to believe the lies and act out one's chosenness amid the goyim without being too provocative, or 2.) to realize that the "chosenness" is a lie and maintain one's loyalty to the tribe out of familiarity and economic concerns. The first is delusional. The second is cynical.

Let us not be accused of hatred of Jews. It is because we sympathize with their plight as individuals that we try to alert them to their rabbinical programming and turn them from knee-jerk Talmudism to spiritual inclusiveness. This necessarily involves the expression of the highest of human emotions: compassion and forgiveness. But their tribe forbids these emotions towards outsiders.. The tribe always thinks in terms of "us against

them." It’s the Epsteins versus the McCoys, but the McCoys don’t even suspect that there’s a feud.

In sharp contrast to this adversarial psychology, Jesus Christ states that to "Love thine enemy" is one of the highest human achievements. What an impossible pill for a Jew to swallow! Palestinians must be liquidated because they stand in the way of the Jewish tribe. You ask us instead to love them? What? Are you kidding?

This is why the Fundamentalist belief that the Jews will be converts to Jesus Christ before the Judgment Day is absolutely inane. There is no more deeply rooted antipathy to the philosophy of Jesus Christ than Talmudism. There can be no reconciliation between these two diametrically opposed philosophies. One must defeat the other. Indeed, God has proven His love for His rebellious children by giving them so many chances to repent. He has set a six thousand year time limit from the sin of Adam to the Day of Judgment. This is the set time when the loving Father has declared that He will no longer tolerate rebellion. Mercy will be replaced by justice, because it is unfair to the goyim that they should have to endure more Jewish chauvinism and deceit. Judaism and its adherents will be wiped off the face of the earth, just as the Bible prophesies; and this is how Yahweh God will "convert" them.

The two thousand year old Jewish rejection of Jesus Christ is all the proof we need that they are His bitter enemies. Only a fool would think otherwise. What? Would God change them against their will? We know that Jesus Christ is the only solution to the human condition. Let us pray that many Jews will sincerely repent; but this is a personal decision we all have to make based on our free will. The Father will not change our minds for us because then it is not our decision. He wants willingly obedient children, not automatons.

As our study of prophecy in Chapter 13 has shown, the Judgment Day is at hand. The Bible is a positively phenomenal book when it is realized that it has pre-written the play of history for us for a period of about four thousand years. All of the prophecies in the Bible concerning the fall of man and his ultimate redemption through Jesus Christ have occurred according to His Plan. This is proof that Yahweh controls history. It is up to us to learn from

it. In terms of prophecy, there is no other book like the Bible. And there is nothing to which any other religion can point and say: "This is just as profound." Not hardly.

Bible prophecy has always had two major focal points: the coming of the Messiah and the Judgment Day. The first has come and gone. The second is upon us. If you do not make it a point to study your Bible and try to understand what God is doing and why, then you will be utterly terrified when the Great Day of the Lord arrives. I do not wish this upon anyone. Consider yourself warned.

Appendix A

The Blackstone Memorial

A Memorial Declaration presented by William E. Blackstone, on behalf of a coalition of Christians and Jews, to President Benjamin Harrison at the White House on March 6, 1891.

The text is as follows:

What should be done for the Russian Jews? It is both unwise and useless to undertake to dictate to Russia concerning her internal affairs. The Jews have lived as foreigners in her domains for centuries, and she fully believes that they are a burden upon her resources and prejudicial to the welfare of her peasant population, and will not allow them to remain. She is determined that they must go. Hence, like the Sephardim of Spain, the Ashkenazim must emigrate. But where shall two millions of such people go? Europe is crowded and has no more room for more peasant population.

Shall they come to America? This would be a tremendous expense and would require years.

Why not give Palestine back to them again? According to God's distribution of nations it is their home, an inalienable possession from which they were expelled by force. Under their cultivation it was a remarkably fruitful land, sustaining millions of Israelites, who industriously tilled its hillsides and valleys. They were agriculturalists and producers as well as a nation of great commercial importance, the center of civilization and religion. It is said, too, that rains are increasing, and there are many evidences that the land is recovering its ancient fertility.

Why shall not the powers which, under the treaty of Berlin, in 1878, gave Bulgaria to the Bulgarians and Servia to the Servians, now give Palestine back to the Jews? These provinces, as well as Roumania, Montenegro and Greece, were wrested from the Turks and given to their natural owners. Does not Palestine as rightfully belong to the Jews?

If they could have autonomy in government, the Jews of the world would rally to transport and establish their suffering brethren in the time-honored habitation. For over seventeen centuries they have patiently waited for such a privileged opportunity. They have not become agriculturalists elsewhere because they believed they were sojourners in the various nations, and were yet to return to Palestine and till their own land. Whatever vested rights, by possession, may have accrued to Turkey can be easily compensated for, possibly by the Jews assuming an equitable portion of the national debt.

We believe this is an appropriate time for all nations, and especially the Christian nations of Europe, to show kindness to Israel. A million of exiles, by their terrible sufferings, are piteously appealing to our sympathy, justice and humanity. Let us now restore to them the land of which they were so cruelly despoiled…

To this end we respectfully petition His Excellency, Benjamin Harrison, President of the United States, and the Hon. J.G. Blaine, Secretary of State, to use their good offices and influence with the governments of their imperial majesties: Alexander III, Czar of Russia; Victoria, Queen of Great Britain and Empress of India; William II, Emperor of Germany; Francis

Joseph, Emperor of Austria-Hungary; Abdul Hamid II, Sultan of Turkey; Her Royal Majesty, Marie Christina, Queen Regent of Spain; with the government of the Republic of France; and with the governments of Belgium, Holland, Denmark, Sweden, Portugal, Roumania, Servia, Bulgaria, and Greece, to secure the holding, at an early date, of an international conference to consider the condition of the Israelites and their claims to Palestine as their ancient home, and to promote in all other just and proper ways the alleviation of the suffering condition.

[The memorial is signed by prominent men of all professions and creeds from Chicago, Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Washington.]

-- From the booklet, *The Restoration of Israel* , a reprint from the 1891 book, **Thy Kingdom Come** , by Pastor Russell, published by the Israel Commission, 26 Jackson Street, Hyde, Cheshire SK14 1BX.

The eventual diplomatic result of Zionist agitation for possession of Palestine was the Balfour Declaration. The Blackstone Memorial was an ecumenical document which purported to show that not only Jews but Christians and others recognize the right of Jews to "repossess" Palestine. In light of our research, it has been proven beyond a shadow of doubt that the Jews never were, are not now, nor will they ever be Israelites – especially not the Ashkenazim of Russia. The idea is patently fraudulent; and, therefore, they have no right to Palestine .

Remember that indigenous Christians, Muslims, and Sephardic Jews had lived together peacefully in Palestine for nearly 2,000 years until the Ashkenazi/Zionist invasion. Be it understood that many of the non-Jewish representatives of the named countries had an ulterior motive: They saw Zionist State as a way of ridding their own countries of unwelcome Jews. Whether Jewish claims to Palestine were legitimate or not, the petitioned governments could be diplomatic and feign agreement while quietly saying to themselves, "Good riddance! Let them go to Palestine!" The Rothschilds,

fully aware of this attitude, hoped to exploit it and thus receive the blessings of these various nations.

Those Christian Zionists who have been deceived by Jewish pretensions must realize their error. There is an impostor at work who is pretending to be the heir; and the true heir – it reads like a soap opera script! – is actually supporting the impostor while recovering from a case of amnesia. Will somebody please wake up the true heir before his inheritance is lost?!

In 1920, the **Jewish Guardian** published a pro-Zionist editorial which stated:

We have never disguised our conviction, unpopular in places though it has been, that Zionism…brought grist to the mill of those anti-Semites who pretend that Jews are duo-national. The confusion between the philosophic "nationalism," which Mr. Leon Simon has expounded in a recent book, and the common nationality of the subjects of one ruler such as King George, has been as unfortunate as it is illogical. We still hold that wiser counsels might have avoided it, and that Jewish leaders, jealous for the good name of their adherents to Judaism than the Zionists, should always have been careful to distinguish between the two uses of one word.

Yes, the word ‘nationalism’ has never meant the same thing to a Jew as it has to an Anglo-Saxon Israelite. And, as a matter of fact, Jews are still "duo-national" today, so the accusation is correct! Jewish "nationalism" had never had any territorial borders until the creation of the Israeli State.

Even more important is the meaning of the word ‘Israel.’ Whom it designates is of the utmost importance in determining who has a right to Palestine and who doesn’t. Since their masquerade as Israel is the basis of their claim to the territory of Palestine, it behooves us to unmask this charade by all means possible, especially since millions of people are being

deceived and thousands are being murdered each year to promote this historical swindle.

Who is the real Israelite? Care to stand up and be counted?

Appendix B

The Apocalyptic Prophecy Of The Protocols Of The Learned Elders Of Zion

Freemason, n. An order with secret rites, grotesque ceremonies and fantastic costumes, which, originating in the reign of Charles II, among working artisans of London, has been joined successively by the dead of past centuries in unbroken retrogression until now it embraces all the generation of man on the hither side of Adam and is drumming up distinguished recruits among the pre-Creational inhabitants of Chaos and the Formless Void. -- Ambrose Bierce, **The Devil's Dictionary** .

In this research, I have studiously avoided even mentioning **The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion** . When the **Protocols** were released to the public around 1920, they became an instant best-seller. Now, the Jewish hierarchy has always maintained that the **Protocols** are a forgery written by some "anti-Semitic" provocateur. This is their explanation as to why the author repeatedly refers to information which only the insiders of Zionism, Freemasonry and Illuminism would be privy to. But if that is true, why did the manuscript languish for almost thirty years before being published in America? If the author were out to get the Jews and Freemasons, why suppress the manuscript?

For those unfamiliar with the history of these secret societies, it would be accurate to say that Freemasonry began in England around 1648 as an anti-

Catholic society by a group of Protestant Masons. Before that time, Masonry was a guild of actual working masons who worked on cathedrals and other projects. (See John Robison's **Proofs of a Conspiracy** to get the facts about Freemasonry up until 1798.)

Various lodges sprang up in Britain and, eventually, the movement found its way onto the continent where, because of the allegiance of the French monarchy to the Catholic Church, Freemasonry became both anti-Catholic and anti-monarchic. As such, it was supported by many Protestants on the continent, and it quickly spread to Germany, Austria-Hungary, Italy, etc. Eventually, Freemasonry became a chic, anti-Papal movement, especially among Europe's intellectuals, with many heads of state becoming secret members of Freemasonry while officially holding allegiance to the Papacy and the Crown. It was the liberalism of the day.

When the Jesuit-trained Adam Weishaupt (code name, Spartacus) formed the Illuminati on May 1, 1776, his intention was to infiltrate the Freemasons and redirect their anti-Catholic spirit into a generally anti-Christian direction. For this effort, he needed money. And this money was provided by the Rothschilds and other Jewish sources. (Jewish bankers seem to love intrigue as much as they love money.) Weishaupt was a Jew who had infiltrated the Jesuits in order to learn about their methods. The Jesuits were the Vatican's mafia-style enforcers whose job it was to disrupt the Protestant Reform movement. They did this through the Inquisition, assassinations, and other subversive tactics. Adam Weishaupt reported back to Rothschild and the decision was made to create a Jesuit-style secret society which would take over Freemasonry. In this way, the Jesuits' own tactics would be used against them and against the Papacy, as well as against Protestantism and all of Christianity.

Publicly, there was an intense, antagonistic public relations campaign between the Church and Freemasonry. Behind the scenes, it was mayhem. One would have to imagine how it was between the CIA and the KGB during the Cold War. It was cloak-and-dagger and spy-versus-spy in a life-and-death struggle. Since there are no university courses in "Freemasonry Versus the Vatican," very few people are aware that this was the reality of the times. Real politics is still like this today. As someone once said, truth is

the first casualty of war. The universities have been enlisted on the side of the Illuminati; and we are talking about spiritual warfare.

Given the pre-Renaissance Jewish expulsion from Europe’s leading nations, the Talmudic secret cult, the Kehilla, vowed to get even with the Catholic Church and its Christian monarchs. This would be accomplished by fomenting insurrections and thus destabilizing Church and Monarchy by the promotion of "democratic" and revolutionary ideals. These insurrections were accomplished mainly through the infiltration and exploitation of Freemasonry and through the power of the press. The promotion of these revolutionary ideals led directly to the French Revolution and ultimately to the Terror because the ideals were nothing but a ruse created by the Illuminati. This is the only explanation for why such an outwardly idealistic movement went so horribly wrong. The ideals were promoted by manipulators who ran the Revolution from behind the scenes.

The famous slogan of the Freemasons, "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity," was subverted into "License, Excess, and Fraud." It is still so today. Many Freemasons still defend their organization not realizing the extent to which Freemasonry was subverted and provoked by Illuminism.

For centuries, Talmudic Jewry of the ghettoes operated as a virtual secret society. Through their international banking operations, they were always privy to information about national weaknesses and strengths. Within these Christian nations, the surrounding population of the host nation had very little knowledge of what went on within the ghettoes, nor did they care as long as they were left alone. Each ghetto had to deal with its host in terms of constant deal-making and strategizing in order to procure food, services and goods. In this situation, the rabbis were always the middlemen between the Jewish community and the host nation, just as in Judea during the time of Christ.

The first major conflict between the Jews and Christians resulting from Jewish secret practices was the Marrano infiltration and subversion of Catholic Spain. Previously, the Jews had made a deal with the Moorish invaders. Several Spanish cities were sacked by the Moors with Jewish help. Jewish residents of Spanish walled cities secretly unlocked the gates in the middle of the night allowing the Moors to invade town after town

while the people slept. Surprise! The Jewish agents who performed these traitorous deeds wore yellow arm bands . (This is the origin of the meaning of cowardice for the color yellow.) These were easily discernible at nighttime. The Moorish soldiers were instructed that anyone wearing a yellow arm band was a "friendly" and was not to be harmed . The Inquisition was the direct response of the Church to such Jewish treachery and tactics. Hence, the Middle Ages cannot be understood without knowing of this ongoing bitter conflict between the Church and Talmudic Judaism.

The Illuminati was formed in order to institutionalize the tactics of the Marranos of Spain; and this has been the method of the Kehilla ever since. This secret war against Christianity has progressed ever-so-steadily through the centuries. The Kehilla, properly understood, is the highest-ranking committee of the world's richest Jews, the Jewish International, the lords of international finance and commerce. The Rothschilds, George Soros, Henry Kissinger, the stockholders of the Federal Reserve Board, all qualify as members of this Kehilla. Of course, their membership is a secret, just as Al Capone's inner circle was a secret. It is important to realize, however, that this inner circle constitutes a mafia-style Satanic brotherhood whose financial resources and corrupt influence is unimaginable to the average person. As pointed out in Chapter 12, this Super Mafia is the 8th Beast of the Book of Revelation. The Kehilla, in short, is Satan's Mafia.

Although this 8th Beast Mafia was inspired by the Talmudic rabbis, the rabbis cannot have much influence over it because the Zionist International has repeatedly demonstrated that the Jewish flock and the rabbis themselves are expendable when it comes to the realization of Zionist goals. Consequently, Zionism, although inspired by Talmudism and indebted to Talmudism for a constant supply of goats, is more of a secular underworld enterprise than a religion. Like the Sicilian Cosa Nostra making a formal show of Catholicism to fool the public, the Zionist mafia makes a show of Judaism in order to keep the yearning for the Neo-Messianic dream alive in the hearts of faithful Jews. Obviously, if they worship any god at all, it is not the God of the Old Testament. They would, instead, worship Lucifer, or just Money and Power. In effect, the rabbis created a monster which they could not control. That monster is Zionism. It is the most powerful mafia syndicate the world has ever known. Although it was inspired by Judaism,

it is not Judaism per se. The average Jewish people do not understand how badly awry this religion has gone. They do not fully understand its underworld character and utterly evil nature, though they may naively follow it because it is "Jewish."

The brainwashing of the "chosen people" continues unabated because Zionism and Talmudism require ever fresh batches of fanatics to prosecute their violent campaigns and to act as agents of subterfuge in the host nations. When the monster is exposed and the inevitable reaction occurs, it is the lower classes of Jews who suffer first and most. Thus the Zionist International uses their own people as buffers between themselves and their just punishment.

With respect to the **Protocols** , there is only one thing to be said: Whoever wrote the **Protocols** wrote the Blueprint for the Destruction of Christendom . And this blueprint has been followed and organized by the Zionist International ever since, with disastrous results for Christendom: two World Wars, numerous depressions and economic upheavals, cultural decadence, the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia, communism throughout the world, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, Kosovo, the wars against Afghanistan and Iraq, and, last but not least, the continuous oppression and genocide against the Palestinian people. This is the legacy of Zionism, all according to the Blueprint contained in the **Protocols** .

If the **Protocols** are a forgery, they are the most amazing forgery in history, because they foretell everything that was to happen to Christendom in the Twentieth Century. It would be simply amazing for some two-bit forger to be such an accurate prophet. The fact is that the **Protocols** hit the mark dead center; therefore, **the "forgery" must be suppressed** . But truth dies hard. In fact, God’s Truth never dies. It is the Zionists who are in for some rough times, for the truth is catching up with them. The reader is encouraged to obtain a copy of the **Protocols** and judge for himself. Free copies can be downloaded off the internet. How’s that for democracy? But don’t you dare read it, because if you do, you might be accused of "anti-Semitism!" Of course, the powers that be don’t trust you with your own judgment, so, if you want to, you can take the Illuminati’s word for it and assume that they

are a forgery. But why give up your right to freedom of speech and freedom of association?

I think the best commentary on the authenticity of the Protocols was written by George W. Armstrong, in his book, **Zionist Wall Street** :

The Protocols are consistent with the teachings of the Talmud, the authenticity of which is not denied; they are indeed a complement to the Talmud . The Talmud expresses Zionist "limitless ambition, burning greediness, merciless vengeance, hatreds and malice." The Protocols state the plan of attaining this "limitless ambition," gratifying this "burning greediness,"and of satisfying this "merciless vengeance, hatred and malice." The Talmud expresses the hopes and purposes; The protocols, the means for bringing them about. – p. 16.

Henry Ford also understood them to be a legitimate expression of the Zionist mentality:

The Protocols have attracted much attention in Europe, having been the center of an important storm of opinion in England, but discussion of them in the United States has been limited.

Who it was that first entitled these documents with the name of the Elders of Zion is not known. It would be possible without serious mutilation of the documents to remove all hint of Jewish authorship, and yet retain all the main points of the most comprehensive program for world subjugation that has ever come to public knowledge.

Yet to eliminate all hint of Jewish authorship would be to bring out a number of contradictions which do not exist in the Protocols in their present

form. The purpose of the plan revealed in the Protocols is to undermine all authority in order that a new authority in the form of an autocracy may be set up. Such a plan would not emanate from a ruling class which already possessed authority, although it might emanate from anarchists. But anarchists do not avow autocracy as the ultimate condition they seek. The authors might be conceived as a company of French Subversives such as existed at the time of the French Revolution and had the infamous Duc d'Orleans as their leader, but this would involve a contradiction between the fact that those Subversives have passed away, and the fact that the program announced in these Protocols is being steadily carried out, not only in France, but throughout Europe, and very noticeably in the United States.

In their present form which bears evidence of being their original form, there is no contradiction. The allegation of Jewish authorship seems essential to the consistency of the plan.

If these documents were the forgeries which Jewish apologists claim them to be, the forgers would probably have taken pains to make Jewish authorship so clear that their anti-Semitic purpose could easily have been detected. But only twice is the term Jew used in them. After one has read further than the average reader usually cares to go into such matters, one comes upon the plans for the establishment of the World Autocrat, and only then is it made clear of what lineage he is to be.

But all through the documents there is left no doubt as to the people against whom the plan is aimed. It is not aimed against aristocracy as such. It is not aimed against capital as such. Very definite provisions are made for the enlistment of aristocracy, capital and government for the execution of the plan. It is aimed against the people of the world who are called Gentiles. It is the frequent mention of Gentiles that really decides the purpose of the documents. Most of the destructive type of liberal plans aim at the enlistment of the people as helpers; this plan aims at the degeneration of the people in order that they may be reduced to confusion of mind and thus manipulated. Popular movements of a liberal kind are to be encouraged, all the disruptive philosophies in religion, economics, politics and domestic life are to be sown and watered, for the purpose of so disintegrating social

solidarity and a definite plan, herein set forth, may be put through without notice, and the people then molded to it when the fallacy of these philosophies is shown.

The formula of speech is not, We Jews will do this, but The Gentiles will be made to think and do these things. With the exception of a few instances in the closing Protocols, the only distinctive racial term used is Gentiles. {Source: http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/ij_ch6.htm }

Henry Ford included a free copy of the Protocols on the back seat of every Model A Ford automobile. I suggest that you read the **Protocols** , because everything that is happening in the world today is following the script written therein. As they say: Read it and weep.

Whoever wrote the **Protocols** was privy to the MASTER PLAN for the destruction of Christian civilization and its replacement by a world totalitarian regime. We know this regime as the NEW WORLD ORDER. Judge for yourself whether subsequent history has been baked according to the recipe.

Appendix C

George Washington's Prophetic Vision

(Anticipating Three Historical Developments in the Future of America)

(Originally published by Wesley Bradshaw. Copied from a reprint in the **National Tribune** , Vol. 4, No. 12, Dec. 1880.)

The last time I ever saw Anthony Sherman was on the Fourth of July, 1859, in Independence Square. He was then ninety-nine years old, his dimming eyes rekindled as he gazed upon Independence Hall, which he had come to visit once more. "I want to tell an incident in Washington's life – one which no one alive knows except myself; and which, if you live, you will before long see verified.

He said, "From the opening of the Revolution, we experienced all phases of fortune, good and ill. The darkest period we ever had, I think, was when Washington, after several reverses, retreated to Valley Forge, where he resolved to pass the winter of 1777. Ah! I often saw tears coursing down our dear commander's careworn cheeks, as he conversed with a confidential officer about the condition of his soldiers. You have doubtless heard the story of Washington's Going to the thicket to pray. Well, he also used to pray to God in secret for aid and comfort."

"One day, I remember well, the chilly winds whistled through the leafless trees. Though the sky was cloudless and the sun shone brightly, he remained alone in his quarters nearly all afternoon. When he came out, I noticed that his face was a shade paler than usual, and there seemed to be something on his mind of more than ordinary importance. Returning just after dusk, he dispatched an orderly to the quarters of the officer mentioned who was in attendance at the time. After a preliminary conversation of about half an hour, Washington, gazing upon his companion with that strange look of dignity that he alone could command, said to the latter:

"I do not know whether it is due to the anxiety of my mind, or what, but this afternoon, as I was preparing a dispatch, something seemed to disturb me. Looking up, I beheld, standing opposite me, a singularly beautiful being. So astonished was I, for I had given strict orders not to be disturbed, that it was some moments before I found language to inquire the cause of the visit. A second, a third, and even a fourth time did I repeat my question, but received no answer from my mysterious visitor, except a slight raising of the eyes. By this time I felt strange sensations spreading through me, and I would have risen, but the riveted gaze of the being before me rendered volition impossible. I assayed once more to speak, but my tongue had become useless, as though lit had become paralyzed. A new influence,

mysterious, potent, irresistible, took possession. All I could do was to gaze steadily, vacantly at my unknown visitor. Gradually the surrounding atmosphere seemed to become filled with sensations, and grew luminous. Everything about me seemed to rarefy, including the mysterious visitor.

"I began to feel as one dying, or rather to experience the sensations which I have sometimes imagined accompany dissolution. I did not think, I did not reason, I did not move; all were alike impossible. I was only conscious of gazing fixedly, vacantly at my companion.

SETTLEMENT AND EXPANSION OF THE UNITED STATES

"*Presently I heard a voice saying, 'Son of the Republic, look and learn,' while at the same time my visitor extended an arm eastwardly. I now beheld a heavy vapor at some distance rising fold upon fold. This gradually dissipated, and I looked upon a strange scene. Before me lay spread out in one vast plain all the countries of the world – Europe, Asia, Africa, and America. I saw rolling and tossing between Europe and America the billows of the Atlantic, and between Asia and America lay the Pacific.*

"'Son of the Republic,' said the same mysterious voice as before, 'look and learn.' At that moment I beheld a dark, shadowy being as an angel standing, or rather floating, in mid-air between Europe and America. Dipping water out of the ocean in the hollow of his hand, he cast some on Europe. Immediately a cloud raised from these countries, and joined in mid-ocean. For a while it remained stationary, and then moved slowly westward until it enveloped America in its murky folds. Sharp flashes of lightning gleamed through it at intervals, and I heard the smothered groans and cries of the American people. A second time the angel dipped water from the ocean and sprinkled it out as before, the dark cloud then drawn back to the ocean, in whose billows it sank from view.

"A third time I heard the mysterious voice saying, 'Son of the Republic, look and learn.' I cast my eyes upon America and beheld villages and towns and cities springing up one after another until the whole land from the Atlantic to the Pacific was dotted with them. Again I heard the mysterious voice say, 'Son of the Republic, the end of the century cometh; look and learn.'

A CIVIL WAR ANTICIPATED

"And this time the dark, shadowy angel turned his face southward, and from Africa I saw an ill-omened specter approach our land. It flitted slowly over every town and city of the latter. The inhabitants presently set themselves in battle against each other. As I continued looking, I saw a bright angel, on whose brow rested a crown of light on which was traced the word, 'Union,' bearing the American flag, which he placed between the divided nation. He said, 'Remember, ye are brethren.' Instantly the inhabitants, casting down their weapons, became friends once more, and united around the National Standard.

AMERICA TO BE INVADED FROM ABROAD

"Again I heard the mysterious voice saying, 'Son of the Republic, look and learn.' At this the dark, shadowy angel placed a trumpet to his lips and blew three distinct blasts; and taking water from the ocean, he sprinkled it upon Europe, Asia, and Africa. Then my eyes beheld a fearful scene. From each of these countries arose thick black clouds that were soon joined into one: and throughout this mass there gleamed a dark red light by which I saw hordes of armed men, who, moving with the cloud, marched by land

and sailed by sea to America, which country was enveloped in the volume of the cloud. And dimly I saw these vast armies devastate the whole country and burn the villages, towns, and cities that I had beheld springing up.

"As my ears listened to the thundering of the cannon, the slashing of swords, and the shouts and cries of millions in mortal combat, I again heard the mysterious voice saying, 'Son of the Republic, look and learn.' When the voice had ceased, the dark angel placed his trumpet once more to his mouth and blew a long and fearful blast.

"Instantly a light of as a thousand suns shone down from above me, and pierced and broke into fragments the dark cloud which enveloped America. At the same moment the angel upon whose head still shone the word 'Union' and who bore our national flag in one hand and a sword in the other descended from the heavens attended by legions of white spirits. These immediately joined the inhabitants of America, who I perceived were well-nigh overcome, but who, immediately taking courage again, closed up their broken ranks and renewed the battle. Again, amid the fearful noise of the conflict I heard the mysterious voice saying, 'Son of the Republic, look and learn.' AS the voice ceased, the shadowy angel for the last time dipped water from the ocean and sprinkled it upon America. Instantly the dark cloud rolled back, together with the armies it had brought, leaving the inhabitants of the land victorious.

AMERICA REBUILDS AGAIN

"*Then, once more, I beheld the villages, towns, and cities springing up where I'd seen them before, while the bright angel, planting the azure standard he had brought in the midst of them, cried with a loud voice: 'While the stars remain, and the heavens send down dew upon the earth, so long shall the Union last.' And taking from his brow the crown on which*

blazoned the word, 'Union,' he placed it upon the standard while the people, kneeling down, said 'Amen.'

"The scene instantly began to fade and dissolve, and I, at last, saw nothing but the rising, curling vapor I had first beheld. This also disappeared, and I found myself once more gazing upon the mysterious visitor, who in the same voice I had heard before say, 'Son of the Republic, what you have seen is thus interpreted. Three great perils will come upon the Republic. The most fearful is the third [the help against the third peril comes in the shape of divine assistance], passing which the whole world united shall not prevail against her. Let every child of the Republic learn to live for his God, his land, and his Union.' With these words the visitor vanished, and I started from my seat and felt that I had seen a vision wherein had been shown me the birth, the progress, and the destiny of the United States.

Such, my friends, said the venerable narrator*, were the words I heard from Washington's own lips, and America will do well to profit by them.*

-- From a pamphlet distributed by the Christian Patriots Defense League, PO Box 99, Louisville IL 62858.

Appendix D

Yahweh's Solar Calendar

God's Appointments with Israel: Memorials and Feasts

These are the appointed feasts of Yahweh, which you shall proclaim as times of holy convocation, for presenting to Yahweh offerings by fire, burnt offerings and cereal offerings, each on its proper day; <u>besides</u> the sabbaths

of Yahweh, and besides your gifts, and besides all your votive offerings, and besides your freewill offerings, which you give to Yahweh. -- Lev. 23:37,38.

{A quick note here: There is no doubt in my mind that Yahweh established these Feasts as a memorial for Israel for perpetuity. But, since Yahshua Messiah offered Himself as the Last Sacrifice, it is no longer mandatory to shed the blood of sacrificial animals for the remission of sins. Otherwise, we are still required to observe the Feasts as a memorial, to study the Law and humble ourselves before Yahweh.}

Abib 1 first day of the year . (The first full day after the Spring Equinox.) In remembrance of the Passsover, Yahweh said to Moses: *This month shall be for you the beginning of months; it shall be the first month of the year for you.* -- Ex. 12:2.

Passover . (Abib 14.) *In the first month, on the fourteenth day of the month in the evening, is Yahweh's passover.* -- Lev. 23:5.

Feast of Unleavened Bread . (Abib 15.) *" And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread. On the first day you shall have a holy convocation; you shall do no laborious work.* -- Lev. 23:6,7Abib 15 is already a regular Sabbath. It is also a Special Sabbath because it is part of the Feast of Unleavened Bread. The same is true for Abib 22.

Wave Sheaf Day . (Abib 16) Abib 16 is the "*morrow after the Sabbath* ," the day of the **Wave Sheaf** (Lev. 23:9) offering. The day before, a Sabbath, is the day from which the countdown to Pentecost begins.

Pentecost (Feast of First Fruits). Fifty days from Abib 15 is Sivan 5. Sivan 5 is a consecutive Sabbath from Sivan 4. *And you shall count from the morrow after the Sabbath, from the day that you brought the sheaf of the wave offering; seven full weeks shall they be, counting fifty days, to the morrow after the seventh sabbath.* -- Lev. 23:15,16.

So that we are sure to understand this starting point, this verse is its own double witness , telling us to count 49 days from the Day of the Wave Sheaf offering (Abib 16) and/or 50 days from the Sabbath Day just before the Wave Sheaf Day (Abib 15).

Feast of Trumpets . Ethanim 1. The trumpet signals the end of the spring/summer agricultural season. It also signals the crowning of a new king. Prophetically, the Feast of Trumpets anticipates the First and Second Advents of the Lord Jesus Christ. *And Yahweh said unto Moses, Say to the people of Israel, in the seventh month, on the first day of the month, you shall observe a day of solemn rest, a memorial proclaimed with a blast of trumpets, a holy convocation.* -- Lev. 23:23.

On the ninth day of this month we are to prepare ourselves for the Day of Atonement. This is a special Sabbath, as well as the next day, Ethanim 10. *On the ninth day of the month beginning at evening, from evening to evening you shall keep your sabbath.* -- Lev. 23:32.

Day of Atonement . Ethanim 10. The Day of Atonement is not a regular Sabbath. It is a special Sabbath and day of fasting. *And Yahweh said unto*

Moses, On the tenth day of this seventh month is the day of atonement; it shall be for you a time of holy convocation, and you shall afflict yourselves and present an offering by fire to Yahweh. -- Lev. 23:28.

(Given the fact that this particular week starts with two Special Sabbaths, there are only four work days available until the next regular Sabbath.)

Feast of Tabernacles . Ethanim 15. Ethanim 15 begins the week-long Feast of Tabernacles. Israel is to gather in tents or booths. *On the fifteenth day of this seventh month and for seven days is the feast of booths to Yahweh.* -- Lev. 23:34. *You shall keep it as a feast to Yahweh seven days in the year; it is a statute for ever throughout your generations; you shall keep it in the seventh month. You shall dwell in booths, that your generations may know that I made the people of Israel dwell in booths when I brought them out of the land of Egypt: I am Yahweh your God.* -- Lev. 23:41-43.

Feast of Purim . Adar 14 & 15. *And Mordecai recorded these things, and sent letters to all the Judahites who were in the provinces of King Ahaseurus, both near and far, enjoining them that they should keep the fourteenth day of the month Adar, and also the fifteenth day of the same, year by year, as the days on which the Judahites* [of the House of Judah] *got relief from their enemies* …[the Amelekites and other Canaanites] -- Esther 9:20-22.

(NOTE: This feast was not appointed by Yahweh, but was peculiar to the House of Judah, as one of their own memorials. Since Benjamin and Levi were part of the House of Judah at that time, these tribes might also celebrate Purim. However, the tribes of the House of Israel were not involved in this event, so it is not a national holiday for all Israel. …*the Judahites ordained and took it upon themselves and their descendants and all who joined them, that without fail they would keep these two days*

according to what was written at the time appointed every year… -- Est. 9:27,28.)

Final note: It is a condition of the Restoration of Israel that the nations of Anglo-Saxon Israel return to their God by resuming the practice of the Sabbaths, Memorials, and Feast Days of Yahweh's calendar. All Christian Identity churches and related churches are encouraged to make a study of Yahweh's calendar and to begin observing God's commandments once again. In the final days before the Judgment, we are instructed to "come out of Babylon." It is by opting out of the Beast's economic system and returning to nature, to Yah's Laws, and to Yah's Sacred Calendar that we accomplish this separation.

With so much confusion about the true Biblical calendar, I believe that Yahweh, in His infinite Mercy, knows our Hearts and judges us accordingly. Even if we cannot keep the Letter of His Law, He does not punish us if we keep the Spirit of His Law. It is not possible for people, who work 9-5 jobs, to abruptly quit and follow the Sabbath system, which is unrelated to the existing workweek; and I am not suggesting that you drop everything and do so. It is a goal that we must set and pray for. Once He knows you are trying to understand His Word, He will guide you and move mountains for you, if necessary, so do not be dismayed if you are presently unable to follow the Sabbath system outlined herein. <u>But, you must keep the Spirit of the Law by keeping one day a week separate for rest and worship</u> . At present, I personally keep Sunday Sabbaths, because I cannot arrange my employment schedule to keep a weekday Sabbath. Until the Restoration of all things, most of us have no choice but to keep the Spirit of the Law until we can keep the Letter. <u>So, keep a regular Sabbath that you can observe without fail</u> . This day is for Him, your Creator. That is not too much to ask. If this concept were not important to Yahweh, He would not have said so much about it in Scripture; and He would not have made it one of the Ten Commandments. The day will come when all Israelites are once again able to keep the Solar Sabbath as a nation.

Having participated in numerous Feast Day celebrations, I know that many Christian Identity congregations, nationwide, keep the particular Feasts of Passover, Pentecost and Tabernacles on the weekend closest to the actual Solar Sabbath. Since most of our congregants are law-abiding, hard-working citizens who do the 5-days-a-week, 8-hours-a-day routine, they can hardly expect to tell their bosses to sympathize with their newly-discovered, "oddball" religious practices! "*Sorry, Boss , I'm not coming to work this week because of the Feast of Tabernacles* ." That's asking to get fired. Yahweh knows your heart. Keep praying for this deliverance and He will move mountains for you. In the meantime, you have to demonstrate to Him an awareness of His Law, so that you can, ultimately, keep both the Spirit and the Letter of the Law.

But the house of Israel rebelled against me in the wilderness: they walked not in my statutes, and they despised my judgments, which if a man do, he shall even live in them; and my sabbaths they greatly polluted: then said I, I would pour out my fury upon them in the wilderness, to consume them…I am Yahweh, your God; walk in my statutes, and keep my judgments, and do them; And hallow my sabbaths ***; and they shall be a sign between me and you, that ye may know that I am Yahweh your God*** . -- Ezekiel 20:13, 19, 20.

Appendix E

A Revealing Article From B'Nai B'Rith

Since Judaism is almost never discussed by the goyim in polite company, there is widespread ignorance of Judaism's true positions on major issues. This ignorance extends to the awareness of how much influence Jews have in our society. To counteract this ignorance, I have decided to reprint an article from the **B'Nai B'Rith Messenger** of August 31, 1984. The article is entitled "*Bookbinder Fights for Israel and Jews,"* and the author is Gershon Winkler. The reader should note that in periodicals which are intended for public consumption, Jewish authors are never so candid. In

publications which are intended for Jews only, the Jewish Question is openly discussed and Jewish aims openly advocated. The Jews know that the goyim have no interest in their affairs, so their pronouncements in "in-house" publications are quite bold and revealing.

It used to be that whenever the Jewish community encountered difficulties with its host government, it would appoint a ***shtadlan*** *, and outspoken mediator, to intervene on its behalf in the courts of kings, popes, and sultans. Today, the traditional* ***shtadlan*** *has been supplanted by personalities like Hyman Bookbinder, a 68-year-old Washington representative of the American Jewish Committee. His mission: hang around the White House and stay on top of all governmental proceedings, legislative actions and proposals, and general political tossing and turning. In other words, while Washington keeps an eye on the nation, Hyman Bookbinder keeps an eye on Washington, monitoring its every move and stepping in when a policy, and amendment – or so much as an attitude – affects American Jewish life.*

(Of course, the Zionists pretend to speak for all Jews, which is a lie; but it is true that the vast majority of Jews believe that Zionism is in their own best interest. No clearer enunciation of the historical parasite/host relationship could be expected than one provided by Gershon. Question: What other groups do you know of that can afford to have numerous agents wandering the halls of congress and the White House snooping around for evidence of "questionable activity"? Certainly, the American taxpayer does not have such agents.

In acknowledging the host-versus-parasite symbiosis, Gershon leads us to the natural question of whether there is any possibility of there being an American Jew. Since all Jewish activity is geared toward changing America to suit Jewish needs, there is a clear conflict between Judaism and patriotism. With few exceptions, the Jews are always arrayed, en masse, as

an ethnic group versus the rest of society, i.e., "contrary to all men." As a result, their assimilation into the rest of society is impossible. All other ethnic groups, such as the Poles, Germans, Irish, Italians, etc. have managed to assimilate. The children of these immigrant ethnic groups eventually come to see themselves as Americans first, and their ethnicity recedes behind their citizenship. This is never true for Jews. The Jews refuse to assimilate because their religion and culture forbid them to do so. Assimilation is a near-impossibility because they see themselves as Jews first and Americans second, if "American" at all. This is how strong the rabbinical stranglehold is over the Jewish mind. This remains true in whatever country the Jews settle. The goyim do not understand this about Judaism. It is a refusal to assimilate . Instead, the Jews turn their attention to changing the host society so it will accommodate their religion and their international perspective.)

Continuing with Winkler:

"I'm in the government circle as an advisor," Bookbinder explained in an exclusive interview recently concerning Jewish affairs as they relate to Washington decision-making. "I'm there to advise on church-and-state issues, for example, Israel aid, defense. And on the other side of the coin I am a lobbyist on behalf of Jewish concerns, representing the sentiments of the Jewish community to the White House. Actually, I am among fifteen such lobbyists from various agencies of organized Jewish life around the country. We are, in essence, the eyes and ears of the Jewish community in government."

(In essence, these Jewish lobbyists represent Zionism, for that's where all the money and direction comes from. There are, in fact, Jewish groups that are anti-Zionist. Neturei Karta is such a group. Have you ever heard of them? Do they have any influence in Washington D.C.?)

Bookbinder's outstanding record, however, is attributed to his previous appointments in United States government life and his familiarity, therefore, with the machinery of Washington. During the Kennedy Administration, for example, he served as Executive Officer of the President's Task Force on Poverty and later as Assistant Director of the Office of Economic Opportunity. In addition, he held the post of Special Assistant to then Vice-President Hubert Humphrey. During the Korean War, Bookbinder was Deputy Assistant Administrator of the National Production Authority of the U.S. Department of Commerce, and between 1953 and 1961 Legislative Representative for the CIO and then the AFL-CIO. In 1961 he was appointed Special Assistant to the Secretary of Commerce, which included service with presidential commissions on Labor-Management Policy, Equal Employment Opportunity, and on Status of Women, a commission headed by Eleanor Roosevelt.

(You can be sure that the main responsibility of any Jew who ascends, by one appointment after another, into the ranks of the host government is to assure that more and more Jews are hired to fill the positions vacated by the goyim. In this way, the ranks of the government swell with parasites. Like a brain tumor which steadily grows until it kills its victim, the Jew is programmed to kill or replace the cells of the host. Homosexuals have also mastered this technique of creating cells within corporate enterprise and within the Catholic Church. Thus, the original intention and function of the office is redirected toward Jewish goals. As we all know, the symptoms of cancer do not appear until the disease is very serious.)

These and a number of other government positions have made Bookbinder one of the most admired and effective spokesmen for the Jewish community. In 1978, now-presidential candidate Walter Mondale said of Bookbinder: "…There is no one representing any group or any organization in any

aspect of American life who does it with more brilliance and more decency and who deserves our respect more than that remarkable human being, Hyman Bookbinder."

(These Jewish agents are most remarkable for their anonymity and power. Let me translate Walter Mondale's rhetoric into English: "No on that I know of is as good at subverting the U.S. Constitution in favor of Jewish interests than Hyman Bookbinder. Thank you for your generous contributions. Cheers! Can I do you any more favors?")

Recently Bookbinder attended the Democratic National Convention in San Francisco as both an observer for the American Jewish Committee and an advisor on Jewish issues. On a stopover in Los Angeles after the convention he told the Messenger that he was generally pleased with the platform adopted at the convention, specifically concerning the controversial issue of former candidate Reverend Jesse Jackson.

"I was pleased that the platform, supported by both Hart and Mondale, said 'no' to Jackson's attempt to dilute the Middle-East plank. Mondale kept his word that he would not allow Jackson to alter his position. As for Jackson's conciliatory speech at the convention, I welcomed it because of the potentials it offered for easing black-Jewish confrontation. I welcomed his apology but nevertheless feel that no one speech could assure us that even his civil view on Jews and Israel has changed, let alone his political views. His record is so long and bad, it needs weeks of careful observing. And even if he is true to his word in his reconcilement with the Jewish community, I'd still be worried about his policies"

"I disagree with his foreign policies, for example. He is pro-Third World, which is dangerous to us in that it can and has easily spilled into a supportive policy toward the PLO. To me, the most important benefit of his

speech again, was its reduction of the black-Jewish tensions which had been fostered by the media."

(You can bet that Jesse Jackson has been watching his p's and q's ever since. In essence, Jesse Jackson is a hired devil's advocate, a Shabez Goy par excellence. His job is to feign disagreement with the Zionist superstate so that when he has a chance for real power he can betray his fellow blacks, unions, women, and the PLO too. Remember how he groveled before his master when he accidentally referred to Jews as "kikes"? He thought he had made his remarks in confidence. He found out that Big Brother has spies of many shades and colors. The Black-Jewish situation must be carefully monitored by the Jewish International so that whatever blacks do, it hurts White Christians more than it hurts Jews. Whatever pro-minority legislation comes down the pike, you can be sure that all of the Jewish lawyers know the loopholes so that Jewish companies do not get bitten by their own snake.

If the platform of the Democratic Party has come to be identical with Karl Marx's Communist Manifesto, then the reason lies with the large number of Jews who have infiltrated the machinery of the Democratic Party. Those "Kosher Konservatives" of the Jewish breed who infiltrate the Republican Party usually find it difficult to face the hostility of middle-class and upper-class White Americans. Hence, the constituency of the Republican Party is usually approached with the "We believe in freedom for all" rhetoric, except that loyalty to the Israeli State is mandatory; and freedom for Palestinians is not a debatable issue. Besides, there is money to be made in trading with the Israelis. The wealthiest members of the Republican Party, the so-Called "Rockefeller Republicans," like Colin Powell, are much more liberal than the rank and file Republicans, and they are the most likely to profit from America's sweetheart relationship with the Terrorist State.)

Bookbinder welcomes Mondale's appointment of Geraldine Ferraro as his running Vice-presidential nominee. "She is a net gain for the Jewish community. Jews, more than any other segment of the population, have been pro-women all along. But more important is Ferraro's impeccable record on issues related to Israel.

(Translation: "We own Ferraro lock, stock, and barrel. If she tries to hurt us, she's toast.")

The shift of a predominantly democratic Jewish electorate to the Republican party, says Bookbinder, may be due to the Jackson phenomenon to some degree [What? Are Jews racists?], *but mostly, he feels, it is because Jews have been casting their votes contingent upon a candidate's stand on Israel and Mondale has been associated with an earlier administration which had sold arms to the Arabs.*

"In general, Jews are more liberal than the general citizenry, but they are no longer as liberal or as democratic in their political affiliations as they may have been years ago. And now that Reagan, too, has sold arms to Israel's enemies, it kind of evens out things in the contest between the Republican and Democratic parties as far as Israel is concerned. [Are we detecting a pattern here? Are Jews a single-issue voting bloc?] *So a party's stand on Israel may not be so much a determining factor in the Jewish vote any more as much as home issues are, because neither party seems to keep its promises about Israel all the way. This doesn't mean we should cease our demands on Middle-East policies. It just means we should be realistic in our expectations."*

(Manipulating opposite sides of any ideological struggle can really be complicated, especially when the opposing sides start resembling each other

too much. Even the Jewish flock of black sheep can get confused. Some of them may even have voted for Ronald Reagan! Jimmy Carter was no match for the "Great Communicator.")

"Presidential candidates are always better on the Israeli issue than Presidents. [There are, after all, other constituencies that have to be appeased, although they are not nearly as pesky as the Jewish agencies.] *So we have to look at the other dimensions of a candidate, his personality, his leadership abilities, his stand on pressing social issues other than Israel, like Soviet Jewry, on which both parties, by the way, have had a pretty good record."*

(It is important, also, that an uncooperative President can be blackmailed, like Woodrow Wilson or William Jefferson Clinton. Both had an affinity for the ladies, especially Bill. Both of them carried their Bibles with them when they went to church on Sunday. I believe the denomination they belong to is the Church of Hypocrisy. Question: Was Monica Lewinsky acting on her own or was she reporting back to the Mossad or some other Jewish agency? By now, you should know the answer to that question. The Jews leave no stones unturned. To be Jewish is to be the eyes and ears of the local Kehilla.)

The forecast for the state of American Jewry, assures Bookbinder the space-age ***shtadlan*** *, is fair and calm and much of that is due to the Jewish lobbying organizations who, unlike the shtadlan of yesteryear, are not merely last-minute, after-the-fact mediators but integral bolts and screws of the government machinery itself.* [These unelected Jewish insiders and agitators sound like conspirators, don’t they? I wish the American taxpayer had lobbyists with such "integral bolts and screws" connections.]

The Jewish establishment can breast-beat all it wants about its failure to avert the Holocaust. But face it, we had not developed then the mechanics of lobbying. They were dependent upon the ***shtadlan*** *, who was a skilled orator and dynamic personality and all, but nonetheless an outsider to the government.*

Since the middle 1940's, however, we have become more politically equipped to deal, in an official capacity, with the government, on all issues, be it labor, Soviet Jewry, Israel. A Holocaust could not even reach a potential stage in this land without the country rising and saying 'no'.

So, the Jews did not have sufficient control of Germany. But, rest assured, says the shtadlan, that is no longer true of America ! Bookbinder may not be an outsider to the government, but he is most certainly an outsider to the **nation** and its **Constitution** , because he, like all other Jews, does not believe in its principles. He believes only in Jewish principles. He only believes in the perceived welfare of Jewry. If our government has been corrupted by an alien within, is that government representative of its own people?

Don't forget, it was in the middle 1940's, because of World War II and because of Federal Dictator Roosevelt's communist-inspired New Deal, that Jews by the thousands infiltrated our rapidly growing bureaucracy and stole it. Now we have government by parasitic dictatorship. Only those who are quite asleep still refer to it as "democracy." Once, at a John Birch Society meeting I attended in the late seventies, the speaker was asked by a member of the audience, "What is the best thing we can do to combat communism?" His reply, a half-jest, was "Blow up the State Department." The blast would have killed a lot of traitorous Jews. Roosevelt's good buddy, Alger Hiss, had been recruiting communists into the State Department and other branches of our government for many years until Whittaker Chambers exposed him. When Senator Joseph McCarthy complained that this was becoming somewhat unseemly, the behind-the-scenes establishment staged his "censure." Subsequent revelations of declassified Soviet documents prove that Joseph McCarthy was absolutely correct in his endeavor to root

out the communist scumbags who had infiltrated our government and military. But there was already too much scum at the top of the pond and the truth could not get through the propaganda. The fact that most of these communist spies, like the Rosenbergs, were Jews was facially obvious. "The show of their countenance doth witness against them." The Jewish International could not afford to let some hick Senator from Wisconsin spoil their 2,000-year-old racket. He had to be eliminated before America got wise to the Jewishness of communism! Such a revelation could not be allowed! The whole scam was at stake. The American people might even start wondering if they were on the correct side during World War II. Who had really won that war? Weren't all of the significant gains made by the communists? Half of formerly free Europe became enslaved by Jewish communism as a result of WWII. If the West won that war, then why did freedom lose? The Yalta and Potsdam agreements between Roosevelt and Stalin forced Eisenhower to hand over half of Europe to the Soviet army on a silver platter. This same army had nothing but American-supplied lend-lease equipment with which to make war ! Why were we aiding and abetting communism even after the outcome of the war was certain? Who were the traitors that arranged all of this? Do names like Rothschild, Frankfurter, Baruch, and Morgenthau ring a bell? Patton was not allowed to advance on Berlin to capture it before the Soviets got there. You can imagine Patton's anger and suspicions. It was Alger Hiss and Harry Dexter White, both communist agents in the State Department and confidants of FDR, who were the architects of this treason!

It was Christian Europe which lost World War II and it was the Zionist International mafia which won that war. It was the same story for World War I, Bolshevik Russia and the spread of communism throughout the world…all with the help of Jewish bankers. White Christendom was decimated – there is no language to describe the gargantuan nature of the slaughter – by the wars of the Twentieth Century, the Jewish Century. Throughout this period, the Eighth Beast has gotten bigger and bigger, richer and richer, bolder and bolder; and it seems like nobody notices! We just don't comprehend it. Our world view is too small to take notice of the international developments, and the mass media, except for vague allusions to "corporate greed," rarely ever mentions the Money Power and the war profits.

I suppose some Christians might ask, "Why would God allow such a horrendous tragedy to take place?" The answer is twofold: 1.) God cannot reward ignorance. We chose ignorance when we abandoned God's Law. Most of the blame for this goes to the false shepherds who have not kept the Law themselves, the blind leading the blind. 2.) God's word tells us that if we do not obey His Law, all sorts of calamity will befall us, and it has . God cannot continuously come to our rescue while we ignore Him. We have to learn our lessons.

Continuing with the Gershon Winkler article:

The "Dean of Jewish Lobbyists," as Bookbinder is often called, was born in New York City to Polish immigrants. He is an alumni of City College, New York University, and the New School for Social Research, where he did his graduate work in economics, sociology, and political science. In 1938 he married Berta Losev, who died in 1976.

"I am very fortunate that both my daughters married Jews," says Bookbinder. "I was not raised with any religious Jewish identity. In fact, I despised Judaism and things religious. That doesn't mean I went around burning synagogues, but being ignorant of Judaism I was alienated by it. Today, however, I have developed an appreciation of Judaism and see in it indispensable ingredients for the perpetuation of our people."

With the exception of blacks, who for cultural and racial reasons have been unable to assimilate into our society, all manner of immigrants, even Chinese and Latin-Americans, reach a point where the children and grandchildren of these immigrants forget their ethnicity and see themselves as Americans first and as ethnic second. This has never been true for the Jews because the rabbis refuse to allow their "children" to assimilate. The parasitic nature of Judaism rejects the very host onto which it latches and feeds. Like a leech, it gorges itself with the life-blood of its host. It injects

an anesthetic (propaganda) which deadens the wound area so that the victim hardly notices being bitten; and all sorts of infectious germs are let in through the wound while the leech gorges itself. This gruesome simile is 100% valid. Judaism insists on its separate culture of exploitation because that is how the rabbis, as breeders of parasites, earn their keep. The Zionists simply carried this parasitism to global extremes.

In many ways, the "non-religious" Jew is even more fanatical about his tribe than the religious Jew. The reason for this is that he has benefited economically from the state-within-a-state politics of international Jewry and feels indebted to the system. By rejecting God, he justifies the rejection of all morality. Talmudic humanism! Besides, the majority of his friends and relatives are also involved in these same parasitic enterprises, so his culture is filled with this parasitism. He can’t get away from it. Parasitism need not be a "religious" perspective. It is sabotage either way.

The typical goy cannot appreciate the intoxication of power which comes with this territory. It is the intoxication of getting away with murder, often literally. The non-religious Jew has even fewer scruples than the religious Jew because he rationalizes his cheating and stealing by telling himself that the goyim are so stupid that they deserve to be fleeced.

Whether religious or not, all Jews realize and at some point must consciously accept the us-against-them philosophy of their tribe, because that is its most essential characteristic. Consequently, they know that they cannot be patriots in the single-minded sense of George Washington or Thomas Jefferson. They will always have dual loyalty. Therefore, there is no such thing as Jewish patriot except in the Israeli State.

As the above-quoted article reveals, for Bookbinder and all other Jews, the world turns around Jewish issues; and Jews everywhere are constrained to make the world bend or break to the will of the likes of Bookbinder, Begin, Sharon, Netanyahou, Shamir, Trotsky, Marx, and the Epsteins. It is the curse of the Jews that they cannot be individuals unless they totally reject their culture . The brainwashing techniques of the rabbis have two thousand years of experimenting and perfecting behind them. Any deceived Christian who thinks that this group is going to suddenly reject everything that they are and "turn to Christ" before the Judgment Day is naïve, to say the least.

The "Jewish patriot" is a contradiction in terms. You can’t be a Jew and a patriot of the host country. The parasite cannot be the host. It’s that simple. And this is why Jews always vote for the candidate who promises the most for Jewry. All other concerns are negligible. They belong to the herd of goats and the sheep must be fleeced. In their ignorance, the goyim give the goats everything they need for the fleecing. It goes without saying that the parasites have the genius of a fallen angel.

Appendix F

Know Your Enemy

Some Choice Quotations By And About The Rothschild - Rockefeller Dictatorship

Today, the path of total dictatorship in the United States can be laid by strictly legal means, unseen and unheard by the Congress, the president, or the people. Outwardly we have a Constitutional government. We have operating, within our government and political system, another body representing another form of government – a bureaucratic elite. -- Senator William Jenner, 1954.

Joe McCarthy and other true patriots of the 1950's were not allowed to rid the government of these traitors because the government was already in their hands as a result of the Roosevelt administration's quantum leap in the size of the federal government. Few Americans today realize how unConstitutional all of these federal agencies are.

We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite

and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries. -- David Rockefeller, founder of the Trilateral Commission, quoted in June of 1991.

So, elitism is preferable to democracy? Obviously, for the elite, it is ! Elitism in the name of democracy has fooled the whole world. I have a better idea. Let's delete the elite. Oh, by the way, let's also delete the butt-kissing "journalists" who have cooperated with Mr. Rockefeller and his henchmen. Would it be an exaggeration to say that forty years of "discretion" amounts to a conspiracy of silence by these "news" organizations? Why do our major news organizations never report on the annual meetings of the Bilderbergers, the movers and shakers of the world and promoters of the New World Order? Only one newspaper has ever done so, the **Spotlight** , and now its successor, the **American Free Press** .

The drive of the Rockefellers and their allies is to create a one-world government combining supercapitalism and communism under the same tent, all under their control… Do I mean conspiracy? Yes, I do. I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning, and incredibly evil in intent. -- Congressman Larry P. McDonald, 1976.

As an outspoken critic of the New World Order, Congressman McDonald met the same fate that so many critics do: violent death in an airplane. He was onboard Korean Airlines Fight 007, a commercial airliner which was shot down by a Soviet fighter jet. By this display of might, the global mafia eliminated one critic and silenced dozens more here at home, who got the message not to openly criticize the Beast..

The powers of financial capitalism had another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of this system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of world economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups. -- Carroll Quigley, **Tragedy and Hope** .

The most difficult thing for most conspiracy-deniers to understand is why "capitalists" would want to fund communism. The two are supposed to be opposites. These naïve ideologues don't see the big picture. The big picture is this: Trillionaire bankers loan money to governments . It is by loaning **billions** to governments that they make their huge profits. They are not interested in making home loans to Mr. and Mrs. Smith. If there is money to be made and politicians to be bought, they do not care what the color of their politics is; as long as the American Congress guarantees the loans, it's OK. It is called conquest by commerce. It's the oldest Jewish game in the book.

The history of so-Called "Free Trade" should prove to anyone that the supercapitalist will lend and trade with anyone: Fidel Castro, Idi Amin, Saddam Hussein. It's only when the agenda changes that some of these characters become persona non grata. The supercapitalists of the West trade with Red China in order to take advantage of the very cheap slave labor economy. They know that, to the Red Chinese government, the people are nothing more than a commodity: captive labor. Trading with the enemy is not treason, it's good business! How often have you heard this apology on television?: Trading with the enemy will make them appreciate democracy!

Do you actually believe that crap? Was it trade with the West that brought the Soviet Union down? Quite the contrary, it was trade with the West that kept it alive and the people enslaved. It was the massive corruption of the communist system that caused its own collapse.

Does the American worker benefit from such "trade" when American industry is being shipped, en masse, to foreign countries and putting him out of work? Do the American people benefit from such unfair "competition"? It amazes me that American labor unions actually support candidates who promote their own demise. It is not trade. It is global exploitation.

Big business is by no means antipathetic to Communism. The larger big business grows the more it approximates to Collectivism. It is the upper road of the few instead of the lower road of the masses to Collectivism. -- H.G. Wells, **London Sunday Express** , 1920.

The only difference between fascism and communism is that communism keeps its dealings with Big Business a secret. Monopolists and communists have the same goal: to eliminate the competition (the small businesses of the bourgeoisie). But Mr. Wells is wrong about the low road. There is no such thing. All communist movements have been driven and financed by the Zionist and Socialist upper class. There has never been an exception to this rule. Even in China, communism took hold only because people like George C. Marshall, Harry Dexter White and Alger Hiss, working on behalf of the Zionist International, betrayed Chiang Kai-Shek and nationalist China and handed it over to a vastly inferior rebel force led by Mao Tse-Tung. The list of millionaires who have profited from unpublicized deals with communist governments is twenty miles long. The benefits for Big Business are obvious: no competition and cheap slave labor. The benefit for the totalitarians? Capital.

The real rulers in Washington are invisible, and exercise power from behind the scenes. -- Supreme Court Justice and Zionist Jew Felix Frankfurter, 1952.

This is from the horse's mouth; and this horse speaks Yiddish. When the horse speaks, you had better pay attention.

The real menace of our republic is this invisible government which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy length over city, state and nation. Like the octopus of real life, it operates under cover of self created screen… At the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller Standard Oil interests and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as international bankers. -- John F. Hylan, Mayor of New York City, 1922.

Since the 8 th Beast has operated out of New York City since 1913 with the creation of the Federal Reserve System, the reigning mayor of New York City ought to be in a position to comment.

We are not going to achieve a new world order without paying for it in blood as well as in words and money. -- Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., **Foreign Affairs** , July/August issue, 1995.

Foreign Affairs is the publication of the semi-secret internationalist organization, the Council on Foreign Relations.

Tyranny requires that payment be made in other people's money and in other people's blood . Unfortunately for us peons, power in the hands of self-proclaimed kings leads to the tyranny of their own defects. It is not likely that Mr. Schlesinger will even shed any tears, that is, until the game is up. Of course, the mass media does not cover the proceedings of the Trilateral Commission and the Council on Foreign Relations. If they did, the political world might make sense.

We shall have world government whether or not you like it, by conquest or consent. -- James Warburg to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Feb. 17, 1950.

How do you like them apples? It must be a threat and a promise!

One thing the Warburgs, Kissingers, Rockefellers and Rothschilds can be sure of: the Christian Patriots of America are not going to lie down and let the tanks roll over them, for we know one thing: *If God be with us, who can be against us?* – Romans 8:31. I'll guarantee you one thing, me and God can beat anybody.

(In the memory of Rachel Corrie, an American who was deliberately run over by an Israeli bulldozer because she was protesting the Israeli practice of demolishing the homes of peaceful Palestinians, I would like to say this: Let the world be apprised of the atrocities of the Israeli State. There has never been a greater oppressor! Rachel Corrie died on Sunday, March 16, 2003 for the crime of opposing Zionism. A curse upon the mainstream

media which has silenced this story. May God's wrath avenge her peace-loving soul.)

The world can therefore seize the opportunity [the Persian Gulf crisis of 1992] *to fulfill the long-held promise of a New World Order where diverse nations are drawn together in common cause to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind."* -- George Herbert Walker Bush.

More diversity training! Will they never leave us alone? Let us ask of Mr. Bush: "Will this be kinder, gentler form of dictatorship? -- or the usual kind?

In March, 1915, the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel, shipbuilding, and powder interest, and their subsidiary organizations, got together 12 men high up in the newspaper world and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press…They found it was only necessary to purchase the control of 25 of the greatest papers…an editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information regarding the questions of preparedness, militarism, financial policies, and other things of national and international nature considered vital to the interests of the purchasers. -- U.S. Congressman Oscar Callaway, 1917.

Now you know why Will Rogers never believed anything he read in the newspapers. There was a lot more to that joke than you ever knew. The 8th Beast has been in control of our minds ever since, although Colonel McCormick of the Chicago Tribune put up a valiant fight to keep

Americans informed. He kept that newspaper out of the hands of the elitists until the early 1950's. What we have, in reality, is a new form of government. It can be called mediocracy. The root word can be either 'media' or 'mediocrity', whichever you prefer. The media which control our minds give us liberal doses of both subversive entertainment and mediocrity non-stop. In ancient Rome, it was called "bread and circuses."

Today, America would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order. Tomorrow they will be grateful! This would be especially true if they were told that there were an outside threat from beyond whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will plead to deliver them from this evil. -- Henry Kissinger, Bilderberger Conference, Evians, France, 1991, commenting on the Los Angeles riots of that year.

Hmm. Sounds like Bush 43's perpetual war against terrorism! After staging the attacks on the New York Trade Center and the Pentagon, let's stage another building demolition in Los Angeles and call it terrorism! The people will welcome the troops with open arms, just as the Iraqi people were supposed to welcome our troops as "liberators." Will somebody please end this Comedy before it kills us all?

The term Internationalism has been popularized in recent years to cover an interlocking financial, political, and economic world force for the purpose of establishing a World Government. Today, Internationalism is heralded from pulpit and platform as a "League of Nations" or a "Federated Union" to which the United States must surrender a definite part of its national sovereignty. -- from the text of an Address to the House of Bishops and the House of Clerical and Lay Deputies of the Protestant Episcopal Church in General Convention, 1940.

Must be a good thing, hey? The churches are heaping their praises upon this international elitism. Ecumenism it is called. I wonder what Jesus has in store for them. World Peace is such a seductive idea. What is truly fascinating is that Satan's ideas are being promoted from the pulpits.

(Some of the above quotations were culled from an article by William Kaliher entitled *Will We Be Free or Corporate Serfs? Republic or Empire? The New World Order* , **Free American Newsmagazine** , February 2002.)

The reason for quoting all of these change agents and their critics is to point out how easy it is to be duped by authoritarian figures. Just as the hapless people of France were duped by the Illuminati into overthrowing their own government so that the Jacobins and Freemasons could step in and assume the reins of command, free-thinkers (both liberals and conservatives) today are being duped into giving up their personal and national sovereignty in exchange for the promise of "security" and "World Peace" -- **but only on the elitists' terms** . Every one of these self-described freethinkers must ask the question, "At what cost?" Are you prepared to pay the price of your own liberty?

Or will you remember what the Founding Fathers of the United States of America fought for, died for, and bequeathed to you?

The liberals who cooperated with the Bolsheviks in bringing about the Soviet coup in Russia were immediately liquidated after the Bolsheviks seized power. These American intellectuals are in for a big surprise when the hammer falls. Big Brother does not negotiate with peons. There is no delicate way of saying it: Anyone who is stupid enough to fall for the promises of the New World Order does not deserve a place in a free country or in heaven. As Jesus said, *Be ye therefore wise as serpents yet gentle as doves.* As one of our Founding Fathers put it, *The price of liberty is eternal vigilance.*

In a country where the sole employer is the State, opposition means death by slow starvation. -- Trotsky.

One of the most damning qualities of the Bolsheviks is their boastfulness. They have admitted to all of their crimes many times through boasting. For the people of the West, these boasts are hidden under stacks of pro-Soviet propaganda by the Zionist controlled press.

The corruption of man is followed by the corruption of language. -- Emerson.

Is there any question that political correctness is nothing but the Newspeak and Doublespeak of Orwell's **1984** ? How ironic that liberals, who claim to be motivated by compassion for the underdog, are the foremost purveyors of doublespeak. "We must lie, cheat, and steal for the benefit of the oppressed!" And young converts to liberalism actually believe the lies no matter how badly the system fails. Is this not proof of how easily the masses are misled? Homosexuality, once snubbed and ridiculed, is today *gay* and *proud* . Anti-White discrimination is called *affirmative action* . Abortion is called *choice* . Treason is called *free trade* .

There is no race in the world more enigmatic, more fatal, and, therefore, more interesting than the Jews. Every writer, who, like yourself, is oppressed by the aspect of the present and embarrassed by his anxieties for the future must try to elucidate the Jewish question and its bearing upon

our age. For the question of the Jews and their influence on the world, past and present, cuts to the root of all things. -- Dr. Oscar Levy, as quoted in the prefatory letter to the book, **World Significance of the Russian Revolution** , by George Pitt-Rivers.

It is fascinating that so many renegade Jews have tried to warn the "gentile" world about the Jewish Question, yet so few of us are willing to listen, and even fewer willing to understand. The rabbis know that the most ignorant people are those who vainly believe that they are so smart that no one can fool them . This attitude might be called "the conceit of the ignorant." These are the people who "know it all," therefore, you cannot tell them anything that they don't already know. There is an old Chinese proverb: "Those who know, don't say; those who say, don't know." This proverb obviously applies to two different classes of people. I hope, in the name of Yahweh, that the reader who has perused these pages understands that Yahweh has permitted one who knows to also say. May the God of Truth be your guide.

But the world will never be forgiven for anti-Semitism and what it has done to the people of the Lord, because "All your sins will be forgiven, but not the sin against the Holy Ghost." -- Dagobert D. Runes, **Of God, the Devil and the Jews** , p. 76.

Talk about chutzpah! If you offend the Jews, you offend the Holy Ghost? REALLY? There is no limit to Jewish self-flattery! What about the crimes committed by the Jews against civilization? Will the REAL Holy Ghost please stand up ? The father of lies has many children practicing his art. The offenses are quite blatant if you do not ignore them. It is simply amazing that the conspirators have trained the masses to shout, en masse, "There's no conspiracy!" The con artist howls with laughter every time the dupe asserts his acumen.

The usurer loves the borrower, as the Ivy loves the Oak: The Ivy loves the Oak to grow up by it, so the Usurer loves the borrower to grow rich by him. The Ivy clasps the Oak like a lover, but it clasps out all the juice and sap, that the Oak cannot thrive after: so the Usurer lends like a friend, but he covenants like an enemy, for he clasps the borrower with such bands, that ever after he diminishes as fast as the other increases. – Henry Smith, Puritan preacher, 1591.

This con game has been going on for two thousand years. "*The more things change, the more they stay the same.* " – Anonymous.

Would the Holy Ghost practice usury?

Zionism aroused among Jews of all the world a controversy of extraordinary violence. This was one of its most important services. Zionism politicized world Jewry. Proceeding from a sociological analysis of their situation, it called upon the Jews to shape their own destiny, it summoned the Jews in every corner of the earth to political action. Through Zionism Jewry was brought into the political arena as a subject; for twenty centuries it had been no more than an object of the politics of others. -- Adolf Leschnitzer, **The Magic Background of Modern Anti-Semitism (An Analysis of the German-Jewish Relationship**), p. 20.

"Extraordinary violence," indeed! The last thing we need is for Zionism to provide us with any more services. As for the Jews shaping their own

destiny, the Rothschilds had more than two cents worth of influence. Somehow, the little Jew never perceives how craftily he is being manipulated by tales of "persecution" and "destiny." To understand the mind of the devil, one need only read the writings of "pro-Semitic" authors.

In all he did, in all he taught, he kept this aim in sight:

To get the deeds of darkness done, disguised as works of light.

He spread his poison, slow and sure, through many a specious sect,

And made the evil seem the good, bamboozling God's elect.

-- *The Coming of Lucifer,* by X.

What our people do not understand is that the Jews have co-opted history. First, they invent history for the enslavement of their own people. The children of the rabbis are intensely propagandized by historical fables which are calculated to have the maximum effect upon the hyper-sensitive student. As long as these fables are believed, the rabbis maintain the power of deception over all who hear the fables. Second, by buying up the publishing industry, they rewrite <u>our</u> history for us, so that we, while distracted by sex, drugs, rap and sports, accept a new, shoddy, erroneous identity. True history is the solution to the historical deceptions of the Jews. The final history books concerning the last two millennia will be written *about* them, not *by* them.

Those who do not learn from history are condemned to repeat it. -- Carlos Castaneda.

The Jews, as the masters of history, have been repeating their frauds, swindles, and impersonations time after time. True history reveals their modus operandi, which has not changed in two thousand years: insertion, seduction, infiltration, corruption, subversion, destruction – the progressive stages of the parasitic life cycle. The average American knows nothing about the Schiffs, Baruchs, Frankfurters, Untermeyers, Morgenthaus, or Rothschilds. These giants of history fade from the pages of the contemporary press. This is exactly how the vermin prefer it. What we must learn from history is to never again repeat the fall into their web of international con-artistry.

The dispersion of the Jews has rendered them a cosmopolitan people. They are the only cosmopolitan people, and in this capacity must act and are acting, as a solvent of national and racial differences. The great ideal of Judaism is not that Jews shall be allowed to flock together one day in some hole-in-the-corner fashion, for, if not tribal, at any rate separatist objects, but that the whole world shall be imbued with Jewish teachings, and that in a universal Brotherhood of Nations – a greater Judaism, in fact – all the separate races and religions shall disappear…As cosmopolitan people, Jews have passed the stage that the national forms of separatism represents in social life. They can never come back to it. They have made the whole world their home and now extend their hands to other nations of the earth so that they may follow their example. They do more. By their activities in literature and science, by their dominant position in all branches of public activity, they are gradually moulding Gentile thinking and systems into Jewish moulds. -- **The Jewish World** , London, Feb. 9, 1883.

In other words, the parasite feeds on all nations indiscriminately. By "extending their hands," not in friendship, but with usurious loans and other forms of vice, they indeed dominate all branches of public activity. With the

help of lackeys like George H.W. "New World Order" Bush, Jewish globalism has finally become fashionable.

The Jewish people, considered as a whole, will itself be its own Messiah. Its sovereignty over the world will be reached by means of the unification of the other human races, the elimination of frontiers and monarchies, which are the ramparts of particularism, and by means of the institution of a world republic which will grant everywhere civil rights to the Jews. In this new organization of humanity the sons of Israel will become everywhere, without meeting an obstacle, the directing element, especially if they are successful in bringing the proletarian masses under the direction of some of their own number. The governments of the peoples included in this world republic, with the aid of the victorious proletariat, will fall without difficulty into Jewish hands. Thus the promise of the Talmud will be fulfilled, that is, the promise that the Jews, at the arrival of the Messiah, will possess the key to the wealth of all the peoples of the earth. – Baruch Levy in a letter to Karl Marx, printed in **La Revue de Paris** , June 1, 1928, p.54.

I believe national pride is a lot of nonsense. -- Bernard Baruch, advisor to Presidents from Wilson to Roosevelt, **Chicago Tribune** , Sept. 25, 1935.

There is a special place in hell which has been reserved for the shabez goyim of the White Race, such as Woodrow Wilson, Franklin Delano Roosevelt (part Jewish), Harry Truman, George C. Marshall, John Foster Dulles, Dwight D. Eisenhower, Lyndon Baines Johnson, Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter, George H. W. Bush, William Jefferson Clinton, and George W. Bush. Without traitors like these, the parasites could not thrive. Like Judas before them, they have accepted blood money and have been complicit in the rapes, murders, and genocides of the Talmudists. May God deal with them justly. If you want peace, seek justice.

As far as the Talmudists (Baruch, Levy, Marx) themselves are concerned, their version of the Messiah has indeed come. But more importantly, the True Messiah will come again to judge the living and the dead. "There will be a wailing and a gnashing of teeth." -- J.C. Come quickly, Jesus.

Anti-Semitism is nothing but the antagonistic attitude produced in the non-Jew by the Jewish group. The Jewish group has thrived on oppression and on the antagonism it has forever met in the world. -- Albert Einstein, **Collier's Magazine** , Nov. 26, 1938.

An anti-Semite is someone that the Jews hate. – Joseph Sobran, journalist.

There is one more quotation which must be adduced. The person I quote is not an American, though his mother was an American. He was a British politician who rose quite high into the echelons of power. In the early days of his career, he already understood the nature of the struggle, as very few did. Concerning the origin and development of communism, he said:

From…the days of Spartacus Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, to those of Trotsky, Bela Kuhn, Rosa Luxembourg, and Emma Goldman, this world-wide conspiracy, based on a principle of arrested development, has been steadily growing. This conspiracy played a definitely recognizable role in the tragedy of the French Revolution. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the nineteenth century; and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become practically the undisputed masters of the enormous

empire. -- Winston Churchill, the **Illustrated Sunday Herald** , February 8, 1920.

Extraordinary violence by extraordinary personalities! Every one of the personalities named by Mr. Churchill was a Zionist/Communist Jew. One would have to ask: "What is it about Judaism that makes it so antagonistic to Christendom and so virulent in its antagonism?" What darkness is it that lurks in the Jewish mind that it has even conceived of such wickedness, let alone having actually carried out its genocidal methods against us! As was stated in Chapter Twelve, the fallen angels were cast down onto planet earth in order to minimize the damage they could do to God's universe. Their rebellion continues to this day. If Yahweh has to, He will destroy them. It is their choice: repentance or the second death, the death of the soul. And deep down the Jews know that this is their fate. It's too bad that they are going to take so many other people with them.

Also Edom shall be a desolation: every one that goeth by it shall be astonished, and shall hiss at all the plagues thereof. As in the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah and the neighbour cities thereof, saith Yahweh, no man shall abide there, neither shall a son of man dwell in it. Behold, he [the Zionist] *shall come up like a lion from the swelling Jordan against the habitation of the strong: but I will suddenly make him run away from her: and who is a chosen man, that I may appoint over her? For who is like me? And who will appoint me the time? And who is that shepherd that will stand before me? Therefore hear the counsel of Yahweh, that he hath taken against Edom.* -- Jeremiah 49:17-19.

Yahweh, our God, has kept His promise about Sodom and Gomorrah. They are desolate even today. It is no accident that the modern Israeli State is in such close proximity to old Sodom. The Israeli State, the personification of

Edom, is next. Edom is Jewry. Only the self-chosen rabbi is so arrogant as to claim that God asks his opinion before making a decision. Who is it that dares to ignore God's Law and substitute his own in its place?

Appendix G

Conquest by Commerce

The average person, when he or she thinks of an invading force, tends to think of a military style invasion. Except for the Israeli State, the Jews have never had their own military force. They have been able, however, throughout history, to buy mercenary armies or to bribe governments into fighting for Jewish causes. America's interventions, in the early part of the 21 st Century, in Afghanistan and Iraq are perfect examples of Zionists using their money and influence to force a nation into military campaigns which are not in the best interests of that nation. Why fight your own war when you can get someone else to fight it for you? When one seriously analyzes the history of international military conflict, it becomes apparent that most wars have been instigated by treasonous politicians who are working against the best interests of their own nations. This is almost axiomatic. Very few wars have led to the betterment of mankind. The one notable exception was the American Revolution. That Revolution temporarily freed the people from the clutches of the international bankers and their international commercial empire. And this is why George Washington and others of our founding fathers warned us against getting involved in Europe's machinations.

People have to learn to think on a different level, namely, that warfare can be conducted economically just as effectively and even more effectively through commerce than through military invasion. What the Zionists have been doing and mean to do is to conquer the world through commerce, by owning it. If you own everything, then no one can stop you from making decisions in your own favor. Meyer Amschel Rothschild's famous statement bears repeating here: *"Give me the power to issue a nation's*

currency and I care not who makes its laws ." This is exactly what has been transpiring since the Eighth Beast came onto the scene in 1815 -- racketeering on the grandest of scales. During the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, the Zionists have been consolidating their holdings throughout the world. It is no secret that these Jews own and control the mass media, the news organizations, the federal government, the courts, Hollywood, the mafia (except for local ethnic gangs), the liquor industry, the illegal drug trade, the porn industry, real estate, the tax-exempt foundations, etc.

A perfect example of conquest by ownership is the co-opting of the free speech radio movement in America in the mid-Nineties. Although the right-wing, free speech radio movement had been gathering momentum in the late Eighties, it received a boost from the presidency of Bill Clinton. Mr. Clinton was the laughing stock of the whole world and numerous small-town radio jockeys became popular by lambasting Bill and Hillary – also known as the single entity, Billary. In the process of making fun of Billary, some real economic, political and even Constitutional issues got considerable airplay. Newt Gingrich, Rush Limbaugh, and Matt Drudge all capitalized on this right-wing free speech movement. It even spawned the "Contract With America" which was an attempt by a group of freshmen, largely Republican congressmen to restore Constitutional values to government.

The Contract With America was sabotaged by Gingrich and Limbaugh, who both espouse globalism and Zionism; and something else happened to the free speech radio movement in the mid-Nineties. The Department of Commerce issued new regulations which allowed large companies to buy up smaller radio stations. This had been previously forbidden due to anti-trust regulations. But the Zionists saw that their empire was being seriously challenged by small town talk radio; and this situation could not be allowed to continue. So, the ZOG machine went to work, had the laws changed, and they promptly bought up all of these local radio stations, fired the offending jockeys and managers, and set their media empire back on course again.

Darth Vader strikes again.

This is how the Jewish overpresence operates. If they can’t beat you, kill you, fire you or otherwise destroy you, they will dig deep into their pockets

and buy you out or change the law so that they can put you out of business…and as quietly as possible so that no American citizen is even aware, except at the local level, that something big just happened. The Jews have always operated on the international level, with a minimum of fanfare and exposure, so that the people of the various nations have no idea that events in their country are related to events in another country by means of international control. The average person thinks no higher than the national level, and this only rarely, usually around election time. Otherwise, it's back to the day to day grind. The Jews know this very well, and since they control the entertainment empire and the economic empire, they can keep us distracted from cradle to grave with drugs, sex, movies, taxes, and mortgage payments…anything to keep us from finding out how they operate. If you think that the politicians are in control of this situation, you are sadly mistaken. The politicians are the puppets who dance to the Zionist tune.

For Americans, it matters little whether the President is a Republican or Democrat. Both parties are subject to the same Zionist lobbies which intimidate all politicians except for some independents, like Ron Paul of Texas. It is, in a word, an Empire of Vice . This silent, gradual, imperceptible takeover is summed up exquisitely by the Bible in the Book of Habakkuk:

Yea also, because he transgresseth by wine, he is a proud man, neither keepeth at home, who enlargeth his desire as hell, and is as death, and cannot be satisfied, but gathereth unto him all nations, and heapeth unto him all people. -- Hab. 2:5.

The vision also foresees the demise of this insatiable man:

Shall not these [people] *take up a parable against him, and a taunting proverb against him, and say, Woe to him that increaseth that which is not his! How long? And to him that ladeth himself with thick clay!*

Shall they not rise up suddenly that shall bite thee, and awake that shall vex thee, and thou shalt be for booties unto them?

Because thou hast spoiled many nations, all the remnant of the people shall spoil thee; because of men's blood, and for the violence of the land, of the city, and of all that dwell therein. -- Hab. 2:6-8.

The Bible tells us that the end is near when the times of the Gentiles come to a close. The fact is that the heyday of the sovereign nations is over. They are all owned and controlled by the 8th Beast and their laws are subservient to international law. The only exceptions to this fact are the Muslim nations. They are the only nations which are not subject to the Jewish usury banking system; and the Anti-Christ is growing frustrated by the fact of Muslim resistance. Therefore, the American military machine has been enlisted in the service of Lucifer, the great deceiver, into doing his dirty work, with the American taxpayer footing the bill.

For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, lest ye should be wise in your own conceits; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in. And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob: For this is my covenant unto them, when I shall take away their sins. -- Romans 11:25-27.

The signs of the times are very clear to those who are able to perceive. Jacob/Israel is waking up to his true Identity. The Anglo-Saxon nations

have been overcome by the global empire of the Eighth Beast. This is an accomplished fact. The times of the Gentiles have been fulfilled. It’s over, people. The next phase of prophecy has begun.

That next phase is the full-blown awakening of Israel to her true Identity as the Bride of Christ. I pray to Yahweh and to Yahshua that this volume may contribute to this awakening. The rumblings have already begun. The July, 2003 issue of the **Free American** , has, for the first time in its publication history, devoted several pages to the enunciation of the doctrines of Christian Identity. The cat is out of the bag; and there’s nothing that the Jews can do about it.

The Judgment Day has arrived.

Prayer For Redemption

Yahweh, my Father, I weep for my people, Israel, who are being persecuted for your Son, as He was sacrificed for us. The Bride of Christ is dressed in rags because we do not know who our Father is and because the impostor claims to be the "chosen one." We will see how much longer this great impersonation lasts. One day, soon, she, True Israel, will be clothed with the sun. Hasten the day! Prepare us for it, so that we might be worthy of Your Love. "Pray ye the Lord of the Harvest." Amen.

Alas! For that day is great, so that none is like it: it is even the time of Jacob’s trouble; but he will be saved out of it. -- Jer. 30:7.

For Yahweh hath redeemed Jacob, and ransomed him from the hand of him that was stronger than he. -- Jer. 31:11.

The Rothschild Rockefeller Talmudic

8th Beast Power Structure

ZIONIST OCCUPATION GOVERNMENT

ILLUMINATI

INTERNATIONAL KEHILLA - COMMITTEE OF 300

UNITED NATIONS

Bank of International Settlements

World Bank

International Monetary Fund

Ecumenism/Syncretism

European Union

NATO

SEATO

Communism

TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS

Trilateral Commission

RIIA - Royal Institute International Affairs

CFR - Council On Foreign Relations

Bilderbergers

World Council of Churches

WJC - World Jewish Congress

Stock Exchanges

Drug Companies

Food Corporations

Liquor Industry

Chemical Corporations

Labor Unions

Media Conglomerates

ISRAELI STATE

Zionism

Holocaust Industry

Mossad

Organized Crime

White Slavery

NATIONAL KEHILLAS

AJC - American Jewish Congress

Federal Reserve System

Anti-Defamation League

AMA - American Medical Association

CIA - Central Intelligence Agency

FDA - Food & Drug Administration

Socialism

Public "Education"

Entertainment Industry

Televangelism

News Media

National Council of Churches

THE BEAST THAT DECEIVETH THE WHOLE WORLD

List of Publishers That Provide

Identity/Patriotic Titles

America's Promise Ministries POB 157 Sandpoint ID 83864

Artisan Publishers POOB 1529 Muskogee OK 74402 (www.artisanpublishers.com)

Association of the Covenant People 7730 Edmonds St. Burnaby BC V3N 1B8 Canada

USA affiliate: POB 1478 Ferndale WA 98248 USA

Christian Research POB 385 Eureka Springs AR 72632

Covenant Publishing Company 8 Blades Court, Deodar Rd. London SW15 2NU United Kingdom (www.britishisrael.co.uk)

Canadian affiliate: CBIA 500 Tecumseh Rd, E Box 28047 Windsor Ontario Canada N8X 5E4

USA affiliate: CBIA 145 S. Livernois Rd. #196 Rochester Hills MI 48307 (800-919-8819)

Destiny Publishers POB 177 Merrimac MA 01860-0177

Emissary Publications 9205 SE Clackamas Rd. Clackamas OR 97015

Omni Publications/Christian Book Club POB 900566 Palmdale CA 93590-0566

Sacred Truth Ministries c/o POB 18 Mountain City TN 37683

Sons of Liberty Books POB 449 Arabi LA 70032

Weisman Publications 11751 Riverhills Dr. #107D Burnsville MN 55337

Table of Contents

Foreword, by Pastor D.M. Johns

Preface

Introduction

Chapter 1. In the Beginning: The Early Covenants

Chapter 2. The Marks of Israel

Chapter 3. The Seed of Abraham

Chapter 4. Sarah and the Seed of Isaac

Chapter 5. The Sons of Isaac

Chapter 6. The Twelve Tribes of Israel

Chapter 7. Israel and Judah Unto Captivity

Chapter 8. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part One: The House of Israel Is Lost

Chapter 9. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part Two: The House of David

Chapter 10. The Three Houses of Destiny, Part Three: The House of Judah

Chapter 11. The Nazarene

Chapter 12. The Identification of the Anti-Christ

Chapter 13. The Last Days, In Three Parts

Postscript.

Psalm 91.

Afterword.

Appendix A.

Appendix B.

Appendix C.

Appendix D.

Appendix E.

Appendix F.

Appendix G.

Eighth Beast Power Structure Chart

List of Publishers